

## STIEFEL-WHITNEY CLASSES OF A SYMMETRIC BILINEAR FORM — A FORMULA OF SERRE

BY  
VICTOR SNAITH

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic different from two. Let  $L$  be a finite separable extension of  $K$ . If  $\bar{K}$  is the separable closure of  $K$ , we have a continuous homomorphism  $\pi: Ga(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \Sigma_n (n = [L:K])$ . We give a very short proof of Serre's formula which evaluates the Hasse-Witt invariant of a symmetric bilinear form, transferred from  $L$ , in terms of the topological Stiefel-Whitney classes of  $\pi$ .

1. Let  $K$  be a field of characteristic different from two and suppose that  $L/K$  is a finite separable extension. Write  $\bar{K}$  for the separable closure of  $K$  and  $G(M/K)$  for the Galois group of a finite normal, separable extension of  $K$ .  $G(\bar{K}/K)$  is the profinite group  $\varprojlim_M G(M/K)$ , always considered with the profinite topology ([11], 1.1).

$L/K$  is equivalent to the following data. Let  $N/K$  be the normal closure of  $L/K$  then we have, by the normal basis theorem, a map  $\lambda: G(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow \Sigma_n$ , the symmetric group  $n(=[L:K])$  letters. The image of  $\lambda$  acts transitively on  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and if  $H = \lambda^{-1}$  (stabiliser of 1) then  $L = N^H$ , the fixed field of  $H$ . Of course,  $[G(\bar{K}/K):H] = [G(N/K):\lambda(H)] = n$ .

1.1. Now let  $(V, \beta)$  be a non-singular symmetric, bilinear form over  $L$  of rank  $m$ . The Scharlau transfer,  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^S [L]$  of  $(V, \beta)$  is the non-singular symmetric, bilinear form obtained by considering  $V$  as an  $nm$ -dimensional  $K$ -vector space and forming the composition  $V \times V \xrightarrow{\beta} L \xrightarrow{\text{Trace}} K$ .

An important example is  $\langle L \rangle$ , the Trace Form of  $L/K$ , which is  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^S(1)$  where (1) is the form given by the product on  $L$ .

Since  $\text{char } K \neq 2$ , any symmetric, bilinear form over  $K$  may be diagonalised to look like

$$\langle \alpha_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \alpha_m \rangle \quad \text{where } \alpha_j \in K$$

and where  $\langle \alpha_j \rangle: K \times K \rightarrow K$  is given by  $\langle \alpha_j \rangle(x, y) = \alpha_j xy$ . Each  $\alpha_j$  defines  $(\alpha_j) \in H^1(G(\bar{K}/K); Z/2) = \varprojlim_{M/K} \text{Hom}(G(M/K), Z/2)$  which sends  $g \in G(\bar{K}/K)$  to  $(\sqrt{\alpha_j})^{-1} g(\sqrt{\alpha_j}) \in \{\pm 1\}$ .

---

Received by the editors June 26, 1984.

AMS Subject Classification (1980): 10C05, 12G05, 55R40.

Research partially supported by an NSERC grant.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1984.

1.2. DEFINITION. The  $i$ -th Stiefel-Whitney class of  $(V, \beta)$  ([3], [6]), is defined to be

$$w_i((V, \beta)) = \sigma_i((\alpha_1), (\alpha_2), \dots, (\alpha_m)) \in H^i(G(\bar{K}/K); Z/2)$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the  $i$ -th elementary symmetric function.

1.3. REMARK. When  $K$  is a number field, the Witt class of  $(V, \beta) \in W(K)$  is entirely determined by rank  $(V, \beta)$ ,  $w_1(V, \beta)$ ,  $w_2(V, \beta)$  and signatures (see [6] for example).

1.4. By diagonalising a symmetric, bilinear form over  $L$ , we can consider it as giving rise to a representation  $[V, \beta]: G(\bar{K}/L) \rightarrow (Z/2)^m = \{\pm 1\}^m$  defined over any field — for example,  $\mathbb{R}$ , the real numbers. For if  $V \cong \langle \alpha_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \alpha_m \rangle$  then  $\langle \alpha_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \alpha_m \rangle$  is such a representation. Since  $[G(\bar{K}/K): G(\bar{K}/L)] = n$  we may form the induced representation

$$(1.5) \quad \text{Tr}_{L/K}^V([V, \beta]) = \mathbb{R}[G(\bar{K}/K)] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}[G(\bar{K}/L)]} [V, \beta].$$

Of course, a real representation of  $G(\bar{K}/K)$  is entitled to Stiefel-Whitney classes in the topological sense ([5], [8]).

The following attractive formulae are originally due to J-P. Serre [13]. I learnt of it from conversations with Pierre Conner. My proof, which is extremely short, is a product of a more general framework which I developed in order simultaneously to tackle (i) these formulae in higher dimensions and (ii) to obtain similar formulae for Milnor's  $K$ -theory characteristic classes. It seemed a good idea to isolate this result — as my other material is monolithic and incomplete.

1.6. THEOREM. Let  $L/K$  be a finite separable field extension of characteristic not equal to two. Let  $(V, \beta)$  be a non-singular, symmetric, bilinear form over  $L$ . Then

- (i)  $w_1(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^S(V, \beta)) = w_1(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta]) \in H^1(G(\bar{K}/K); Z/2)$ .
- (ii)  $w_2(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^S(V, \beta)) = w_2(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta]) + \text{rank}(V, \beta) \{(2)w_1(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V\langle 1 \rangle)\}$   
 $\in H^2(G(\bar{K}/K); Z/2)$ .
- (iii)  $w_3(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^S(V, \beta)) = w_3(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta]) + \text{rank}(V, \beta) \{(2)w_1(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V\langle 1 \rangle)$   
 $\times [w_1(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V\langle 1 \rangle) + w_1(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta])]\}$

in  $H^3(G(\bar{K}/K); Z/2)$ .

1.7. In addition to the references given above, other references concerning symmetric, bilinear forms are [2], [7] and [9].

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.6.

2.1. Recall, by Galois descent theory, that non-singular, symmetric, bilinear forms of rank  $m$  are classified by  $H^1(G(\bar{K}/K); O_m(\bar{K}))$  ([12], pp. 152–153). This in turn coincides with continuous homomorphisms  $f: G(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow G(\bar{K}/K) \times O_m(\bar{K})$  of the form  $f(g) = (g, \phi(g))$ , up to composition with an inner automorphism given by an element of  $O_m(\bar{K})$ . If  $(V, \beta)$  is a bilinear form, we choose a basis so that  $(V, \beta)$  is

given by a symmetric  $m \times m$  matrix  $B \in GL_m K$ , then choose  $A \in GL_m \bar{K}$  so that  $B = AA^T$  and set  $\phi(g) = A^{-1}g(A)$ . Notice that if  $(V, \beta) = \langle \alpha_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle \alpha_m \rangle$ , then  $\phi$  is the diagonal homomorphism,  $\text{diag}((\alpha_1), \dots, (\alpha_m))$ . For us,  $O_m(M) = \{X \in GL_m M \mid XX^T = I_m\}$ .

2.2. LEMMA. *Under the conditions of 1.6, suppose  $(V, \beta)$  is represented by a diagonal homomorphism,  $\phi_{(V, \beta)}$ , as in 2.1, then  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^S(V, \beta)$  is represented by  $f(s) = (g, \text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta](g))$ , in the notation of (1.5).*

PROOF. This is very straightforward — probably well-known to experts in quadratic forms — so I will give merely the key details.

In general if, as in 2.1,  $(V, \beta)$  is represented by  $B = AA^T$ , let  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  be a basis for  $L/K$ . Form an  $nm \times nm$  matrix  $\tilde{A}$  consisting of  $n^2 m \times m$  blocks. The  $(i, j)$ -th  $m \times m$  block in  $\tilde{A}$  is  $\hat{g}_j(v_i A)$  where  $\hat{g}_1, \dots, \hat{g}_n$  are coset representatives for  $G(\bar{K}/K)/G(\bar{K}/L)$ . If  $C$  has  $(i, j)$ -th  $m \times m$  block  $\hat{g}_i(v_j A^{-1})$  and  $\langle L \rangle$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix of the trace form of  $L/K$ , then  $C(\langle L \rangle^{-1} \otimes I_m) = \tilde{A}^{-1}$ . One easily computes the representing cocycle for  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^S(V, \beta)$  as this has matrix  $\tilde{A}\tilde{A}^T$ , using the consequences of the equation  $C(\langle L \rangle^{-1} \otimes I_m)\tilde{A} = I_{nm}$  to smooth the apparently complicated algebra.

2.3. Let  $\theta: O_m(M) \rightarrow M^*/M^{**}$  denote the Spinor norm ([9], p. 137), where  $M^{**}$  denotes the non-zero squares in  $M$ . We will need to recall that  $\theta$  is a homomorphism and on a permutation matrix,  $\sigma$ ,  $\theta(\sigma)$  is trivial if  $\sigma$  is even while  $\theta(\sigma) = 2$  if  $\sigma$  is odd. If  $\sigma \in \Sigma_m \int Z/2$ , the wreath product of  $\Sigma_m$  with the diagonal group  $\{\mp 1\}^m$ , then  $\theta(\sigma)$  equals the Spinor norm of the image of  $\sigma$  in  $\Sigma_m$ . Finally, (c.f. [1], [9] and [4], p. 99), there are central extensions on which  $G(\bar{K}/K)$  acts through  $G(M/K)$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad Z/2 \rightarrow \text{Pin}_m(M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{NO}_m(M),$$

where  $\text{NO}_m M = \{X \in O_m(M) \mid \theta(X) \equiv 1 \text{ mod } M^{**}\}$ .  $G(M/K)$  acts trivial on  $\ker(\pi)$ .

2.5. Choose a section  $f: O(\bar{K}) \rightarrow \text{Pin}(\bar{K})$  (so that  $\pi f(X) = X$ ) such that if  $X \in O_m(\bar{K})$ , then  $f(X) \in \text{Pin}_m(K(\sqrt{\theta(X)}))$ . Define a 2-cochain

$$\hat{w}_2 \in \text{Map}((G(\bar{K}/K) \times O(\bar{K}))^2, Z/2)$$

by

$$(2.6) \quad \hat{w}_2((x, X), (y, Y)) = f(X)x(f(Y)) [f(Xx(Y))]^{-1} \in \ker \pi \cong Z/2.$$

Here  $X, Y \in O(\bar{K})$ ,  $x, y \in G(\bar{K}/K)$ . Note that if  $X, Y \in O_m(M)$ , the expression (2.6) depends only on the images of  $x, y$  in  $G(M(\sqrt{\theta(X)}, \sqrt{\theta(Y)})/K)$ .

It is straightforward to verify that  $\hat{w}_2$  is a 2-cocycle. In addition, changing the section,  $f$ , changes  $\hat{w}_2$  only by the boundary of a 1-cochain of the form  $g: G(\bar{K}/K) \times O(\bar{K}) \rightarrow Z/2$  for which  $g(x, X)$  depends only on  $X$ .

2.7. COMPLETION OF THE PROOF OF 1.6. Firstly  $w_1: (x, X) \rightarrow \det X \in Z/2$  is a 1-cocycle in  $\text{Map}(G(\bar{K}/K) \times O(\bar{K}), Z/2)$  which can be used to define  $w_1$ . For if  $(V, \beta)$  is classified by  $(1, \phi): G(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow G(\bar{K}/K) \times O_m(\bar{K})$ , then  $w_1(1, \phi)$  clearly represents  $w_1(V, \beta)$  in  $H^1(G(\bar{K}/K); Z/2)$ . From 2.2, 1.6(i) follows at once.

In addition, 1.6(iii) follows by applying  $Sq^1$  to the formula for  $w_2(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^S(V, \beta))$ . Here we use  $Sq^1(w_2) = w_3 + w_1w_2$  for both types of Stiefel-Whitney classes and that  $(2)^2 = 0$  since 2 is a norm from  $K(\sqrt{2})$ .

Next I claim that assigning  $(V, \beta)$  to  $\hat{w}_2(1, \phi)$  defines  $w_2(V, \beta) \in H^2(G(\bar{K}/K); Z/2)$ . Observe that, by 2.5,  $\hat{w}_2(1, \phi)$  is indeed a continuous cocycle. To verify the claim, we may assume  $\phi(g) \in \{\pm 1\}^m \subset O_m(\bar{K})$ , then as  $G(\bar{K}/K)$  acts trivially on  $\phi(g)$ , (2.6) shows us that

$$\hat{w}_2(1, \phi)(g_1, g_2) = f(\phi(g_1))f(\phi(g_2))[f(\phi(g_1g_2))]^{-1}.$$

This is  $\phi^*$  of the class in  $H^2(\{\pm 1\}^m; Z/2)$  which classifies the restriction of (2.4) to  $\{\pm 1\}^m$ . However, in ([10], 4), the 2-cocycle of this extra-special 2-extension is explicitly computed, from which we see that if  $\phi(g) = \text{diag}(\alpha_1(g), \dots, \alpha_m(g))$ , then  $\hat{w}_2(1, \phi)$  represents  $\sum_{i < j} (\alpha_i)(\alpha_j)$ .

To complete the proof, it remains, by 2.2, only to evaluate  $\hat{w}_2(1, \text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta])$ . To do this, we observe that  $\hat{w}_2$  defines a class,  $\tilde{w}_2$ , in

$$H^2(G(M/K) \times O(K); Z/2) \equiv \bigoplus_{a=0}^2 H^a(G(M/K); Z/2) \otimes H^{2-a}(O(K); Z/2),$$

for any finite Galois extension  $M/K$  and we wish to evaluate  $\Delta^*(1 \otimes \text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta])\tilde{w}_2$  where  $\Delta^*$  is the cup product.

Consider the  $H^2(O(K); Z/2)$  component of  $\tilde{w}_2$ . If  $K$  were  $\mathbb{R}$ , the real numbers, then from (2.6) we see that  $\tilde{w}_2$  has  $H^2(O(K); Z/2)$ -component equal to  $1 \otimes w_2^{\text{top}}$  where  $w_2^{\text{top}}$  is the topological 2nd Stiefel-Whitney class (here we appeal again to the fact that (2.6) restricts on  $(1) \times \{\pm 1\}^m$  to the 2-cocycle of ([10], 4)). However,  $K$  is not, in general, equal to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Nevertheless, the homomorphism  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta]$  lands in the monoidal subgroup  $S = \sum_{nm} \int (\pm 1)$  in  $O_{nm}(K)$  and the pullback of the  $H^2(O(K); Z/2)$ -component of  $\tilde{w}_2$  to  $S$  is equal to the restriction of  $w_2^{\text{top}}$  to  $S$ . Hence this component contributes  $w_2(\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta])$ .

Next observe that (2.6) implies that the  $H^2(G(M/K), Z/2)$ -component of  $\tilde{w}_2$  is trivial. Finally, we come to the  $H^1(G(M/K); Z/2) \otimes H^1(O(K); Z/2)$  component — the  $(1, 1)$ -component — of  $\tilde{w}_2$ . Suppose in (2.6) that  $X = I, y = 1$  and  $Y \in \sum_t \int \{\pm 1\}$  is a monoidal matrix (like  $\text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta](g)$ ). We may write  $Y$  as a product of transpositions of the canonical basis of  $K^t$  — a reflection in the plane perpendicular to a unit vector of the form  $1/\sqrt{2}(e_i - e_j)$  and of reflections in planes perpendicular to some  $e_j$ . If  $T_x$  denotes the reflection in the plane perpendicular to a unit vector  $x$ , then  $Y = T_{x_1}T_{x_2} \dots T_{x_n}$  lifts to  $f(Y) = x_1 \circ x_2 \circ \dots \circ x_n \in \text{Pin}_t(K(\sqrt{2}))$  (see [1], or [4], p. 73) where  $(- \circ -)$  is Clifford multiplication. Hence, by (2.6),  $\tilde{w}_2((x, I), (1, Y)) = (x(\sqrt{2})/\sqrt{2})^\epsilon$  where  $\epsilon$  is the determinant of the image of  $Y \in \sum_t \int \{\pm 1\}$  in  $\sum_t$ . In the case of  $Y = \text{Tr}_{L/K}^V[V, \beta](g)$ ,  $\epsilon$  is given by  $\epsilon = (\det \text{Tr}_{L/K}^V \langle 1 \rangle (g))^{\text{rank}(V, \beta)}$ , which completes the proof.

2.8. REMARK. A recent result of Merkurjev-Suslin states that, for a  $K$  of characteristic not equal to two, the norm residue symbol [6],

$$K_2(K) \otimes Z/2 \rightarrow H^2(G(\bar{K}/K); Z/2),$$

is an isomorphism. Consequently, the 2-dimensional formula of 1.6 holds also for the  $K$ -theory Stiefel-Whitney classes which were introduced in [6].

## REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott and A. Shapiro, *Clifford modules*, *Topology*, **3** (1964), pp. 3–38.
2. P. Conner and R. Perlis, *The Witt class of a trace form*, L.S.U. preprints (1983).
3. M. A. Delzant, *Définition des classes de Stiefel-Whitney d'un module quadratique sur un corps de caractéristique différente de 2*, *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris*, **255** (1962), pp. 1366–1368.
4. Z. Fiedorowicz and S. B. Priddy, *Homology of classical groups over finite fields and their associated infinite loop spaces*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, No. 674 (1978), Springer-Verlag.
5. D. Husemoller, *Fibre Bundles*, Mc-Graw Hill (1968).
6. J. W. Milnor, *Algebraic K-theory and quadratic forms*, *Inventiones Math.*, **9** (1970), pp. 318–344.
7. J. W. Milnor and D. Husemoller, *Symmetric bilinear forms*, *Ergeb. Math.*, No. 73 (1973), Springer-Verlag.
8. J. W. Milnor and J. D. Stasheff, *Characteristic classes*, *Annals of Math. Studies*, No. 76.
9. O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to quadratic forms*, *Grund Math. Wiss.*, No. 117 (1973), Springer-Verlag.
10. D. G. Quillen, *The mod 2 cohomology ring of extra-special 2-groups, the Spinor groups*, *Math. Ann.*, **94** (1971), pp. 197–212.
11. J-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, No. 5 (1973), Springer-Verlag.
12. J-P. Serre, *Local fields*, *Grad. Texts in Math.*, No. 67, Springer-Verlag.
13. J-P. Serre, *L'invariant de Witt de la forme  $\text{Tr}(x)^2$* , to appear in *Comm. Math. Helveticii*.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 THE UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO  
 LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA N6A 5B7