

Dietary factors and rheumatoid arthritis: new perspectives from a Mendelian randomization analysis

Yidian Wang^{1, a, *}, Shouye Hu^{1, a}, Weisong Zhang^{1, a}, Binfei Zhang¹, Zhi Yang^{1, *}

¹Department of Joint Surgery, Honghui Hospital, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China.

***Corresponding author:** Zhi Yang, Department of Joint Surgery, Honghui Hospital, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, Email: [xgcgfd @126.com](mailto:xgcgfd@126.com). Yidian Wang, Department of Joint Surgery, Honghui Hospital, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China, Email: 1130414968@qq.com.

^aAuthors contributed equally

Running title: Dietary factors and rheumatoid arthritis



This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI

10.1017/S0007114524002721

The British Journal of Nutrition is published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Nutrition Society

Abstract

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a prevalent autoimmune disease, and there is growing evidence suggesting a potential correlation between dietary factors and the pathogenesis of this condition. In order to investigate the causal relationship between diet and RA, we conducted a two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) analysis to examine the causal associations between 22 dietary factors and RA. Summary data from genome-wide association studies (GWAS) of RA were obtained from large GWAS meta-analyses. GWAS summary data for 22 dietary factors were obtained from UK Biobank (UKB). Random-effects inverse variance weighted (IVW) was used as the primary method for assessing causality, and analyses of heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy were performed to ensure the accuracy of the results. Research indicates a negative genetic causal relationship between cereal intake (OR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.41 - 0.99, P = 0.048) and oily fish intake (OR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.52 - 0.95, P = 0.020) with the risk of RA. Other dietary factors were not causally related to RA. Sensitivity analysis shows that our results are reliable. This study provides genetic evidence suggesting that cereal intake and oily fish intake are protective factors for RA, indicating that RA patients and individuals at high risk should make appropriate dietary adjustments.

Keywords: Rheumatoid arthritis, Mendelian randomization, diet, single-nucleotide polymorphism, genome-wide association studies

Abbreviations: RA, Rheumatoid arthritis; MR, Mendelian randomization; GWAS, Genome-wide association studies; UKB, UK Biobank; IVW, Random-effects inverse variance weighted; IVs, Instrumental variables; LOO, leave-one-out; LTB4, Leukotriene B4; SNV, Single nucleotide variant.

1. Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a common autoimmune disease characterized by inflammatory changes in synovial tissue, cartilage, bone, and extra-articular areas of the joints⁽¹⁾. RA is widely distributed worldwide, with a global prevalence rate of approximately 0.5% to 2%⁽²⁾. As the global population ages, the prevalence of RA continues to increase. Due to the high cost of treatment, disability and mortality of RA, it imposes a serious economic burden on individuals and society⁽³⁾. The National Audit Office of the United Kingdom has reported that the annual healthcare expenditure for RA in the country amounts to an astonishing £560 million⁽⁴⁾. Despite the advancements in pharmacological interventions for RA, a definitive cure remains elusive, and therapeutic drugs entail inherent risks of infection, tumorigenesis, and allergic reactions. Therefore, exploring the risk factors of RA and adopting targeted treatment strategies play an important role in reducing the disease burden.

The etiology of RA has not yet been fully elucidated, and the interaction of genetic and environmental factors may play an important role in the pathogenesis of RA⁽⁵⁾. Recently, diet and nutrients have received considerable attention as potential environmental factors influencing disease development⁽⁶⁾. On the one hand, dietary factors may contribute to the development and progression of autoimmune diseases through pro-inflammatory and autoantibody induction^(7; 8). Observational studies have shown that red meat, salt, and excessive caloric intake can exacerbate the symptoms of RA through pro-inflammatory effects^(9; 10; 11). On the other hand, dietary control is often used as an adjunctive approach to manage RA symptoms, with particular attention given to the Mediterranean diet and anti-inflammatory diets^(12; 13; 14). Therefore, dietary factors may be directly related to the pathogenesis and treatment strategies of RA. It is of great significance for both clinical doctors and patients with RA to understand whether dietary changes are beneficial.

Mendelian randomization (MR) uses genetic variation as instrumental variables (IVs) and can be used to test for exposure-outcome causality^(15; 16). Compared with observational and randomized controlled studies, MR is effective in reducing the effects of confounding factors, reverse causality, and expensive research costs⁽¹⁶⁾. However, there are insufficient MR studies on the causal relationship between dietary factors and RA. Therefore, we conducted this MR analysis to explore the relationship between dietary factors and RA.

2. Methods

2.1 Study design

The study design is shown in Figure 1. In this study, we conducted a two-sample MR analysis to assess the causal relationship between dietary factors and RA. As the data used in this study were publicly available and anonymized, approval from an ethical review body was not required.

2.2 Data sources

In order to mitigate the impact of population stratification, all SNP and related data are derived solely from studies analyzing individuals of European ancestry. The summary data of RA is derived from a large-scale GWAS meta-analysis, which includes 58,284 European individuals (14,361 cases and 43,923 controls). Study design such as sample collection and quality control procedures are described in the original publication⁽¹⁷⁾. The exposure data for this study was obtained from the UK Biobank (UKB), which collected genetic and health data from approximately 500,000 individuals across various regions of the United Kingdom between 2006 and 2010⁽¹⁸⁾. The exposure factors involved in the study include meat intake (Beef intake, Pork intake, Poultry intake, Lamb/mutton intake, Non-oily fish intake and Oily fish intake), vegetable and fruit consumption (Dried fruit intake, Fresh fruit intake, Cooked vegetable intake and Salad/raw vegetable intake), beverage consumption (Alcohol consumed, Alcohol intake frequency, Coffee intake and Tea Intake), dairy product consumption (Cheese Intake and Milk Intake), nut consumption (Unsalted nuts Intake and Salted nuts Intake), cooking oil consumption (Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Butter and Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Olive oil), as well as other dietary types (Cereal Intake and Type of special diet followed: Low calorie). Table 1 lists more information about the exposure and outcome datasets.

2.3 Selection of instruments

In order to ensure the integrity and accuracy of the research findings, we employed the following high-quality procedures to select the optimal IVs. Firstly, we selected SNPs that were significant at a genome-wide level ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$) and independent ($r^2 < 0.001$ and distance $> 10000\text{kb}$) as the exposure IVs. In order to obtain sufficient IVs for Type of fat/oil

used in cooking: Butter, Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Olive oil, Alcohol consumed, Milk intake, Unsalted nuts intake, and Salted nuts intake, we adjusted the significance level to $p < 5 \times 10^{-6}$. In addition, SNPs highly correlated with the results were excluded in our study ($P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$). Thirdly, we harmonize the SNPs of exposure and outcome by removing or adjusting inconsistent alleles. Finally, the F statistic was used to ensure a strong association between IVs and exposure, and SNPs with an F statistic (calculated as $F = \beta^2_{\text{exposure}} / \text{SE}^2_{\text{exposure}}$) $< 10^{(19)}$ were excluded from the study.

2.4 Statistical analyses

Random-effects inverse variance weighted (IVW) was used as the main method to estimate the association between each dietary habit and RA risk. The IVW model combines the Wald ratios of each SNP to obtain a merged causal estimate, making it the most powerful method for detecting causal relationships in two-sample MR analysis⁽²⁰⁾. In addition, MR-Egger, weighted median and MR-PRESSO were applied as complementary methods to improve the reliability of the results. The weighted median allows for no more than 50% of invalid IVs, whereas the MR-Egger method allows for all IVs to be invalidated^(21; 22). The MR-PRESSO test is used to explore possible outliers and provide a corrective test by eliminating potential outliers⁽²³⁾. Heterogeneity in the IVW model was assessed by Cochran's Q test, when Cochran's Q test $p < 0.05$ indicated the presence of heterogeneity. MR-Egger intercept tests can be used to detect horizontal pleiotropy, with $p < 0.05$ indicating the presence of horizontal pleiotropy. In addition, to determine the effect of any individual SNP on causal estimates, we performed leave-one-out (LOO) analyses. Finally, we use the RadialMR method to detect outliers when there is heterogeneity and/or horizontal pleiotropy. Once an outlier is detected, it will be promptly removed and MR analysis will be conducted again. All data analysis was conducted using the Two-sample MR and RadialMR packages in R software (version 4.2.3). In this study, a causal effect was considered to exist when all these MR methods agreed in direction.

3. Results

3.1 Causal Effects of dietary factors on RA

In this study, we analyzed the causal relationship between dietary factors and RA using 22 different exposure factors. After removing 1 SNP each for Fresh fruit intake (rs586346), Non-oily fish intake (rs4318925), and Oily fish intake (rs34555420) that were significantly associated with the results ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), IVs were obtained for various dietary factors. F statistics were all greater than 10, suggesting that the IVs used in our study fulfill the requirement of a strong association with exposure. For more detailed information, please refer to Supplementary Table 1.

The random-effects IVW analysis revealed a negative genetic causal relationship between Cereal intake and RA (OR = 0.62, 95% CI: 0.39 - 0.99, P = 0.046) (Table 2, Figure 2A, 2B). Similar to the IVW results, MR Egger analysis yielded comparable findings (OR = 0.10, 95% CI: 0.01-0.81, P = 0.037), while the weighted median approach indicated no evidence of a genetic causal relationship (OR = 0.54, 95% CI: 0.29-1.01, P = 0.053) (Table 2, Figure 2A). In addition, the MR Egger model suggested a positive genetic causal relationship between Beef intake (OR = 577.13, 95% CI: 5.41-61573.25, P = 0.020) and Cheese intake (OR = 5.34, 95% CI: 1.43-19.87, P = 0.015) with RA (Table 2). However, both IVW and weighted median analyses indicated no evidence of a causal relationship between Beef intake and RA ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2). The weighted median indicated a positive genetic causal relationship between cheese intake (OR = 1.53, 95% CI: 1.02-2.31, P = 0.042) and RA, while the IVW model did not support this causal inference ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2). In addition, the weighted median (OR = 0.13, 95% CI: 0.03-0.62, P = 0.014) and MR Egger (OR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.36-0.84, P = 0.005) models indicated a negative genetic causal relationship between Oily fish intake and RA, while the IVW model did not support this causal inference ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2, Figure 2E, 2F). Based on the random-effects IVW results, this study also found that Pork intake, Poultry intake, Lamb/mutton intake, Non-oily fish intake, Dried fruit intake, Fresh fruit intake, Cooked vegetable intake, Salad / raw vegetable intake, Alcohol consumed, Alcohol intake frequency, Coffee intake, Tea intake, Milk intake, Unsalted nuts intake, Salted nuts intake, Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Butter, Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Olive oil

and Type of special diet followed: Low calorie were not associated with RA, and weighted median and MR Egger models supported these inferences ($P > 0.05$) (Table 2). Although heterogeneity was observed in a considerable number of exposures (Cochran's Q test $p < 0.05$) (Table 2), it does not necessarily imply that the random-effects IVW results are not robust. MR-Egger intercept tests showed horizontal pleiotropy for Beef intake, Cheese intake and Oily fish intake ($p < 0.05$) (Table 2). LOO analyses demonstrated that the association between Cereal intake and Oily fish intake with RA remained consistent regardless of individual SNPs (Figure 2C, 2G), while the symmetry of funnel plots was maintained (Figure 2D, 2H).

3.2 Causal Effects of dietary factors on RA after removing outliers

To assess whether the heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy detected in the MR analysis were affected by outliers detected by RadialMR. We conducted MR analysis again after removing the outliers detected by RadialMR (Figure 3E, 3J) to assess the genetic association between dietary factors and RA. For detailed information on outliers, please refer to Supplementary Table 2.

After removing outliers, the IVW analysis revealed a negative genetic causal relationship between Cereal intake (OR = 0.64, 95% CI: 0.41 – 0.99, $P = 0.048$) and RA (Table 3, Figure 3A, 3B). However, both the weighted median and MR Egger models indicated no genetic causal relationship between Cereal intake and RA ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3). IVW results also suggested a negative genetic causality between Oily fish intake (OR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.52 - 0.95, $P = 0.020$) and RA, and the weighted median supported this inference of causality (OR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.36- 0.86, $P = 0.008$), whereas MR Egger models indicated no genetic causality ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3, Figure 3F, 3G). The causal estimates obtained by the MR-PRESSO correction method showed consistent results with IVW and no further outliers were detected (Table 3). Furthermore, random-effects IVW, MR Egger and MR-PRESSO correction methods suggested that genetically determined Beef intake was positively associated with RA ($p < 0.05$). However, due to the presence of horizontal pleiotropy (MR-Egger intercept tests $p < 0.05$) (Table 3), a causal relationship between Beef intake and RA could not be established. After removing outliers, the random-effects IVW results showed that Pork intake, Poultry intake, Lamb/mutton intake, Non-oily fish intake,

Dried fruit intake, Fresh fruit intake, Cooked vegetable intake, Salad / raw vegetable intake, Alcohol consumed, Alcohol intake frequency, Coffee intake, Tea intake, Milk intake, Cheese intake, Unsalted nuts intake, Salted nuts intake, Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Butter, Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Olive oil and Type of special diet followed: Low calorie were not associated with RA, and the weighted median and MR Egger models supported these inferences ($P > 0.05$) (Table 3). Cochrane's Q test in IVW suggested that there was no heterogeneity except Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Butter ($p < 0.05$) (Table 3). MR-Egger intercept tests showed the presence of horizontal pleiotropy ($p < 0.05$) for Beef intake (Table 3). LOO analysis demonstrates a robust causal relationship between Cereal intake and Oily fish intake with RA (Figure 3C, 3H), as evidenced by the symmetrical funnel plots (Figure 3D, 3I).

4. Discussion

Our results suggest that Cereal intake and Oily fish intake are protective factors for RA. Both prior to and subsequent to the exclusion of outliers, the IVW model consistently demonstrated a negative genetic causality between Cereal intake and RA, while this causal inference was further supported by MR-PRESSO. When analyzing the causal relationship between Oily fish intake and RA, previous weighted median (OR = 0.13, 95% CI: 0.03-0.62, $P = 0.014$) and MR Egger (OR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.36-0.84, $P = 0.005$) models indicated a causal association between Oily fish intake and RA. After removing outliers, the IVW method (OR = 0.70, 95% CI: 0.52 - 0.95, $P = 0.020$), weighted median (OR = 0.55, 95% CI: 0.36-0.86, $P = 0.008$), and MR-PRESSO correction method ($P < 0.05$) all support this causal inference, while the MR Egger model suggests no genetic causality between Oily fish intake and RA ($P > 0.05$). As for Beef intake, although the random-effects IVW, MR Egger, and MR-PRESSO correction methods all suggest a positive correlation between genetically determined Beef intake and RA ($P < 0.05$), the presence of horizontal pleiotropy (MR-Egger intercept tests $p < 0.05$) hinders causal inference. In addition, our results suggest that there is no causal relationship between RA and the other 19 dietary factors. In this study, we minimized heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy in the MR analysis by removing outliers, which suggests that our results are robust. RA joint symptoms and extra-articular

complications have always seriously affected the quality of life of RA patients. For individuals at high risk of RA, adjusting dietary habits may help reduce the risk of developing RA. Therefore, this study is of great significance in deepening our understanding of the risk factors and protective factors for RA.

Currently, an increasing number of studies suggest that diet plays a crucial regulatory role in RA, as different diets can impact inflammation response, antigen presentation, and redox balance⁽²⁴⁾. Meat intake is often a concern for people with RA, and this includes both red meat (e.g. beef, lamb and pork) and white meat (e.g. poultry and fish). Studies have shown that red meat contains high levels of saturated fatty acids and heme iron, while white meat is richer in unsaturated fatty acids, amino acids, vitamin B and calcium than red meat, and the protein composition is closer to that of the human body^(25; 26). Several observational studies have shown a negative association between fish intake and RA risk, while no significant association was found between poultry intake and RA risk^(27; 28). Our MR study showed that oily fish intake was a protective factor for RA, whereas poultry intake was not associated with RA. Compared to non-oily fish, oily fish are rich in Omega-3 fatty acids⁽²⁹⁾, which serve as lipid mediators involved in limiting and resolving inflammatory responses⁽³⁰⁾. In addition, omega-3 fatty acids also participate in immune regulation, manifested by reducing the production of pro-inflammatory eicosanoids by human macrophages and decreasing neutrophil chemotaxis induced by leukotriene B4 (LTB4) as well as adhesion to endothelial cells⁽³¹⁾. These factors may be associated with the protective effect of oily fish intake against RA. Compared to white meat, higher intake of red meat is positively associated with inflammatory metabolic markers (such as trimethylamine-N-oxide, tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin-6, and C-reactive protein) and blood lipid levels^(32; 33). Furthermore, the heme iron present in red meat has been shown to elicit oxidative stress and contribute to the development of chronic ailments⁽³⁴⁾. Meanwhile, red meat also affects the human immune system and is associated with the onset of allergic diseases and autoimmune diseases^(35; 36). Studies have shown that excessive consumption of red meat is associated with an increased risk of inflammatory polyarthritis⁽³⁷⁾. In a cross-sectional study involving 707 patients, it was found that compared to RA patients with low red meat intake, those with high red meat

consumption had an earlier onset of the disease⁽⁹⁾. However, not all studies indicate a correlation between the consumption of red meat and RA. Asoudeh et al.⁽²⁸⁾ conducted a meta-analysis which revealed no association between red meat intake and the risk of RA, consistent with several convergent studies^(10; 27). Our research indicates that there is no causal relationship between the intake of pork and lamb and the risk of RA. For beef intake, although the IVW, MR Egger, and MR-PRESSO methods all suggest a positive genetic correlation between genetically determined beef intake and RA ($P < 0.05$), we cannot establish a causal relationship between the two due to horizontal pleiotropy. In a recent MR study, Chen et al. found that there was a positive association between beef consumption and an increased risk of RA (OR: 3.05; 95% CI: 1.11–8.35; $P = 0.030$)⁽³⁸⁾. However, LOO analysis showed unstable association between beef intake and RA. Therefore, more skillful MR studies and randomized controlled studies are needed in the future to further reveal the relationship between beef intake and RA.

Fruits and vegetables are known to provide a variety of nutrients with anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties, which are believed to reduce the risk of RA⁽²⁴⁾. However, a Danish study showed no association between RA risk and intake of citrus fruits and vegetables⁽³⁹⁾. In addition, a recent study has shown that a high-fiber diet (rich in vegetables and fruits) synergistically interacts with *Prevotella* bacteria, exacerbating the progression of RA⁽⁴⁰⁾. Our study showed that vegetable and fruit intake was not associated with RA risk. In RA, the inflammatory response is often accompanied by NLRP3 inflammasome activation and increased IL-1 β expression⁽⁴¹⁾. Caloric restriction has been shown to exhibit anti-inflammatory effects by reducing the production of IL-1 β mediated by NLRP3 inflammasome^{(42) (43)}. Thus, caloric restriction may have a potentially beneficial effect on RA symptoms and disease activity by inhibiting NLRP3 inflammatory vesicles⁽⁴⁴⁾. However, our study showed no causal relationship between a low-calorie diet and RA. In addition, cereals as a part of the Mediterranean diet are believed to have a beneficial effect in alleviating symptoms of RA⁽¹³⁾. Similarly, in this study, we found a negative correlation between grain intake and the risk of RA, providing strong new evidence for further understanding the relationship between grain intake and RA.

Tea, coffee, and alcohol are commonly consumed beverages in daily life. They are believed to be involved in the development of human diseases through inflammatory responses, oxidative stress, and gut microbiota⁽⁴⁵⁾. Despite numerous observational studies on the potential association between tea, coffee, and alcohol consumption and the risk of RA, these studies have yielded conflicting results. A large case-control study from Sweden found no significant correlation between coffee consumption and the risk of RA⁽⁴⁶⁾, while a meta-analysis by Asoudeh et al. indicated that higher coffee intake is associated with an increased risk of RA⁽⁴⁷⁾. In terms of tea intake, Jin et al. showed that tea intake was associated with reduced disease activity in RA⁽⁴⁸⁾. However, a recent prospective cohort study conducted in France suggests that tea and alcohol intake are not associated with the risk of RA, while coffee consumption is correlated with a higher risk of RA⁽⁴⁹⁾. Our research indicates that there is no causal relationship between the consumption of tea, coffee, and alcohol and RA. So far, there is still controversy surrounding the association between milk and dairy products and the risk of RA. The EPI (EPIC-Norfolk) study reported a positive correlation between milk intake and the occurrence of RA⁽³⁷⁾, while a large prospective cohort study in Sweden reported no association between dairy consumption and the development of RA⁽⁵⁰⁾. This study found that milk and cheese intake was not associated with RA risk. In addition, although some studies have suggested a negative correlation between olive oil intake and the risk of RA, recommending it as part of the diet for RA patients^(51; 52), our research indicates that there is no association between olive oil or butter consumption and the risk of RA.

Considering that oily fish intake and cereal intake were inversely associated with RA risk, we identified the top three causal SNPs and searched their corresponding genes in the PubMed database. It is worth noting that rs184643, rs4410790 and rs62442924 in cereal intake may have a significant impact on reducing the incidence of RA (Figure 3B). (1) rs184643, a single nucleotide variant (SNV) that has not been described in detail in the literature. (2) rs4410790, a SNV of LOC101927609, but its specific role has not yet been reported. (3) rs62442924, a SNV of MAD1L1. MAD1L1 is a checkpoint gene whose expression changes are associated with chromosomal instability⁽⁵³⁾. rs10513136, rs12663865 and rs6059844 in oily fish intake may have a significant impact on reducing the incidence of

RA (Figure 3G). (1) rs10513136, SNV of ZBTB38. ZBTB38 is located on chromosome 3q23 and is a zinc finger transcription factor that affects multiple biological processes such as cell proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis^(54; 55; 56). (2) rs12663865, a SNV that has not been described in detail in the literature. (3) rs6059844, SNV of ITCH. ITCH is an E3 ubiquitin ligase involved in protein degradation in cells⁽⁵⁷⁾. By regulating key molecules in multiple signaling pathways, ITCH plays an important role in cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, immune regulation and inflammatory response^(58; 59; 60).

The main advantages of this work include: We use large-scale GWAS aggregate data to demonstrate the causal axis between dietary factors and RA, which effectively avoids the influence of confounding factors and reverse causality. In addition, in order to ensure the accuracy of MR analysis, we carried out sensitivity and multiple effects analysis to make our conclusions more credible. However, our research also has limitations. Firstly, due to the lack of GWAS data on different genders, we are unable to conduct gender-stratified analysis, which may affect the causal estimation of certain exposures (such as alcohol, coffee, and tea). Secondly, MR analysis provides an overall causal estimation between different exposures and RA, but it cannot be precise for each individual factor (such as each type of fruit and vegetable). Thirdly, diets in daily life are often combinatorial, and we cannot distinguish the effects of different dietary combinations. Fourth, MR analysis reflects the long-term effects of exposure to relevant factors, and short-term exposure may not have clinical significance. Fifth, there is no information on the staging or classification criteria of RA in the original GWAS dataset, which prevents us from conducting relevant analysis. Therefore, further research is needed in the future and the results should be interpreted with caution. Finally, the population in this study was predominantly European, so the findings may not be generalizable to other ethnic groups.

In conclusion, our study suggests that cereal and oily fish intake are protective factors for RA. These findings may assist clinicians in enhancing health education for patients with RA and encouraging them to modify their dietary habits. However, due to the inherent limitations of the research and the specific mechanisms by which dietary factors affect RA still not fully understood, caution should be exercised in interpreting the results.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to all studies that released GWAS summary statistics for use in this study.

Authors' contributions

YW, SH, WZ and ZY conceived the idea for the study. YW and ZY obtained the genetic data. YW, WZ, and SH performed the data analyses. YW and BZ interpreted the results of the data analyses. All authors wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Key Research and Development Program of Shaanxi Province (Program No. 2021SF-237) , the Key Research and Development Program of Shaanxi Province (Program No. 2021SF-250) and the Cultivation Project of Xi'an Health and Construction Commission (Program No.2021ms10).

Availability of data and materials

All data used in this study are publicly available, and the source of the data are described in the main text.

Declarations

Conflict of interest

Yidian Wang, Shouye Hu, Weisong Zhang, Binfei Zhang and Zhi Yang declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All the exposure and outcome data were released by previous studies that had passed the ethical review of institutional review boards (IRBs). This study does not require additional ethical review.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

References

1. Xu L, Chang C, Jiang P *et al.* (2022) Metabolomics in rheumatoid arthritis: Advances and review. *Front Immunol* **13**, 961708.
2. Yuan J, Xiong X, Zhang B *et al.* (2022) Genetically predicted C-reactive protein mediates the association between rheumatoid arthritis and atlantoaxial subluxation. *Frontiers In Endocrinology* **13**, 1054206.
3. Wu D, Luo Y, Li T *et al.* (2022) Systemic complications of rheumatoid arthritis: Focus on pathogenesis and treatment. *Front Immunol* **13**, 1051082.
4. Fazal SA, Khan M, Nishi SE *et al.* (2018) A Clinical Update and Global Economic Burden of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Endocr Metab Immune Disord Drug Targets* **18**.
5. Guo H-Y, Wang W, Peng H *et al.* (2022) Bidirectional two-sample Mendelian randomization study of causality between rheumatoid arthritis and myocardial infarction. *Front Immunol* **13**, 1017444.
6. Lucchino B, Spinelli FR, Iannuccelli C *et al.* (2019) Mucosa-Environment Interactions in the Pathogenesis of Rheumatoid Arthritis. *Cells* **8**.
7. Petta I, Fraussen J, Somers V *et al.* (2018) Interrelation of Diet, Gut Microbiome, and Autoantibody Production. *Front Immunol* **9**, 439.
8. Camps-Bossacoma M, Abril-Gil M, Saldaña-Ruiz S *et al.* (2016) Cocoa Diet Prevents Antibody Synthesis and Modifies Lymph Node Composition and Functionality in a Rat Oral Sensitization Model. *Nutrients* **8**, 242.
9. Jin J, Li J, Gan Y *et al.* (2021) Red meat intake is associated with early onset of rheumatoid arthritis: a cross-sectional study. *Scientific Reports* **11**, 5681.
10. Overgaard SH, Sørensen SB, Munk HL *et al.* (2022) Impact of fibre and red/processed meat intake on treatment outcomes among patients with chronic inflammatory diseases initiating biological therapy: A prospective cohort study. *Frontiers In Nutrition* **9**, 985732.

11. Manzel A, Muller DN, Hafler DA *et al.* (2014) Role of "Western diet" in inflammatory autoimmune diseases. *Curr Allergy Asthma Rep* **14**, 404.
12. Schönenberger KA, Schüpfer A-C, Gloy VL *et al.* (2021) Effect of Anti-Inflammatory Diets on Pain in Rheumatoid Arthritis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Nutrients* **13**.
13. Papandreou P, Gioxari A, Daskalou E *et al.* (2023) Mediterranean Diet and Physical Activity Nudges versus Usual Care in Women with Rheumatoid Arthritis: Results from the MADEIRA Randomized Controlled Trial. *Nutrients* **15**.
14. Forsyth C, Kouvari M, D'Cunha NM *et al.* (2018) The effects of the Mediterranean diet on rheumatoid arthritis prevention and treatment: a systematic review of human prospective studies. *Rheumatol Int* **38**, 737-747.
15. Sekula P, Del Greco M F, Pattaro C *et al.* (2016) Mendelian Randomization as an Approach to Assess Causality Using Observational Data. *J Am Soc Nephrol* **27**, 3253-3265.
16. Emdin CA, Khera AV, Kathiresan S (2017) Mendelian Randomization. *JAMA* **318**, 1925-1926.
17. Ha E, Bae S-C, Kim K (2021) Large-scale meta-analysis across East Asian and European populations updated genetic architecture and variant-driven biology of rheumatoid arthritis, identifying 11 novel susceptibility loci. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* **80**, 558-565.
18. Rusk N (2018) The UK Biobank. *Nat Methods* **15**, 1001.
19. Lin L, Luo P, Yang M *et al.* (2022) Causal relationship between osteoporosis and osteoarthritis: A two-sample Mendelian randomized study. *Frontiers In Endocrinology* **13**, 1011246.
20. Hartwig FP, Davey Smith G, Bowden J (2017) Robust inference in summary data Mendelian randomization via the zero modal pleiotropy assumption. *Int J Epidemiol* **46**, 1985-1998.
21. Luo S, Au Yeung SL, Zuber V *et al.* (2020) Impact of Genetically Predicted Red Blood Cell Traits on Venous Thromboembolism: Multivariable Mendelian Randomization Study Using UK Biobank. *J Am Heart Assoc* **9**, e016771.

22. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC *et al.* (2016) Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. *Genet Epidemiol* **40**, 304-314.
23. Verbanck M, Chen C-Y, Neale B *et al.* (2018) Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. *Nat Genet* **50**, 693-698.
24. Rondanelli M, Perdoni F, Peroni G *et al.* (2021) Ideal food pyramid for patients with rheumatoid arthritis: A narrative review. *Clinical Nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)* **40**, 661-689.
25. Bergeron N, Chiu S, Williams PT *et al.* (2019) Effects of red meat, white meat, and nonmeat protein sources on atherogenic lipoprotein measures in the context of low compared with high saturated fat intake: a randomized controlled trial. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **110**, 24-33.
26. Shi W, Huang X, Schooling CM *et al.* (2023) Red meat consumption, cardiovascular diseases, and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur Heart J* **44**, 2626-2635.
27. Hatami E, Aghajani M, Pourmasoumi M *et al.* (2022) The relationship between animal flesh foods consumption and rheumatoid arthritis: a case-control study. *Nutr J* **21**, 51.
28. Asoudeh F, Jayedi A, Kaviani Z *et al.* (2021) A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies on the association between animal protein sources and risk of rheumatoid arthritis. *Clinical Nutrition (Edinburgh, Scotland)* **40**, 4644-4652.
29. Astore C, Nagpal S, Gibson G (2022) Mendelian Randomization Indicates a Causal Role for Omega-3 Fatty Acids in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Int J Mol Sci* **23**.
30. Balić A, Vlašić D, Žužul K *et al.* (2020) Omega-3 Versus Omega-6 Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in the Prevention and Treatment of Inflammatory Skin Diseases. *Int J Mol Sci* **21**.
31. Calder PC (2017) Omega-3 fatty acids and inflammatory processes: from molecules to man. *Biochem Soc Trans* **45**, 1105-1115.
32. Shiraseb F, Hosseininasab D, Mirzababaei A *et al.* (2022) Red, white, and processed meat consumption related to inflammatory and metabolic biomarkers among overweight and obese women. *Frontiers In Nutrition* **9**, 1015566.

33. Graff E, Vedantam S, Parianos M *et al.* (2023) Dietary Intake and Systemic Inflammation: Can We Use Food as Medicine? *Curr Nutr Rep* **12**, 247-254.
34. Tappel A (2007) Heme of consumed red meat can act as a catalyst of oxidative damage and could initiate colon, breast and prostate cancers, heart disease and other diseases. *Medical Hypotheses* **68**, 562-564.
35. Löfvenborg JE, Ahlqvist E, Alfredsson L *et al.* (2021) Consumption of red meat, genetic susceptibility, and risk of LADA and type 2 diabetes. *European Journal of Nutrition* **60**, 769-779.
36. Reddy S, Yi L, Shields B *et al.* (2023) Alpha-gal syndrome: A review for the dermatologist. *J Am Acad Dermatol* **89**, 750-757.
37. Pattison DJ, Symmons DPM, Lunt M *et al.* (2004) Dietary risk factors for the development of inflammatory polyarthritis: evidence for a role of high level of red meat consumption. *Arthritis Rheum* **50**, 3804-3812.
38. Chen W, Liu K, Huang L *et al.* (2022) Beef intake and risk of rheumatoid arthritis: Insights from a cross-sectional study and two-sample Mendelian randomization. *Frontiers In Nutrition* **9**, 923472.
39. Di Giuseppe D, Wallin A, Bottai M *et al.* (2014) Long-term intake of dietary long-chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and risk of rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective cohort study of women. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* **73**, 1949-1953.
40. Jiang L, Shang M, Yu S *et al.* (2022) A high-fiber diet synergizes with Prevotella copri and exacerbates rheumatoid arthritis. *Cellular & Molecular Immunology* **19**, 1414-1424.
41. Li Z, Guo J, Bi L (2020) Role of the NLRP3 inflammasome in autoimmune diseases. *Biomed Pharmacother* **130**, 110542.
42. Kjeldsen-Kragh J, Haugen M, Borchgrevink CF *et al.* (1991) Controlled trial of fasting and one-year vegetarian diet in rheumatoid arthritis. *Lancet* **338**, 899-902.
43. Sköldstam L, Larsson L, Lindström FD (1979) Effect of fasting and lactovegetarian diet on rheumatoid arthritis. *Scand J Rheumatol* **8**, 249-255.
44. Müller H, de Toledo FW, Resch KL (2001) Fasting followed by vegetarian diet in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic review. *Scand J Rheumatol* **30**.

45. Dey M, Cutolo M, Nikiphorou E (2020) Beverages in Rheumatoid Arthritis: What to Prefer or to Avoid. *Nutrients* **12**.
46. Westerlind H, Dukuzimana J, Lu X *et al.* (2022) Investigation of the association between coffee and risk of RA-results from the Swedish EIRA study. *Arthritis Research & Therapy* **24**, 178.
47. Asoudeh F, Dashti F, Jayedi A *et al.* (2022) Caffeine, Coffee, Tea and Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis: Systematic Review and Dose-Response Meta-analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies. *Frontiers In Nutrition* **9**, 822557.
48. Jin J, Li J, Gan Y *et al.* (2020) Tea Consumption Is Associated with Decreased Disease Activity of Rheumatoid Arthritis in a Real-World, Large-Scale Study. *Ann Nutr Metab* **76**, 54-61.
49. Ascione S, Barde F, Artaud F *et al.* (2023) Association between beverage consumption and risk of rheumatoid arthritis: a prospective study from the French E3N Cohort. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* **62**, 1814-1823.
50. Sundström B, Ljung L, Di Giuseppe D (2019) Consumption of Meat and Dairy Products Is Not Associated with the Risk for Rheumatoid Arthritis among Women: A Population-Based Cohort Study. *Nutrients* **11**.
51. Linos A, Kaklamani VG, Kaklamani E *et al.* (1999) Dietary factors in relation to rheumatoid arthritis: a role for olive oil and cooked vegetables? *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* **70**, 1077-1082.
52. Gioia C, Lucchino B, Tarsitano MG *et al.* (2020) Dietary Habits and Nutrition in Rheumatoid Arthritis: Can Diet Influence Disease Development and Clinical Manifestations? *Nutrients* **12**.
53. Sun Q, Zhang X, Liu T *et al.* (2013) Increased expression of mitotic arrest deficient-like 1 (MAD1L1) is associated with poor prognosis and insensitive to Taxol treatment in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* **140**, 323-330.
54. Oikawa Y, Matsuda E, Nishii T *et al.* (2008) Down-regulation of CIBZ, a novel substrate of caspase-3, induces apoptosis. *J Biol Chem* **283**, 14242-14247.
55. Ding G, Lu W, Zhang Q *et al.* (2021) ZBTB38 suppresses prostate cancer cell

- proliferation and migration via directly promoting DKK1 expression. *Cell Death Dis* **12**, 998.
56. Jing J, Liu J, Wang Y *et al.* (2019) The role of ZBTB38 in promoting migration and invasive growth of bladder cancer cells. *Oncol Rep* **41**, 1980-1990.
57. Rossi M, De Laurenzi V, Munarriz E *et al.* (2005) The ubiquitin-protein ligase Itch regulates p73 stability. *EMBO J* **24**, 836-848.
58. Kong D, Gu R, Zhang C *et al.* (2020) Knockdown of hsa_circ_0059955 Induces Apoptosis and Cell Cycle Arrest in Nucleus Pulposus Cells via Inhibiting Itchy E3 Ubiquitin Protein Ligase. *Drug Des Devel Ther* **14**, 3951-3963.
59. Li Y, Ge Y-Z, Xu L *et al.* (2020) Circular RNA ITCH: A novel tumor suppressor in multiple cancers. *Life Sci* **254**, 117176.
60. Lin X, Zhang H, Boyce BF *et al.* (2020) Ubiquitination of interleukin-1 α is associated with increased pro-inflammatory polarization of murine macrophages deficient in the E3 ligase ITCH. *J Biol Chem* **295**, 11764-11775.




Figure 1. An overview of the study design and assumptions. The MR studies should adhere to the following assumptions: Assumption 1: Instrumental variables (IVs) should be robustly associated with exposure factors. Assumption 2: IVs must not be associated with any confounding factors related to exposure and outcome. Assumption 3: The selected IVs influence the risk of outcomes only through exposure factors.




Figure 2. MR analysis of Cereal intake and Oily fish intake and RA risk. (A and E) Scatter plot. (B and F) forest plot. (C and G) leave-one-out analysis. (D and H) funnel plot.




Figure 3. MR analysis of cereal and oily fish intake and RA risk after removal of outliers. (A and F) Scatter plot. (B and G) forest plot. (C and H) leave-one-out analysis. (D and I) funnel plot. (E and J) RadialMR plot.

Table 1. Sources and characteristics of the RA and dietary factors GWAS pooled data used in this study.

Phenotype	Sample size (total or cases/controls)	Ancestry	Consortia	PubMed ID or GWAS ID
RA (Ha et al)	14361/43923	European	GWAS meta-analysis study	33310728
Alcohol consumed	31833 /33116	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-10923
Alcohol intake frequency	462346	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-5779
Beef intake	461053	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-2862
Cereal intake	441640	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-15926
Cheese intake	451486	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-1489
Coffee intake	428860	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-5237
Cooked vegetable intake	448651	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-8089
Dried fruit intake	421764	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-16576
Fresh fruit intake	446462	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-3881
Lamb/mutton intake	460006	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-14179
Milk intake	64943	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-2966
Non-oily fish intake	460880	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-17627
Oily fish intake	460443	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-2209
Pork intake	460162	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-5640
Poultry intake	461900	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-8006
Salad / raw vegetable intake	435435	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-1996
Salted nuts intake	64949	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-15960
Tea intake	447485	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-6066
Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Butter	64949	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-2341
Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Olive oil	64949	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-3875
Type of special diet followed: Low calorie	64949	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-15768
Unsalted nuts intake	64949	European	MRC-IEU	ukb-b-12217

Table 2. Results of Mendelian randomisation analysis: causality, heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy.

Exposure	Used SNPs	IVW		MR-Egger		Weighted median		Cochrane's Q		MR-	Egger
		OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value	Q	P-value	intercept	
Alcohol consumed	14	0.95 (0.63 - 1.44)	0.824	0.78 (0.37- 1.66)	0.533	0.83 (0.48- 1.43)	0.495	12.07	0.522	0.006	0.546
Alcohol intake frequency	94	1.04 (0.85 - 1.44)	0.720	1.02 (0.75- 1.39)	0.910	1.05 (0.92- 1.21)	0.464	377.00	7.48E-36	0.001	0.869
Beef intake	14	2.26 (0.96 - 5.34)	0.062	577.13 (5.41- 61573.25)	0.020	2.17 (0.72- 6.56)	0.171	18.03	0.156	-0.069	0.036
Cereal intake	36	0.62 (0.39 - 0.99)	0.046	0.10 (0.01- 0.81)	0.037	0.54 (0.29- 1.01)	0.053	50.92	0.040	0.026	0.087
Cheese intake	62	1.22 (0.88 - 1.70)	0.240	5.34 (1.43- 19.87)	0.015	1.53 (1.02- 2.31)	0.042	107.52	2.21E-04	-0.025	0.027
Coffee intake	37	1.18 (0.79 - 1.76)	0.429	1.47 (0.60- 3.62)	0.405	1.52 (0.88- 2.62)	0.132	51.48	0.046	-0.004	0.587
Cooked vegetable intake	16	0.90 (0.31 - 2.63)	0.848	1.65 (0.00- 580076.23)	0.940	0.63 (0.22- 1.80)	0.387	34.64	0.003	-0.006	0.927

Salad / raw vegetable intake	14	0.60 - 1.44)	(0.25 0.251	0.84 82.70)	(0.01- 0.941	0.66 2.12)	(0.21- 0.486	8.42	0.815	-0.003	0.886
Dried fruit intake	39	0.93 - 1.46)	(0.59 0.749	0.53 4.60)	(0.06- 0.572	0.76 1.36)	(0.43- 0.362	49.08	0.108	0.007	0.609
Fresh fruit intake	49	0.57 - 1.05)	(0.31 0.072	0.38 3.70)	(0.04- 0.408	0.71 1.63)	(0.31- 0.424	86.69	5.27E-04	0.004	0.719
Lamb/mutton intake	29	0.82 - 1.63)	(0.41 0.571	4.85 124.01)	(0.19- 0.348	0.81 1.87)	(0.35- 0.625	44.49	0.025	-0.019	0.281
Milk intake	18	1.57 - 2.77)	(0.88 0.125	3.91 22.58)	(0.68- 0.147	1.52 3.39)	(0.68- 0.303	16.18	0.511	-0.016	0.294
Non-oily fish intake	10	0.71 - 1.64)	(0.31 0.429	1.22 112.33)	(0.01- 0.932	0.53 1.55)	(0.18- 0.247	4.87	0.845	-0.006	0.818
Oily fish intake	57	0.82 - 1.21)	(0.56 0.328	0.13 0.62)	(0.03- 0.014	0.55 0.84)	(0.36- 0.005	102.67	1.44E-04	0.027	0.021
Pork intake	14	0.81 - 2.89)	(0.23 0.744	0.25 1001.63)	(0.00- 0.750	1.18 3.49)	(0.40- 0.763	38.91	2.06E-04	0.012	0.785
Poultry intake	7	1.31 - 6.58)	(0.26 0.743	7.66E-14 (2.69E-32-	0.222	0.50 1.84)	(0.14- 0.298	16.72	0.010	0.331	0.219

					217943.99)									
Unsalted nuts intake	13	0.67	(0.36 - 1.24)	0.202	1.46 (9.73)	(0.22- 0.706	0.59 (0.24- 1.44)	0.246	8.44	0.750	-0.019	0.411		
Salted nuts intake	18	0.64	(0.28 - 1.44)	0.282	1.27 (5.46)	(0.30- 0.751	0.61 (0.20- 1.85)	0.384	20.14	0.267	-0.014	0.284		
Tea intake	35	1.07	(0.75 - 1.52)	0.698	1.01 (2.55)	(0.40- 0.980	1.42 (0.92- 2.20)	0.117	54.41	0.015	0.001	0.895		
Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Butter	3	1.67	(0.01 - 242.05)	0.841	4.37E+09(2.60E-31-7.33E+49)	(0.720	0.35 (0.01- 9.41)	0.531	8.87	0.012	-0.278	0.726		
Type of fat/oil used in cooking: Olive oil	8	1.37	(0.62 - 3.03)	0.436	9.78 (151.81)	(0.63- 0.154	2.21 (0.72- 6.83)	0.168	5.95	0.545	-0.035	0.193		
Type of special diet followed: Low calorie	6	0.48	(0.13 - 1.80)	0.278	0.24 (2543.25)	(2.21E-05- 0.776	0.35 (0.06- 1.94)	0.228	4.85	0.434	0.007	0.887		

Table 3. Results of Mendelian randomization analysis after removal of outliers: causality, heterogeneity and horizontal pleiotropy.

Exposure	Radial MR	Use of SNPs	IVW		MR-Egger		Weighted median		Cochrane's Q test		MR-intercept	Egger	MR-PRESSO
			OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value	OR (95%CI)	P-value	Q	P-value	MR-Egger intercept	P-value	P-value (Raw)
Alcohol consumed	NA	14	0.95 (0.63 - 1.44)	0.824	0.78 (0.37-1.66)	0.533	0.83 (0.48-1.43)	0.495	12.0	0.522	0.006	0.546	0.821
Alcohol intake frequency	YES	83	1.06 (0.96 - 1.44)	0.272	1.04 (0.89-1.21)	0.628	1.05(0.91-1.22)	0.484	63.7	0.933	0.001	0.723	0.217
Beef intake	YES	13	2.63 (1.17 - 5.87)	0.019	740.577.34-74678.87)	0.017	2.19(0.74-6.50)	0.158	14.0	0.298	-0.070	0.033	0.037
Cereal	YES	29	0.64	0.048	0.40	0.388	0.59(0.31-	0.108	15.4	0.973	0.007	0.650	0.013

intake			(0.41 –		(0.05 –		1.12)		5					
			0.99)		3.08)									
Cheese intake	YES	54	1.29	0.072	2.54	0.123	1.45(0.93-	0.101	53.5	0.455	-0.011	0.246	0.078	
			(0.98 –		(0.79 –		2.25)		1					
			1.70)		8.14)									
Coffee intake	YES	34	1.27	0.183	1.53	0.290	1.52(0.90-	0.117	34.7	0.384	-0.003	0.598	0.192	
			(0.89 –		(0.70 –		2.58)		6					
			1.81)		3.33)									
Cooked vegetable intake	YES	12	0.61	0.240	6630.89	0.125	0.56(0.18-	0.311	8.25	0.690	-0.100	0.107	0.202	
			(0.27 –		(0.22 –		1.72)							
			1.38)		1.97E+08									
)									
Salad raw vegetable intake	NA	14	0.60	0.251	0.84	0.941	0.66(0.21-	0.486	8.42	0.815	-0.003	0.886	0.177	
			(0.25 -		(0.01-		2.12)							
			1.44)		82.70)									
Dried fruit intake	NA	39	0.93	0.749	0.53	0.572	0.76(0.43-	0.362	49.0	0.108	0.007	0.609	0.750	
			(0.59 -		(0.06-		1.36)		8					
			1.46)		4.60)									

Fresh fruit intake	YES	41	0.74 (0.44 - 1.24)	0.254	0.88 (0.14- 5.46)	0.891	0.78(0.34- 1.79)	0.560	40.6 7	0.441	-0.002	0.850	0.261
Lamb/mutton intake	YES	25	0.76 (0.42 - 1.36)	0.350	1.93 (0.12- 31.57)	0.650	0.76(0.33- 1.74)	0.516	18.7 4	0.766	-0.010	0.509	0.990
Milk intake	NA	18	1.57 (0.88 - 2.77)	0.125	3.91 (0.68- 22.58)	0.147	1.52(0.68- 3.39)	0.303	16.1 8	0.511	-0.016	0.294	0.134
Non-oily fish intake	NA	10	0.71 (0.31 - 1.64)	0.429	1.22 (0.01- 112.33)	0.932	0.53(0.18- 1.55)	0.247	4.87	0.845	-0.006	0.818	0.311
Oily fish intake	YES	51	0.70 (0.52 - 0.95)	0.020	0.48 (0.12- 1.87)	0.296	0.55(0.36- 0.86)	0.008	42.4 6	0.767	0.005	0.580	0.015
Pork intake	YES	12	1.27 (0.56 - 2.87)	0.565	2.98 (0.02- 376.36)	0.667	1.18(0.41- 3.38)	0.764	7.35	0.770	-0.009	0.733	0.496
Poultry	YES	6	0.64	0.402	1.36E-04	0.601	0.49(0.14-	0.275	3.25	0.661	0.092	0.618	0.054

intake			(0.23 -		(5.90E-		1.75)							
			1.81)		18-									
					3.14E+09									
)									
Unsalte	NA	13	0.67	0.202	1.46	0.706	0.59(0.24-	0.246	8.44	0.750	-0.019	0.411	0.154	
d nuts			(0.36 -		(0.22-		1.44)							
intake			1.24)		9.73)									
Salted	NA	18	0.64	0.282	1.27	0.751	0.61(0.20-	0.384	20.1	0.267	-0.014	0.284	0.297	
nuts			(0.28 -		(0.30-		1.85)		4					
intake			1.44)		5.46)									
Tea	YES	32	1.26	0.140	1.65	0.202	1.44(0.92-	0.115	26.4	0.698	-0.005	0.446	0.121	
intake			(0.93 -		(0.78-		2.27)		9					
			1.71)		3.51)									
Type of	NA	3	1.67	0.841	4.37E+09	0.720	0.35 (0.01-	0.531	8.87	0.012	-0.278	0.726	NA	
fat/oil			(0.01 -		(9.41)							
used in			242.05)		2.60E-31-									
cooking					7.33E+49									
: Butter)									
Type of	NA	8	1.37	0.436	9.78	0.154	2.21 (0.72-	0.168	5.95	0.545	-0.035	0.193	0.427	

fat/oil			(0.62 -	(0.63-	6.83)									
used in			3.03)	151.81)										
cooking														
: Olive														
oil														
Type of	NA	6	0.48	0.278	0.24	0.776	0.35 (0.06-	0.228	4.85	0.434	0.007	0.887	0.321	
special			(0.13 -	(2.21E-	1.94)									
diet			1.80)	05-										
followe				2543.25										
d: Low)										
calorie														
