# ON THE DECOMPOSITION OF GROUPS 

PAUL HILL

1. Introduction. The problem in which we are interested is the following. Call an additively written group $G$ finitely decomposable if $G=\sum G_{i}$ is the weak sum of finite groups $G_{i}$. Consider the following property.

Property P. Each subgroup of $G$ having cardinality less than $G$ is contained in a finitely decomposable direct summand of $G$.

Does Property P imply that $G$ is finitely decomposable? We shall demonstrate that the answer is negative even in the commutative case. Our question is closely related to (1, Problem 5). In (4), an abelian group is called a Fuchs 5 -group if every infinite subgroup of the group can be embedded in a direct summand of the same cardinality. The question of whether or not a Fuchs 5 -group is in fact a direct sum of countable groups has been open for several years. Note that if a group has Property P, then it must be a Fuchs 5 -group. Thus, in the present paper, we show that a Fuchs 5 -group need not be a direct sum of countable groups. It is also shown that not every $Q$-group is a direct sum of cyclic groups; this settles (4, Question 5).
2. Description of $G$. Let $\Omega$ denote the first uncountable ordinal and for each ordinal $\alpha$ less than $\Omega$ let $P_{\alpha}=\left\{a_{\alpha}{ }^{0}, a_{\alpha}{ }^{1}, \ldots, a_{\alpha}{ }^{n}, \ldots\right\}$ be a copy of the Prüfer group associated with a fixed prime $p$. Thus, the generators $a_{\alpha}{ }^{n}$ of $P_{\alpha}$ are subjected only to the relations $p a_{\alpha}{ }^{0}=0$ and $p^{n} a_{\alpha}{ }^{n}=a_{\alpha}{ }^{0}$ if $n$ is a positive integer; recall that we are using the additive notation, therefore the superscript is only an index, not an exponent. Let $P=\sum_{\alpha<\Omega} P_{\alpha}$ be the (weak) sum of $\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{1}$ copies of the Prüfer group. Working inside of $P$, we construct an ascending chain of subgroups. Define $G_{0}=0$ and $G_{1}=\left\{a_{0}{ }^{0}\right\} \subseteq P_{0} \subseteq P$. If $\beta>1$ is an ordinal not exceeding $\Omega$, define $G_{\beta}$ inductively in the following way. Write $\beta=\omega \lambda+n$, where $n<\omega$.

Case 1. $n>1$. Define $G_{\beta}=G_{\beta-1}+\left\{a_{\beta-1}^{n-1}\right\}$.
Case 2. $n=0$. Define $G_{\beta}=\bigcup_{\alpha<\beta} G_{\alpha}$.
Case 3. $n=1$. Since $\beta>1, \lambda \geqq 1$ and $\beta-1$ is a countable limit ordinal. Let $\sigma(1)<\sigma(2)<\ldots<\sigma(k)<\ldots$ be an increasing sequence of ordinals leading up to $\beta-1$ such that $\sigma(k)=\omega \lambda_{k}+k$ for each positive integer $k$ (there is no restriction on $\lambda_{k}$ ). For each positive integer $j$, define $\xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}=$ $\sum_{i<j} l_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j}-a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}$. We define $G_{\beta}=\left\{G_{\beta-1}, \xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}\right\}, 1 \leqq j<\omega$.

[^0]Observe that $G_{\beta} \subseteq \sum_{\alpha<\beta} P_{\alpha}$ for each $\beta$; therefore, in Case 1 the sum is direct inside of $\sum_{\alpha<\beta} P_{\alpha}$. The group that we are interested in is $G_{\Omega}=\bigcup_{\alpha<\Omega} G_{\alpha}$; let $G=G_{\Omega}$. One may observe that $G \subseteq P=\sum_{\alpha<\Omega} P_{\alpha}$ and that $a_{\alpha}{ }^{0} \in G$ for each $\alpha<\Omega$.

We remark that the construction of $G$ is similar to a construction in (2).
3. If $\alpha-1$ exists, then $G_{\alpha}$ is a direct summand of $G$. Suppose that $\alpha<\Omega$ and that $\alpha$ is not a limit. Set $K_{\alpha, \alpha}=0$. Now suppose that $\alpha<\beta \leqq \Omega$ and that for each $\gamma$ such that $\alpha \leqq \gamma<\beta$ we have a decomposition

$$
G_{\gamma}=G_{\alpha}+K_{\alpha, \gamma},
$$

where the $K_{\alpha, \gamma}$ 's satisfy the following conditions:
(a) $K_{\alpha, \delta} \subseteq K_{\alpha, \gamma}$ if $\alpha \leqq \delta \leqq \gamma$;
(b) $a_{\mu+1}{ }^{0} \in K_{\alpha, \gamma}$ if $\alpha \leqq \mu+1<\gamma$.

Write $\beta=\omega \lambda+n$, where $n<\omega$. If $n>1$, we set $K_{\alpha, \beta}=K_{\alpha, \beta-1}+\left\{a_{\beta-1}{ }^{n-1}\right\}$. Then $G_{\beta}=G_{\alpha}+K_{\alpha, \beta}$ and (a) and (b) hold for $\gamma \leqq \beta$. If $n=0$, let $K_{\alpha, \beta}=$ $\cup_{\gamma<\beta} K_{\alpha, \gamma}$. Again it is immediate that $G_{\beta}=G_{\alpha}+K_{\alpha, \beta}$ and that conditions (a) and (b) are valid for $\gamma \leqq \beta$.

Finally, we consider the case $n=1$. Recall that in this case,

$$
G_{\beta}=\left\{G_{\beta-1}, \xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}\right\}, \quad 1 \leqq j<\omega,
$$

where $\xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}=\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j}-a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}$ and $\{\sigma(i)\}$ is an increasing sequence of ordinals that leads up to $\beta-1$ with $\sigma(i)$ congruent to $i$ modulo $\omega$ for each $i$. Let $k$ be the smallest positive integer such that $\sigma(k) \geqq \alpha$. Define

$$
K_{\alpha, \beta}=\left\{K_{\alpha, \beta-1}, \xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j},\left(a_{\sigma(i)}^{i}+\xi_{\beta-1}^{i}-p \xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{i+1}\right)\right\}, \quad 1 \leqq i<k, j \geqq k .
$$

Observe that (a) and (b) remain valid for $\gamma \leqq \beta$; condition (b) is vacuously satisfied for $\gamma=\beta$ since $\beta-1$ is a limit ordinal. We intend to show that $G_{\beta}=G_{\alpha}+K_{\alpha, \beta}$. Since $G_{\sigma(i)+1}=G_{\sigma(i)}+\left\{a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{i}\right\}$, we have: $a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{i} \in G_{\alpha}$ if $i<k$. Hence, $G_{\beta}=\left\{G_{\alpha}, K_{\alpha, \beta}\right\}$.

Suppose that $x \in G_{\alpha} \cap K_{\alpha, \beta}$. Write

$$
x=y+\sum_{j \geq k} t_{j} \xi_{\beta-1}^{j}+\sum_{i<k} s_{i}\left(a_{\sigma(i)}^{i}+\xi_{\beta-1}^{i}-p \xi_{\beta-1}^{i+1}\right),
$$

where $y \in K_{\alpha, \beta-1}$. First, we shall show that

$$
\sum_{i<k} s_{i}\left(a_{\sigma(i)}^{i}+\xi_{\beta-1}^{i}-p \xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{i+1}\right)=0 .
$$

Since $x \in G_{\alpha}$, note that

$$
\sum_{j \geqq k} t_{j} a_{\beta-1}^{j}+\sum_{i<k} s_{i}\left(a_{\beta-1}^{i}-p a_{\beta-1}^{i+1}\right)=0 .
$$

Because of the defining relations for the Prüfer group $P_{\beta-1}$, the equation $\sum_{j} r_{j} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}=0$ implies that $r_{j}$ is divisible by $p^{j}$ for each $j$. Therefore, it follows,
by induction on $i$, that $p^{i}$ divides $s_{i}$ for each $i<k$. Let $s_{i}=p^{i} s_{i}{ }^{\prime}$. Now we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j<k} s_{j}\left(a_{\sigma(j)}{ }^{j}+\xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}-p \xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j+1}\right) \\
&=\sum_{j<k} s^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\left(p^{j} a_{\sigma(j)}{ }^{j}+\right.\left.\sum_{i<j} p^{j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j}-p^{j} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}-\sum_{i \leq j} p^{j+1} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j+1}+p^{j+1} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j+1}\right) \\
&=\sum_{j<k} s_{j}{ }^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i \leqq j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}-\sum_{i \leqq j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}+a_{\beta-1}{ }^{0}-a_{\beta-1}{ }^{0}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $x=y+\sum_{j \geqq k} t_{j} \xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}$. Since $x$ and $y$ are contained in $H_{\beta-1}$, it follows that $\sum_{j \geqq k} t_{j} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}=0$. Therefore, $p^{j}$ divides $t_{j}$ for each $j \geqq k$; write $t_{j}=p^{j} t_{j}{ }^{\prime}$. Also note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =y+\sum_{j \geqq k} t_{j}\left(\xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}-a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}\right) \\
& =y+\sum_{j \geqq k} t_{j}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j}\right) \\
& =y+\sum_{i} \sum_{j \geqq \max (k, i+1)} t_{j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{j \geqq k} t_{j} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}=0$, the equation $\sum_{j \geqq k} t_{j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j}=0$ must also hold. Thus,

$$
x=y+\sum_{i \geqq k}\left(\sum_{j \geqq i+1} t_{j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j}\right)=y+\sum_{i \geqq k}\left(\sum_{j \geqq i+1} t_{j}{ }^{\prime} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}\right) .
$$

By condition (b), $a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0} \in K_{\alpha, \beta-1}$ if $\alpha \leqq \sigma(i)<\beta-1$. Thus, $a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0} \in K_{\alpha, \beta-1}$ if $i \geqq k$, and $x \in K_{\alpha, \beta-1}$ since $y \in K_{\alpha, \beta-1}$. We conclude that $x=0$ since $G_{\alpha} \cap K_{\alpha, \beta-1}=0$, and $G_{\beta}=G_{\alpha}+K_{\alpha, \beta}$. Taking $\beta=\Omega$, we have $G=G_{\alpha}+K_{\alpha, \Omega}$.
4. $G$ has no elements of infinite height. An element $g \in G$ is said to have infinite height if there exists, for each positive integer $m$, an element $x_{m} \in G$ such that $p^{m} x_{m}=g$. We shall show that zero is the only element of $G$ that has infinite height. Suppose that $x$ has infinite height in $G$. Since $G_{\alpha+1}$ is a direct summand of $G$ for each countable $\alpha$, it follows that $x$ has infinite height in $G_{\beta}$ for some countable $\beta$. In fact, if $\beta$ is chosen to be the smallest ordinal such that $x$ has infinite height in $G_{\beta}$ and if $\beta=\omega \lambda+n$, then $n$ must necessarily be equal to one; obviously, in the other cases ( $n=0$ and $n>1$ ) no elements of infinite height can be introduced in the construction of $G_{\beta}$.

Let $x=y+\sum t_{j} \xi_{\beta-1}^{j}$, where $y \in G_{\beta-1}$ and, as before,

$$
\xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}=\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j}-a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j} .
$$

Suppose that $p^{m} x_{m}=x$, where $x_{m} \in G_{\beta}$ for each positive integer $m$. Write $x_{m}=y_{m}+\sum t_{j, m} \xi_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}$, where $y_{m} \in G_{\beta-1}$. Then

$$
\sum p^{m} t_{j, m} a_{\beta-1}^{j}=\sum t_{j} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j} .
$$

Since $t_{j}=0$ for all but a finite number of $j$ and since $p^{m} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}=0$ if $m>j$, we can choose $m$ such that $t_{j}=0$ if $j \geqq m$ and obtain

$$
\sum_{j \geqq m} p^{m} t_{j, m} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}-\sum_{j<m} t_{j} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j}=0 .
$$

This implies that $p^{j}$ divides $t_{j}$ for each $j$ and that $p^{j-m}$ divides $t_{j, m}$ if $j \geqq m$. Write $t_{j}=p^{j} t_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ and $p^{m} t_{j, m}=t_{j, m}{ }^{\prime} p^{j}$. Then

$$
\sum_{j \geq m} t_{j, m}^{\prime} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{0}=\sum_{j<m} t_{j}{ }^{\prime} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{0} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{j \geqq m} t_{j, m}^{\prime} \equiv \sum_{j<m} t_{j}^{\prime}=c \bmod (p)
$$

for all sufficiently large $m$. Since $y$ is a fixed element of $G_{\beta-1}$, we can choose $m>1$ such that $y \in G_{\sigma(m-1)}$. From

$$
p^{m} y_{m}+p^{m} \sum t_{j, m} \xi_{\beta-1}^{j}=y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j} \xi_{\beta-1}^{j}
$$

we obtain

$$
p^{m} y_{m}+\sum_{j \geqq m} t_{j, m}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}\right)=y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j}{ }^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}\right) .
$$

Thus,
$p^{m} y_{m}+\sum_{i<m}^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{j \geqq m} t_{j, m^{\prime}}\right) a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}+\sum_{i \geqq m}\left(\sum_{j>i} t_{j, m^{\prime}}\right) a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}$

$$
=y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j}^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}^{0}\right) \in G_{\sigma(m-1)}
$$

Consider the decompositions

$$
G_{\sigma(m-1)+1}=G_{\sigma(m-1)}+\left\{a_{\sigma(m-1)}{ }^{m-1}\right\}
$$

and

$$
G_{\beta-1}=G_{\sigma(m-1)}+\left\{a_{\sigma(m-1)}^{m-1}\right\}+K_{\sigma(m-1)+1, \beta-1},
$$

where $K_{\sigma(m-1)+1, \beta-1}$ is as defined in $\S 3$. Recall condition (b), which implies that $a_{\sigma(i)} 0 \in K_{\sigma(m-1)+1, \beta-1}$ if $i \geqq m$. Therefore,

$$
\sum_{i \geqq m}\left(\sum_{j>i} t_{j, m^{\prime}}\right) a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0} \in K_{\sigma(m-1)+1, \beta-1} .
$$

If $y_{m}=u+v+w$, where $u \in G_{\sigma(m-1)}, v \in\left\{a_{\sigma(m-1)}{ }^{m-1}\right\}$, and $w \in K_{\sigma(m-1)+1, \beta-1}$, then it follows that

$$
c a_{\sigma(m-1)}^{0}=\left(\sum_{j \geqq m} t_{j, m^{\prime}}\right) a_{\sigma(m-1)}^{0}=p^{m} v=0 .
$$

Hence $c=0 \bmod (p)$, and

$$
p^{m} y_{m}+\sum_{i \geqq m}\left(\sum_{j>i} t_{j, m}{ }^{\prime}\right) a_{\sigma(i)}^{0}=y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}\right) .
$$

Now we see that

$$
p^{m} u=y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j}{ }^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}\right) \in G_{\sigma(m-1)} .
$$

This implies that

$$
y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j}{ }^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}\right)=0
$$

since it is an element of infinite height in $G_{\beta-1}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j} \xi_{\beta-1}^{j}= & y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}^{j}\right)-\sum_{j<m} t_{j} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{j} \\
& =y+\sum_{j<m} t_{j}{ }^{\prime}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}\right)-\sum_{j<m}{t_{j}{ }^{\prime} a_{\beta-1}{ }^{0}=0-c a_{\beta-1}{ }^{0}=0 .}^{x}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

5. If $\beta$ is a countable limit ordinal, then $G_{\beta+1} / G_{\beta}$ has elements of infinite height. If $\beta$ is a countable limit ordinal, then $G_{\beta+1}=\left\{G_{\beta}, \xi_{\beta}{ }^{j}\right\}$, $j \geqq 1$, where

$$
\xi_{\beta}{ }^{j}=\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{j}-a_{\beta}{ }^{j}
$$

and $\sigma(1)<\sigma(2)<\ldots<\sigma(k)<\ldots$ is an increasing sequence of ordinals leading up to $\beta$ with the property that $\sigma(k)=\omega \lambda_{k}+k$ for each $k$. Since $G_{\sigma(i)+1}=G_{\sigma(i)}+\left\{a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{i}\right\}, a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0} \in G_{\sigma(i)+1} \subseteq G_{\beta}$. Thus, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p^{j} \xi_{\beta}{ }^{j}+G_{\beta} & =p^{j}\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}^{j}-a_{\beta}^{j}\right)+G_{\beta} \\
& =\left(\sum_{i<j} a_{\sigma(i)}{ }^{0}-a_{\beta}{ }^{0}\right)+G_{\beta} \\
& =-a_{\beta}{ }^{0}+G_{\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have shown that $-a_{\beta}{ }^{0} \neq 0$ has infinite height in $G_{\beta+1} / G_{\beta}$.
6. The existence theorem. The following theorem summarizes the results that we have established thus far.

Theorem 1. There exists a commutative group $G$ without elements of infinite height such that $G$ is the union (direct limit) of an ascending chain

$$
0=G_{0} \subset G_{1} \subset G_{2} \subset \ldots \subset G_{\alpha} \subset \ldots, \quad \alpha<\Omega
$$

of subgroups $G_{\alpha}$ of $G$ satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $G_{\alpha}$ is a countable direct sum of finite cyclic groups for each $\alpha<\Omega$;
(2) $G_{\beta}=\bigcup_{\alpha<\beta} G_{\alpha}$ if $\beta$ is a limit ordinal;
(3) $G_{\beta+1} / G_{\beta}$ has elements of infinite height if $\beta$ is a limit ordinal;
(4) $G_{\alpha}$ is a direct summand of $G$ if $\alpha$ is not a limit ordinal.

We emphasize that the group $G$ has no elements of infinite height and remark that it is easy to give such examples satisfying conditions (1)-(3), but to obtain (4), as well, is another matter.
7. $G$ satisfies Property $\mathbf{P}$ but is not finitely decomposable. In this section, we show that the group $G$ which we have constructed has Property P but that it is not a direct sum of finite groups. We can prove a little more.

Theorem 2. If $G$ is a group which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1, then $G$ satisfies Property P but $G$ cannot be decomposed into a direct sum of countable groups.

Proof. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ having cardinality less than $G$. Since $|G| \leqq \sum_{\alpha<\Omega}\left|G_{\alpha}\right| \leqq \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{0} \boldsymbol{\aleph}_{1}=\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{1}$, we observe that $H$ is countable. Hence, there exists a countable ordinal $\alpha$ such that $H \subseteq G_{\alpha} \subseteq G_{\alpha+1}$; however, $G_{\alpha+1}$ is a finitely decomposable direct summand of $G$. We conclude that $G$ satisfies Property P.

Using the back-and-forth method (see, for example, (3)), we shall show that $G$ is not countably decomposable. Suppose that $G=\sum_{i \in I} A_{i}$, where $A_{i}$ is (at most) countable for each $i \in I$; the index set $I$ is arbitrary. Let $\alpha(0)=1$ and let $I(1)$ be the unique minimal subset of $I$ such that $G_{\alpha(0)} \subseteq \sum_{I(1)} A_{i}$. Since $G_{\alpha(0)}$ is countable, so is $I(1)$. Thus, $H_{1}=\sum_{I(1)} A_{i}$ is countable and is, therefore, contained in $G_{\alpha}$ for some countable $\alpha$. Let $\alpha(1)$ be the first ordinal greater than $\alpha(0)$ such that $H_{1} \subseteq G_{\alpha(1)}$. Since $G_{\alpha(1)}$ is countable, there exists a unique minimal countable subset $I(2)$ of $I$ such that $G_{\alpha(1)} \subseteq \sum_{I(2)} A_{i}$. Set $H_{2}=\sum_{I(2)} A_{i}$, and let $\alpha(2)$ be the smallest ordinal greater than $\alpha(1)$ such that $H_{2} \subseteq G_{\alpha(2)}$. Inductively, define $\alpha(n)$ and $I(n)$, for each positive integer $n$, in the way that we have indicated. Since $\{\alpha(n)\}$ is a strictly increasing sequence of countable ordinals, $\alpha(\omega)=\sup \{\alpha(n)\}_{n<\omega}$ is a countable limit ordinal. Let

$$
I(\omega)=\bigcup_{n<\omega} I(n)
$$

Since $G_{\alpha(\omega)}=\bigcup_{n<\omega} G_{\alpha(n)}$ and since

$$
\sum_{I(n)} A_{i} \subseteq G_{\alpha(n)} \subseteq \sum_{I(n+1)} A_{i}
$$

we conclude that $G_{\alpha(\omega)}=\sum_{I(\omega)} A_{i}$. Thus, $G / G_{\alpha(\omega)} \cong \sum_{I-I(\omega)} A_{i}$, a direct summand of $G$. It follows that $G_{\alpha(\omega)+1} / G_{\alpha(\omega)}$ is without elements of infinite height since a subgroup of a group without elements of infinite height is again a group without elements of infinite height. This contradicts condition (3) of Theorem 1, and Theorem 2 is proved.
8. Related results. From Theorem 2 and the Pontryagin duality, we have the following result.

Theorem 3. There exists an inverse system

$$
H_{1} \stackrel{\phi_{1}{ }^{2}}{\longleftarrow} H_{2} \leftarrow \ldots \leftarrow H_{\alpha} \stackrel{\phi_{\alpha}^{\beta}}{\leftrightarrows} H_{\beta} \leftarrow \ldots, \quad \beta<\Omega,
$$

with epic bonding maps $\phi_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) $H_{\alpha}$ is a direct product (= strong direct sum) of finite cyclic groups for each $\alpha<\Omega$;
(ii) if $\beta$ is a limit ordinal, $\bigcap_{\alpha<\beta} \operatorname{Ker} \phi_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}=0$;
(iii) if $H$ is the limit of the inverse system $\left(H_{\alpha} ; \phi_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}\right)$ and if $\alpha$ is not a limit ordinal, then $H_{\alpha}$ is a direct factor of $H$. In fact, if $\pi_{\alpha}: H \rightarrow H_{\alpha}$ is the natural map, then

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\alpha} \rightarrow H \rightarrow H_{\alpha}
$$

splits if $\alpha$ is not a limit. Hence,

$$
\operatorname{Ker} \phi_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} \rightarrow H_{\beta} \rightarrow H_{\alpha}
$$

splits if $\alpha$ is not a limit;
(iv) although $H$ is compact, $H$ is not, as a topological group, a direct product of finite cyclic groups.

Theorem 4. If $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ is any uncountable cardinal, there exists a Fuchs 5-group of cardinality $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ that is not a direct sum of countable groups.

Proof. Let $G$ be a group satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2. In particular, we can take $G$ to be the group described in § 2. Since $G$ satisfies Property $\mathrm{P}, G$ is certainly a Fuchs 5 -group. It is elementary to show that a direct sum of Fuchs 5 -groups is a Fuchs 5 -group. Thus, $\Sigma_{\boldsymbol{N}} G$ is a Fuchs 5 -group having cardinality $\boldsymbol{\aleph}$ since $G$ has cardinality $\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{1}$. Since $G$ is not a direct sum of countable groups, we conclude that $\sum_{\boldsymbol{N}} G$ is not a direct sum of countable groups by a theorem of Kaplansky (5).
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