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Let $f(z)$ be an entire function (of several variables). We define the function

$$
M_{f}(r)=\max _{\|z\|=r}|f(z)|,
$$

which is increasing. The order of $f(z)$ is the constant (perhaps infinite)

$$
\rho=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M_{f}(r)}{\log r}
$$

If $\rho<+\infty$, we define a proximate order as a function $\rho(r)$ such that
(1) $\rho(r) \rightarrow \rho$ and $\rho^{\prime}(r) r \log r \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

We can also assume the additional condition
(2) $\rho^{\prime \prime}(r) r^{2} \log r \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

If $L(r)=r^{\rho(r)-\rho}$, then we have
(3) $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{L(k r)}{L(r)}=1$ uniformly for $0<a \leqq k \leqq b<+\infty$.

We define the type of $f(z)$ with respect to $\rho(r)$ by

$$
\sigma=\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M_{f}(r)}{r^{\rho(r)}}
$$

and $f(z)$ is said to be of (a) minimal, (b) normal, or (c) maximal type if (a) $\sigma=0$, (b) $0<\sigma<+\infty$, or (c) $\sigma=+\infty$, respectively. For every function $f(z)$ of order $\rho$, there exists a proximate order $\rho(r)$ such that $f(z)$ has normal type with respect to $\rho(r)$ [4].

If $\rho>1$, we assume that $\rho(r)>1$ and $(d / d r)\left(r^{\rho(r)-1}\right)>0$ for all $r$. Since this holds eventually, this assumption involves no loss of generality. Then the equation $t=r^{\rho(r)-1}$ has a unique solution $r$ for all $t \geqq 0$. We define the dual proximate order $\rho^{*}(t)$ by $\rho^{*}(t)=$ $\rho(r) /(\rho(r)-1)$, where $r$ is this unique solution. It is an easy calculation to check that $\rho^{*}(t)$ satisfies (1) and (2) and that $\rho^{* *}(r)=\rho(r)$.

For a real-valued continuous function $q(z)$, we define the Banach space $B_{q}$ to be the set of entire functions such that

$$
|f(z) \exp (-q(z))| \rightarrow 0 \text { as }\|z\| \rightarrow \infty
$$

where the norm in $B_{q}$ is the sup norm. If $q_{n}(z)$ is a decreasing (resp. increasing) sequence of functions, we define the set $F=\bigcap B_{q_{n}}$ (resp. $E=\bigcup B_{q_{n}}$ ), which we equip with the projective limit (resp. inductive limit) topology. We designate the dual space of continuous linear functionals by $F^{\prime}$ (resp. $E^{\prime}$ ). $F$ is a Fréchet space, and $E^{\prime}$ can be given the topology of a Fréchet space under the norm topologies

$$
\|v\|_{n}=\sup _{\substack{f \in B_{B_{n}} \\\|f\|_{n}=1}}|v(f)| .
$$

In particular, let $p(z)$ be a pseudonorm (i.e., $p\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right) \leqq p\left(z_{1}\right)+p\left(z_{2}\right), p(t z)=t p(z)$ for $t \geqq 0)$ and let $p_{n}(z)=p(z)+\|z\| / n$ with $p_{n}^{\prime}(u)=\sup _{p_{n}(z) \leqq 1} \operatorname{Re}\langle u, z\rangle$ be the dual norm. We designate by $F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.E_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)$ the space we get by taking $q_{n}(z)=\left(p_{n}(z)\right)^{\rho(\|z\|)}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.q_{n}(z)=\left(p_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\rho(\|z\|)}\right)$. It is clear from (3) that we can replace $q_{n}(z)$ by $q_{n}^{\prime}(z)=\left(p_{n}(z)\right)^{\rho\left(p_{n}(z)\right)}$ (resp. $\left.\left(p_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\rho\left(p_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right)}\right)$ and still obtain the same topological vector spaces. For $v \in\left(F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ (resp. $\left.\left(E_{p^{p}}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, we define $f_{v}(u)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{v}(u)=v(\exp \langle u, z\rangle), \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is an entire function of $u$, called the Fourier-Borel transform of $v$.
In [7], A. Martineau showed that, if $\rho>1$ is a constant and $p(z)$ is a complex norm (i.e., $p(\lambda z)=|\lambda| p(z)$ ), then the Fourier-Borel transform establishes an isomorphism between the spaces ( $\left.F_{p}^{\rho}\right)^{\prime}$ and $E_{\tau p^{\prime}}^{\rho *}$ (for a suitable constant $\tau$ ). He introduced the notion of a constant coefficient differential operator of infinite order $\check{\alpha}$, and, using the Fourier-Borel transform, showed that, for every $f \in F_{p}^{\rho}$, the equation $\check{\alpha}(x)=f$ has a solution $g \in F_{p}^{\rho}$. In [1], the author extended this result to the case of complex pseudonorms and proximate orders (as well as to the case $\rho<1$ ).

We shall be primarily interested here in showing that the isomorphism proven by Martineau is still valid for arbitrary pseudonorms (not necessarily complex), for $\rho>1$, and for all proximate orders. It is then a simple matter to apply his reasoning to the case of differential equations of infinite order in order to get a more precise estimate of the growth of solutions.

Before turning to the main theorems, we first collect some results which we shall need later.

Proposition 1. Let $E, F$ be two Fréchet spaces and $\beta$ a continuous linear map of $E$ into $F$. The two following statements are equivalent:
(i) $\beta$ is onto.
(ii) ${ }^{t} \beta: F^{\prime} \rightarrow E^{\prime}$ (the transpose map) is one-to-one and its image ${ }^{t} \beta\left(F^{\prime}\right)$ is weakly closed in $E^{\prime}$.

Proof. See [8].
Proposition 2. Every element of the dual space of $F_{p}^{\rho(r)}$ can be represented by integration with respect to a measure $\mu$ such that
(5) $\quad \dot{\mu} \cdot \exp \left(p_{n}(z)\right)^{\rho(\|z\|)}$ is a bounded measure for some $n$.

Every element of the dual space of $E_{p^{\prime}}^{\rho(r)}$ can be represented by integration with respect to a measure $\mu$ such that
(6) $\quad \mu \cdot \exp \left(p_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right)^{\rho(\|z\|)}$ is a bounded measure for all $n$.
(The representations are not unique.)
Proof. The proof can be found in [7].
Corollary. If we equip $\left(E_{p^{\prime}}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ with its Fréchet space topology, then $\left(\left(E_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=E_{p}^{\rho(r)}$.
Proof. The dual space is clearly a family of functions containing $E_{p}^{\rho(r)}$, and, by considering the Dirac measures associated with every point, it is clear that every function $h(z)$ in the dual satisfies the condition

$$
\sup _{z}\left|h(z) \exp \left(-q_{n}(z)\right)\right| \leqq M<+\infty
$$

for $n$ sufficiently large. Thus it remains to show that $h(z)$ is holomorphic.
For a given complex line $u \lambda$ through the point $z$, we let $\gamma$ be a rectifiable closed compact curve in $u \lambda$ and $\alpha$ represent integration around $\gamma$. Then $\alpha(f)=0$ for every $f \in E_{p}^{\rho(r)}$; so $\alpha(h)=0$ for every $h \in\left(\left(E_{p}^{p(r)}\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$. Thus $h$ is holomorphic in every complex line through $z$ and hence holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Lemma. Let $\rho(r)$ be a proximate order, with $\rho>1$. If $\eta(r)$ is a nonnegative function such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \eta(r) r^{-\rho(r)}=0$, there exists a positive function $\xi(r)$ with nonnegative first and second derivatives such that $\xi(r) \geqq \eta(r)$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \xi(r) r^{-\rho(r)}=0$.

Proof. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ be a decreasing sequence of positive numbers approaching zero and $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ a sequence of numbers such that $\eta(r) \leqq \varepsilon_{n+1} r^{\rho(r)}$ for $r \geqq r_{n}$. We assume, without loss of generality, that both $d r^{\rho(r)} / d r$ and $d^{2} r^{\rho(r)} / d r^{2}$ are everywhere positive (by (1) and (2), this holds eventually).

We construct a function $\xi_{1}(r)$ to be piecewise linear. The construction will be carried out by induction. For $n=1$, we choose for $\xi_{1}(r)$ a constant such that $\xi_{1}(r)=\max _{r \leq r_{2}}\left(\eta(r), \varepsilon_{1} r^{\rho(r)}\right.$. Having constructed $\xi_{1}(r)$ for $r \leqq r_{n}$ with the property that $\xi_{1}(r) \geqq \varepsilon_{n-1} r^{\rho(r)}$ for $r_{n-1} \leqq r \leqq r_{n}$, we construct $\xi_{1}(r)$ for $r_{n} \leqq r \leqq r_{n+1}$. We continue $\xi_{1}(r)$ linearly unless there exists an $R_{n}$, with $r_{n} \leqq R_{n} \leqq r_{n+1}$, such that $\xi_{1}\left(R_{n}\right)=\varepsilon_{n-1} R_{n}^{\rho\left(R_{n}\right)}$. If this occurs, we continue $\xi_{1}(r)$ past $R_{n}$ by taking $\delta>0$ and taking the tangent to the curve $\varepsilon_{n-1} r^{\rho(r)}$ at $R_{n}$; at $R_{n}+q \delta$, for $q$ an integer, we extend this continuation as a continuous function by making a linear extension with slope $\left.(d / d r)\left\{\varepsilon_{n-1} r^{\rho(r)}\right\}\right|_{R_{n}+q \delta}$. By choosing $\delta$ sufficiently small, we shall have $\xi_{1}(r) \geqq \varepsilon_{n} r^{\rho(r)}$ in the interval $r_{n} \leqq r \leqq r_{n+1}$. This establishes the induction. Furthermore, it is clear that $\xi_{1}(r) \geqq \eta(r)$, and that, given $n$, for $r$ sufficiently large, $\xi_{1}(r) \leqq \varepsilon_{n} r^{\rho(r)}$.

Let $\alpha(r)$ be a nonnegative $C^{\infty}$ function with compact support depending only on $|r|$, such that $\int \alpha(r) d r=1$. Then $\xi(r)=\int \xi_{1}\left(r^{\prime}\right) \alpha\left(r-r^{\prime}\right) d r^{\prime}$ satisfies the requirements of the lemma.

Theorem 1. The Fourier-Borel transform given by (4) establishes an isomorphism between the spaces
(i) $\left(F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ and $E_{\tau p}^{\rho_{p}^{*}(r)}$,
and between the spaces
(ii) $\left(E_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ and $F_{\tau p}^{\rho^{*}(r)}$,
where

$$
\tau=\frac{\rho}{(\rho-1)^{(\rho-1) / \rho}}
$$

Proof. Let $v \in\left(F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$. Then, by Proposition 2, there exists an $n$ such that

$$
|v(f)| \leqq C_{v} \sup _{z}\left|f(z) \exp \left(-p_{n}(z)\right)^{\rho\left(p_{n}(z)\right)}\right|
$$

for some constant $C_{v}$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|f_{v}(u)\right| & \leqq C_{v} \sup _{z}\left|\exp \langle u, z\rangle-\left(p_{n}(z)\right)^{\rho\left(p_{n}(z)\right)}\right| \\
& \leqq C_{v} \exp \left(\sup _{t \geq 0}\left(\sup _{p_{n}(z)=1}\{\operatorname{Re}\langle u, z\rangle\} t-t^{\rho(t)}\right)\right) \\
& \leqq C_{v} \exp \left(\sup _{t \geq 0} p_{n}^{\prime}(u) t-t^{\rho(t)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(p_{n}^{\prime}(u) t-t^{\rho(t)}\right)=p_{n}^{\prime}(u)-\left(\rho^{\prime}(t) \log t+\frac{\rho(t)}{t}\right) t^{\rho(t)}
$$

It follows from (1) that, for large values of $\|u\|$, this function takes on an absolute maximum.
For arbitrary $\delta>0$, it follows from (1) that, for $\|u\|$ sufficiently large (depending on $\delta$ ), the maximum occurs at $t_{u}^{\rho\left(t_{u}\right)-1}=p_{n}^{\prime}(u) /(\rho+\xi(u))$ for $|\xi(u)|<\delta$ and equals

$$
p_{n}^{\prime}(u)^{\rho\left(t_{u}\right) /\left(\rho\left(t_{u}\right)-1\right)}\left\{\left(\frac{1}{\rho+\xi(u)}\right)^{1 /\left(\rho\left(t_{u}\right)-1\right)}-\left(\frac{1}{\rho+\zeta(u)}\right)^{\rho\left(t_{u}\right) /\left(\rho\left(t_{u}\right)-1\right)}\right\}
$$

which is less than or equal to

$$
p_{n}^{\prime}(u)^{\rho^{* *}\left(k(u) p_{n}^{\prime}(u)\right)}(\tau+\varepsilon)
$$

where $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and $0<a \leqq k(u) \leqq b<\infty$. So the maximum for large $\|u\|$ is less than $\tau p_{n+1}^{\prime}(u)^{\rho^{*}\left(p_{n^{\prime}+1}(u)\right)}$ by (3). Thus the mapping $v \rightarrow f_{v}$ of $\left(F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ is into $E_{\tau p^{\prime}}^{\rho^{*}(r)}$. Similarly, one shows that the mapping $v \rightarrow f_{v}$ of $\left(E_{p^{\prime}}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ is into $F_{\tau p}^{\rho^{*}(r)}$.

To show that the mappings are onto, we use an adaptation of an argument of Hörmander [2, p. 100]. Let $K=\left\{z: \operatorname{Re}\langle u, z\rangle \leqq p(u), u \in \mathbb{C}^{N}\right\}$. We let $x$, a $2 N$-tuple, represent the real coordinates of $z$. We define

$$
\phi(v)=\sup _{x \in K}\left(x_{1} \operatorname{Im} v_{1}+\ldots+x_{2 N} \operatorname{Im} v_{2 N}\right),
$$

which is plurisubharmonic in the variable $v$. Then $\theta(v)=(\phi(v))^{\rho(\phi(v))}$ is also plurisubharmonic for $\phi(v)$ sufficiently large, for, given any complex line (which we assume, without loss of generality, to be the line $\lambda\left(v_{1}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d^{2} \theta(v)}{d v_{1} d \bar{v}_{1}}=\left(\rho^{\prime \prime}(\phi) \log \phi+2 \frac{\rho^{\prime}(\phi)}{\phi}\right. & -\frac{\rho(\phi)}{\phi^{2}} \\
& \left.+\left[\rho^{\prime}(\phi) \log \phi+\frac{\rho(\phi)}{\phi}\right]^{2}\right) \phi^{\rho(\phi)} \frac{d \phi}{d v_{1}} \frac{d \phi}{d \bar{v}_{1}} \\
& +\left(\rho^{\prime}(\phi) \log \phi+\frac{\rho(\phi)}{\phi}\right) \phi^{\rho(\phi)} \frac{d^{2} \phi}{d v_{1} d \bar{v}_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By adjusting $\rho(r)$ on a bounded set of $r$, if necessary, we may assume that $\theta(v)$ is everywhere plurisubharmonic.

Let $F(u) \in F_{p}^{\rho(r)}$, and let $\eta(r)=\sup _{\|u\|=r}\left(\sup \left(\log |F(u)|-p(u)^{\rho(p(u))}, 0\right)\right)$. For $\varepsilon>0$, we let $p_{\varepsilon}(u)=\sup (p(u), \varepsilon\|u\|)$. Then, since $p_{\varepsilon}(u)$ is continuous and

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |F(r u)|}{r^{\rho(r)}} \leqq p_{\ell}(u)^{\rho},
$$

it follows from Hartog's Theorem applied to plurisubharmonic functions (cf. [5, 6, 3, Corollary to Theorem 5.4.1]) that, for the compact set $\|u\|=1$,

$$
\frac{\log |F(r u)|}{r^{\rho(r)}} \leqq p_{\varepsilon}(u)^{\rho}+\varepsilon
$$

for $r$ sufficiently large. This implies, by (3), that $\log |F(z)| \leqq p_{\varepsilon}(z)^{\rho\left(p_{\varepsilon}(z)\right)}+\varepsilon\|z\|^{\rho(\|z\|)}$ for $\|z\|$ sufficiently large, which in turn implies that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \eta(r) r^{-\rho(r)}=0$. Thus, by the lemma, there exists a positive function $\xi(r)$ with nonnegative first and second derivatives such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \xi(r) r^{-\rho(r)}=0$ and $\xi(r) \geqq \eta(r)$. Let $\phi^{*}(v)=\sup _{\|x\| \leqq 1}\left(x_{1} \operatorname{Im} v_{1}+\ldots+x_{2 N} \operatorname{Im} v_{2 N}\right)$ and $\xi\left(\phi^{*}(v)\right)=\xi^{*}(v)$, which is plurisubharmonic.

Let $\Sigma$ be the $N$-dimensional subspace, $v=\left(i u_{1},-u_{1}, \ldots, i u_{N},-u_{N}\right)$ and $w$ be the function $\left(i u_{1},-u_{1}, \ldots, i u_{N},-u_{N}\right) \rightarrow F\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N}\right)$. Then $|w(v)| \leqq C_{0} \exp \left(\theta(v)+\xi^{*}(v)\right)$ on $\Sigma$. Thus, if $\theta^{\prime}(v)=\theta(v)+\xi^{*}(v)+\log \left(1+\|v\|^{2}\right)^{N}$, then $\int_{\Sigma}|w(v)|^{2} \exp \left(-2 \theta^{\prime}(v)\right) d \sigma(v)<\infty$, where $d \sigma$ indicates the Lebesgue measure.

By a modification of the proof of Theorem 4.4.3 of [2] (due to A. Martineau; cf. [5] or [3, Theorem 5.3.3]), we have the following result: If $\psi$ is a plurisubharmonic function in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and $f$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^{k}(k<m)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{k}}|f|^{2} \exp (-\psi) d \sigma<\infty$, then there exists $g$, holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^{m}$, such that $g=f$ on $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and $\int_{\mathbb{C}^{m}}|g|^{2} \exp \left(-\psi^{\prime}\right)\left(1+\|z\|^{2}\right)^{-3(m-k)} d \sigma<\infty$, where $\psi^{\prime}(z)=\sup _{\left\|z^{\prime}-z\right\| \leqq 2(m-k)} \psi\left(z^{\prime}\right)$.

Applying this to the present case, we can find an entire function $W$ in $\mathbb{C}^{2 N}$ such that $W=w$ on $\Sigma$ and

$$
\int|W(v)|^{2} \exp \left(-2 \theta^{\prime \prime}(v)\right)(1+\|v\|)^{-6 N} d \sigma(v)<\infty
$$

where $\theta^{\prime \prime}(v)=\sup _{\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\| \leqq 2 N} \theta^{\prime}(v)$. From this we conclude, by Schwarz's Lemma (cf. [5]), that there exists a constant $C_{0}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
|W(v)| \leqq C_{0}^{\prime}(1+\|v\|)^{3 N} \exp \theta^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)
$$

where $\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)=\sup _{\left\|v-v^{\prime}\right\|<2 N+1} \theta^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$, and hence, following the same reasoning as in [2, Theorem 4.5.3], there exists a function $W^{\prime}(v)$ such that $W^{\prime}(v)=w(v)$ on $\Sigma$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W^{\prime}(v)\right| \leqq C_{0}^{\prime \prime}(1+\|v\|)^{-2 N-1} \exp \left(\theta^{\prime \prime \prime}(v)+\varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^{2 N}\left|\operatorname{Im} v_{i}\right|\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Paley-Wiener Theorem, if

$$
\mu(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2 N}} \int_{R^{2 N}} \exp i\left\langle x, v+i v^{\prime}\right\rangle W^{\prime}\left(v+i v^{\prime}\right) d v,
$$

then $\mu(x)$ is continuous and independent of $v^{\prime}$, and the Fourier-Laplace transform of $\mu(x)$, $\int \exp \left\{-i\left(x_{1} v_{1}+\ldots+x_{2 N} v_{2 N}\right)\right\} \mu(x) d x=W^{\prime}(v)$; hence the Fourier-Borel transform of $\mu(x)$ is $F(u)$. In this case, it follows from (7) that

$$
\mu(x) \leqq K_{n} \exp \left(\inf _{u} p_{n}(u)^{\rho\left(p_{n}(u)\right)}-\operatorname{Re}\langle u, z\rangle\right)
$$

and, by applying the same reasoning as above, we conclude that

$$
\mu(x) \leqq K_{n}^{\prime} \exp \left\{-\tau p_{n-1}^{\prime}(u)^{\rho^{*}\left(p_{n-1}(u)\right)}\right\}
$$

for all $n$, which implies that $\mu(x)$ satisfies (6). Thus the map $\left(E_{p_{p}^{*}(r)}^{\rho^{\prime}} \rightarrow F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right.$ is onto and, since

$$
\tau^{*}=\frac{\rho^{*}}{\left(\rho^{*}-1\right)^{\left(\rho^{*}-1\right) / \rho^{*}}}=\frac{1}{\tau}
$$

(where $1 / \rho^{*}+1 / \rho=1$ ) and $\rho^{* *}(r)=\rho(r),\left(E_{p^{\prime}}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow F_{\tau p}^{\rho^{*}(r)}$ is onto. Similarly, one shows that the mapping of $\left(F_{p}^{\rho^{\prime}(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ into $E_{\tau p^{\prime}}^{\rho^{*}(r)}$ given by (4) is onto.

The map $v \rightarrow f_{v}$ is thus a continuous mapping of the Fréchet space $\left(E_{p^{\prime}}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ onto $F_{r p}^{\rho^{*}(r)}$, which implies, by Proposition 1, that the transpose map of $\left(F_{\tau p}^{\rho_{p}^{*}(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ into $E_{p^{\prime}}^{\rho(r)}$ is one-to-one with closed image. In fact, we know that the map of $\left(F_{\tau p}^{\rho^{*}(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ is onto $E_{p^{\prime}}^{\rho(r)}$, which implies in turn that the map of $\left(E_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ is one-to-one onto $F_{\tau p}^{\rho^{*}(r)}$, which establishes the desired isomorphisms.

Corollary. In the space $F_{p}^{\rho(r)}$, the subspaces spanned by
(i) $\exp \langle u, z\rangle$ for $u \in K$ with $\stackrel{\circ}{K} \neq \emptyset$,
(ii) $z^{\alpha} \exp \left\langle u_{0}, z\right\rangle$ for all multi-indices of nonnegative integers $\alpha$, are dense (in particular, the exponentials and the polynomials are dense).

Proof. For $v \in\left(F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$, if $v(\exp \langle u, z\rangle)=0$ for $u \in K$, then $f_{v} \equiv 0$, from which (i) follows. The function $v\left(z^{\alpha} \exp \left\langle u_{0}, z\right\rangle\right)=c_{\alpha}$, where $c_{\alpha}$ is the coefficient of $\left(u-u_{0}\right)^{\alpha}$ in the Taylor's series expansion of $f_{v}$ at $u_{0}$. Thus, if $c_{\alpha}=0$ for all $\alpha$, then $f_{v} \equiv 0$, from which (ii) follows.

For $v \in F_{p}^{\rho(r)}$ such that $f_{v}$ has type zero (with respect to $\rho^{*}(r)$ ), we define the convolution

$$
v * \mu(f)=v_{w}\left[\mu_{v}(f(w+v))\right] .
$$

Then, by Theorem 1, the map $\mu \rightarrow \nu * \mu$ is a map of $\left(F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ into itself. We define a differential equation of infinite order to be

$$
(\check{v}(f), \mu)=(f, v * \mu) .
$$

Theorem 2. If $v \in\left(F_{p}^{\rho(r)}\right)^{\prime}$ is such that $f_{v}$ has minimal type with respect to $\rho *(r)$, then the equation $\check{v}(x)=f$, for $f \in F_{p}^{\rho(r)}$, always has a solution in $F_{p}^{\rho(r)}$.

Proof. The reader is referred to [7]; the proof that Martineau gives there for complex norms easily carries over to the present case.
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