Analysing the data, the values of $2 N$ for which the number of tosses won by England is equal to the number of tosses won by Australia may be calculated. The results are given below, where they may be compared with the expected number of occurrences after $2 N$ trials.

| Occurrence <br> number | Value of $2 N$ | Expected number <br> of occurrences <br> after $2 N$ Trials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 0.875 |
| 2 | 44 | 4.382 |
| 3 | 46 | 4.499 |
| 4 | 48 | 4.614 |
| 5 | 56 | 5.050 |
| 6 | 86 | 6.464 |
| 7 | 92 | 6.715 |
| 8 | 96 | 6.79 |
| 9 | 98 | 6.959 |
| 10 | 130 | 8.150 |
| 11 | 168 | 9.388 |
| 12 | 170 | 9.449 |
| 13 | 254 | 11.754 |
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## Correspondence

An effective committee of one

## Dear Editor,

In note 70.13 P. L. Hanley calculates the 'disturbance factor' in a committee of $x$ people as being proportional to $(x-1)^{2}$ and he then calculates the 'productivity' per hour as

$$
x\left(1-\frac{(x-1)^{2}}{400}\right)
$$

Readers might like to muse Winston Churchill's definition of a most effective committee, namely a committee of one! Then the disturbance is proportional to zero and the productivity is one hour for every hour put in!

Yours sincerely,
R. W. GIRDLER

School of Physics, The University, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU

