NONTRIVIAL SOLUTIONS FOR A MULTIVALUED PROBLEM WITH STRONG RESONANCE

by VICENŢIU D. RĂDULESCU

(Received 26 July, 1994; revised 14 November, 1994)

The Mountain-Pass Theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz (see [1]) and the Saddle Point Theorem of Rabinowitz (see [21]) are very important tools in the critical point theory of C¹-functionals. That is why it is natural to ask us what happens if the functional fails to be differentiable. The first who considered such a case were Aubin and Clarke (see [6]) and Chang (see [12]), who gave suitable variants of the Mountain-Pass Theorem for locally Lipschitz functionals which are defined on reflexive Banach spaces. For this aim they replaced the usual gradient with a generalized one, which was firstly defined by Clarke (see [13], [14]). As observed by Brezis (see [12, p. 114]), these abstract critical point theorems remain valid in non-reflexive Banach spaces.

We apply some of these results to solve a multivalued problem with strong resonance at infinity. We remark that it is not usual to consider nonlinearities which are strongly resonant at $+\infty$ unless they are also strongly resonant at $-\infty$. The literature is very rich in resonant problems; the first who studied such problems (in the smooth case) were Landesman and Lazer (see [18]). They found sufficient conditions for the existence of solutions for some single-valued equations with Dirichlet conditions. These problems, which arise frequently in mechanics, were thereafter intensively studied and many applications to concrete situations were given.

1. Abstract framework. Let X be a real Banach space and let $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz function. For each $x, v \in X$, we define the generalized directional derivative of f at x in the direction v as

$$f^{0}(x,v) = \limsup_{\substack{y \to x \\ \lambda > 0}} \frac{f(y+\lambda v) - f(y)}{\lambda}.$$

The generalized gradient (the Clarke subdifferential) of f at the point x is the subset $\partial f(x)$ of X* defined by

$$\partial f(x) = \{x^* \in X^*; f^0(x, v) \ge \langle x^*, v \rangle, \text{ for all } v \in X\}.$$

We also define the lower semi-continuous function

$$\lambda(x) = \min\{\|x^*\|; x^* \in \partial f(x)\}.$$

For further properties of these notions we refer to [12], [13], [14].

We say that a point $x \in X$ is a *critical point* of f provided that $0 \in \partial f(x)$, that is $f^0(x, v) \ge 0$ for every $v \in X$. If c is a real number, we say that f satisfies the *Palais-Smale* condition at the level c (in short, (PS)_c) if any sequence $(x_n)_n$ in X with the properties $\lim_{n \to \infty} f(x_n) = c$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lambda(x_n) = 0$ is relatively compact.

We shall use in this paper the following result, which is an immediate consequence of the Mountain-Pass Theorem proved in [12].

THEOREM 1. Let $f: X \to \mathbf{R}$ be a locally Lipschitzian function. Suppose that f(0) = 0and there is some $v \in X \setminus \{0\}$ such that $f(v) \leq 0$. Moreover, assume that f satisfies the following geometric hypothesis: there exist R > 0 and $\alpha > 0$ such that R < ||v|| and, for each $u \in X$ with ||u|| = R, we have $f(u) \geq \alpha$. Let \mathcal{P} be the family of all continuous paths $p:[0,1] \to X$ that join 0 to v and

$$c = \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{t \in [0,1]} f(p(t)).$$

Then there exists a sequence (x_n) in X such that:

- (i) $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n) = c;$
- (ii) $\lim \lambda(x_n) = 0.$

Moreover, if f satisfies (PS)_c then c is a critical value of f.

The following saddle point type result generalizes the Rabinowitz's theorem (see [21]). Its proof is an easy exercise and is left to the reader.

THEOREM 2. Let $f: X \to \mathbf{R}$ be a locally Lipschitzian function. Assume that $X = Y \oplus Z$, where Z is a finite dimensional subspace of X and for some $z_0 \in Z$ there exists $R > ||z_0||$ such that

$$\inf_{y \in Y} f(y + z_0) > \max\{f(z); z \in Z, ||z|| = R\},\$$

Let

$$K = \{z \in Z; ||z|| \le R\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{P} = \{ p \in C(K, X); p(x) = x \text{ if } ||x|| = R \}.$$

If c is defined as in Theorem 1 and f satisfies $(PS)_c$, then c is a critical value of f.

2. Main results. Let M be a *m*-dimensional smooth compact Riemann manifold, possibly with smooth boundary ∂M . Particularly, M can be any open bounded smooth subset of \mathbf{R}^m . We shall consider the following multivalued elliptic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_M u(x) - \lambda_1 u(x) \in [f(u(x)), \overline{f}(u(x))] & \text{a.e. } x \in M, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial M, \\ u \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where:

(i) Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M; (ii) λ_1 is the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta_M$ in $H_0^1(M)$; (iii) $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$; (iv) $\underline{f}(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \operatorname{essinf}\{f(s); |t-s| < \varepsilon\}, \ \overline{f}(t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \operatorname{essup}\{f(s); |t-s| < \varepsilon\}.$

A MULTIVALUED PROBLEM

As proved in [12], the functions f and \overline{f} are measurable on **R** and, if

$$F(t) = \int_0^t f(s) \, ds$$

then the Clarke subdifferential of F is given by

$$\partial F(t) = [f(t), \overline{f}(t)]$$
 a.e. $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let $(g_{ij}(x))_{i,j}$ define the metric on M. We consider on $H_0^1(M)$ the locally Lipschitz functional $\varphi = \varphi_1 - \varphi_2$, where

$$\varphi_1(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \left(\sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \lambda_1 u^2 \right) dx$$
 and $\varphi_2(u) = \int_M F(u) dx$.

By a solution of the problem (P) we shall mean any critical point of the energetic functional φ . Denote

$$f(\pm \infty) = \operatorname{ess} \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} f(t)$$
 and $F(\pm \infty) = \lim_{t \to \pm \infty} F(t)$.

Our basic hypothesis on f will be

$$f(+\infty) = F(+\infty) = 0, \tag{f1}$$

which makes the problem (P) a Landesman-Lazer type one, with strong resonance at $+\infty$.

The following formulates a sufficient condition for the existence of solutions of our problem.

THEOREM A. Assume that f satisfies (f1) and either

$$F(-\infty) = -\infty \tag{F1}$$

or $-\infty < F(-\infty) \le 0$ and there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

F is non-negative on
$$(0, \eta)$$
 or $(-\eta, 0)$. (F2).

Then the problem (P) has at least one solution.

For positive values of $F(-\infty)$ it is necessary to impose additional restrictions on f. Our variant for this case is the following theorem.

THEOREM B. Assume (f1) and $0 < F(-\infty) < +\infty$. Then the problem (P) has at least one solution provided the following conditions are satisfied:

$$f(-\infty)=0$$

and

$$F(t) \leq \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2} t^2 \quad \text{for each } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

For the proof of Theorem A we shall make use of the following non-smooth variants

of Lemmas 6 and 7 in [15] (see also [3] for Lemma 1) which can be obtained in the same manner.

LEMMA 1. Assume $f \in L^{\infty}(\mathbf{R})$ and there exist $F(\pm \infty) \in \overline{\mathbf{R}}$. Moreover, suppose that (i) $f(+\infty) = 0$ if $F(+\infty)$ is finite;

and

(ii) $f(-\infty) = 0$ if $F(-\infty)$ is finite. Then

$$\mathbf{R} \setminus \{a : \operatorname{meas}(M); a = -F(\pm \infty)\} \subset \{c \in \mathbf{R}; \varphi \text{ satisfies } (\mathrm{PS})_c\}.$$

LEMMA 2. Assume f satisfies (f1). Then φ satisfies (PS)_c, whenever $c \neq 0$ and $c < -F(-\infty)$. meas(M).

Here meas(M) denotes the Riemannian measure of M.

Proof of Theorem A. We shall develop some of the ideas used in [26]. There are two distinct situations.

Case 1. $F(-\infty)$ is finite, that is $-\infty < F(-\infty) \le 0$. In this case, φ is bounded from below since

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(\sum_{i,j} g_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} - \lambda_1 u^2 \right) dx - \int_{\mathcal{M}} F(u) dx$$

and, by our hypothesis on $F(-\infty)$,

$$\sup_{u\in H^1_0(M)}\int_M F(u)\,dx<+\infty.$$

Therefore,

$$-\infty < a := \inf_{u \in H^1_0(M)} \varphi(u) \le 0 = \varphi(0).$$

Choose c small enough in order to have $F(ce_1) < 0$ (note that c may be taken positive if F > 0 in $(0, \eta)$ and negative if F < 0 in $(-\eta, 0)$). Here $e_1 > 0$ denotes the first eigenfunction of $-\Delta_M$ in $H_0^1(M)$. Hence $\varphi(ce_1) < 0$, so a < 0. It follows now from Lemma 2 that φ satisfies $(PS)_a$. The proof ends in this case by applying Theorem 1.

Case 2. $F(-\infty) = -\infty$. Then, by Lemma 1, φ satisfies $(PS)_c$ for each $c \neq 0$. Let V be the orthogonal complement of the space spanned by e_1 with respect to $H_0^1(M)$, that is

$$H_0^1(M) = \operatorname{Sp}\{e_1\} \oplus V.$$

For fixed $t_0 > 0$, denote

$$V_0 = \{t_0 e_1 + v ; v \in V\} \text{ and } a_0 = \inf_{v \in V_0} \varphi(v).$$

Note that φ is coercive on V. Indeed, if $v \in V$, then

$$\varphi(v) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) \|v\|_{H_0^1}^2 - \int_M F(v) \to +\infty \quad \text{as} \quad \|v\|_{H_0^1} \to +\infty,$$

because the first term has a quadratic growth at infinity $(t_0 \text{ being fixed})$, while $\int_M F(v)$ is uniformly bounded (in v), in view of the behaviour of F near $\pm \infty$. Thus, a_0 is attained, because of the coercivity of φ on V. From the boundedness of φ on $H_0^1(M)$ it follows that $-\infty < a \le 0 = \varphi(0)$ and $a \le a_0$.

Again, there are two possibilities.

(i) a < 0. In this case, by Lemma 2, φ satisfies $(PS)_a$. Hence a < 0 is a critical value of φ .

(ii) $a = 0 \le a_0$. Then, either $a_0 = 0$ or $a_0 > 0$. In the first case, as we have already remarked, a_0 is attained. Thus, there is some $v \in V$ such that

$$0 = a_0 = \varphi(t_0 e_1 + v).$$

Hence, $u = t_0 e_1 + v \in H^1_0(M) \setminus \{0\}$ is a critical point of φ , that is a solution of (P).

If $a_0 > 0$, notice that φ satisfies (PS)_b for each $b \neq 0$. Since $\lim_{t \to +\infty} \varphi(te_1) = 0$, we may apply Theorem 2 to conclude that φ has a critical value $c \ge a_0 > 0$.

ipply Theorem 2 to conclude that ψ has a entited value $c = a_0 > 0$.

Proof of Theorem B. Assume V has the same definition as above, and let

$$V_{+} = \{te_{1} + v; t > 0, v \in V\}.$$

It will be sufficient to show that the functional φ has a non-zero critical point. To do this, we shall make use of two different arguments. If $u = te_1 + v \in V_+$ then

$$\varphi(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{M}} (|\nabla v|^2 - \lambda_1 v^2) - \int_{\mathcal{M}} F(te_1 + v).$$

In view of the boundedness of F it follows that

$$-\infty < a_+ := \inf_{u \in V_+} \varphi(u) \le 0.$$

We analyse two distinct situations.

Case 1. $a_+ = 0$. To prove that φ has a critical point, we use the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem A (the second case). More precisely, for some fixed $t_0 > 0$ we define in the same way V_0 and a_0 . Obviously, $a_0 \ge 0 = a_+$, since $V_0 \subset V_+$. The proof follows from now on as in Case 2 of Theorem A, by reconsidering the two distinct situations $a_0 > 0$ and $a_0 = 0$.

Case 2. $a_+ < 0$. Let $u_n = t_n e_1 + v_n$ be a minimizing sequence of φ in V_+ . We observe that the sequences $(u_n)_n$ and $(v_n)_n$ are bounded. Indeed, this is essentially a compactness condition and may be proved in a similar way to Lemma 1. It follows that there exists $w \in \overline{V}_+$, such that, going eventually to a subsequence,

$$u_n \to w$$
 weakly in $H_0^1(M)$,
 $u_n \to w$ strongly in $L^2(M)$,
 $u_n \to w$ a.e.

Applying the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem we obtain

$$\lim_{n \leftarrow \infty} \varphi_2(u_n) = \varphi_2(u).$$

On the other hand,

$$\varphi(w) \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \varphi_1(u_n) - \lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi_2(u_n) = \liminf_{n \to \infty} \varphi(u_n) = a_+.$$

It follows that, necessarily, $\varphi(w) = a_+ < 0$. Since the boundary of V_+ is V and

$$\inf_{u \in V} \varphi(u) = 0,$$

we conclude that w is a local minimum of φ on V_+ and $w \in V_+$.

REFERENCES

1. A. Ambrosetti and P. H. Rabinowitz, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, J. Functional Analysis 14 (1973), 349-381.

2. D. Arcoya, Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems with strong resonance at infinity, Differential Integral Equations 3 (1990), 909-921.

3. D. Arcoya and A. Cañada, Critical point theorems and applications to nonlinear boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 14 (1990), 393-411.

4. D. Arcoya and A. Cañada, The dual variational principle and discontinuous elliptic problems with strong resonance at infinity, *Nonlinear Anal.* **15** (1990), 1145–1154.

5. D. Arcoya and D. G. Costa, Nontrivial solutions for a strongly resonant problem, Differential Integral Equations, to appear.

6. J. P. Aubin and F. H. Clarke, Shadow prices and duality for a class of optimal control problems, SIAM J. Control Optim. 17 (1979), 567–586.

7. T. Aubin, Nonlinear analysis on manifolds. Monge-Ampère equations (Springer, 1982).

8. P. Bartolo, V. Benci and D. Fortunato, Abstract critical point theorems and applications to some nonlinear problems with "strong" resonance at infinity, *Nonlinear Anal.* 7 (1983), 981-1012.
9. H. Brézis, J. M. Coron and L. Nirenberg, Free vibrations for a nonlinear wave equation

9. H. Brézis, J. M. Coron and L. Nirenberg, Free vibrations for a nonlinear wave equation and a theorem of P. Rabinowitz, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 33 (1980), 667–684.

10. A. Capozzi, D. Lupo and S. Solimini, Double resonance in semilinear elliptic problems, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 6 (1991), 91-120.

11. M. Choulli, R. Deville and A. Rhandi, A general mountain pass principle for nondifferentiable functions, *Rev. Mat. Apl.* 13 (1992), 45-58.

12. K. C. Chang, Variational methods for nondifferentiable functionals and applications to partial differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 80 (1981), 102-129.

13. F. H. Clarke, Generalized gradients and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 205 (1975), 247-262.

14. F. H. Clarke, Generalized gradients of Lipschitz functionals, Adv. in Math. 40 (1981), 52-67.

15. D. G. Costa and E. A. Silva, The Palais-Smale condition versus coercivity, Nonlinear Anal. 16 (1991), 371-381.

16. I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974), 324-353.

17. P. Hess, Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic and parabolic problems at resonance, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 5 (1978), 527-537.

18. E. A. Landesman and A. C. Lazer, Nonlinear perturbations of linear elliptic boundary value problems at resonance, J. Math. Mech. 19 (1969/70), 609-623.

19. D. Lupo and S. Solimini, A note on a resonance problem, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 102 (1986), 1-7.

20. P. Mironescu and V. Rădulescu, A multiplicity theorem for locally Lipschitz periodic functionals, J. Math. Anal. Appl., to appear.

21. P. H. Rabinowitz, Some critical point theorems and applications to semi-linear elliptic partial differential equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 5 (1978), 215-223.

58

22. V. Rădulescu, Mountain pass theorems for non-differentiable functions and applications, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 69 (1993), 193-198.

23. M. Schechter, Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems at strong resonance, Amer. J. Math. 112 (1990), 439-460.

24. S. Solimini, On the solvability of some elliptic partial differential equations with the linear part at resonance, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 117 (1986), 138-152.

25. K. Thews, Nontrivial solutions of elliptic equations at resonance, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 85 (1980), 119–129.

26. J. R. Ward, Jr., A boundary value problem with a periodic nonlinearity, Nonlinear Anal. 10 (1986), 207-213.

Department of Mathematics University of Craiova 1100 Craiova Romania