

## NORMAL SUBGROUPS WHOSE CONJUGACY CLASS GRAPH HAS DIAMETER THREE

ANTONIO BELTRÁN✉, MARÍA JOSÉ FELIPE and CARMEN MELCHOR

(Received 26 November 2015; accepted 12 December 2015; first published online 16 March 2016)

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . We determine the structure of  $N$  when the diameter of the graph associated to the  $G$ -conjugacy classes contained in  $N$  is as large as possible, that is, equal to three.

2010 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20D15; secondary 20E45.

*Keywords and phrases*: finite groups, conjugacy classes, normal subgroups, graphs.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . If  $x \in N$ , we denote by  $x^G = \{x^g \mid g \in G\}$  the  $G$ -conjugacy class of  $x$ . Let  $\Gamma_G(N)$  be the graph associated to these  $G$ -conjugacy classes, which was defined in [2] as follows: its vertices are the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of  $N$  of cardinality bigger than 1, that is,  $G$ -classes of elements lying in  $N \setminus (\mathbf{Z}(G) \cap N)$ , and two of them are joined by an edge if their sizes are not coprime. It was proved in [2] that  $d(\Gamma_G(N)) \leq 3$ , where  $d(\Gamma_G(N))$  denotes the diameter of the graph. In this note we analyse the structure properties of  $N$  when  $d(\Gamma_G(N)) = 3$ .

The above graph extends the ordinary graph,  $\Gamma(G)$ , which was formally defined in [3], and whose vertices are the noncentral conjugacy classes of  $G$ , and two vertices are joined by an edge if their sizes are not coprime. The graph  $\Gamma_G(N)$  can be viewed as the subgraph of  $\Gamma(G)$  induced by those vertices of  $\Gamma(G)$  which are vertices in  $\Gamma_G(N)$ . This fact does not allow us, however, to obtain directly properties of the graph of  $G$ -classes.

Concerning ordinary classes, Kazarin [8] characterised the structure of a group  $G$  having two ‘isolated classes’. We recall that a group  $G$  is said to have isolated classes if there exist elements  $x, y \in G$  such that every element of  $G$  has a conjugacy class size

---

The research of the first and the second authors is supported by the Valencian Government, Proyecto PROMETEOII/2015/011. The first and the third authors are also partially supported by Universitat Jaume I, grant P11B2015-77.

© 2016 Australian Mathematical Publishing Association Inc. 0004-9727/2016 \$16.00

coprime to either  $|x^G|$  or  $|y^G|$ . In particular, Kazarin determined the structure of those groups  $G$  with  $d(\Gamma(G)) = 3$ . On the other hand, the disconnected graph was studied by Bertram *et al.* [3]. It should be noted that similar results have also been studied for other graphs. In [6], Dolfi defined the graph  $\Gamma'(G)$  whose vertices are the elements of the set of all primes which occur as divisors of the lengths of the conjugacy classes of  $G$ , and two vertices  $p, q$  are joined by an edge if there exists a conjugacy class in  $G$  whose length is a multiple of  $pq$ . In [5], Casolo and Dolfi described all finite groups  $G$  for which  $\Gamma'(G)$  is connected and has diameter three.

We remark that the primes dividing the  $G$ -conjugacy class sizes do not need to divide  $|N|$ . This especially occurs when  $N$  is Abelian and noncentral in  $G$  and, consequently, we may have no control over this set of primes. For this reason, we observe that new cases appear when dealing with  $G$ -classes which are not contemplated in the ordinary case. The main result of this note is Theorem 1.1 and it is inspired by [8]. From now on, if  $G$  is a finite group, we denote by  $\pi(G)$  the set of primes dividing  $|G|$  and, analogously, if  $X$  is a set, then  $\pi(X)$  denotes the set of primes dividing  $|X|$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Suppose that  $x^G$  and  $y^G$  are two noncentral  $G$ -conjugacy classes of  $N$  such that any  $G$ -conjugacy class of  $N$  has size coprime with  $|x^G|$  or  $|y^G|$ . Let  $\pi_x = \pi(x^G)$ ,  $\pi_y = \pi(y^G)$  and  $\pi = \pi_x \cup \pi_y$ . Then  $N = \mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(N) \times \mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N)$  with  $x, y \in \mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N)$ , which is either a quasi-Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement, or  $\mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N) = P \times A$  with  $A \leq \mathbf{Z}(N)$ , and  $P$  is a  $p$ -group for a prime  $p$ .*

Notice that in the conditions of Theorem 1.1, we have two possibilities: either  $d(\Gamma_G(N)) \leq 2$  or  $d(\Gamma_G(N)) = 3$ . In the former case, the graph is disconnected and the structure of  $N$  is already determined by [2, Theorem E]. We slightly improve this result in Corollary 1.2. In the second case, the graph is connected. This follows from [2, Theorem B] because, when the graph  $\Gamma_G(N)$  is disconnected, each connected component is a complete graph. Therefore, we deduce the following consequences for each of these cases.

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma_G(N)$  is disconnected and let  $x, y \in N$  such that  $(|x^G|, |y^G|) = 1$ . Set  $\pi = \pi(x^G) \cup \pi(y^G)$ . Then  $x, y \in \mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N)$ ,  $N = \mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(N) \times \mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N)$  with  $\mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(N) \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , and either  $\mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N)$  is a quasi-Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement, or  $\mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N) = P \times A$  with  $A \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , and  $P$  is a  $p$ -group for a prime  $p$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Suppose that  $\Gamma_G(N)$  is connected with  $d(\Gamma_G(N)) = 3$ . Let  $x, y \in N$  such that  $d(x^G, y^G) = 3$ . Set  $\pi = \pi(x^G) \cup \pi(y^G)$ . Then  $x, y \in \mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N)$ ,  $N = \mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(N) \times \mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N)$ , where either  $\mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N)$  is a quasi-Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement, or  $\mathbf{O}_{\pi}(N) = P \times A$  with  $A \leq \mathbf{Z}(N)$ , and  $P$  is a  $p$ -group for a prime  $p$ .*

## 2. Proofs

First, we state three elementary results which are needed to prove the main result.

**LEMMA 2.1** [1, Lemma 8]. *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -separable group. Then the conjugacy class size of every  $\pi$ -element of  $G$  is a  $\pi$ -number if and only if  $G = H \times K$ , where  $H$  and  $K$  are a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup and a  $\pi$ -complement of  $G$ , respectively.*

In the particular case in which  $\pi = p'$ , the complement of some prime  $p$ , Lemma 2.1 is true without assuming  $p$ -separability (or equivalently  $p$ -solvability). We recall that the class size of an element is also sometimes called the index of the element.

**LEMMA 2.2** [4, Lemma 1]. *If every  $p'$ -element of a group  $G$  has index prime to  $p$ , for some prime  $p$ , then the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  is a direct factor of  $G$ .*

**LEMMA 2.3** [2, Lemma 2.1]. *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ . Let  $B = b^G$  and  $C = c^G$  be two noncentral  $G$ -conjugacy classes of  $N$ . If  $(|B|, |C|) = 1$ , then:*

- (i)  $C_G(b)C_G(c) = G$ ;
- (ii)  $BC = CB$  is a noncentral  $G$ -class of  $N$  and  $|BC|$  divides  $|B||C|$ ;
- (iii) suppose that  $d(B, C) \geq 3$  and  $|B| < |C|$ . Then  $|BC| = |C|$  and  $CBB^{-1} = C$ . Furthermore,  $C\langle BB^{-1} \rangle = C$ ,  $\langle BB^{-1} \rangle \subseteq \langle CC^{-1} \rangle$  and  $|\langle BB^{-1} \rangle|$  divides  $|C|$ .

**PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.** We proceed by induction on  $|N|$ . Notice that the hypotheses are inherited by every normal subgroup in  $G$  which is contained in  $N$  and contains  $x$  and  $y$ . By using the primary decomposition, we can assume that both  $x$  and  $y$  have order a power of a prime, say  $p$  and  $q$ , respectively.

*Step 1.* We have  $q = p$  if and only if  $xy = yx$ .

Suppose that  $xy = yx$  and that  $p \neq q$ . Observe that  $C_G(xy) = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$  and, consequently, both  $|x^G|$  and  $|y^G|$  divide  $|(xy)^G|$ . Thus, we obtain a  $G$ -conjugacy class connected with  $x^G$  and  $y^G$ , which contradicts the hypotheses. Conversely, suppose that  $p = q$ . We know that  $p$  cannot divide either  $|x^G|$  or  $|y^G|$ . Furthermore, the hypotheses imply that  $(|x^G|, |y^G|) = 1$ . Therefore, we have  $G = C_G(x)C_G(y)$  and  $|x^G| = |G : C_G(x)| = |C_G(y) : C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)|$ . Now, since  $y$  is a  $p$ -element in  $Z(C_G(y))$ , we deduce that  $y \in C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$  and hence  $xy = yx$ .

*Step 2.* We have  $p \in \pi_y$  and  $q \in \pi_x$  and hence  $p, q \in \pi$ .

We define  $K = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$ . First, we assume that  $p \neq q$  and  $xy \neq yx$ . Then  $|G : K| = |G : C_G(x)||C_G(x) : C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)| = |x^G||y^G|$ , which is a  $\pi$ -number. Since  $x \in Z(C_G(x))$  and  $x$  is a  $p$ -element but  $x \notin K$ , we know that  $p$  divides  $|C_G(x) : K| = |y^G|$ . This means that  $p \in \pi_y$ . Similarly,  $q$  divides  $|x^G|$ , that is,  $q \in \pi_x$ . As a result,  $p, q \in \pi$ .

Suppose now that  $p = q$  and  $xy = yx$ . Let us see that  $p \in \pi$ . We write  $X = x^G$  and  $Y = y^G$  and we assume that  $|X| > |Y|$ . By hypothesis, the distance between  $X$  and  $Y$  in  $\Gamma_G(N)$  is bigger than or equal to 3. We can apply Lemma 2.3(iii) and we get  $X\langle YY^{-1} \rangle = X$ ,  $\langle YY^{-1} \rangle \subseteq \langle XX^{-1} \rangle$  and  $|\langle YY^{-1} \rangle|$  divides  $|X|$ . On the other hand, since

$G = C_G(x)C_G(y)$ , we have  $X \subseteq C_G(y)$ . As a result,  $\langle YY^{-1} \rangle \subseteq \langle XX^{-1} \rangle \subseteq C_G(y)$ . In particular, if we take  $z = y^g \neq y$ , for some  $g \in G$ , we have  $w = zy^{-1} \in \langle YY^{-1} \rangle \subseteq C_G(y)$ , so  $[z, y] = 1$ . Consequently,  $w$  is a nontrivial  $p$ -element and, since  $p$  divides  $|\langle YY^{-1} \rangle|$ , which divides  $|X|$ , we conclude that  $p \in \pi_x$ . If  $|Y| > |X|$ , we can argue similarly to get  $p \in \pi_y$ .

*Step 3.* We can assume that  $N/\mathbf{Z}(N)$  is neither a  $p$ -group nor a  $q$ -group. In particular, we can assume that  $N$  is not Abelian.

Suppose that  $N/\mathbf{Z}(N)$  is a  $p$ -group. The argument is analogous if we suppose that it is a  $q$ -group. Hence, we can write  $N = P \times A$ , where  $A \leq \mathbf{Z}(N)$  and  $A$  is a  $p'$ -group. If  $p \neq q$ , it follows that  $x \in P$  and  $y \in A$ , which leads to a contradiction with Step 1. Thus,  $p = q$  and  $x, y \in P$ , so the theorem is proved.

*Step 4.* We can suppose that  $N$  is not a  $\pi$ -group.

Let us see that if  $N$  is a  $\pi$ -group, then  $N$  is a quasi-Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement, or  $N = P \times A$  with  $A \leq \mathbf{Z}(N)$  and  $A$  a  $p'$ -group. Assume that  $N$  is a  $\pi$ -group. As  $N$  is non-Abelian by Step 3, there exists a conjugacy class  $z^N$  such that  $|z^N| \neq 1$ . Since  $|z^N|$  divides  $|z^G|$ , then either  $(|z^N|, |x^G|) = 1$  or  $(|z^N|, |y^G|) = 1$ . As  $N$  is a  $\pi$ -group, then  $|z^N|$  is either a  $\pi_x$ -number or a  $\pi_y$ -number. If  $\Gamma(N)$  is disconnected, we know by Theorem 2 of [3] that  $N$  is a quasi-Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement. Moreover,  $\Gamma(N)$  cannot be empty because by Step 3,  $N$  can be assumed to be non-Abelian. Consequently, we can assume that  $\Gamma(N)$  is connected and this forces either  $|x^N| = 1$  or  $|y^N| = 1$ . Suppose for instance that  $|x^N| = 1$ , that is,  $x \in \mathbf{Z}(N)$ . Again by Step 3, we can find an  $s$ -element  $w$  of  $N \setminus \mathbf{Z}(N)$  with  $s \neq p$ . Observe that  $|w^N|$  must be a  $\pi_y$ -number, so  $w^G$  is connected to  $y^G$  in  $\Gamma_G(N)$ . As  $x$  and  $w$  have coprime orders and  $x \in \mathbf{Z}(N)$ , we have that  $|w^G|$  and  $|x^G|$  both divide  $|(wx)^G|$ . As a consequence, we have a contradiction because  $|(wx)^G|$  has primes in  $\pi_x$  and  $\pi_y$ . Thus, we can suppose that  $N$  is not a  $\pi$ -group.

*Step 5.* Conclusion in case  $p \neq q$ .

Let  $z$  be a  $p'$ -element of  $K \cap N$  and let us prove that  $|z^G|$  is a  $p'$ -number. Suppose that  $s \in \pi$  is a prime divisor of  $|z^G|$ . We can assume for instance that  $s \in \pi_y$ , otherwise we proceed analogously. Since  $|z^G|$  divides  $|(zx)^G|$ , we deduce that  $s$  divides  $|(zx)^G|$ . Also, we know by Step 2 that  $q \in \pi_x$ . This forces  $|(zx)^G|$  to be divisible by primes in  $\pi_x$  and  $\pi_y$ , which is a contradiction. Consequently,  $s \notin \pi$  and  $|z^G|$  is a  $p'$ -number, as asserted.

Let  $M$  be the subgroup generated by all  $p'$ -elements of  $K \cap N$ . We prove that  $M$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . If  $M \neq 1$ , then  $K \cap N$  is a  $\pi$ -group and, since  $|N : K \cap N| = |KN : K|$  divides  $|G : K|$ , which is also a  $\pi$ -number,  $N$  is a  $\pi$ -group, contrary to Step 4. Let  $\alpha$  be a generator of  $M$ , so  $|\alpha^G|$  is  $p'$ -number. As  $(|G : K|, |\alpha^G|) = 1$ , we have  $G = KC_G(\alpha)$  and, hence,  $\alpha^G = \alpha^K \subseteq K \cap N$ . Therefore,  $\alpha^G \subseteq M$ .

Let  $D = \langle x^G, y^G \rangle$ . Notice that  $D \trianglelefteq G$  and  $D \subseteq N$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a generator of  $M$ . As we have proved in the previous paragraph,  $|\alpha^G|$  is a  $p'$ -number and then  $(|\alpha^G|, |x^G|) = 1$ , so

$G = C_G(x)C_G(\alpha)$ . Thus,  $x^G = x^{C_G(\alpha)} \subseteq C_G(\alpha)$  because  $\alpha \in K$ . The same happens for  $y$ , that is,  $y^G \subseteq C_G(\alpha)$ , so we conclude that  $[M, D] = 1$ .

We define  $L = MD$  and we distinguish two cases. Assume first that  $L < N$ . Note that  $x, y \in L \trianglelefteq G$  and  $L$  trivially satisfies the hypotheses of the theorem. By applying induction to  $L$ , we have  $L = O_\pi(L) \times O_{\pi'}(L)$ . Observe that the fact that  $M \neq 1$  implies that  $O_{\pi'}(L) > 1$ . Now, by the definition of  $M$ ,  $|K \cap N : M|$  is a  $\pi$ -number. As  $|N : K \cap N|$  is also a  $\pi$ -number, so is  $|N : O_{\pi'}(L)|$ . Then  $O_{\pi'}(L) = O_{\pi'}(N)$  is a Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroup of  $N$ . We can apply Lemma 2.1 to conclude that  $N = O_\pi(N) \times O_{\pi'}(N)$  with  $x, y \in O_\pi(N)$ . Since  $O_{\pi'}(N) > 1$ , we apply the inductive hypotheses to  $O_\pi(N) < N$  and we deduce that  $O_\pi(N)$  is a quasi-Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement, or  $O_\pi(N) = P \times A$  with  $A \leq Z(N)$ , and  $P$  is a  $p$ -group, so the proof is finished.

From now on, we assume that  $L = N$  and we show that  $Z(N) = 1$  and  $N = M \times D$  with  $x, y \in D$ . If  $Z(N) \neq 1$ , we take  $\bar{N} = N/Z(N)$  and  $\bar{G} = G/Z(N)$ . If  $|\bar{x}^{\bar{G}}| = 1$ , then  $[\bar{x}, \bar{y}] = 1$  and thus  $[x, y] \in Z(N)$ . Since  $(o(x), o(y)) = 1$ , it is easy to prove that  $[x, y] = 1$ , which is a contradiction. Analogously, we have  $|\bar{y}^{\bar{G}}| \neq 1$ . Consequently,  $\bar{N}$  satisfies the assumptions of the theorem. By induction, we have  $\bar{N} = O_{\pi'}(\bar{N}) \times O_\pi(\bar{N})$  with  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in O_\pi(\bar{N})$  and  $O_\pi(\bar{N})$  is either a quasi-Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement, or  $\bar{N} = \bar{P} \times \bar{A}$  with  $\bar{A} \leq Z(\bar{N})$ , and  $\bar{P}$  a  $p$ -group. In the latter case,  $[\bar{y}, \bar{x}] = 1$ , which leads to a contradiction as we have seen before. So, we are in the former case. It follows that  $N = O_{\pi'}(N) \times O_\pi(N)$  with  $x, y \in O_\pi(N)$  and, by applying induction to  $O_\pi(N) < N$ , we have the result. Therefore,  $Z(N) = 1$ . On the other hand, we have proved that  $[M, D] = 1$ . Hence,  $M \cap D \subseteq Z(N) = 1$  and  $N = M \times D$  with  $x, y \in D$ .

Since  $M \neq 1$ , we can apply induction to  $D$  and get  $D = O_{\pi'}(D) \times O_\pi(D)$  with  $x, y \in O_\pi(D)$  and  $O_\pi(D)$  is a Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement (notice that  $Z(O_\pi(D)) = 1$  because  $Z(N) = 1$ ). The  $p$ -group case cannot occur because  $x$  and  $y$  do not commute. Notice that if  $M$  is a  $\pi'$ -group, then the theorem is proved. We assume that  $M$  is not a  $\pi'$ -group and seek a contradiction. Let  $s \in \pi$  such that  $s$  divides  $|M|$ . We can assume that  $s \in \pi_x$  (we proceed analogously if  $s \in \pi_y$ ). Suppose that there exists an  $s'$ -element  $z \in M$  such that  $|z^M|$  is divisible by  $s$ . Since  $N$  is the direct product of  $M$  and  $D$ ,  $(zy)^N = z^N y^N$  is a nontrivial class of  $N$  whose size is divisible by  $s$  and by some prime of  $|y^N| \neq 1$ . This is not possible because  $|(zy)^G|$  would have primes in  $\pi_x$  and  $\pi_y$ . Thus, the class size of every  $s'$ -element of  $M$  is an  $s'$ -number. By Lemma 2.2, we have  $M = M_1 \times S$  with  $S \in \text{Syl}_s(M)$ . In this case,  $Z(S) \subseteq Z(N) = 1$ , which is a contradiction.

*Step 6. Conclusion in case  $p = q$ .*

Let  $K = C_G(x) \cap C_G(y)$  as in Step 2. Let  $z$  be a  $p'$ -element of  $K \cap N$  and let us prove that  $|z^G|$  is a  $\pi'$ -number. Suppose that  $s \in \pi$  is a prime divisor of  $|z^G|$ . We can assume that  $s \in \pi_y$ . Since  $|z^G|$  divides  $|(zx)^G|$ , we see that  $s$  divides  $|(zx)^G|$ . On the other hand, we know by the proof of Step 2 that  $q \in \pi_x$ . Therefore,  $|(zx)^G|$  is divisible by primes in  $\pi_x$  and  $\pi_y$ , which is a contradiction. As a consequence,  $s \notin \pi$  and  $|z^G|$  is a  $\pi'$ -number.

Let  $T$  be the subgroup generated by all  $p'$ -elements of  $K \cap N$ . We prove that  $T$  is a nontrivial normal subgroup of  $G$ . In fact,  $T \neq 1$  because otherwise  $K \cap N$  would be a  $\pi$ -group and this implies that  $N$  is a  $\pi$ -group by arguing as in Step 5, and this contradicts Step 4. If  $\alpha$  is a generator of  $T$ , we know that  $|\alpha^G|$  is a  $\pi'$ -number. Then  $(|G : K|, |\alpha^G|) = 1$ , so  $G = KC_G(\alpha)$  and  $\alpha^G = \alpha^K \subseteq K \cap N$ . This proves that  $\alpha^G \subseteq T$ .

As the class size of every  $p'$ -element of  $T$  is a  $p'$ -number, by using Lemma 2.2, we have  $T = \mathbf{O}_p(T) \times \mathbf{O}_{p'}(T)$ . However, by definition of  $T$ , we have  $\mathbf{O}_p(T) = 1$  or equivalently  $M = \mathbf{O}_{p'}(T)$ . Notice that if  $s \in \pi$  and  $s \neq p$ , then the class size of every element of  $T$  is an  $s'$ -number, so it is elementary that  $T$  has a central Sylow  $s$ -subgroup and we can write  $T = \mathbf{O}_\pi(T) \times \mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(T)$ . On the other hand,  $|N : T| = |N : K \cap N| |K \cap N : T|$ , where  $|N : K \cap N| = |KN : K|$  is a  $\pi$ -number and  $|K \cap N : T|$  is a power of  $p \in \pi$ . Therefore,  $\mathbf{O}_\pi(T) = \mathbf{O}_\pi(N)$  and  $\mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(N)$  is a Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroup of  $N$ . We have proved that the class size of every  $p'$ -element of  $N$  is a  $\pi'$ -number, so, by Lemma 2.1, we have  $N = \mathbf{O}_\pi(N) \times \mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(N)$ . We apply induction to  $\mathbf{O}_\pi(N) < N$  and the proof is finished.  $\square$

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.2.** The corollary follows immediately from Theorem 1.1. We only have to notice that if  $x, y \in \mathbf{O}_\pi(N)$  and  $z \in \mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(N) \setminus \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , then there is a path connecting  $x^G$  and  $y^G$  because  $(xz)^G$  is connected to  $x^G$  and  $(yz)^G$ , which is connected to  $y^G$ . This contradicts the hypotheses of the theorem. Thus,  $\mathbf{O}_{\pi'}(N) \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ . By the same argument, we obtain  $A \leq \mathbf{Z}(G)$  when  $\mathbf{O}_\pi(N) = P \times A$ .  $\square$

**PROOF OF COROLLARY 1.3.** The corollary follows trivially from Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

We give an example showing that the converse of Theorem 1.1 is not true.

**EXAMPLE 2.4.** We take the special linear group  $H = \text{SL}(2, 5)$ , which is a group of order 120 that acts Frobeniusly on  $K = \mathbb{Z}_{11} \times \mathbb{Z}_{11}$ . Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_5(H)$  and consider  $\mathbf{N}_H(P)$ . Define  $N := KP$ , which trivially is a normal subgroup of  $G := KN_H(P)$ . The set of the  $G$ -conjugacy class sizes of  $N$  is  $\{1, 20, 242\}$ . The graph  $\Gamma_G(N)$  consists of exactly two vertices joined by an edge. Obviously,  $N$  is a Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement and there do not exist two noncentral  $G$ -classes in  $N$  such that any noncentral  $G$ -class of  $N$  has size coprime with one of both of them.

Finally, we give two examples illustrating each case in Theorem 1.1.

**EXAMPLE 2.5.** We take the following groups from the library SmallGroups of GAP [7]. Let  $G_1 = \text{Id}(324, 8)$  and  $G_2 = \text{Id}(168, 44)$  (in fact,  $G_2$  is the semilinear affine group of order 168) whose normal subgroups are the Abelian 3-subgroup  $P = \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_3$  and  $A = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ , respectively. It is easy to check that  $P$  has four  $G_1$ -classes whose sizes are 1, 2, 3 and 3, and  $A$  has two  $G_2$ -classes of sizes 1 and 7. We construct  $N = P \times A$  and  $G = G_1 \times G_2$ . Then  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and the set of  $G$ -conjugacy class sizes of  $N$  is  $\{1, 2, 3, 7, 14, 21\}$ . Therefore,  $d(\Gamma_G(N)) = 3$  and  $N$  is the direct product of a 3-group and  $A \leq \mathbf{Z}(N)$ . Notice that, in this example,  $\mathbf{O}_\pi(N) = 1$  and  $\pi = \{2, 3, 7\}$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.6.** The quasi-Frobenius case in Theorem 1.1 is the natural extension of the ordinary case. It is enough to consider any group  $G$  and  $N = G$  such that  $\Gamma(G) = \Gamma_G(N)$  has two connected components. By the main theorem of [3], we know that  $G$  is a quasi-Frobenius group with Abelian kernel and complement.

### Acknowledgements

The results in this paper are part of the third author's PhD thesis at the University Jaume I of Castellón. We would like to thank Professor A. Mann for his English translation of [8].

### References

- [1] A. Beltrán and M. J. Felipe, 'Prime powers as conjugacy class lengths of  $\pi$ -elements', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **69** (2004), 317–325.
- [2] A. Beltrán, M. J. Felipe and C. Melchor, 'Graphs associated to conjugacy classes of normal subgroups in finite groups', *J. Algebra* **443** (2015), 335–348.
- [3] E. A. Bertram, M. Herzog and A. Mann, 'On a graph related to conjugacy classes of groups', *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **22**(6) (1990), 569–575.
- [4] A. R. Camina, 'Arithmetical conditions on the conjugacy class numbers of a finite group', *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **5** (1972), 127–132.
- [5] C. Casolo and S. Dolfi, 'The diameter of a conjugacy class graph of finite groups', *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **28** (1996), 141–148.
- [6] S. Dolfi, 'Arithmetical conditions of the length of the conjugacy classes in finite groups', *J. Algebra* **174**(3) (1995), 753–771.
- [7] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, Programming, ver. 4.4.12 (2008).  
<http://www.gap-system.org>.
- [8] L. S. Kazarin, 'On groups with isolated conjugacy classes', *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **1981**(7) (1981), 40–45; For an English translation, see *Soviet Mathematics* **25**(7) (1981), 43–49.

ANTONIO BELTRÁN, Departamento de Matemáticas,  
Universidad Jaume I, 12071 Castellón, Spain  
e-mail: [abeltran@mat.uji.es](mailto:abeltran@mat.uji.es)

MARÍA JOSÉ FELIPE, Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada,  
Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, 46022 Valencia, Spain  
e-mail: [mfelipe@mat.upv.es](mailto:mfelipe@mat.upv.es)

CARMEN MELCHOR, Departamento de Educación,  
Universidad Jaume I, 12071 Castellón, Spain  
e-mail: [cmelchor@uji.es](mailto:cmelchor@uji.es)