ERRATUM

Deference and Hierarchy in International Regime Complexes—ERRATUM

Tyler Pratt

doi: 10.1017/S0020818318000164. Published by Cambridge University Press, 6 May 2018.

In the original publication of this article, Table 3 was missing asterisks from several coefficients indicating statistical significance. The corrected Table 3 is replicated below:

TABLE 3. Effect of state power and functional efficiency variables on institutional deference

	Dependent variable: Institutional Deference		
	(1)	(2)	(3)
MAJOR POWER DIFFERENCE	0.218*** (0.055)	0.218*** (0.042)	0.200*** (0.040)
WEIGHTED VOTING	0.698** (0.293)	0.428* (0.258)	0.987*** (0.174)
TECHNICAL IO	-0.088 (0.340)	-0.027 (0.251)	0.622** (0.283)
BINDING-TECHNICAL PAIR	0.926*** (0.316)	0.325 (0.275)	0.774*** (0.152)
DECISION-MAKING DIFFERENCE	0.298** (0.145)	0.208 (0.141)	0.328** (0.144)
UN IDEAL POINT DIFFERENCE		-0.161 (0.277)	-1.090*** (0.250)
IO BUDGET		-0.040 (0.039)	-0.071 (0.043)
REGIONAL-GLOBAL IO PAIR		-0.475 (0.327)	0.804** (0.320)
RECIPROCITY		2.133*** (0.206)	0.851** (0.233)
ISSUE AREA FE IO DYAD FE	✓	1	1
Observations	3,718	3,343	3,343

Notes: Results of generalized linear models estimating the effect of member state power and IO characteristics on directed institutional deference. All models include controls for membership overlap, an indicator for nested IOs, and a cubic polynomial for time (not shown). Statistical significance is denoted by: *p < 0.1; *p < 0.05; ***p < 0.01.

The publisher regrets this error.

Reference

Pratt, Tyler. 2018. Deference and Hierarchy in International Regime Complexes. *International Organization* 72 (3):561–90. doi: 10.1017/S0020818318000164.