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1. Introduction. Let $\sigma_{t}(n)$ denote the sum of the $t$ th powers of the divisors of $n$, $\sigma(n)=\sigma_{1}(n)$. Also place

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(x)=x^{2}\left\{\log x+2 \gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)\right\}, \quad \Delta(x)=\sum_{a, b \leq x} \sigma((a, b))-v(x), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is Euler's constant, $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann $\zeta$-function and $x \geqq 2$. The function $\Delta(x)$ is the remainder term arising in the divisor problem for $\sigma((m, n))$. Cesàro proved originally [1], [6, p. 328] that $\Delta(x)=o\left(x^{2} \log x\right)$. More recently in I [2, (3.14)] it was shown by elementary methods that $\Delta(x)=O\left(x^{3 / 2} \log x\right)$. This estimate was later improved to $O\left(x^{3 / 2}\right)$ in II [3, (3.7)]. In the present paper (§3) we obtain a much more substantial reduction in the order of $\Delta(x)$, by showing that $\Delta(x)$ can be expressed in terms of the remainder term in the classical Dirichlet divisor problem. On the basis of well known results for this problem, it follows easily that $\Delta(x)=O\left(x^{4 / 3}\right)$. The precise statement of the result for $\sigma((m, n))$ is contained in (3.2).

The analogous problem for $\sigma_{2}((m, n))$ is also considered in §3. Place

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{a, b \geqq x} \sigma_{2}((a, b))-\frac{x^{3}}{3}\{2 \zeta(2)-\zeta(3)\} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It was shown in $[2,(3.13)]$, $[3,(3.5)]$ that $\Delta^{\prime}(x)=O\left(x^{2} \log x\right)$. In this paper, we express $\Delta^{\prime}(x)$ in terms of the remainder term in the divisor problem for $\sigma(n)$, obtaining as a consequence a material improvement in the order of $\Delta^{\prime}(x)$. The main result in the case of $\sigma_{2}((m, n))$ is found in (3.9).

In § 4 we consider average values in two classes of functions generalizing $\sigma((m, n))$ and $\sigma_{2}((m, n))$, respectively. The results are analogous to those obtained for $\Delta(x)$ and $\Delta^{\prime}(x)$ in $\S 3$, and furnish improvements on estimates proved in [2, Theorem ( $\alpha=1, \alpha=2$ )], (also see [3, Theorem 4.1]). The corollaries of $\S 4$ contain estimates for the special functions $\phi((m, n))$ and $\phi_{2}((m, n))$, where $\phi_{t}(n)$ denotes the generalized totient function.

The method of this paper is essentially a refinement of that employed in II.
2. Preliminary details. We collect in this section a number of known miscellaneous facts that will be needed in the later discussion. Denoting by $[x]$ the integral part of $x$, we place $\psi(x)=x-[x]-\frac{1}{2}$ and write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x)=\sum_{n \leq V_{x}} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right), \quad \rho^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{n \leqq x} \frac{1}{n} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\rho(x)$ and $\rho^{\prime}(x)$ occur in the remainder terms of the average-value problems for $\tau(n)=\sigma_{0}(n)$ and $\sigma(n)$, respectively. In particular, we recall that [8, p. 16], [5, p. 37],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(x)=-2 \rho(x)+O(1), \quad \delta^{\prime}(x)=-x \rho^{\prime}(x)+O(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta(x)$ and $\delta^{\prime}(x)$ are the " remainders",

$$
\delta(x)=\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n)-x(\log x+2 \gamma-1), \quad \delta^{\prime}(x)=\sum_{n \leq x} \sigma(n)-\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \zeta(2) .
$$

It is also remarked that, if $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the least values such that for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(x)=O\left(x^{\alpha+\varepsilon}\right), \quad \rho^{\prime}(x)=O\left\{(\log x)^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\alpha \leqq 27 / 82$ [4], $\beta \leqq 4 / 5$ [5]. While these estimates have been improved, the exact values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are still unknown. It is easily verified that $\alpha>0, \beta \geqq 0$ (compare [9, p. 187]).

The following classical estimates will also be required. In particular [8, p. 15],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leqq x} \frac{1}{n}=\log x+\gamma-\frac{\psi(x)}{x}+O\left(\frac{1}{x^{2}}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover [7, (2), p. 26)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}}=\zeta(\alpha)-\frac{1}{(\alpha-1) x^{\alpha-1}}+O\left(\frac{1}{x^{\alpha}}\right) \quad(\alpha>1) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, we note the elementary fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n>x} \frac{\log n}{n^{2}}=O\left(\frac{\log x}{x}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Adopting the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{*}(x)=\sum_{a, b \leqq x} \sigma((a, b)), \quad S_{2}^{*}(x)=\sum_{a, b \leqq x} \sigma_{2}((a, b)), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we remark [3, (3.9)] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}^{*}(x)=\sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x}\left(2 n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^{2}+\sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]-\frac{1}{2}[\sqrt{ } x]^{4}-\frac{1}{2}[\sqrt{ } x]^{3} ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}^{*}(x)=\frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \leqq x}(2 n-1)\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^{3}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leqq x}(2 n-1)\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]^{2}+\sum_{n \leq x}(2 n-1)\left[\frac{x}{n}\right], \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the latter relation following from [3, (3.8)] in conjunction with the identity

$$
\sum_{a \leqq n} a^{2}=\left(2 n^{3}+3 n^{2}+n\right) / 6 .
$$

The next lemma was proved first in II. Let $g(n)$ and $h(n)$ denote arbitrary arithmetical functions and place

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(n)=\sum_{d \delta=n} g(d) h(\delta),  \tag{2.10}\\
k(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} h(d), \quad q(n)=\sum_{d \mid n} g(d) \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right), \tag{2.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mu(n)$ denotes the Möbius function. Furthermore, let $K^{*}(x)$ denote the summatory function of $k((m, n)), K^{*}(x)=\sum_{a, b \leq x} k((a, b))$. We have [3, (4. 2)]

Lemma 2.1. On the basis of the above notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a, b \leqq x} f((a, b))=\sum_{n \leqq x} q(n) K^{*}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we mention the following estimate proved in II:
Lemma 2.2 [3, Lemma 4.2]. If $g(n)$ is bounded then $q(n)=O\left(n^{\xi}\right)$ for all $\xi>0$.
3. The average order of $\sigma((m, n))$ and $\sigma_{2}((m, n))$. We consider first the function $\sigma((m, n))$.

Theorem 3.1. If $\rho(x)$ is defined by (2.1), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x)=-4 x \rho(x)+O(x \log x) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover, for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x)=O\left(x^{1+\alpha+\ell}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is defined by (2.3).
Proof. Denote by $S_{1}, S_{2}, S_{3}, S_{4}$ respectively, the four terms arising in (2.8). Applying (2.4) and (2.5), one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1}= & \sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x}\left(2 n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\{\frac{x}{n}-\left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}^{2}=\sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x}\left(2 n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left\{\frac{x^{2}}{n^{2}}-\frac{2 x}{n}\left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right)+O(1)\right\} \\
= & 2 x^{2} \sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x} \frac{1}{n}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2} \sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x} \frac{1}{n^{2}}-4 x \sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x}\left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right)+x \sum_{n \leq \sqrt{ } x} \frac{1}{n}\left\{\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right\}+O\left\{\sum_{n \leq \sqrt{ } x} n\right\} \\
= & 2 x^{2}\left\{\frac{\log x}{2}+\gamma-\frac{\psi(\sqrt{ } x)}{\sqrt{ } x}+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right\}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\left\{\zeta(2)-\frac{1}{\sqrt{ } x}+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right\} \\
& -4 x \sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x} \psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)-2 x\{\sqrt{ } x+O(1)\}+O\left\{x \sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x} \frac{1}{n}\right\}+O(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=x^{2}\left\{\log x+2 \gamma-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)\right\}-4 x \rho(x)-2 x^{3 / 2} \psi(\sqrt{ } x)-\frac{3}{2} x^{3 / 2}+O(x \log x) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for $S_{2}$ we have

$$
S_{2}=\sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[\frac{x}{n}\right]=\sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x}\left(n-\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{n}+O(1)\right)=x(\sqrt{ } x+O(1))-\frac{1}{2} x \sum_{n \leqq \sqrt{ } x} \frac{1}{n}+0\left\{\sum_{n \leqq x} n\right\},
$$

from which one deduces that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=x^{3 / 2}+O(x \log x) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding $S_{3}$, one obtains

$$
S_{3}=-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sqrt{ } x-\left(\psi(\sqrt{ } x)+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}^{4}=-\frac{1}{2}\left\{x^{2}-4 x^{3 / 2}\left(\psi(\sqrt{ } x)+\frac{1}{2}\right)+O(x)\right\}
$$

from which it follows that that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+2 x^{3 / 2} \psi(\sqrt{ } x)+x^{3 / 2}+O(x) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also it is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{4}=-\frac{1}{2} x^{3 / 2}+O(x) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S_{1}{ }^{*}(x)=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}$, it follows from (3.3), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}{ }^{*}(x)=x^{2}\left\{\log x+2 \gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)\right\}-4 x \rho(x)+O(x \log x), \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to (3.1). (3.2) results immediately from (3.1) and (2.3), because $\alpha \geqq 0$. This proves the theorem.

Remark. We note that if, in calculating $\Delta(x)$, the third expressions obtained for $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are used, then (by [5, Lemma 8]) the formula (3.1) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x)=-4 x \rho(x)+x \rho^{\prime}(x)+O(x) \tag{3.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that by (2.3) and the fact that $\beta \geqq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x)=-4 x \rho(x)+O\left\{x(\log x)^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \quad(\varepsilon>0) \tag{3.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this result leads to no improvement over (3.2).
We now consider $\sigma_{2}((m, n))$, proving
Theorem 3.2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime}(x)=-2 x^{2} \rho^{\prime}(x)+O\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho^{\prime}(x)$ is defined by (2.1); moreover, for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{\prime}(x)=O\left\{x^{2}(\log x)^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta$ is defined by (2.3).

Proof. Denote the three terms of (2.9) by $T_{1}, T_{2}, T_{3}$ respectively. Then by the estimates of $\S 2$, one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{1} & =\frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \leq x}(2 n-1)\left\{\frac{x}{n}-\left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\}^{3} \\
& =\frac{1}{3} \sum_{n \leq x}(2 n-1)\left\{\frac{x^{3}}{n^{3}}-\frac{3 x^{2}}{n^{2}}\left(\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\right)+O\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{2 x^{3}}{3} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^{2}}-\frac{x^{3}}{3} \sum_{n \leqq x} \frac{1}{n^{3}}-2 x^{2} \rho^{\prime}(x)-x^{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n}+O\left(x^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
T_{1}=\frac{1}{3} x^{3}\{2 \zeta(2)-\zeta(3)\}-2 x^{2} \rho^{\prime}(x)-x^{2} \log x+O\left(x^{2}\right)
$$

In the case of $T_{2}$ we have

$$
T_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \leqq x}(2 n-1)\left\{\frac{x^{2}}{n^{2}}+O\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)\right\}=x^{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n}+O\left(x^{2}\right),
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}=x^{2} \log x+O\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{3}=O\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S_{2}{ }^{*}(x)=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{3}$, it follows from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}^{*}(x)=\frac{1}{3} x^{3}\{2 \zeta(2)-\zeta(3)\}-2 x^{2} \rho^{\prime}(x)+O\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be restated as (3.8). The result (3.9) is a consequence of (3.8), (2.3) and the fact that $\beta \geqq 0$. This completes the proof.
4. The general functions $f_{1}((m, n))$ and $f_{2}((m, n))$. As in I and II we define $f_{t}(n)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}(n)=\sum_{d \delta=n} g(d) \delta^{t} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noted, on the basis of Lemma 2.1 with $h(n)=n^{2}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t}^{*}(x) \equiv \sum_{a, b \leq x} f_{t}((a, b))=\sum_{n \leqq x} q(n) S_{t}^{*}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{t}^{*}(x)$ is the summatory function of $\sigma_{t}((m, n))$. It follows then from (4.2) and the definition of $q(n)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t}^{*}(x) \equiv \sum_{d \leq x} g(d) \sum_{\delta \leq x / d} \mu(\delta) S_{t}^{*}\left(\frac{x}{d \delta}\right) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in I and II we place

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(s, g)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{g(n)}{n^{s}} \quad(s>1) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote its derivative by $L^{\prime}(s, g)$. We now consider the average order of $f_{t}((m, n))$ in the
cases $t=1$ and $t=2$, with a boundedness restriction on $g(n)$. It is convenient to introduce the following notation in considering $f_{1}((m, n))$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
C_{1}=2 \gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)  \tag{4.5}\\
R(x)=\frac{x^{2}}{\zeta(2)}\left\{L(2, g)\left(\log x+C_{1}-\frac{\zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right)+L^{\prime}(2, g)\right\} \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

We prove now
Theorem 4.1. If $g(n)$ is bounded, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{*}(x)=R(x)-4 x \sum_{n \leqq x} \frac{q(n)}{n} \rho\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)+O\left(x \log ^{3} x\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

moreover, for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{*}(x)=R(x)+O\left(x^{1+\alpha+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is defined by (2.3).

Proof. By (3.7) and (4.3) with $t=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{*}=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}+S_{5} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{1}=x^{2}\left(\log x+C_{1}\right) \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{g(d)}{d^{2}} \sum_{\delta \leq x / d} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^{2}}  \tag{4.10}\\
& S_{2}=-x^{2} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{g(d) \log d}{d^{2}} \sum_{\delta \leq x / d} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta^{2}}  \tag{4.11}\\
& S_{3}=-x^{2} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{g(d)}{d^{2}} \sum_{\delta \leq x / d} \frac{\mu(\delta) \log \delta}{\delta^{2}}  \tag{4.12}\\
& S_{4}=-4 x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{g(d)}{d} \sum_{\delta \leq x / d} \frac{\mu(\delta)}{\delta} \rho\left(\frac{x}{d \delta}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
& S_{5}=O\left\{x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|g(d)|}{d} \sum_{\delta \leq \sqrt{ } x / d} \frac{|\mu(\delta)|}{\delta} \log \left(\frac{x}{d \delta}\right)\right\}+O\left\{\sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|g(d)|}{d} \sum_{\delta \leq x / d} \frac{|\mu(\delta)|}{\delta}\right\} \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

By (4.10) one obtains

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & =x^{2}\left(\log x+C_{1}\right) \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{g(d)}{d^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}+O\left(\frac{d}{x}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{x^{2}}{\zeta(2)}\left(\log x+C_{1}\right)\left\{L(2, g)+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right\}+O\left\{x \log x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{1}=\frac{x^{2}\left(\log x+C_{1}\right) L(2, g)}{\zeta(2)}+O\left(x \log ^{2} x\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.11) and (2.6) one deduces that

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2} & =-x^{2} \sum_{d \leqq x} \frac{g(d) \log d}{d^{2}}\left\{\frac{1}{\zeta(2)}+O\left(\frac{d}{x}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{x^{2}}{\zeta(2)}\left\{L^{\prime}(2, g)+O\left(\sum_{d>x} \frac{\log d}{d^{2}}\right)\right\}+O\left\{x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{\log d}{d}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=\frac{x^{2}}{\zeta(2)} L^{\prime}(2, g)+O\left(x \log ^{2} x\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (4.12) and (2.6) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{3} & =x^{2} \sum_{d \leqq x} \frac{g(d)}{d^{2}}\left\{L^{\prime}(2, \mu)+O\left(\frac{d}{x} \log \frac{x}{d}\right)\right\} \\
& =-\frac{x^{2} \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta^{2}(2)}\left\{L(2, g)+O\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right\}+O\left\{x \log x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{3}=-\frac{x^{2} \zeta^{\prime}(2) L(2, g)}{\zeta^{2}(2)}+O\left(x \log ^{2} x\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Placing $d \delta=n$ in (4.13) one may write, on the basis of (2.11),

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{4}=-4 x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{q(n)}{n} p\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for $S_{5}$, it follows from (4.14) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{5}=O\left(x \log x \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{\delta \leq x / d} \frac{1}{\delta}\right)=O\left(x \log ^{3} x\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (4.7) results on combining (4.9), (4.15), (4.16), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.19).

We deduce now (4.8), recalling first that $\alpha>0$. By Lemma 2.2 and (2.3), if $\xi$ is chosen such that $0<\xi \leqq \alpha$, then for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\sum_{n \leqq x} \frac{q(n)}{n} \rho\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)=O\left\{x^{\alpha+\varepsilon} \sum_{n \leqq x} \frac{1}{n^{1+\alpha+\varepsilon-\xi}}\right\}=O\left(x^{\alpha+\varepsilon}\right) .
$$

Hence (4.8) results from (4.7) and the theorem is proved.
Placing $g(n)=\mu(n)$ in (4.8), we obtain the following corollary.
Corollary 4.1. For all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a . b \leq x} \phi((a, b))=\frac{x^{2}}{\zeta^{2}(2)}\left\{\log x+2 \gamma-\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2} \zeta(2)-\frac{2 \zeta^{\prime}(2)}{\zeta(2)}\right\}+O\left(x^{1+a+e}\right) . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient in considering $f_{2}((m, n))$ to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}=\frac{1}{3}\{2 \zeta(2)-\zeta(3)\}, \quad R^{\prime}(x)=\frac{x^{3} L(3, g) C_{2}}{\zeta(3)} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.2. If $g(n)$ is bounded, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{*}(x)=R^{\prime}(x)-2 x^{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{q(n)}{n^{2}} \rho^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)+O\left(x^{2}\right) ; \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\beta$ is defined as in (2.3), then for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{2}^{*}(x)=R^{\prime}(x)+O\left\{x^{2}(\log x)^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} . \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (4.2) with $t=2$, in conjunction with (3.13), we may write

$$
\begin{gather*}
F_{2}^{*}(x)=T_{1}+T_{2}+T_{3},  \tag{4.24}\\
T_{1}=C_{2} x^{3} \sum_{n \leqq x} \frac{q(n)}{n^{3}},  \tag{4.25}\\
T_{2}=-2 x^{2} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{q(n)}{n^{2}} \rho^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) \tag{4.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

where
and where, by Lemma 2.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{3}=O\left\{x^{2} \sum_{d \leq x} \frac{|q(d)|}{d^{2}}\right\}=O\left(x^{2}\right) \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.25) one obtains

$$
T_{1}=C_{2} x^{3} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{q(n)}{n^{3}}+O\left\{x^{3} \sum_{n>x} \frac{|q(n)|}{n^{3}}\right\}
$$

so that by (2.11) and Lemma 2.2

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{1}=R^{\prime}(x)+O\left(x^{1+\xi}\right), \quad 0<\xi \leqq 1 . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combination of (4.24), (4.26), (4.27) and (4.28) leads to (4.22).

We recall that the quantity $\beta$ defined by (2.3) is non-negative. Hence if $\xi$ is any positive number less than 1 , it follows by Lemma 2.2 that for all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{q(n)}{n^{2}} \rho^{\prime}\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) & =O\left\{\sum_{n \leq \sqrt{ } x} \frac{1}{n^{2-\xi}}\left(\log \frac{x}{n}\right)^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\}+O(1) \\
& =O\left\{(\log x)^{\beta+\varepsilon} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n^{2-\xi}}\right\}=O\left\{(\log x)^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} . \tag{4.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus (4.23) results from (4.22), and the theorem is proved.
The case $g(n)=\mu(n)$ in (4.23) yields the following special result.
Corollary 4.2. For all $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{a, b \leq x} \phi_{2}((a, b))=\frac{x^{3}}{3 \zeta^{2}(3)}\{2 \zeta(2)-\zeta(3)\}+O\left\{x^{2}(\log x)^{\beta+\varepsilon}\right\} . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$
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