

Irish Section Meeting, 21–23 June 2017, What governs what we eat?

Is the UK diet sustainable? Assessing the environmental impact, cost and nutritional quality of household food purchases

W.L. Wrieden¹, I. Leinonen², K.L. Barton³, J. Halligan¹ and L. Goffe¹ ¹Human Nutrition Research Centre, Institute of Health and Society, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE2 4HH, UK, ²Land Economy, Environment & Society, Scotland's Rural College (SRUC), Edinburgh EH9 3JG and ³Division of Food and Drink, School of Science, Engineering & Technology, Abertay University, Dundee DD1 1HG, UK

The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)⁽¹⁾ have defined sustainable diets as "those diets with low environmental impacts which contribute to food and nutrition security and to healthy life for present and future generations. Sustainable diets are protective and respectful of biodiversity and ecosystems, culturally acceptable, accessible, economically fair and affordable; nutritionally adequate, safe and healthy; while optimizing natural and human resources." The aim of the work was to determine the cost and environmental impact of UK diets of varying nutritional qualities.

Food purchase data for 2012 and 2013 combined, from the UK Living Costs and Food Survey, detailing food weights and costs, were mapped to the environmental variables of Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHGE) (estimated for primary production, processing, transport and cooking of the individual food commodities and composite foods) and Land Use⁽²⁾. Following removal of households whose purchase patterns were unlikely to sustain them for the 2 weeks of data collection, a Diet Quality Index (DQI) developed in collaboration with Food Standards Scotland^{(3),(4)} and based on dietary guidelines was assigned to each household in the survey. The DQI was standardised per household member by assuming an average energy intake of 2000 kcal/day. Median GHGE, land use and food expenditure per household member for the two week recording period were compared across the quintiles of DOI score.

0.001
R 51.9 54.9 16.3

P values for comparisons using Mann-Whitney test.

The distribution for the DOI was highly skewed with those in the bottom 4 quintiles having DOI scores below 52 % demonstrating the poor quality of the UK diet. Median GHGE, land use and expenditure were significantly lower in those in the top quintile compared to the bottom quintile but there was also an indicative trend of lower GHGE and lower land use as DOI increased. This work challenges conclusions of previous work which suggests healthy diets are more expensive⁽⁵⁾. Further exploration is needed to determine realistic and acceptable dietary patterns that are at the same time nutritious, affordable and environmentally sustainable.

- 1. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and Biodiversity International (2012). Sustainable diets and biodiversity: directions and
- solutions for policy, research and action. Rome: FAO.

 Audsley E, Brander M, Chatterton J et al. (2009) How low can we go? An assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from the UK food system and the
- Armstrong JA, Sherriff A, Wrieden WL et al. (2009) Deriving and interpreting dietary patterns in the Scottish Diet: Further analysis of the Scottish Armstrong JA, Sherriff A, Wrieden WL et al. (2009) Deriving and interpreting dietary patterns in the Scottish Diet: Further analysis of the Sc Health Survey and Expenditure and Food Survey. http://www.foodstandards.gov.scot/sites/default/files/318-1-558_S14034_Technical_report_final.pdf (accessed March 2017)
- Barton KL (2014) An Exploratory Analysis of the Scottish Diet 2001–2009 Using Household Purchase Data. Ph.D, University of Dundee. Darmon N & Drewnowski A (2015). *Nutr Rev* Oct;73(10), 643–660.

