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Introduction. The space $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ of Schwartz distributions on the unit circle $\Gamma$ in the plane is topologically a considerable generalization of the space $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$ of regular, finite Borel measures on $\Gamma$. However, the order structure of $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ is usually taken to be the same as that of $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$ : there are no " positive" distributions which are not measures. This perhaps warrants consideration, since the order structure of $\mathscr{P}_{0}^{\prime}$ generates its topology. In this paper we construct a system of order structures for $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ which is a more natural complement in the intermediate stages to the topology of $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ and which provides an interpretation of $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ with its Schwartz topology as a quotient of a generalized base norm space $V^{\prime}$. Where $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ denotes the space of continuous functions on $\Gamma$ with its supremum norm topology, $V^{\prime}$ is the dual of $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{0}$. The space $\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}$ contains the infinitely differentiable functions on $\Gamma$ with their usual topology, and (via the pointwise ordering on $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ ) $\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}$ in its product ordering is realized as a generalized order unit space. Some consequences for harmonic functions are discussed.

We cite [5] and [9] for general information on partial orderings for topological vector spaces and [10] for general information on Schwartz distributions.

1. Partial Order Structures on $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$. We recall that the Banach space $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ of real continuous functions on $\Gamma$ with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{0}=\sup \{|f(x)|: x \in \Gamma\}
$$

for $f$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and positive cone $\mathscr{D}_{0+}$ of pointwise non-negative members of $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ is an order unit space (and an $M$-space). Where $\mathscr{D}_{0+}^{\prime}$ denotes the set of linear functionals $\phi$ on $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ satisfying $\phi(f) \geqq 0$ for all $f$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0+}$ and ( $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime},\|\cdot\|_{0}^{\prime}$ ) is the Banach dual of ( $\mathscr{D}_{0},\|\cdot\|_{0}$ ), the system ( $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathscr{D}_{0+}^{\prime},\| \|_{0}^{\prime}$ ) is a base norm space (and an $L$-space). The order unit 1 in $\mathscr{D}_{0+}$ can be taken as the generator of $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ (i.e., $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ is the Minkowski functional on the order interval

$$
\left.[-1,1]_{0}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{D}_{0}:-1 \leqq f \leqq 1\right\}\right)
$$

The Riesz Representation Theorem states that ( $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime},\| \|_{0}^{\prime}$ ) can be identified with the space of regular, finite Borel measures on $\Gamma$ with total variation norm and that $\mathscr{D}_{0_{+}}^{\prime}$ can be identified with the non-negative measures in $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$. The base of probability measures on $\Gamma$ for $\mathscr{D}_{0+}^{\prime}$ corresponds to the order unit 1 .

Let $\mathscr{D}_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ be the space of $n$-times continuously differentiable functions on $\Gamma$ with the norm

$$
\|\mid f\|_{n}=\|f\|_{0}+\left\|f^{(1)}\right\|_{0}+\ldots+\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{0}
$$

[^0]where $f^{(j)}$ is the $j$ th derivative of the function $f$ in $\mathscr{D}_{n}$. Then $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of Banach spaces with successively increasing norms. The corresponding dual spaces form an increasing sequence $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with successively decreasing norms, which we will denote by $\left|\left||\cdot| \|_{n}^{\prime}\right.\right.$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$ Let $\mathscr{D}$ denote $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{n}$ with the projective limit (Schwartz) topology. The space $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ of Schwartz distributions on $\Gamma$ is the topological dual of $\mathscr{D}$. Moreover, $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ and the Schwartz topology on $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ is the inductive limit topology. One defines "derivatives" of members $\phi$ of $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ by stipulating that
$$
\phi^{(n)}(f)=(-1)^{n} \phi\left(f^{(n)}\right)
$$
for all $f$ in $\mathscr{D}$, this being essentially an extension of the formula for integration by parts.
We induce the order structures of $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$ onto $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$, respectively, in a way which is compatible both to their usual topologies and with the natural embeddings $\mathscr{D}_{n} \subseteq \mathscr{D}_{n-1}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime} \supseteq \mathscr{D}_{n-1}^{\prime}$. All order structures to be considered evolve from the map $M$ of the following proposition. Here, $f$ denotes the mean value
$$
(1 / 2 \pi) \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f(t) d t
$$
and $f^{(n)}$ denotes the $n$th derivative of $f$. The choice of $M$ is motivated by the desire to convert differentiation in $\mathscr{D}$ into an isomorphism whose dual produces the derivatives in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ and whose inverse preserves the order structure of $\mathscr{D}_{0}$.

Proposition 1. Let $M: \mathscr{D}_{1} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{0}$ be defined by $M(f)=\bar{f}-\pi f^{(1)}$. Then $M(1)=1$ and for each $n=1,2, \ldots$
(1) $M \mid \mathscr{D}_{n}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ onto $\mathscr{D}_{n-1}$;
(2) $M$ establishes an isomorphism $M^{n}$ of $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ onto $\mathscr{D}_{0}$, with $M^{n}(f)=\bar{f}+(-\pi)^{n} f^{(n)}$.

Proof. Trivially, $M(1)=1$. For (1), $M$ and hence $M \mid \mathscr{D}_{n}$ for each $n$ is clearly linear. If $f-\pi f^{(1)}=0$, then $f^{(1)}$ is the constant function $f / \pi$. Since, by Rolle's Theorem, $f^{(1)}\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ for some $x_{1}$ in $\Gamma$, then $f^{(1)}(x)=f=0$ for all $x$ in $\Gamma$ and $f$ is a constant. This constant, being its own mean value, must be zero. Then $M$, and hence $M \mid \mathscr{D}_{n}$ for each $n$, is one-to-one. To see that $M$ is onto, let $g$ be in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and let

$$
k_{g}=\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi} d x \int_{0}^{x} g(t) d t\right) / 2 \pi^{2}
$$

Define

$$
f(x)=\left[\left(x \bar{g}-\int_{0}^{x} g(t) d t\right) / \pi\right]+k_{g}
$$

Then $f$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{1}$ and $\bar{f}=\bar{g}$, so that $M(f)=\bar{f}-\pi f^{(1)}=g$. For $g$ in $\mathscr{D}_{n-1}$ this construction produces a function $f$ in $\mathscr{D}_{n}$; hence, $M \mid \mathscr{D}_{n}$ is onto $\mathscr{D}_{n-1}$ for each $n$. For (2), define
$M^{n}: \mathscr{D}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{0}$ to be the composite

$$
\left(M \mid \mathscr{D}_{1}\right) \circ\left(M \mid \mathscr{D}_{2}\right) \circ \ldots \circ\left(M \mid \mathscr{D}_{n}\right)
$$

Since each factor is an isomorphism, so is $M^{n}$. The formula for $M^{n}$ follows by induction.
It will be convenient also to denote the restriction $M^{n} \mid \mathscr{D}_{n+j}$ for all integers $j \geqq 0$ by $M^{n}$; with the conventions that $M^{0}$ is the identity operator on $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and that $M^{-n}$ is the inverse of $M^{n}$, we will use the laws of integral exponents freely on $M$. Of course, $M^{n}(1)=1$ for all $n$. Since $M^{-n}$ is an isomorphism ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ), the set $M^{-n} \mathscr{D}_{0+}$ is a positive cone for $\mathscr{D}_{n}$. We will denote this positive cone by $\mathscr{D}_{n^{+}}$; thus $f$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{n^{+}}$if and only if

$$
\bar{f}+(-\pi)^{n} f^{(n)}=M^{n} f \geqq 0
$$

We also define a norm for $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ by

$$
\|f\|_{n}=\left\|M^{n} f\right\|_{0}=\sup \left\{\left|\bar{f}+(-\pi)^{n} f^{(n)}(x)\right|: x \in \Gamma\right\} .
$$

Thus $\left(\mathscr{D}_{n}, \mathscr{D}_{n+},\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)$ is an $M$-space with order unit 1 isometric and order-isomorphic to $\left(\mathscr{D}_{0}, \mathscr{D}_{0+},\|\cdot\|_{0}\right)$.

Proposition 2. The positive cones $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{n+}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ form a decreasing sequence. The norms $\left\{\|\cdot\|_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ successively increase.

Proof. Let $f$ be in $\mathscr{D}_{1+}$ and suppose $f\left(x_{0}\right) \leqq 0$ for some $x_{0}$ in $\Gamma$. By translating $f$ we can assume $x_{0}=0$. Since $M f \geqq 0$, the function

$$
h(x)=\int_{0}^{x}(M f)(t) d t=x \vec{f}-\pi[f(x)-f(0)]
$$

is non-negative and non-decreasing, with $\bar{h}=\pi f(0)$. Since $f(0) \leqq 0$ then $h$ must vanishi.e., $x \bar{f}-\pi[f(x)-f(0)]=0$; since $f$ is periodic it must also vanish. Thus $\mathscr{D}_{1+} \subseteq \mathscr{D}_{0+}$. If $f$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{n+}$ for $n>1$ then $M^{n-1} f$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{1+}$ and hence in $\mathscr{D}_{0+}$ so that $f$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{(n-1)+}$. Thus $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{n^{+}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is decreasing. It now follows that the order intervals

$$
[-1,1]_{n}=\left\{f \in \mathscr{D}_{n}:-1 \leqq M^{n} f \leqq 1\right\}
$$

form a decreasing sequence

$$
[-1,1]_{0} \supseteq[-1,1]_{1} \supseteq \ldots \supseteq[-1,1]_{n} \supseteq \ldots
$$

Since $[-1,1]_{n}$ is also the unit ball in $\left(\mathscr{D}_{n},\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ the norms increase with $n$.
Proposition 3. The norms $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ and $\mid\|\cdot\| \|_{n}$ are equivalent.
Proof. (Suprema will be taken over all $x$ in Г.) Clearly

$$
\|f\|_{0} \leqq \mid\|f\|_{n} \text { and } \quad\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{0} \leqq\| \| f \|_{n} .
$$

Since $\vec{f}=f\left(x_{0}\right)$ for some $x_{0}$ in $\Gamma$,

$$
|\vec{J}| \leqq\|f\|_{0} \leqq\left||f| \|_{n}\right.
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{n} & =\sup \left|\bar{f}+(-\pi)^{n} f^{(n)}(x)\right| \\
& \leqq|\bar{f}|+\pi^{n} \sup \left|f^{(n)}(x)\right| \\
& \leqq\left(1+\pi^{n}\right)\left|\|f \mid\|_{n} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{n} & =\sup \left|\bar{f}+(-\pi)^{n \prime} f^{(n)}(x)\right| \\
& \geqq \sup \left(\pi^{n}\left|f^{(n)}(x)\right|-|\bar{f}|\right) \\
& =\pi^{n}\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{0}-|\bar{f}|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\pi^{n}\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{0} \leqq\|f\|_{n}+|f| \leqq 2\|f\|_{n}
$$

so that $\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{0} \leqq 2 \pi^{-n}\|f\|_{n}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\|f \mid\|_{n}=\right. & \|f\|_{0}+\left\|f^{(1)}\right\|_{0}+\ldots+\left\|f^{(n)}\right\|_{0} \\
& \leqq\|f\|_{0}+2 \pi^{-1}\|f\|_{1}+\ldots+2 \pi^{-n}\|f\|_{n} \\
& \leqq\left(1+2 \pi^{-1}+\ldots+2 \pi^{-n}\right)\|f\|_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

this last step by Proposition 2.
We now wish to dualize and summarize the results of this section. For a linear functional $\phi$ on $\mathscr{D}_{n}$, let

$$
\|\phi\|_{n}^{\prime}=\sup \left\{|\phi(f)|: f \in \mathscr{D}_{n},\|f\| \leqq 1\right\} .
$$

Let us presume the Schwartz notation $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ for the set of those $\phi$ for which $\|\phi\|_{n}^{\prime}<+\infty$ and denote by $\mathscr{D}_{n+}^{\prime}$ the set of those $\phi$ for which $\phi(f) \geqq 0$ whenever $f$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{n+}$. Let $L^{n}: \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ denote the dual of $M^{n}: \mathscr{D}_{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{D}_{0}$, i.e. $L^{n}(\sigma)=\sigma \circ M^{n}$ for all $\sigma$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$. For the sake of emphasis, Proposition 3 is incorporated into the next theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $n=1,2, \ldots$
(1) $\left(\mathscr{D}_{n}, \mathscr{D}_{n+},\|\cdot\|_{n}\right)$ is an $M$-space with order unit 1 , isometric and order-isomorphic to ( $\mathscr{D}_{0}, \mathscr{D}_{0+},\|\cdot\|_{0}$ ). The norm $\|\cdot\|_{n}$ is equivalent to the frequently employed norm $\|\|\cdot\|\|_{n}$ for $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ and increases with $n$.
(2) $\left(\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}, \mathscr{D}_{n+}^{\prime},\left\|^{\cdot}\right\|_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is an L-space isometric and order-isomorphic to $\left(\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathscr{D}_{0+}^{\prime},\left\|^{\cdot}\right\|_{0}^{\prime}\right.$. $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ is the space of $n$th order Schwartz distributions on $\Gamma$. The norm $\|\cdot\|_{n}^{\prime}$ is equivalent to $\left\|\left\|^{\cdot}\right\|\right\|_{n}^{\prime}$ and decreases as $n$ increases.

Proof. Part (1) contains nothing new. For part (2) we remark that it follows from part (1) that the order-theoretic and Banach duals of ( $\mathscr{D}_{n}, \mathscr{D}_{n+},\|\cdot\|_{n}$ ) coincide and that ( $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}, \mathscr{D}_{n+}^{\prime},\left\|^{\cdot}\right\|_{n}^{\prime}$ ) is an $L$-space. That $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ is indeed the space of $n$th order distributions on $\Gamma$ follows from Proposition 3, which also implies that $\|\cdot\|_{n}^{\prime}$ and $\left\|\left\|^{\bullet}\right\|\right\|_{n}^{\prime}$ are equivalent. That $\left\{\mathscr{D}_{n+}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ increases is dual to Proposition 2, as is the fact that the norms $\left\{\left\|^{\cdot}\right\|_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ decrease.
(Here we use the convention that if $\phi$ is not in $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$, then $\|\phi\|_{n}=+\infty$.) The mapping $L^{n}$, being the dual of an isometry and order-isomorphism, is an isometry and order-isomorphism, with $L^{n} \mathscr{D}_{0_{+}}^{\prime}=\mathscr{D}_{n+}^{\prime}$ and $\left\|L^{n} \sigma\right\|_{n}^{\prime}=\|\sigma\|_{0}^{\prime}$.

Utilizing the formula $\phi^{(n)}(f)=(-1)^{n} \phi\left(f^{(n)}\right)$ mentioned at the beginning of the paper, we can obtain an explicit description for $L^{n}$. Here, and throughout the paper, $m$ will be the Lebesgue measure normalized to have $m \Gamma=1$. For $f$ in $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ and $\sigma$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(L^{n} \sigma\right)(f)=\sigma\left(M^{n} f\right)=\sigma\left(f+(-\pi)^{n} f^{(n)}\right) \\
&=\int_{\Gamma} f d \sigma+\pi^{n} \sigma^{(n)}(f)=\sigma \Gamma m(f)+\pi^{n} \sigma^{(n)}(f) \\
&=\left(\pi^{n} \sigma^{(n)}+\sigma \Gamma m\right)(f)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Proposition 1; thus

$$
L^{n}(\sigma)=\pi^{n} \sigma^{(n)}+\sigma \Gamma m .
$$

We also note that $L^{n} m=m$ and if $L^{n} \sigma=\sigma$ for $\sigma$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$, then $\sigma$ is a multiple of $m$.
One can modify the proof of Proposition 1 to show that $f \mapsto \bar{f}-a \pi f^{(1)}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathscr{D}_{1}$ onto $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ for all real $a \neq 0$ and leads to structures similar to ours. However,

$$
\left\{f \in \mathscr{D}_{1}: J-a \pi f^{(1)} \geqq 0\right\}
$$

is contained in $\mathscr{D}_{0^{+}}$if and only if $|a| \geqq 1$. In this sense $\mathscr{D}_{1+}$ is maximal in $\mathscr{D}_{0+}$.
2. Partially Ordered Structures on $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$. In order to apply the results of $\S 1$ to the locally convex spaces $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$, we utilize generalizations of order unit and base norm spaces (see [2], [3] and [4]). A positive element $u$ in a real vector lattice $V$ is called a semiorder-unit (sou) if for each $v$ in $V$ there is a $\lambda>0$ such that $v \wedge n u \leqq \lambda u$ for all positive integers $n$. An Archimedean vector lattice $V$ is called a semiorder-unit space (sou space) if it is endowed with the topology generated by all seminorms

$$
p_{u}(x)=\inf \{\lambda>0:|x| \wedge n u \leqq \lambda u(n=1,2, \ldots)\}
$$

for $u$ a sou in $V$, called the sou topology for $V$.
Let $V$ be a real Archimedean vector lattice and $V^{0}$ its order dual. A convex set $S$ of positive elements in $V$ is called a semibase if it is $\sigma\left(V, V^{0}\right)$-bounded (weakly), the ideal $I(S)$ generated by $S$ is a projective band (see [8]) and $S$ is a base for the positive cone of $I(S)$. The space $V$ is called a semibase space if it is the union of the ideals generated by its semibases and if its semibases are directed in the following sense: For each pair $S^{\prime}$ and $S^{\prime \prime}$ of semibases there is a semibase $S$ such that $I(S)$ contains $I\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ and $I\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$. The topology generated by all seminorms

$$
p_{S}(x)=\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \rho_{s}(x) \in \lambda I(S)\right\}
$$

where $S$ is a semibase and $\rho_{S}$ is the projection mapping from $V$ into $I(S)$, is called the semibase topology for $V$.

Proposition 4. The countable product $\prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{0}\left(=\mathscr{D}_{0}^{N}\right)$ in its product ordering is a sou space whose topology is the product topology. Dually, the countable direct sum $\underset{n=0}{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$ in its direct sum ordering is a semibase space whose topology is the direct sum topology.

Proof. Clearly $\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}$ is an Archimedean vector lattice. Let $u$ be a sou in $\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}$ and let $\rho_{n}$ denote the projection map from $\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}$ into the $n$th factor $\mathscr{D}_{0}$. The fact that $u$ is a sou implies that for some integer $M>0$,

$$
\left[\left\{n \rho_{n}(u)\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}\right] \wedge m u \leqq M u \quad(m=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Since $\rho_{n}$ is a lattice homomorphism, we obtain

$$
\left[n \rho_{n}(u)\right] \wedge m u \leqq M \rho_{n}(u)
$$

which for large $m$ implies $n \rho_{n}(u) \leqq M \rho_{n}(u)$. Thus for $n>M, \rho_{n}(u)=0$. We note that, if $\rho_{n}(u) \neq 0$, it is a sou in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$. In fact, if $\rho_{n}(u) \neq 0$, it is an order unit. (Since $\rho_{n}(u)$ is a sou in $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ there is a $\lambda>0$ such that

$$
1 \wedge m \rho_{n}(u) \leqq \lambda \rho_{n}(u) \quad(n=1,2, \ldots)
$$

so that $\left[\rho_{n}(u)\right](x) \geqq 1 / \lambda$ whenever $\left[\rho_{n}(u)\right](x) \neq 0$.) To verify that the sou topology is the product topology we note that if the sou $u$ has $\rho_{n}(u)=0$ for $n>M$, it is dominated by a multiple of that sou $v_{M}$ which has 1 for its first $M$ entries and 0 elsewhere. The seminorms $p_{v_{M}}$ obviously generate the product topology.

The dual result is a consequence of Theorem 1 of [4], or can be proved directly as follows. The set $B_{0}$ of probability measures on $\Gamma$ is a $\sigma\left(\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}, \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime 0}\right)$-bounded base for $\mathscr{D}_{0+}^{\prime}$ whose base norm is $\left\|^{\cdot}\right\|_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $B$ be any semibase in $\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$. If $\rho_{n}(B)=0$, define $f_{n}=0$; if $\rho_{n}(B)$ contains a positive element $\phi_{n}$, define $f_{n}$ to be some element in $\mathscr{D}_{0+}$ for which $\phi_{n}\left(f_{n}\right)>n$. For the element $\left\{f_{n}\right\}$ of $\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}$, as a positive linear functional on $\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$, to be bounded on $B, \rho_{n}(B)$ must be zero for all but finitely many $n$. Thus from the $\sigma\left(\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime},\left[\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}\right]^{0}\right)$-boundedness of $B$ we conclude that $B$ is contained in a multiple of a sum of finitely many copies of $B_{0}$; i.e.,

$$
B \subseteq \lambda\left[\bigoplus_{n=0}^{M} B_{0}\right]
$$

for some $\lambda>0$ and integer $M$. Each such $\lambda\left[\underset{n=0}{\oplus} B_{0}\right]$ is clearly a semibase. The fact that $\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$ is a semibase space and the equivalence of the topologies can now be easily verified.

One could readily prove the following generalization of Proposition 4: A countable product of order unit spaces is a sou space whose topology agrees with the product topology, and a countable direct sum of base norm spaces is a semibase space whose topology agrees with the direct sum topology.

We recall that $B_{0}$, the set of probability measures on $\Gamma$, is a weak* compact base for $\mathscr{D}_{0+}^{\prime}$ and the closed convex hull of the closed set $E_{0}$ of extreme points consisting of the unit point-measures on $\Gamma$. The set $\oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{0}$ is a base for the positive cone of $\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$. This base,

We can now apply the order structures of $\S 1$ to $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$. We let $\left[\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}\right]_{+}$and $\left[\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}\right]_{+}$ denote the positive cones of Proposition 4.

Theorem 2. There is a topological isomorphism ifrom the space $\mathscr{D}$ of infinitely differentiable functions on $\Gamma$ with its Schwartz topology into the sou space ( $\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0},\left[\Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}\right]_{+}$). Dually, the space $\mathscr{P}^{\prime}$ of distributions on $\Gamma$ with its Schwartz topology is topologically isomorphic to the quotient of the semibase space $\left(\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime},\left[\oplus \mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}\right]_{+}\right)$by the kernel of the adjoint of $i$.

Proof. Let $i: \mathscr{D}_{0} \rightarrow \Pi \mathscr{D}_{0}$ be defined by setting $i(f)=\left\{M^{n} f\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. The theorem is a consequence of Proposition 4 and the results of $\S 1$. (Recall that $\mathscr{D}_{n}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ have been identified with $\mathscr{D}_{0}$ and $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$ by the maps $M^{n}$ and $L^{n}$, and $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ are the appropriate projective limit topologies.)

The maps $i$ and $i^{*}$ naturally induce positive cones $K$ and $P$ on $\mathscr{D}$ and $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ respectively, the usual subspace and quotient cones. It is easy to see that $K=\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{n+}$ and $P=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{n+}^{\prime}$. We will give explicit characterizations for these cones.

Proposition 5. $K$ consists of the nonnegative constant functions on $\Gamma$ and $P$ is $\left\{\phi \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}: \phi(1)>0\right\} \cup\{0\}$.

Proof. That $P \subseteq\left\{\phi \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}: \phi(1)>0\right\} \cup\{0\}$ can be argued as follows. If $\theta$ is in $P=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{D}_{n+}^{\prime}$ then $\theta$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{m+}^{\prime}$ for some integer $m \geqq 0$, so that $\theta=L^{m} \sigma$ for some (unique) measure $\sigma$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0_{+}^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. If $\sigma \Gamma=0$ then $\sigma \equiv 0$, so that $\theta \equiv 0$. Otherwise, $\theta(1)=\sigma \Gamma>0$. For the converse, let $\phi$ be in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ with $\phi(1)>0$. Then $\phi$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{M}^{\prime}$ for some integer $M \geqq 0$, and so $\phi=L^{M} \sigma$ for some $\sigma$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0}^{\prime}$. Let

$$
\sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_{k} e^{i k x}
$$

be the Fourier series for $\sigma$. Then $a_{0}=\sigma \Gamma>0$. For each $n=1,2, \ldots$, the Fourier series

$$
f_{n}(x)=a_{0}+\sum_{k \neq 0}(\pi i k)^{-n} a_{k} e^{i k x}
$$

defines an absolutely continuous measure $\mu_{n}$ with $n$th distributional derivative $(\sigma-\sigma \Gamma m) / \pi^{n}$. For $n \geqq 2$,

$$
\left|f_{n}(x)-a_{0}\right| \leqq \sum_{k \neq 0}\left|a_{k}\right| /\left(\pi^{n}|k|^{n}\right)
$$

Since $\left|a_{k}\right| \leqq\|\sigma\|_{0}$ we can write $\left|f_{n}(x)-a_{0}\right| \leqq\|\sigma\|_{0} d_{n}$, where

$$
d_{n}=\left(2 / \pi^{n}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-n} \leqq 1 /\left(3 \pi^{n-2}\right) \quad(\text { for } n \geqq 2)
$$

Because $d_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $a_{0}>0$, we can choose $N$ large enough so that $0<d_{N} \leqq a_{0} /\|\sigma\|_{0}$. But then $\left|f_{N}(x)-a_{0}\right| \leqq a_{0}$. In particular $f_{N}(x) \geqq 0$, implying that $\mu_{N}$ is in $\mathscr{V}_{0+}^{\prime}$. Since
$\mu_{N}^{(N)}=(\sigma-\sigma \Gamma m) / \pi^{N}$ and $\mu_{N} \Gamma=a_{0}=\sigma \Gamma$, it follows that

$$
\sigma=\pi^{N} \mu_{N}^{(N)}+\mu_{N} \Gamma m=L^{N} \mu_{N} .
$$

Thus $\phi=L^{M} \sigma=L^{M+N} \mu_{N}$ so that $\phi$ is in $\mathscr{D}_{(N+M)+}^{\prime}$ and consequently in $P$.
To characterize $K$, we note that the set of nonnegative constant functions on $\Gamma$ is contained in $K$, and $K$ is contained in

$$
\{f \in \mathscr{D}: f(P) \geqq 0\} .
$$

Since for each $x \in \Gamma$ and $\lambda>0$, the measures $m, \lambda \delta_{x}+(1-\lambda) m$ and $(1+\lambda) m-\lambda \delta_{x}$ are in $P$, we obtain that each member of $\{f \in \mathscr{D}: f(P) \geqq 0\}$ satisfies

$$
\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) f \leqq f(x) \leqq\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \bar{f} \text { and } \bar{f} \geqq 0
$$

and is thus a nonnegative constant function.
The cone $K$ is the dual in $\mathscr{D}$ of $P$ but $P$ is not the dual of $K$. The dual $\mathscr{K}$ of $K$ is just the set of distributions $\phi$ in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ having $\phi(1) \geqq 0$. It is not difficult to show that $\mathscr{K}$ is the closure of $P$ in the Schwartz topology. $\mathscr{K}$ fails to be a positive cone for $\mathscr{D}$ since $\mathscr{K} \cap(-\mathscr{K})$ contains all $\phi$ in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ having $\phi(1)=0$.

Let $B=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} L^{n} B_{0}$ in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$. Then $B$ is a base for $P$ and it follows from Proposition 5 that

$$
B=\left\{\phi \in \mathscr{D}^{\prime}: \phi(1)=1\right\} .
$$

$B$ is not linearly compact. Thus (see [9]) $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ is not lattice ordered by $P$. Moreover, $B$ has no extreme points and is, of course, not compact in any locally convex topology for $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ (in contrast to the base $\oplus B_{0}$ discussed before Theorem 2).

We consider an interpretation of the above structures for the space $H$ of real-valued harmonic functions on the unit disc $\Delta$ in the plane. Let $H_{+}$denote the cone of pointwise nonnegative members of $H$ and let $H^{0}$ be $H_{+}-H_{+}$. We denote by $H^{n}$ the linear span of derivatives

$$
u^{(j)}=\frac{\partial^{j} u}{\partial \theta^{j}} \quad(j=0,1, \ldots, n)
$$

of functions in $H^{0}$ (written in polar coordinates) and let $\mathscr{H}=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} H^{n}$. Each $H^{n}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{D}_{n}^{\prime}$ (see [6] and [7]). The correspondence is obtained as follows. For $0 \leqq r<1$ the Poisson function

$$
\mathbf{P}_{r}(\theta)=\frac{1-r}{1-2 r \cos \theta+r^{2}}
$$

is in $\mathscr{D}$. For each $\phi$ in $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$ one obtains by convolutions $h_{r}=\mathbf{P}_{r} * \phi$ a harmonic function $h(r, \theta)=h_{r}(\theta)$. Thus $\mathscr{H}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{D}^{\prime}$. The map $L$ defined from $H$ into $H$ by

$$
L(u)=\pi u^{(1)}+u(0)
$$

when restricted to $\mathscr{H}$, corresponds to the map $L$ discussed previously. Theorem 2 adapted
to this context says that $\mathscr{H}$ is isomorphic to a quotient of $\underset{n=0}{\infty} H^{0}$ in its direct sum ordering. The quotient cone $P$ induced on $\mathscr{H}$ is $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} L^{n} H_{+}$, and, as in Proposition 5 (since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.h(0)=\mathbf{P}_{0} * \phi=\phi(1)\right), \\
P=\{h \in \mathscr{H}: h(0)>0\} \cup\{0\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We now have the following extension of the classical Herglotz theorem for $H_{+}$(see [1]).
Proposition 6. For each $h \in \mathscr{H}$ having $h(0)>0$ there is a least integer $n$ and a unique positive measure $\mu$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0+}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
h(r, \theta)=\int_{\Gamma}\left(L^{n} \mathbf{P}\right)(r, \theta-t) d \mu(t)
$$

Proof. Let $h$ be in $\mathscr{H}$ with $h(0)>0$; i.e., let $h$ be in $P$. Since $P=\bigcup L^{n} H_{+}$there exists a least integer $n$ and a unique element $u \in H_{+}$such that $h=L^{n} u$. The classical Herglotz theorem implies that

$$
u(r, \theta)=\int_{\Gamma} \mathbf{P}(r, \theta-t) d \mu(t)
$$

for a unique $\mu$ in $\mathscr{D}_{0+}^{\prime}$, and thus

$$
h(r, \theta)=\left(L^{n} u\right)(r, \theta)=\int_{\Gamma}\left(L^{n} \mathbf{P}\right)(r, \theta-t) d \mu(t)
$$
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