

THE GROUP OF EXTENSIONS AND SPLITTING LENGTH

E. H. TOUBASSI

This paper is concerned with the internal structure of $\text{Ext}(Q, T)$ where Q is the group of rationals and T a reduced p -primary group of unbounded order. In [1] Irwin, Khabbaz, and Rayna define the splitting length of an arbitrary abelian group A , written $l(A)$, to be the least positive integer n , otherwise infinity, such that $A \otimes \dots \otimes A$ (n factors) splits. The concept of splitting length has been induced on $\text{Ext}(Q, T)$, see [2; 5]. For $E \in \text{Ext}(Q, T)$ where $E : 0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow X \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$, define $l(E) = l(X)$. In [2] it was shown that

$$\text{Ext}(Q, T) = F \oplus I = \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} C_n, \quad F = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n$$

where the nonzero elements of F are of finite splitting length, the nonzero elements of I of infinite splitting length, and the nonzero elements of C_n are of splitting length n . Moreover, the $C_n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$, were shown to be nonzero for a particular p -primary group T . This was improved in [5] where the $C_n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$, were shown to be nonzero for an arbitrary p -primary group T and that there are at least c non-isomorphic extensions of T by Q for an arbitrary splitting length $n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$, where c is the cardinality of the continuum. In this paper we show that in fact for every splitting length $n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$, there are at least \aleph_c nonequivalent extensions of T by Q where \aleph is the final rank of a basic subgroup of T .

Throughout the paper we shall use the notation of [5]. In addition, the values of the p -height function h will be taken to be either non-negative integers or the symbol ∞ .

We begin by recalling a special case of a definition and a theorem from [6].

Definition. For a mixed group G of torsion-free rank one with a p -primary torsion subgroup, the *height-slope* of G is defined by

$$\text{h.s. } G = \sup_{\substack{a \in G \\ a(a)=\infty}} \inf_{i \neq 0} h(p^i a)/i.$$

The following theorem relates height-slope to splitting length.

THEOREM 1. *Let G be a nonsplitting mixed group of torsion-free rank one with*

Received February 12, 1973 and in revised form, June 13, 1973. This research was partially supported by NSF Grant GU-1534.

height-slope α , its torsion subgroup, $T(G)$, p -primary and $G/T(G)$ is p -divisible. Then $l(G) = n$ if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) α belongs to the open interval $(n/(n - 1), (n - 1)/(n - 2))$, or
- (ii) $\alpha = n/(n - 1)$ and for some element $a \in G$ of infinite order $h(p^i a) > \alpha(i + f(i))$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, where f is a function from $\mathbb{Z}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ which is non-decreasing to infinity.

We now prove two preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$$

be p -pure exact,

$$E : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\beta} X \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$$

an exact sequence, and define $N = \{(-\alpha(a), \beta(a)) \in B \oplus X | a \in A\}$. Then $\eta : X \rightarrow B \oplus X/N$ given by $\eta(x) = (o, x) + N$ is a p -height preserving homomorphism.

Proof. Suppose $\eta(x) = (o, x) + N = p^n(b, x_1) + N$. Hence $(-p^n b, x - p^n x_1) \in N$. Let $a \in A$ be such that $\alpha(a) = p^n b, \beta(a) = x - p^n x_1$. Since

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\alpha} B$$

is p -pure exact we may write $a = p^n a_1$ for some $a_1 \in A$. Now $x - p^n x_1 = \beta(a) = \beta(p^n a_1) = p^n \beta(a_1)$, so that $x = p^n(x_1 + \beta(a_1))$ as desired.

Remark 1. If in Lemma 1, A and B are p -primary groups and X is an extension of A by Q then we can conclude that h.s. X is equal to h.s. $B \oplus X/N$, if h.s. X is finite. We shall use this fact later on in the paper.

Remark 2. The homomorphism η in Lemma 1 does not distinguish between elements of infinite height. For example the image of an element of height ω does at times become of infinite height in the generalized sense.

LEMMA 2. Let $B = \sum_{\gamma} B_{\gamma}$ where the summands are \aleph in number and each B_{γ} is the direct sum of cyclic p -groups of unbounded order. Then

$$\text{Ext}(Q, B) = F \oplus I = \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} C_n$$

contains at least $\aleph c$ nonequivalent extensions for every splitting length $n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$.

Proof. If $\aleph c = c$ then the result follows from Theorem 2 of [5] and the remark following the theorem. So suppose $\aleph > c$. Again by Theorem 2, for each γ ,

$$\text{Ext}(Q, B_{\gamma}) = \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} C_n$$

where all the C_n are nonzero. Let $E_1 \in \text{Ext}(Q, B_\alpha), E_2 \in \text{Ext}(Q, B_\delta)$ where

$$E_1 : 0 \rightarrow B_\alpha \xrightarrow{\rho_1} X_\alpha \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0, \quad E_2 : 0 \rightarrow B_\delta \xrightarrow{\rho_2} X_\delta \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

and $l(E_1) = n = l(E_2)$. Then

$$E_1' : 0 \rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \oplus B_\alpha \xrightarrow{(1, \rho_1)} \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \oplus X_\alpha \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

$$E_2' : 0 \rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus B_\delta \xrightarrow{(1, \rho_2)} \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus X_\delta \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

are elements of $\text{Ext}(Q, B)$ of splitting length n . Moreover, E_1', E_2' are non-equivalent extensions. Suppose the contrary. Then there exists an isomorphism

$$\theta : \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \oplus X_\alpha \rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus X_\delta$$

such that $\theta(1, \rho_1) = (1, \rho_2)$. Now θ induces an isomorphism

$$\hat{\theta} : X_\alpha \rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus X_\delta / \theta \left(\sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \right) = B_\alpha \oplus \frac{X_\delta}{B_\delta}.$$

This implies that X_α splits, a contradiction. Thus, E_1' and E_2' are nonequivalent. Since the summands B_γ are \aleph in number our conclusion follows.

Remark 3. If in Lemma 2 the B_γ are all equal then we can conclude that the same group is appearing in at least $\aleph c$ nonequivalent extensions of B by Q . This indicates that the cardinality of $\text{Ext}(Q, B)$ arises largely from this fact.

THEOREM 2. *Let T be a reduced p -primary group of unbounded order with B a basic subgroup of T with final rank \aleph . Then $\text{Ext}(Q, T) = F \oplus I = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} C_n$ contains at least $\aleph c$ nonequivalent extensions of splitting length $n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$.*

Proof. By [5], $\text{Ext}(Q, T)$ contains at least c nonequivalent extensions for every splitting length $n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$, and so we may assume $\aleph > c$. Since the final rank of B is \aleph we may write $B = \sum_\gamma B_\gamma$ where the B_γ are \aleph in number and each B_γ is the direct sum of cyclic p -groups of unbounded order. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{i} T \rightarrow T/B \rightarrow 0$$

gives us the exact sequence

$$0 = \text{Hom}(Q, T) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q, T/B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q, B) \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{Ext}(Q, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q, T/B) = 0.$$

By Lemma 2, $\text{Ext}(Q, B)$ contains at least $\aleph c$ nonequivalent extensions for every splitting length $n, 2 \leq n \leq \infty$. By Lemma 1 the extensions having a middle group with a finite height slope are mapped under i^* into ones with the

same height slope. Thus, by Theorem 1, i_* preserves the splitting length of those extensions having middle groups with finite height slope. It remains to show that they are nonequivalent in $\text{Ext}(Q, T)$. Let

$$E_1, E_2 \in \text{Ext}(Q, B)$$

$$E_1 : 0 \rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \oplus B_\alpha \xrightarrow{\rho_1} \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \oplus X_\alpha \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

$$E_2 : 0 \rightarrow \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus B_\delta \xrightarrow{\rho_2} \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus X_\delta \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

and h.s. $X_\alpha < \infty$, h.s. $X_\delta < \infty$. The images of E_1 and E_2 under i_* are

$$i_*(E_1) : 0 \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_1} \frac{T \oplus \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \oplus X_\alpha}{N_1} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

$$i_*(E_2) : 0 \rightarrow T \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_2} \frac{T \oplus \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus X_\delta}{N_2} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$$

where $N_i = \{(-b, \rho_i(b)) | b \in B\}$ $i = 1, 2$. If $i_*(E_1) = i_*(E_2)$ then there exists an isomorphism

$$\theta : \frac{T \oplus \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \oplus X_\alpha}{N_1} \rightarrow \frac{T \oplus \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus X_\delta}{N_2}$$

such that $\theta \tilde{\rho}_1 = \tilde{\rho}_2$. This induces an isomorphism

$$\hat{\theta} : \frac{T \oplus \sum_{\gamma \neq \alpha} B_\gamma \oplus X_\alpha}{N_1} / \tilde{\rho}_1(B_\alpha) \rightarrow \frac{T \oplus \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus X_\delta}{N_2} / \theta \tilde{\rho}_1(B_\alpha) = \frac{T \oplus \sum_{\gamma \neq \delta} B_\gamma \oplus X_\delta}{N_2} / \tilde{\rho}_2(B_\alpha).$$

However, this is not possible since the first group splits while the second does not. This completes the proof.

Remark 4. By Lemma 1 (or a direct computation) the kernel of i_* contains nontrivial extensions with middle groups having infinite height slope.

Before we pose a concluding question we show that $|\text{Ext}(Q, T)| = \aleph^{\aleph_0}$ where \aleph is the final rank of a basic subgroup of T (see also [3]). Let B be basic in T and \aleph the final rank of B . Write $B = \sum_n B_n$ where $B_n = \sum Z(p^n)$. Choose $m \in Z$ such that $r(p^m B) = \aleph$ and $B = B' \oplus B''$ where $B' = \sum_{i=1}^m B_i$ and $B'' = \sum_{i=m+1}^\infty B_i$. Thus $\aleph = r(p^m B) = |B''|$. Then $\text{Ext}(Q, B) \simeq \text{Ext}(Q, B'')$ since B' is bounded. Thus $|\text{Ext}(Q, B)| = |\text{Ext}(Q, B'')|$. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow T \rightarrow T/B \rightarrow 0$ yields the exact sequence $\text{Ext}(Q, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q, T) \rightarrow 0$. So $|\text{Ext}(Q, B)| \geq |\text{Ext}(Q, T)|$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow D \rightarrow D/B'' \rightarrow 0$ where D is the divisible hull of B'' . This last sequence induces the sequence

$\text{Hom}(Q, D/B'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q, B'') \rightarrow 0$ but

$$|\text{Hom}(Q, D/B'')| \leq |D/B''|^{\aleph_0} = |D|^{\aleph_0} = |B''|^{\aleph_0}.$$

Hence $|\text{Ext}(Q, B'')| \leq |\text{Hom}(Q, D/B'')| \leq |B''|^{\aleph_0}$, i.e., $|\text{Ext}(Q, T)| \leq |B''|^{\aleph_0}$. Now from the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B'' \rightarrow \bar{B}'' \rightarrow \bar{B}''/B'' \rightarrow 0$ we get $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(Q, \bar{B}''/B'') \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q, B'')$. Therefore

$$|\text{Ext}(Q, B'')| \geq |\text{Hom}(Q, \bar{B}''/B'')| \geq |\bar{B}''/B''| = |\bar{B}''| = |B''|^{\aleph_0},$$

the last equalities holding because $|B''| = \aleph$. Moreover, by Szele there is an exact sequence $T \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ which implies $\text{Ext}(Q, T) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(Q, B) \rightarrow 0$; thus $|\text{Ext}(Q, T)| \geq |\text{Ext}(Q, B)| = |\text{Ext}(Q, B'')| \geq |B''|^{\aleph_0}$. Therefore $|\text{Ext}(Q, T)| = |B''|^{\aleph_0} = \aleph^{\aleph_0}$.

Let $B = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z(p^i)$. We know that $\text{Ext}(Q, T)$ is isomorphic to

$$\text{Ext}\left(Q, \sum_{\aleph^{\aleph_0}} B\right),$$

both being rational vector spaces of dimension \aleph^{\aleph_0} . By Lemma 2,

$$\text{Ext}\left(Q, \sum_{\aleph^{\aleph_0}} B\right) = \sum_{n=2}^{n=\infty} C_n$$

contains \aleph^{\aleph_0} nonequivalent extensions for each splitting length n , $2 \leq n \leq \infty$. Since $|\text{Ext}(Q, T)| = \aleph^{\aleph_0}$ hence for some n , $2 \leq n \leq \infty$, $\text{Ext}(Q, T) = \sum_{n=0}^{n=\infty} C_n$ contains \aleph^{\aleph_0} nonequivalent extensions. The question we pose then is: does $\text{Ext}(Q, T)$ contain \aleph^{\aleph_0} nonequivalent extensions for all n , $2 \leq n \leq \infty$.

REFERENCES

1. J. M. Irwin, S. A. Khabbaz, and G. Rayna, *The role of the tensor product in the splitting of abelian groups*, J. Algebra 14 (1970), 423–442.
2. ——— *On a submodule of Ext*, J. Algebra 19 (1971), 486–495.
3. R. Nunke, *On the extensions of a torsion module*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 597–606.
4. T. Szele, *On the basic subgroups of abelian p-groups*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 5 (1954), 129–141.
5. E. H. Toubassi, *On the group of extensions*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 24 (1973), 87–92.
6. D. A. Lawver and E. H. Toubassi, *Height-slope and splitting length of abelian groups*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 20 (1973), 63–71.

University of Arizona,
Tucson, Arizona