

ON UNILATERAL SHIFT OPERATORS AND C_0 -OPERATORS

IL BONG JUNG and YONG CHAN KIM

(Received 20 April 1990)

Communicated by C. Sutherland

Abstract

Let $S^{(n)}$ be a unilateral shift operator on a Hilbert space of multiplicity n . In this paper, we prove a generalization of the theorem that if $S^{(1)}$ is unitarily equivalent to an operator matrix form $\begin{pmatrix} S^{(1)} & * \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$ relative to a decomposition $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{N}$, then E is in a certain class C_0 which will be defined below.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification* (Amer. Math. Soc.): primary 47 B 37; secondary 46 J 15.

Suppose \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} are separable Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{K} . In particular, let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . Throughout this paper we write U for the open unit disc in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and T for the boundary of U . The space $L^p = L^p(T)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, is the usual Lebesgue function space. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, we denote by $H^p = H^p(T)$ the subspace of L^p consisting of those functions whose negative Fourier coefficients vanish. If $u \in H^\infty$, then we have a Fourier series

$$(1) \quad u(e^{it}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n e^{int}.$$

Let T be a completely nonunitary contraction on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then

The authors were partially supported by TGRC-KOSEF and the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1990.

© 1992 Australian Mathematical Society 0263-6115/92 \$A2.00 + 0.00

for $u \in H^\infty$, we define a functional calculus

$$(2) \quad u(T) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n r^n T^n,$$

where the limit exists in the strong operator topology (cf. [1, p. 16]). A completely nonunitary contraction $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be of class C_0 if there exists a non-zero function $u \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ such that the functional calculus $u(T) = 0$ (cf. [1]). The class C_0 , introduced by Sz.-Nagy and Foiaş (cf. [6]), is a familiar class of nonnormal operators on a Hilbert space. In fact, there are numerous theorems concerning the class C_0 in [1] and [6].

The notation and terminology employed herein agree with those in [1], [2], and [6]. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and any operators $T_i \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ ($i = 1, 2$), we write $T_1 \cong T_2$ if T_1 is unitarily equivalent to T_2 .

Note that even if the shift operators are described as various forms, those of the same multiplicity are unitarily equivalent to each other (cf. [3, p. 29] and [4, p. 98]). The main result of this paper is contained in

THEOREM 1. *Let $S^{(n)}$ be a unilateral shift operator of multiplicity n for a positive integer n . Suppose that*

$$(3) \quad S^{(n)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} S^{(n)} & * \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to a decomposition $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. Then $E \in C_0$.

We expect to demonstrate the utility of Theorem 1 in the theory of dual operator algebras in our future papers stemming from [5].

Let us consider a function $\Theta(\lambda) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{U}$) defined by

$$(4) \quad \Theta(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^k \Theta_k,$$

where $\Theta_k \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ and the series is convergent in the strong (or, equivalently, weak (cf. [6, p. 186])) operator topology. A function $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ is called a *bounded analytic function* if there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|\Theta(\lambda)\| \leq M$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{U}$). A contractive analytic function

$$(5) \quad \{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}, \Theta(\lambda)\} \quad (\text{i.e., } \|\Theta(\lambda)\| \leq 1, \lambda \in \mathbb{U})$$

is called *purely contractive* if $\|\Theta(0)a\| < \|a\|$ for all $a \in \mathcal{H}$, $a \neq 0$. We define the *adjoint* $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}, \tilde{\Theta}(\lambda)\}$, by $\tilde{\Theta}(\lambda) = \Theta(\bar{\lambda})^*$ ($\lambda \in \mathbb{U}$).

Recall that $L^2(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the class of functions $v(t)$ ($0 \leq t \leq 2\pi$) with values in \mathcal{H} , strongly (or, equivalently, weakly (cf. [6, p. 182])) measurable and such that

$$(6) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \|v(t)\|^2 dt < \infty.$$

Then for any $v \in L^2(\mathcal{H})$, there exists a sequence $\{a_k\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{H} with $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|a_k\|^2 < \infty$ such that $v(t) = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ikt} a_k$. This means that

$$(7) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \|v(t) - \sum_{-m}^n e^{ikt} a_k\|^2 dt \rightarrow 0 \quad (m, n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Let us denote by $H^2(\mathcal{H})$ the class of functions $u(t)$ in $L^2(\mathcal{H})$ such that $u(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{ikt} a_k$. For any contractive analytic function $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$, we define the operator

$$(8a) \quad \Theta: L^2(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{H})$$

by

$$(8b) \quad (\Theta v)(t) = \Theta(e^{it})v(t) \quad \text{for } v \in L^2(\mathcal{H}),$$

where $\Theta(e^{it}) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow e^{it}} \Theta(\lambda)$ ($\lambda \rightarrow e^{it}$ non-tangentially a.e.)(strongly), and define the operator

$$(9a) \quad \Theta_+: H^2(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{H})$$

by

$$(9b) \quad (\Theta_+ u)(\lambda) = \Theta(\lambda)u(\lambda) \quad \text{for } u \in H^2(\mathcal{H}).$$

The contractive analytic function $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ is called *inner* if $\Theta(e^{it})$ is an isometry from \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{H} for almost every t or, equivalently, if Θ_+ is an isometry from $H^2(\mathcal{H})$ into $H^2(\mathcal{H})$; and **-inner* if the function $\{\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}, \tilde{\Theta}(\lambda)\}$ is inner.

Let T be a contraction operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Recall (cf. [6, p. 238]) that the analytic function Θ_T defined on \mathbf{U} by

$$(10) \quad \Theta_T(\lambda) = \{-T + \lambda D_{T^*} (I - \lambda T^*)^{-1} D_T\}|_{\mathcal{D}_T}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{U},$$

satisfies

$$(11) \quad \|\Theta_T\|_{\infty} = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_T \|\Theta_T(e^{it})\| \leq 1,$$

and $\|\Theta_T(0)x\| < \|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_T$, where

$$(12) \quad D_T = (I - T^*T)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_T = \overline{(I - T^*T)^{1/2}\mathcal{H}}.$$

The purely contractive analytic function $\{\mathcal{D}_T, \mathcal{D}_{T^*}, \Theta_T(\lambda)\}$ on \mathbf{U} is called the *characteristic function* of T .

The invariant subspaces of a unilateral shift $S^{(n)}$ of multiplicity $n < \infty$ are described as follows:

THEOREM 2. *Let $S^{(n)}: H^2(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{H})$ be a unilateral shift of multiplicity $n < \infty$, where $\dim \mathcal{H} = n$, and let \mathcal{N} be an invariant subspace for $S^{(n)}$. Then there exist a subspace \mathcal{K} of \mathcal{H} and an inner function $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ such that $\mathcal{N} = \Theta_+ H^2(\mathcal{K})$. In particular, the space \mathcal{K} can be identified with the space $\mathcal{N} \ominus ((S^{(n)}|_{\mathcal{N}})\mathcal{N})$.*

PROOF. The first part of Theorem 2 is a known result [6, Theorem V.3.3]. Moreover, the second part is implied in the proof of the same result [6, Theorem V.3.3].

If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ and \mathcal{K} is a semi-invariant subspace for T (that is, there exist invariant subspaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} for T with $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$), we shall write $T_{\mathcal{K}} = P_{\mathcal{K}}T|_{\mathcal{K}}$ for the compression of T to \mathcal{K} , where $P_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the orthogonal projection whose range is \mathcal{K} .

Now the proof of Theorem 1 is completed by applying Theorem 3 below.

THEOREM 3. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2, let us assume that*

$$(13) \quad \dim(\mathcal{N} \ominus ((S^{(n)}|_{\mathcal{N}})\mathcal{N})) = n.$$

Then the compression $S_{H^2(\mathcal{K}) \ominus \mathcal{N}}^{(n)}$ of $S^{(n)}$ to $H^2(\mathcal{K}) \ominus \mathcal{N}$ belongs to the class C_0 .

PROOF. The idea of this proof comes from Professor Carl Pearcy. Let us put $\mathcal{M} = H^2(\mathcal{K}) \ominus \mathcal{N}$ and $E = S_{\mathcal{M}}^{(n)}$. Then we can write

$$(14) \quad S^{(n)} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$$

relative to a decomposition $\mathcal{N} \oplus \mathcal{M}$. Now we shall show that $E \in C_0$. It is well known that A is unitarily equivalent to 0 or $S^{(k)}$, for some k with $1 \leq k \leq n$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{N} \ominus A\mathcal{N}$ be the subspace found by Theorem 2 and let $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ be the corresponding inner function. If we suppose that $A \cong 0$, then $\mathcal{N} = (0)$ (otherwise, the kernel of $S^{(n)}$ is nontrivial) and $\mathcal{K} = (0)$. So this contradicts the hypothesis that $\dim \mathcal{K} = n$.

Next suppose that $A \cong S^{(k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then $\dim \mathcal{K} = k \leq n - 1$, and this also yields a contradiction. Hence we can assume that $A \cong S^{(n)}$. Since the operator-valued analytic function $\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{H}, \Theta(\lambda)\}$ is inner, $\Theta(e^{it})$ is an isometry a.e.. Moreover, since $\dim \mathcal{K} = \dim \mathcal{H} = n < \infty$, $\Theta(e^{it})$ is a unitary operator on \mathcal{K} for almost all t . It follows from the Decomposition Theorem (cf. [6, p. 188]) that there exists a uniquely determined decomposition $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}^{\circ} \oplus \mathcal{K}'$ and $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{\circ} \oplus \mathcal{H}'$ such that for every

fixed λ , $\Theta^\circ(\lambda) = \Theta(\lambda)|_{\mathcal{H}^\circ}$ has its range in \mathcal{H}° , that $\{\mathcal{H}^\circ, \mathcal{H}^\circ, \Theta^\circ(\lambda)\}$ is purely contractive analytic function, and that $\{\mathcal{H}', \mathcal{H}', \Theta'(\lambda)\}$ is a unitary constant. Thus, without loss of generality, we can assume

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{M} = H^2(\mathcal{H}) \ominus \Theta H^2(\mathcal{H}) = H^2(\mathcal{H}) \ominus \Theta_+ H^2(\mathcal{H}) \neq (0).$$

Therefore, according to [6, Proposition 3.2, p. 255], $\Theta(\lambda)$ is not a unitary constant; equivalently, $\Theta(\lambda)$ has the purely contractive part $\Theta^\circ(\lambda)$. Since

$$(16) \quad \Theta(e^{it}) = \Theta^\circ(e^{it}) \oplus \Theta'(e^{it}) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and since $\Theta(e^{it})$ is unitary a.e., $\Theta^\circ(e^{it})$ is unitary a.e.. Therefore $\{\mathcal{H}^\circ, \mathcal{H}^\circ, \Theta^\circ(\lambda)\}$ is inner and $*$ -inner. On the other hand, since E is the compression to $H^2(\mathcal{H}) \ominus \Theta_+ H^2(\mathcal{H})$ of multiplication by e^{it} , according to [6, Proposition 3.2, p. 255], the characteristic function $\Theta_E(\lambda)$ of the completely nonunitary contraction E coincides with $\{\mathcal{H}^\circ, \mathcal{H}^\circ, \Theta^\circ(\lambda)\}$. According to [6, Proposition 3.5, p. 257], we have $E \in C_{00}$ (that is, $\|E^n x\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|E^{*n} y\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$) if and only if $\Theta_E(\lambda)$ is inner and $*$ -inner. Hence $E \in C_{00}$. As was noted above, $\Theta(e^{it})$ is unitary a.e.. For such a t , $\Theta(\lambda)$ is invertible for λ sufficiently close to e^{it} , since $\Theta(e^{it}) = \lim \Theta(\lambda)$ as $\lambda \rightarrow e^{it}$ non-tangentially a.e. Finally, according to [6, Proposition 6.1, p. 216], $\Theta(\lambda)$ has a scalar multiple. Thus, by [6, Theorem 5.1, p. 265], we have $E \in C_0$. Hence the proof is complete.

For an invariant subspace \mathcal{N} for $S^{(n)}$, $S^{(n)}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a unilateral shift of some multiplicity (cf. [3, Proposition 7.13]). Hence the hypothesis that $\dim(\mathcal{N} \ominus (S^{(n)}|_{\mathcal{N}})\mathcal{N}) = n$, appearing in Theorem 3, means that the multiplicity of $S^{(n)}|_{\mathcal{N}}$ is n .

For $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, we write d_T for the defect index of T , that is, $d_T = \dim \mathcal{D}_T$. Recall that $H^\infty(U)$, the class of all bounded analytic functions on U , is identified with H^∞ (cf. [6, p. 101]). The following is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

COROLLARY. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3, let $d \in H^\infty$ be defined by setting $d(\lambda)$ equal to the determinant of $\Theta_E(\lambda)$ corresponding to some fixed orthonormal bases of \mathcal{D}_E and \mathcal{D}_{E^*} . Then $d(E) = 0$.*

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we assume that E is nontrivial. Since $E \in C_{00}$, it follows from [6, Theorem 1.2, p. 59] that $1 \leq d_E = d_{E^*}$. Moreover, since $d_{E^*} \leq d_{S^{(n)}} = n$, using [6, Theorem 5.2, p. 266], we have $d(E) = 0$. Hence the proof is complete.

Acknowledgment

The authors owe a debt of gratitude to Professor Carl Pearcy for the contributions he made to this paper and to Professor H. M. Srivastava for his comments on the paper when he visited Kyungpook National University in January 1991. The authors also wish to thank the referee for a very careful reading of the manuscript and for helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] H. Bercovici, *Operator theory and arithmetic in H^∞* Math. Surveys and Monographs, No. 26, (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1988).
- [2] A. Brown and C. Pearcy, *Introduction to operator theory. I, Elements of functional analysis* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977).
- [3] J. Conway, *Subnormal operators* (Pitman, Boston, 1981).
- [4] K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic functions* (Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962).
- [5] I. Jung, *Dual operator algebras and the classes $A_{m,n}$* (Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Michigan, 1989).
- [6] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Harmonic analysis of operators on the Hilbert space* (North Holland Akademiai Kiado, Amsterdam/Budapest, 1970).

College of Natural Sciences
Kyungpook National University
Taegu, 702-701
Korea

College of Education
Yeungnam University
Gyongsan, 713-749
Korea