# ARITHMETIC INVARIANTS OF SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES 
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1. Introduction. What invariants of a finite simplicial complex $K$ can be computed solely from the values $v_{0}(K), v_{1}(K), \ldots, v_{i}(K), \ldots$ where $v_{i}(K)$ is the number of $i$-simplexes of $K$ ? The Euler chracteristic $\chi(K)=\sum_{i}(-1)^{i} v_{i}(K)$ is a subdivision invariant and a homotopy invariant while the dimension of $K$ is a subdivision invariant and homeomorphism invariant. In [3], Wall has shown that the Euler chracteristic is the only linear function to the integers that is a subdivision invariant. In this paper we show that the only subdivision invariants (linear or not) of $K$ are the Euler characteristic and the dimension. More precisely we prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Let $\mathscr{K}$ be the class of all finite simplicial complexes and $F: \mathscr{K} \rightarrow S$, a function to a set $S$. If $F$ satisfies i) and ii):
i) $v_{i}\left(K_{i}\right)=v_{i}\left(K_{2}\right)$ for all $i$ implies $F\left(K_{1}\right)=F\left(K_{2}\right)$,
ii) $K^{\prime}$ is a subdivision of $K$ implies $F\left(K^{\prime}\right)=F(K)$
then $F$ is a function of the Euler characteristic and dimension, i.e., if $\operatorname{dim} K=\operatorname{dim} L$ and $\chi(K)=\chi(L)$, then $F(K)=F(L)$.
2. Definitions. We shall use the word complex to denote a finite $n$-dimensional simplicial complex, where $n$ is held fixed throughout. If $Q$ is a complex, define

$$
v(Q)=\left(v_{0}(Q), v_{1}(Q), \ldots, v_{n}(Q)\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}
$$

where $v_{i}(Q)$ is the number of $i$-simplexes in $Q$. If $\sigma$ is a simplex of $Q$ we denote by $Q_{\sigma}$ the stellar subdivision of $Q$ along $\sigma$, obtained by placing a vertex at the barycenter of $\sigma$ and constructing all resultant simplexes. (The reader is referred to [1], [2] for this construction and for such terms as join, link, star, etc.) In particular let ${ }^{1} \alpha_{i}=v\left(\Delta_{i}{ }^{n}\right)-v\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ where $\Delta^{n}$ denotes an $n$-simplex and $\Delta_{i}^{n}$ denotes the $n$-simplex $\Delta^{n}$ stellarly subdivided along an $i$-face $\Delta^{i}$. It is important to observe that if $\sigma$ is an $i$-simplex of $Q$ then $v\left(Q_{\sigma}\right)-v(Q)=\alpha_{i}$ if and only if link $(\sigma, Q)$ is an ( $n-i-1$ )-simplex. We are thus lead to defining an $i$-simplex of an $n$-dimensional complex as autonomous if and only if its link is an ( $n-i-1$ )-simplex. An $n$-simplex of $K$, which has "no link", is for-

[^0]mally assigned link $=1=$ the $(-1)$-simplex, and is therefore autonomous.
If $v=\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$, define
$$
\chi(v)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}(-1)^{i} v_{i} .
$$

Finally, let $\sim$ denote the equivalence relation generated by the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K \sim L \text { if } v(K)=v(L) \\
& K \sim L \text { if } K \text { is a subdivision of } L .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. Construction of autonomous simplexes. Let $K$ be a complex and $\sigma=\left(a_{0} \ldots a_{p}\right),(p \geqq 1)$, a simplex of $K$ with link $L$. As in [1], [2] we write $K=(\sigma * L) \cup R$. Then $K_{\sigma}$ is the stellar subdivision of $K$ along $\sigma=(b * \dot{\sigma} * L) \cup \mathbf{R}$. This can be realized as a two step process. First form $K_{1}=K \cup(b * \dot{\sigma} * L)$ which is $K$ with a cone attached to $\dot{\sigma} * L$. Then form $K_{\sigma}=K_{1}-\operatorname{star}\left(\sigma, K_{1}\right)$, i.e., remove from $K_{1}$ every simplex of which $\sigma$ is a face. Observe that

$$
\operatorname{link}\left(b a_{0} \ldots a_{p-1}, K_{1}\right)=L=\operatorname{link}\left(\sigma, K_{1}\right) .
$$

Thus if, instead of removing $\operatorname{star}\left(\sigma, K_{1}\right)$ from $K_{1}$, we remove star ( $b_{0} a_{0} \ldots a_{p-1}, K_{1}$ ) from $K_{1}$ then the resulting complex $K_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}$ has the property that $v\left(K_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}\right)=v\left(K_{\sigma}\right)$. On the other hand,

$$
\operatorname{link}\left(b a_{0} \ldots a_{p-2}, K_{1}\right)=\left(a_{p-1} \cup a_{p}\right) * L
$$

whereupon

$$
\operatorname{link}\left(b a_{0} \ldots a_{p-2}, K_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}\right)=a_{p} * L
$$

We have proved the following lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $K$ be a complex and $\sigma_{p}$ a $p$-simplex of $K$, $(p \geqq 1)$, with link $L$. Then there is a complex $K_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}$ and a $(p-1)$-simplex $\sigma_{p-1}{ }^{\prime}$ in $K_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}$ such that $v\left(K_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}\right)=v\left(K_{\sigma}\right)$ and $\operatorname{link}\left(\sigma_{p-1}{ }^{\prime}, K_{\sigma}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ is (isomorphic to) the cone on $L$.
Addendum. If $L=1$, i.e., $\sigma$ has no link, then the cone on $L$ is a vertex. If $\sigma_{p}$ is autonomous then $\sigma_{p-1}$ is autonomous.

Lemma 2. Let $K$ be a complex and let $0 \leqq N \leqq n-1$. Then there is a complex $\hat{K}$ such that

$$
v(\hat{K})=v(K)+\alpha_{n}+\ldots+\alpha_{N+1},
$$

$\hat{K}$ contains an autonomous $N$-simplex, and $\hat{K} \sim K$.
Proof. The proof is merely a repeated application of Lemma 1 and its addendum beginning with an $n$-simplex $\sigma_{n}$ of $K$ which is autonomous by
definition. Its link is 1 so we get a complex $K_{\sigma_{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ with an autonomous simplex $\sigma_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}$ such that

$$
v\left(K_{\sigma_{n}}{ }^{\prime}\right)=v\left(K_{\sigma_{n}}\right)=v(K)+\alpha_{n} .
$$

Apply the lemma now to $K_{\sigma_{n}}{ }^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{n-1}{ }^{\prime}$, etc.
Lemma 3. Let $L$ be a complex with an autonomous $N$-simplex $\sigma_{N}$ and let $a_{N}$ be a positive integer. Then there is a subdivision $L^{*}$ of $L$ such that

$$
v\left(L^{*}\right)=v(L)+a_{N} \alpha_{N} .
$$

Proof. If $a_{N}=1$ then $L^{*}$ is the stellar subdivision of $L$ along $\sigma_{N}$. It is easy to see that this new complex again has an autonomous $N$-simplex. Thus we may perform $a_{N}$ successive subdivisions along autonomous $N$-simplexes. (Note: In forming the first stellar subdivision, simplexes of some dimensions other than $N$ may lose their autonomy. Fortunately, we can circumvent that difficulty.)
4. The goal of this section is Lemma 5. As in Section 2,

$$
\alpha_{i}=v\left(\Delta_{i}{ }^{n}\right)-v\left(\Delta^{n}\right)=v\left(b * \dot{\Delta}^{i} * \Delta^{n-i}\right)-v\left(\Delta^{n}\right) .
$$

Let us regard $v\left(\Delta^{i}\right)$ as a vector in $\mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$. (e.g.: $\Delta^{3}=[4,6,4,1,00 \ldots 0]^{\text {tr }}$ ).
Lemma 4. For $1 \leqq i \leqq n$,

$$
\alpha_{i}=i v\left(\Delta^{n}\right)-\binom{i+1}{2} v\left(\Delta^{n-1}\right)+\ldots+(-1)^{i}\binom{i+1}{i+1} v\left(\Delta^{n-i}\right) .
$$

Proof. $\dot{\Delta}^{i}$ is the boundary of $\Delta^{i}$ which is the union of $(i+1) \quad(i-1)-$ simplexes such that the intersection of any $p$ of them is an $(n-p)$ simplex. Hence $b * \dot{\Delta}^{i} * \Delta^{n-i-1}$ is the union of $(i+1) n$-simplexes $K_{0}{ }^{n}, \ldots, K_{i}{ }^{n}$ such that the intersection of any $p$ of them is an $(n-p)$ simplex. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v\left(b * \dot{\Delta}^{i} * \Delta^{n-i-1}\right)=v\left(\bigcup_{j=0}^{i} K_{j}\right)=\sum_{j} v\left(K_{j}{ }^{n}\right)-\sum_{j<k} v\left(K_{j}{ }^{n} \cap K_{k}{ }^{n}\right) \\
&+\sum_{i<k<t} v\left(K_{j}{ }^{n} \cap K_{k}{ }^{n} \cap K_{l}{ }^{n}\right)-\ldots=\binom{i+1}{1} v\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \\
&-\binom{i+1}{2} v\left(\Delta^{n-1}\right)+\ldots+(-1)^{i}\binom{i+1}{i+1} v\left(\Delta^{n-i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Subtracting $v\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ produces the required equation.
Lemma 5. Let $y=\left(y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$ with $\chi(y)=0$. Then there exist integers $a_{i}$ such that

$$
y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \alpha_{i} .
$$

Proof. First note that $\left\{v\left(\Delta^{0}\right), v\left(\Delta^{1}\right), \ldots, v\left(\Delta^{n}\right)\right\}$ is a basis for $\mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$ since the matrix with these vectors as columns is upper triangular with ones along the diagonal. Next we show that $\left(\alpha_{n}, \alpha_{n-1}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}, v\left(\Delta^{n}\right)\right)$ is a basis for $\mathbf{Z}^{n+1}$. This follows from Lemma 4 , since the matrix with these vectors as columns is upper triangular with $\pm 1$ on the diagonal. Therefore there exist integers $z, a_{i}$ such that

$$
y=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \alpha_{i}+z v\left(\Delta^{n}\right)
$$

Finally

$$
0=\chi(y)=\sum a_{i} \chi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)+z \chi\left(\Delta^{n}\right)=z
$$

Proof of theorem. Let $K, L$ be complexes (still $n$-dimensional) and suppose $\chi(v(K))=\chi(v(L))$. Then

$$
\chi(v(K))-\chi(v(L))=\chi(v(K)-v(L))=0 .
$$

Hence by Lemma 5 there exist integers $a_{1}$ such that

$$
v(K)=v(L)+\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} \alpha_{i} .
$$

If $a_{i}=0$ for all $i$ then $v(K)=v(L)$ so $F(v(K))=F(v(L))$ and we are done. The rest of the proof is by induction on the largest integer $N$ such that $a_{N} \neq 0$. Suppose

$$
v(K)=v(L)+a_{N} \alpha_{N}+\sum_{i<N} a_{i} \alpha_{i},
$$

where we assume without loss of generality that $a_{N}>0$. If $N=n$ then we need merely to subdivide $L$ along an $n$-simplex $a_{N}$ successive times to produce a subdivision $L^{\prime}$ of $L$ such that $v\left(L^{\prime}\right)=v(L)+a_{n} \alpha_{N}$, (this is really a case of Lemma 3) and by condition (2) of the Theorem, $F\left(L^{\prime}\right)=$ $F(L)$. Thus

$$
v(K)=v\left(L^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{i<N} a_{i} \alpha_{i} .
$$

By the induction hypothesis $F(K)=F\left(L^{\prime}\right)$. If $N<n$ then we apply Lemma 2 to get complexes $\hat{K} \sim K$ and $\hat{L} \sim L$ such that
(i) $v(\hat{K})=v(K)+\alpha_{n}+\ldots+\alpha_{N+1}$

$$
v(\hat{L})=v(L)+\alpha_{n}+\ldots+\alpha_{N+1}
$$

(ii) $\hat{K}, \hat{L}$ each have an autonomous $N$-simplex.

Thus $F(K)=F(\hat{K}), F(L)=F(\hat{L})$ and

$$
v(\hat{K})=v(\hat{L})+a_{N} \alpha_{N}+\sum_{i<N} a_{i} \alpha_{i} .
$$

By Lemma 3 there is a subdivision $\hat{L}^{*}$ of $\hat{L}$ such that

$$
v\left(\hat{L}^{*}\right)=v(\hat{L})+a_{N} \alpha_{N}
$$

and (since it is a subdivision) $F\left(\hat{L}^{*}\right)=F(\hat{L})$. Thus

$$
v(\hat{K})=v\left(\hat{L}^{*}\right)+\sum_{i<N} a_{i} \alpha_{i}
$$

where

$$
F(\hat{K})=F(K) \text { and } F\left(\hat{L}^{*}\right)=F(L) .
$$

By the induction hypothesis $F(\hat{K})=F\left(\hat{L}^{*}\right)$ and the proof of the theorem is complete.

Remark. As a consequence of the theorem, and since topological invariants are subdivision invariants, the only topological invariants that can be computed from $v(K)$ are $\chi(K)$ and $\operatorname{dim} K$.

The only homotopy invariant that can be computed from $v(K)$ is $\chi(K)$. For if $\chi(K)=x(L)$ then $F(K)=F\left(K \vee \Delta^{N}\right)$ and $F(L)=$ $F\left(L \vee \Delta^{N}\right)$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(K \vee \Delta^{N}\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(L \mathbf{v} \Delta^{N}\right)$ if $N$ is chosen very large. $\chi\left(K \mathbf{v} \Delta^{N}\right)=\chi\left(L \mathbf{v} \Delta^{N}\right)$ so $F\left(K \mathbf{v} \Delta^{N}\right)=F\left(L \mathbf{v} \Delta^{N}\right)$ since homotopy invariants are subdivision invariants.

An argument similar to (buteasier than) the proof of the theorem shows that the only topological invariants that can be computed from the numbers $c_{i}(f)$ (the number of non-degenerate critical points of index $i$ of a Morse function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ on a compact manifold $M$ ) are $\chi(M)$ and $\operatorname{dim} M$.
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