

COMPOSITIO MATHEMATICA

An index theorem for end-periodic operators

Tomasz Mrowka, Daniel Ruberman and Nikolai Saveliev

Compositio Math. **152** (2016), 399–444.

 $\rm doi: 10.1112/S0010437X15007502$







An index theorem for end-periodic operators

Tomasz Mrowka, Daniel Ruberman and Nikolai Saveliev

Abstract

We extend the Atiyah, Patodi, and Singer index theorem for first-order differential operators from the context of manifolds with cylindrical ends to manifolds with periodic ends. This theorem provides a natural complement to Taubes' Fredholm theory for general end-periodic operators. Our index theorem is expressed in terms of a new periodic eta-invariant that equals the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer eta-invariant in the cylindrical setting. We apply this periodic eta-invariant to the study of moduli spaces of Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we prove an index theorem for operators on end-periodic manifolds, generalizing the index theorem of Atiyah *et al.* [APS75a].

The Atiyah–Patodi–Singer theorem applies to a first-order elliptic differential operator A on a compact oriented manifold Z with boundary Y that has the form

$$A = \sigma \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - B\right) \tag{1}$$

on a collar neighborhood of Y. Here, B is a self-adjoint elliptic operator on Y and σ is a bundle isomorphism. We orient Y so that the outer normal vector $\partial/\partial\theta$ followed by the orientation of Y gives the orientation on X (this is different from the orientation convention of [APS75a], hence the negative sign in (1)). The theorem states that the index of A, with respect to a certain global boundary condition, is given by

ind
$$A = \int_{Z} \mathbf{I}(A) - \frac{1}{2}(h_B + \eta_B(Y)).$$
 (2)

In this formula, I(A) is the local index form [ABP73, BGV92] whose integral would give the index of A if Z were a closed manifold, $h_B = \dim \ker B$, and the η -invariant $\eta_B(Y)$ is the value at s = 0 of the meromorphic extension of the function

$$\sum \operatorname{sign} \lambda |\lambda|^{-s}$$

defined for sufficiently large $\operatorname{Re}(s)$ by summing over the non-zero eigenvalues λ in the (discrete and real) spectrum of B.

Received 24 July 2014, accepted in final form 24 February 2015, published online 7 September 2015. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification 58J20, 58J28, 58J35, 35K05.

Keywords: index theorem, Fredholm operator, periodic end, positive scalar curvature.

The first author was partially supported by NSF Grant 0805841, the second author was partially supported by NSF Grant 1105234, and the third author was partially supported by NSF Grant 1065905 and the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn, Germany.

This journal is © Foundation Compositio Mathematica 2015.

Because of (1), the operator A extends to an operator (still denoted by A) on the non-compact manifold obtained from Z by attaching a product end $\mathbb{R}_+ \times Y$. If ker B = 0, the L^2 -closure of A is known to be Fredholm, and its index is again given by formula (2). With a proper interpretation of ind A as in [APS75a, §3], this formula holds even when ker $B \neq 0$ and the L^2 -closure of Afails to be Fredholm.

Manifolds with product ends are a special case of the end-periodic manifolds that we study in this paper. By an end-periodic manifold we mean an open Riemannian manifold with an end modeled on an infinite cyclic cover \tilde{X} of a compact manifold X associated with a primitive cohomology class $\gamma \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Z})$; the case of several ends, which plays an important role in §§ 8 and 9, can be treated similarly. To be precise, such a manifold is of the form

$$Z_{\infty} = Z \cup W_0 \cup W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \cdots, \tag{3}$$

where W_k are isometric copies of the fundamental segment W obtained by cutting X open along a oriented connected submanifold Y Poincaré dual to γ , and Z is a smooth compact manifold with boundary Y.

End-periodic elliptic operators on end-periodic manifolds were studied by Taubes [Tau87]. A fundamental example of such an operator would be an operator having the form (1) on a manifold with product end, and more general versions appear elsewhere in geometry [MP98, MPU96, Mil06, MRS11]; see also the discussion at the end of § 2.1. Taubes established conditions under which the L^2 -closure of an end-periodic elliptic operator is Fredholm, and calculated the index of the anti-self-dual operator occurring in Yang–Mills theory; this naturally raises the question of evaluating the index in the general case.

In this paper we present an index theorem for certain end-periodic operators, generalizing the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index theorem. Assume that Z_{∞} is even dimensional, and let $S = S^+ \oplus S^-$ be an end-periodic $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded Dirac bundle as in [LM89] with associated chiral Dirac operator

$$\mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty): C^\infty(Z_\infty; \mathcal{S}^+) \to C^\infty(Z_\infty; \mathcal{S}^-).$$

Typical examples would include the spin Dirac operator on a spin manifold and the signature operator; more generally, either of these operators twisted by a complex vector bundle with unitary connection would give a Dirac operator to which our theorem would apply.

To state our theorem, write $\gamma = [df]$ for a choice of smooth function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ lifting a circle-valued function on X. According to Taubes [Tau87, Lemma 4.3], the L^2 -closure of $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ is Fredholm if and only if the operators

$$\mathcal{D}_z^+ = \mathcal{D}^+(X) - \ln z \cdot df$$

on the closed manifold X obtained by Fourier–Laplace transform are invertible on the unit circle |z| = 1. As a consequence of this condition, the operator $\mathcal{D}^+(X)$ has index zero and hence its index form $\mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$ is exact. Our end-periodic index theorem is now as follows.

THEOREM A. Suppose that the L^2 -closure of the operator $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ is Fredholm, and choose a form ω on X such that $d\omega = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$. Then

$$\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty) = \int_Z \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(Z)) - \int_Y \omega + \int_X df \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2}\eta(X), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\eta(X) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+)) \frac{dz}{z} dt.$$
(5)

We will refer to (5) as the *periodic* η -invariant. The form ω is known in the literature as the transgressed class; cf. Gilkey [Gil93].

In the product end case, one can choose $X = S^1 \times Y$ so that $\tilde{X} = \mathbb{R} \times Y$, and let $f : \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the projection onto the first factor. With our orientation conventions, $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ is of the form (1), where $B = \mathcal{D}$ is the self-adjoint Dirac operator on Y; see Nicolaescu [Nic99, p. 70]. One can easily see that in this case the operators \mathcal{D}_z^+ are invertible on the unit circle if and only if ker $\mathcal{D} = 0$. We then show in § 6.3 that $\eta(X) = \eta_{\mathcal{D}}(Y)$. A similar 'spectral' interpretation of (5) in the general end-periodic case will be given in § 6.

How restrictive the Fredholmness condition in Theorem A is varies from one operator to another. For instance, the L^2 -closure of the spin Dirac operator on a spin manifold Z_{∞} of dimension at least four is Fredholm for a generic end-periodic metric, provided a certain topological obstruction vanishes; see [RS007]. On the other hand, the L^2 -closure of the signature operator on Z_{∞} is never Fredholm. This explains the need to extend our Theorem A to the situations when the L^2 -closure of $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ is not Fredholm. We will discuss such an extension in § 7 for a properly interpreted index analogous to the one treated in [APS75a, § 3].

It is worth mentioning that the index theorem of Atiyah, Patodi, and Singer is just one of multiple index theorems generalizing the original Atiyah–Singer index theorem [AS68] from compact to non-compact manifolds. In many of these generalizations, the operator is no longer required to be Fredholm but the index is interpreted through some kind of averaging procedure; examples include Atiyah's index theorem for coverings [Ati76] and Roe's index theorem for certain open manifolds [Roe88a, Roe88b]. Despite the common use of Fourier transform methods, our theorem is of a different nature; in fact, it is nearest to the classical case in that the operator under consideration is actually Fredholm, and its index is given by a formula similar to that of Atiyah *et al.* [APS75a] with a different correction term.

1.1 An outline of the proof

Our proof of Theorem A is an adaptation to the end-periodic case of Melrose's proof of the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer theorem [Mel93]. Let \mathcal{D} be the full Dirac operator on the end-periodic manifold Z_{∞} . The operator $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ with t > 0 has a smoothing kernel K(t; x, y). Unlike in the compact case, this does not mean that $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ is of trace class because $\operatorname{tr}(K(t; x, x))$ need not be an integrable function of $x \in Z_{\infty}$. To rectify this problem, we define in § 3 a regularized trace $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}$, and show that the associated supertrace

$$\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)) = \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)) - \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^+\mathcal{D}^-))$$

has the desired properties that

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)) = \int_Z \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(Z))$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)) = \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty}).$$

In the closed case, the analysis shows that the supertrace of $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ is constant in t, and this fact proves the index theorem. In our case, however, an easy calculation shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)) = -\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[\mathcal{D}^-, \mathcal{D}^+\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)],$$

where the term on the right need not vanish because of the failure of the regularized trace Tr^{\flat} to be a true trace functional, that is, to vanish on commutators. Integrating the latter formula with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$, we obtain an index theorem with the 'defect' in the form

$$\int_0^\infty \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[\mathcal{D}^-, \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)] dt$$

Expressing this integral in terms of the periodic η -invariant (5) completes the proof of Theorem A.

There is a fair amount of analytic results behind each of the steps in the proof. Some of these results, like the short-time estimates on the kernel of $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$, are well known and hold for all manifolds of bounded geometry, of which end-periodic manifolds are a special case. Other results, like the convergence $\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)) \to \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ as $t \to \infty$, are more delicate and rely on the original gradient estimates which are specific to manifolds with periodic ends. All of this analysis is collected in § 10.

1.2 Calculations and applications

Because the definition (5) of the periodic η -invariant is so complex, it is not easy to make calculations beyond the product case. We present in § 6.4 a partial calculation for one family of examples, that of Dirac operators on certain Inoue surfaces [Ino74]. These are important examples in the theory of non-Kähler complex surfaces; see for instance [OT00, Tel07]. Topologically they fiber over the circle with 3-torus fiber, but the resulting infinite cyclic cover is not a metric product so $\eta(X)$ is not a priori related to the η -invariant of the fiber.

In §§ 8 and 9, we present a sample application of our index theorem in differential geometry. First, in parallel to the theory of [APS75b], we show how our periodic η -invariants give rise to a (metric dependent) invariant $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}$ of an even-dimensional manifold X equipped with a primitive cohomology class $\gamma \in H^1(X;\mathbb{Z})$ and a unitary representation α of $\pi_1(X)$. Recall that the $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants of [APS75b, § 3] are defined for odd-dimensional manifolds Y; our $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants are equal to these when $X = S^1 \times Y$. We apply our $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants in § 9 to detect components of the moduli space $\mathfrak{M}^+(X)$ of Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature, modulo diffeomorphism. Theorem 9.2 gives a somewhat more general version of the following result; cf. Botvinnik and Gilkey [BG95].

THEOREM B. Let Y be a closed connected spin manifold of dimension 4n - 1 with n > 1 and with a non-trivial finite fundamental group, and let M be a closed spin manifold of dimension 4n. If Y and M admit metrics of positive scalar curvature, then $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}^+(S^1 \times Y) \# M)$ is infinite.

The topological techniques (surgery and handlebody theory) that go into the proof of this theorem are not completely available in low dimensions and so we are not able to obtain the result as stated if n = 1. By careful use of available techniques, in Theorem 9.5, we find 4-manifolds of the form $X = (S^1 \times Y) \# m \cdot (S^2 \times S^2)$ for which $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}^+(X))$ can be arbitrarily large.

1.3 Organization

The paper is organized as follows. We begin in § 2 by reviewing the basics of the theory of endperiodic operators and by deriving an explicit formula for the smoothing kernel of the operator $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ on the periodic manifold \tilde{X} . Analytic estimates on the heat kernel, despite being crucial to the proof, are postponed until § 10 for the sake of exposition. The regularized trace Tr^{\flat} is defined in § 3. In § 4, we derive the commutator trace formula for Tr^{\flat} ; this is followed by the proof of Theorem A in § 5. Section 6 discusses a spectral interpretation of the invariant $\eta(X)$, as well as its interpretation in terms of the von Neumann trace. We also calculate the periodic η -invariant

AN INDEX THEOREM FOR END-PERIODIC OPERATORS

for product manifolds and make partial calculations for certain Inoue surfaces. Theorem A is extended to the non-Fredholm case in § 7. In the same section, we discuss the dependence of the periodic η -invariants on orientations and its relation to spectral flow. The periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants are introduced in § 8 and are applied to the study of metrics of positive scalar curvature in § 9. Several analytic results used elsewhere in the paper are collected in the final § 10.

2. End-periodic operators

We begin by describing manifolds with periodic ends, and the class of operators that we will consider. We will restrict ourselves to the situation with one end; the extension to several ends is routine.

2.1 End-periodic manifolds and operators

Let X be an oriented compact manifold endowed with a primitive cohomology class $\gamma \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. This data gives rise to an infinite cyclic covering $p: \tilde{X} \to X$, together with a generator T of the covering translations, which we will often denote by T(x) = x + 1. Choose a smooth function $X \to S^1$ which pulls back the generator of $H^1(S^1; \mathbb{Z})$ to γ . We fix a lift $f: \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ of this function; it has the property that f(x+1) = f(x) + 1. Note that while f does not descend to a real-valued function on X, its differential does, and we will abuse notation by viewing df as a 1-form on X.

Choose an oriented, connected submanifold $Y \subset X$ that is Poincaré dual to γ , and cut X open along Y to obtain a cobordism W with boundary $\partial W = -Y \cup Y$. Note that

$$\tilde{X} = \bigcup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} W_k,$$

where W_k are just copies of W. By definition, an *end-periodic manifold* with end modeled on the infinite cyclic cover of X is a manifold of the form

$$Z_{\infty} = Z \cup W_0 \cup W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \cdots, \tag{6}$$

where Z is a smooth oriented compact manifold with boundary Y. There are obvious definitions of Riemannian metrics, bundles, and differential operators in this setting; in short, all data over the end should be pulled back from the same sort of data on X.

We will largely follow the notation of [LM89] for index-theoretic notions. We consider Dirac operators $\mathcal{D}(M)$ defined on sections of a Dirac bundle \mathcal{S} over a manifold M. When the dimension of M is even, the Dirac bundle $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$ is $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -graded, and the Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}(M)$ decomposes into the chiral Dirac operators,

$$\mathcal{D}(M) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{D}^-(M) \\ \mathcal{D}^+(M) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\mathcal{D}^{\pm}(M) : C^{\infty}(M, \mathcal{S}^{\pm}) \to C^{\infty}(M, \mathcal{S}^{\mp})$. Note that part of the data of the Dirac bundle is a connection on \mathcal{S} compatible with Clifford multiplication and with the grading.

There are many examples of end-periodic manifolds, and our analysis will apply to the natural geometric operators on these manifolds, such as the spin Dirac operator on a spin manifold and the signature operator, as well as to their twisted versions. We remark that an end may be topologically a product but not geometrically so; examples of this sort are warped products $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ with metric $d\theta^2 + \varphi(\theta)g^Y$ (with periodic warping function $\varphi(\theta)$). Manifolds with periodic

ends that are not topologically products also abound; for instance, the manifold X obtained by (0-framed for n = 3) surgery on a knot in S^n will have infinite cyclic cover \tilde{X} that is not a product if the Alexander polynomial of the knot is not monic. A typical end-periodic manifold with end modeled on this \tilde{X} may be obtained by cutting \tilde{X} along a lift of a Seifert surface for the knot, and filling in this Seifert surface by an *n*-manifold that it bounds. In a recent paper [MRS14], we analyzed the de Rham complex (with weights, as in §7) on end-periodic manifolds. When the end is modeled on the infinite cyclic cover of surgery on a knot, the Alexander module of the knot determines the behavior of the index as the weights are varied.

2.2 Fredholm theory for end-periodic operators

We briefly review relevant parts of the Fredholm theory of end-periodic operators following Taubes [Tau87], starting with the definition of the weighted Sobolev spaces.

Given $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and a non-negative integer k, we will say that $u \in L^2_{k,\delta}(Z_{\infty}, \mathcal{S})$ if and only if $e^{\delta f} u \in L^2_k(Z_{\infty}, \mathcal{S})$, where $f : Z_{\infty} \to \mathbb{R}$ is an extension of f to Z_{∞} . We define

$$||u||_{L^2_{k,\delta}(Z_{\infty},\mathcal{S})} = ||e^{\delta f}u||_{L^2_k(Z_{\infty},\mathcal{S})}.$$

Assume for the sake of concreteness that Z_{∞} is even dimensional. Then, as usual, the operator $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ extends to a bounded operator

$$\mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty): L^2_{k+1,\delta}(Z_\infty, \mathcal{S}^+) \to L^2_{k,\delta}(Z_\infty, \mathcal{S}^-), \tag{7}$$

and similarly for $\mathcal{D}^{-}(\mathbb{Z}_{\infty})$. An excision principle shows that the operator (7) is Fredholm if and only if the operator $\mathcal{D}^{+}(\tilde{X}) : L^{2}_{k+1,\delta}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{S}^{+}) \to L^{2}_{k,\delta}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{S}^{-})$ is Fredholm (or, equivalently, invertible). Taubes gave a Fredholmness criterion using the Fourier–Laplace transform, as follows.

Given a spinor $u \in C_0^{\infty}(\tilde{X}; S)$ and a complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, the Fourier–Laplace transform of u is defined as

$$\hat{u}_z(x) = z^{f(x)} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} z^n u(x+n)$$

for a fixed branch of $\ln z$. Since u has compact support, the above sum is finite. One can easily check that $\hat{u}_z(x+1) = \hat{u}_z(x)$ for all $x \in \tilde{X}$. Therefore, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we have a well-defined spinor \hat{u}_z over X that depends analytically on z. The spinor u can be recovered from its Fourier– Laplace transform using the formula

$$u(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} z^{-f(x)} \hat{u}_z(x_0) \frac{dz}{z},$$
(8)

where $p: \tilde{X} \to X$ is the covering projection, $x_0 = p(x) \in X$, and the contour integral is taken counterclockwise. This can be checked by direct substitution.

The Fourier-Laplace transform extends to the weighted Sobolev spaces defined above. Conjugating the operators $\mathcal{D}^{\pm}(\tilde{X})$ by the Fourier-Laplace transform, we obtain holomorphic families of twisted Dirac operators on X,

$$\mathcal{D}_{z}^{\pm}(X) = \mathcal{D}^{\pm}(X) - \ln z \cdot df, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{*}.$$
(9)

PROPOSITION 2.1 [Tau87, Lemma 4.3]. The operator (7) is Fredholm if and only if the operators $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$ are invertible for all z on the circle $|z| = e^{\delta}$.

COROLLARY 2.2. A necessary condition for the operator (7) to be Fredholm is that $\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^+(X) = 0$.

Given that ind $\mathcal{D}^+(X) = 0$, the set of points $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ where the operators $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$ are not invertible will be referred to as the *spectral set* of the family $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$. The following result is due to Taubes [Tau87, Theorem 3.1].

THEOREM 2.3. Suppose that $\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^+(X) = 0$ and the map $df : \ker \mathcal{D}^+(X) \to \operatorname{coker} \mathcal{D}^+(X)$ given by Clifford multiplication by df is injective. Then the spectral set of the family $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$ is a discrete subset of \mathbb{C}^* . In particular, the operator (7) is Fredholm for all but a discrete set of $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.4. In the paper [RS007], the second and third authors investigated the special case of the spin Dirac operator on end-periodic manifolds of dimension at least four and gave a condition that guarantees that the spectral set of the family $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$ is both discrete and avoids the unit circle |z| = 1 for a generic metric on X. If the dimension of X is divisible by four, that condition is simply the necessary condition of Corollary 2.2.

2.3 Smoothing kernels

This section introduces smoothing kernels of operators of the form $h(\mathcal{D})$, where h is a rapidly decaying function. To avoid complicating the exposition, we have delayed a detailed discussion of relevant analytic matters until § 10.

Let \mathcal{D} be a Dirac operator on a manifold M, which in all of our applications will be either closed or end-periodic. For any rapidly decaying function $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, the operator $h(\mathcal{D})$ defined using the spectral theorem can be written as

$$h(\mathcal{D})(u)(x) = \int_M K(x, y)u(y) \, dy,\tag{10}$$

where K(x, y) is a smooth section of the bundle $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_R^* S, \pi_L^* S)$, and π_L and π_R are projections onto the two factors of $M \times M$. We refer to K(x, y) as the *smoothing kernel*. For example, the operators $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ and $\mathcal{D}\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ are represented by such smoothing kernels for all t > 0. When M is even dimensional, we can restrict our operators to the sections of S^{\pm} to obtain their chiral versions like $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$ or $\mathcal{D}^+\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$, which again are represented by smoothing kernels.

In the original proof of the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index theorem [APS75a], a crucial role was played by an explicit formula for the smoothing kernel of the operator $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ on a cylinder obtained from the classical solution of the heat equation [APS75a, § 2]. Such an explicit formula is not available in our more general setting; however, we present below an equally useful formula for the smoothing kernel of the operator $h(\mathcal{D})$ on the infinite cyclic cover \tilde{X} in terms of data on X.

Let $\mathcal{D}_z = \mathcal{D}(X) - \ln z \cdot df$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The smoothing kernels of operators $h(\mathcal{D})$ on \tilde{X} and of $h(\mathcal{D}_z) = h(\mathcal{D})_z$ on X will be called $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ and $K_z(x, y)$, respectively. The following proposition, which expresses \tilde{K} in terms of K_z , can be verified by a direct calculation with the Fourier-Laplace transform.

PROPOSITION 2.5. Let $p: \tilde{X} \to X$ be the covering projection; then, for any $x, y \in \tilde{X}$ and $x_0 = p(x), y_0 = p(y)$, we have

$$\tilde{K}(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} z^{f(y)-f(x)} K_z(x_0,y_0) \frac{dz}{z}.$$
(11)

Remark 2.6. Note that formula (11) implies that the smoothing kernel $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ is periodic in that

$$K(x+k, y+k) = K(x, y) \quad \text{for any } k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
(12)

In addition, if $\hat{K}_z(x_0, y)$ is the Fourier–Laplace transform of $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ with respect to the variable x, then

$$\widehat{K}_z(x_0, y) = z^{f(y)} K_z(x_0, y_0).$$
(13)

3. Regularized trace

A smoothing operator (10) need not be of trace class on a non-compact manifold because the integral of tr(K(x, x)), which is used to define the operator trace, may diverge. Such an integral can be regularized in many different ways; the regularization we choose is inspired by that of Melrose [Mel93]. It applies to end-periodic manifolds like Z_{∞} and the operators $\mathcal{D}^m \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$, $m \ge 0$, and their chiral versions such as $\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$.

Extending this construction to a larger class of operators, while it may be an interesting problem in its own right, is certainly beyond the scope of this paper.

3.1 Definition of the regularized trace

Let us fix an integer $m \ge 0$ and consider the operator $\mathcal{D}^m \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$. This operator will be called P or \tilde{P} , depending on whether \mathcal{D} is the Dirac operator on Z_{∞} or \tilde{X} . The smoothing kernels of P and \tilde{P} will be denoted by K(t; x, y) and $\tilde{K}(t; x, y)$, respectively.

Let $Z_N = Z \cup W_0 \cup \cdots \cup W_N$ for any integer $N \ge 0$. We define the *regularized trace* of P by the formula

$$\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(P) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[\int_{Z_N} \operatorname{tr}(K(t;x,x)) \, dx - (N+1) \int_{W_0} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{K}(t;x,x)) \, dx \right].$$
(14)

LEMMA 3.1. For any t > 0, the limit (14) exists.

Proof. Write

$$\begin{split} \int_{Z_N} \operatorname{tr}(K(t;x,x)) \, dx &= \int_Z \operatorname{tr}(K(t;x,x)) \, dx + \sum_{k=0}^N \int_{W_k} \operatorname{tr}(K(t;x,x)) \, dx \\ &= \int_Z \operatorname{tr}(K(t;x,x)) \, dx + \sum_{k=0}^N \int_{W_0} \operatorname{tr}(K(t;x+k,x+k) - \tilde{K}(t;x+k,x+k)) \, dx \\ &\quad + (N+1) \int_{W_0} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{K}(t;x,x)) \, dx, \end{split}$$

where we used (12) in the last line. Use Corollary 10.8 and Remark 10.10 to estimate

$$\int_{W_0} \left| \operatorname{tr}(K(t; x+k, x+k) - \tilde{K}(t; x+k, x+k)) \right| dx \leqslant C_1 e^{-C_2(k-1)^2/t}$$
(15)

for all $k \ge 1$. Therefore, the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{W_0} \operatorname{tr}(K(t; x+k, x+k) - \tilde{K}(t; x+k, x+k)) \, dx \tag{16}$$

converges absolutely for any t > 0. This completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.2. The regularized trace $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(P)$ is a continuous function of $t \in (0, \infty)$.

Proof. Since both K(t; x, x) and $\tilde{K}(t; x, x)$ are continuous functions of $t \in (0, \infty)$, see § 10.1 and [Roe88a, Proposition 2.10], the result follows from uniform convergence of the series (16) on bounded intervals.

3.2 A formula for the regularized trace

The formula (11) can be used to express the correction term in formula (14) for the regularized trace in terms of the family $\mathcal{D}_z = \mathcal{D}_z(X)$ of twisted Dirac operators on the closed manifold X. Restricting (11) to the diagonal x = y and applying the matrix trace, we obtain

$$\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{K}(t;x,x)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{tr}(K_z(t;x_0,x_0)) \frac{dz}{z},$$

where $x_0 = p(x)$, $y_0 = p(y)$, and K_z is the smoothing kernel of the operator $P_z = \mathcal{D}_z^m \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^2)$ on X. Since X is closed, P_z is of trace class with

$$\operatorname{Tr}(P_z) = \int_X \operatorname{tr}(K_z(t; x_0, x_0)) \, dx_0$$

Therefore, we can write

$$\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(P) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \left[\int_{Z_N} \operatorname{tr}(K(t;x,x)) \, dx - \frac{N+1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}(P_z) \, \frac{dz}{z} \right]. \tag{17}$$

4. A commutator trace formula

Let P and Q be the chiral versions of the operator $\mathcal{D}\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ on Z_{∞} and define $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P,Q] = \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(PQ) - \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(QP)$. The purpose of this section is to derive a formula for $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P,Q]$ solely in terms of data on X. This formula will be the main ingredient in the proof of the index theorem in § 5.

4.1 First step

Let us fix s > 0 and t > 0 and consider the operators $P = \mathcal{D}^- \exp(-s\mathcal{D}^+\mathcal{D}^-)$ and $Q = \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$ on Z_{∞} . Note that the regularized traces $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(PQ)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(QP)$ are well defined because both operators PQ and QP are of the type described in § 3. This follows from the identity $\mathcal{D}^{\mp} \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{\pm}\mathcal{D}^{\mp}) = \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{\pm}\mathcal{D}^{\pm})\mathcal{D}^{\mp}$, which is easily verified using (50). Write

$$(Pu)(x) = \int_{Z_{\infty}} K_P(x, y)u(y) \, dy, \quad (Qu)(x) = \int_{Z_{\infty}} K_Q(x, y)u(y) \, dy;$$

then

$$(PQ)u(x) = \int_{Z_{\infty}} \left(\int_{Z_{\infty}} K_P(x, y) K_Q(y, z) \, dy \right) u(z) \, dz,$$

so that

$$K_{PQ}(x,x) = \int_{Z_{\infty}} K_P(x,y) K_Q(y,x) \, dy$$

Similarly,

$$K_{QP}(x,x) = \int_{Z_{\infty}} K_Q(x,y) K_P(y,x) \, dy.$$

For any fixed N,

$$\int_{Z_N} (\operatorname{tr} K_{PQ})(x, x) \, dx = \iint_{Z_N \times Z_\infty} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x, y) K_Q(y, x)) \, dx \, dy$$

and

$$\int_{Z_N} (\operatorname{tr} K_{QP})(x, x) \, dx = \iint_{Z_N \times Z_\infty} \operatorname{tr}(K_Q(x, y) K_P(y, x)) \, dx \, dy$$
$$= \iint_{Z_\infty \times Z_N} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x, y) K_Q(y, x)) \, dx \, dy.$$



FIGURE 1. Regions of integration.

The Gaussian estimates (51) ensure that the double integrals in the last two formulas are absolutely convergent and in particular that changing the order of integration is justified. Therefore, we can write

$$\int_{Z_N} (\operatorname{tr} K_{PQ})(x, x) \, dx - \int_{Z_N} (\operatorname{tr} K_{QP})(x, x) \, dx$$
$$= \iint_{\Delta_+} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x, y) K_Q(y, x)) \, dx \, dy$$
$$- \iint_{\Delta_-} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x, y) K_Q(y, x)) \, dx \, dy, \tag{18}$$

where $\Delta_+ = Z_N \times (Z_\infty - Z_N)$ and $\Delta_- = (Z_\infty - Z_N) \times Z_N$ are shown schematically in Figure 1. Note that $\operatorname{Tr}[P,Q]_z = \operatorname{Tr}[P_z,Q_z] = 0$ on the closed manifold X; hence, the correction term in the expression for $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P,Q]$ vanishes and $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P,Q]$ is obtained by simply passing to the limit as $N \to \infty$ in (18).

Before we go on, we will introduce some notation. Given two numerical sequences A_N and B_N , we will write $A_N \sim B_N$ to mean that $A_N - B_N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

Lemma 4.1.

$$\iint_{\Delta_{-}} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x,y)K_Q(y,x)) \, dx \, dy \sim \sum_{\ell=0}^{N} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \iint_{W_k \times W_\ell} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x,y)K_Q(y,x)) \, dx \, dy.$$

Proof. The difference between the two sides of the equation is the integral

$$\iint_{(Z_{\infty}-Z_N)\times Z} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x,y)K_Q(y,x))\,dx\,dy,$$

whose absolute value can be estimated using (51) by a multiple of

$$\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \iint_{W_k \times Z} e^{-Ck^2/t} \, dx \, dy \to 0 \quad \text{as } N \to \infty.$$

AN INDEX THEOREM FOR END-PERIODIC OPERATORS

Change the variables of summation from k and ℓ to $m = k - \ell$ and k; then the right-hand side of the equation in Lemma 4.1 becomes

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+m} \iint_{W_k \times W_{k-m}} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x, y) K_Q(y, x)) \, dx \, dy \\ + \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=m}^{N+m} \iint_{W_k \times W_{k-m}} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x, y) K_Q(y, x)) \, dx \, dy.$$
(19)

With the help of this formula, we will trade the kernels of P and Q on Z_{∞} for the kernels of \tilde{P} and \tilde{Q} on \tilde{X} , at the expense of adding a term that approaches zero as $N \to \infty$.

Lemma 4.2.

$$\iint_{\Delta_{-}} \operatorname{tr}(K_{P}(x,y)K_{Q}(y,x)) \, dx \, dy \sim \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+m} \iint_{W_{k} \times W_{k-m}} \operatorname{tr}(K_{\tilde{P}}(x,y)K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x)) \, dx \, dy$$

Proof. We begin by noting that the second term in (19) approaches zero as $N \to \infty$ because it can be estimated by a multiple of

$$\begin{split} \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} (N+1) e^{-C(m-1)^2/t} &\leqslant (N+1) \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} e^{-C(m-1)/t} \\ &\leqslant (N+1) e^{-CN/t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{-Cn/t} \to 0, \end{split}$$

where n = m - (N + 1) and C is a positive constant. Similarly, we obtain

$$\sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+m} \iint_{W_k \times W_{k-m}} \left| \operatorname{tr}(K_{\tilde{P}}(x, y) K_{\tilde{Q}}(y, x)) \right| dx \, dy$$
$$\leqslant C_0 \sum_{m=N+1}^{\infty} m e^{-C(m-1)^2/t} \to 0 \quad \text{as } N \to \infty,$$

where C_0 and C are positive constants. All that is left to estimate is

$$\sum_{m=1}^{N} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+m} \iint_{W_k \times W_{k-m}} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x, y) K_Q(y, x) - K_{\tilde{P}}(x, y) K_{\tilde{Q}}(y, x)) \, dx \, dy.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} |K_P(x,y)K_Q(y,x) - K_{\tilde{P}}(x,y)K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x)| \\ \leqslant |K_P(x,y)||K_Q(y,x) - K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x)| + |K_P(x,y) - K_{\tilde{P}}(x,y)||K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x)| \end{aligned}$$

and estimate the integrals of each of the summands on the right separately. By breaking the

summation over m into two parts, we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+m} \iint_{W_k \times W_{k-m}} |K_P(x,y)| |K_Q(y,x) - K_{\bar{Q}}(y,x)| \, dx \, dy$$

$$\leqslant C_0 \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor N/2 \rfloor} m e^{-C_1(m-1)^2/s} e^{-C_2 N^2/t}$$

$$\leqslant C_0 e^{-C_2 N^2/t} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m e^{-C_1(m-1)^2/s} \to 0,$$

using the estimate (51) for $|K_P(x, y)|$ and that from Proposition 10.9 for $|K_Q(y, x) - K_{\tilde{Q}}(y, x)|$, and

$$\sum_{m=\lfloor N/2 \rfloor+1}^{N} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+m} \iint_{W_k \times W_{k-m}} |K_P(x,y)| |K_Q(y,x) - K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x)| \, dx \, dy$$
$$\leqslant C_0 \sum_{m=\lfloor N/2 \rfloor+1}^{\infty} m e^{-C_1(m-1)^2(1/t+1/s)} \to 0,$$

using the estimate (51) for both $|K_P(x,y)|$ and $|K_Q(y,x) - K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x)|$. The estimates for $|K_P(x,y) - K_{\tilde{P}}(x,y)||K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x)|$ are similar.

By replacing Δ_{-} with Δ_{+} and proceeding exactly as above, we obtain the following result. LEMMA 4.3.

$$\iint_{\Delta_+} \operatorname{tr}(K_P(x,y)K_Q(y,x)) \, dx \, dy \sim \sum_{m=-1}^{-\infty} \sum_{\ell=N+1}^{N-m} \iint_{W_m+\ell \times W_\ell} \operatorname{tr}(K_{\tilde{P}}(x,y)K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x)) \, dx \, dy.$$

The kernels $K_{\tilde{P}}$ and $K_{\tilde{Q}}$ have the property that $K_{\tilde{P}}(x+n, y+n) = K_{\tilde{P}}(x, y)$ for any integer n and similarly for $K_{\tilde{Q}}$; see (12). Use this observation together with Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 and formula (18) to obtain

$$\begin{split} &\int_{Z_N} (\operatorname{tr} K_{PQ})(x, x) \, dx - \int_{Z_N} (\operatorname{tr} K_{QP})(x, x) \, dx \\ &\sim \sum_{m=-1}^{-\infty} \sum_{\ell=N+1}^{N-m} \iint_{W_0 \times W_0} \operatorname{tr}(K_{\tilde{P}}(x+m, y) K_{\tilde{Q}}(y, x+m)) \, dx \, dy \\ &\quad - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N+1}^{N+m} \iint_{W_0 \times W_0} \operatorname{tr}(K_{\tilde{P}}(x+m, y) K_{\tilde{Q}}(y, x+m)) \, dx \, dy \end{split}$$

as $N \to \infty$. Next, observe that the integrands in the above formula do not depend on k or ℓ ; hence, this last formula can be written as follows:

$$\int_{Z_N} (\operatorname{tr} K_{PQ})(x, x) \, dx - \int_{Z_N} (\operatorname{tr} K_{QP})(x, x) \, dx$$
$$\sim -\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m \cdot \iint_{W_0 \times W_0} \operatorname{tr}(K_{\tilde{P}}(x+m, y) K_{\tilde{Q}}(y, x+m)) \, dx \, dy.$$

Finally, pass to the limit as $N \to \infty$ in this formula to conclude that

$$\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P,Q] = -\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m \cdot \iint_{W_0 \times W_0} \operatorname{tr}(K_{\tilde{P}}(x+m,y)K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x+m)) \, dx \, dy.$$
(20)

4.2 Second step

Our next task will be to calculate the expression

$$-\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} m \cdot K_{\tilde{P}}(x+m,y) K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x+m), \quad x,y \in W_0,$$
(21)

in terms of the kernels K_{P_z} and K_{Q_z} of the holomorphic families P_z and Q_z .

LEMMA 4.4 (Parseval's relation). For any $x \in W_0$, we have

$$\sum_{m} u(x+m)v(x+m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \widehat{u}_z(x) \,\widehat{v}_{1/z}(x) \,\frac{dz}{z}.$$

Proof. According to the definition of the Fourier–Laplace transform, for any u and v with compact support, we have

$$\widehat{u}_{z}(x) = z^{f(x)} \sum_{n} z^{n} u(x+n)$$
 and $\widehat{v}_{1/z}(x) = z^{-f(x)} \sum_{m} z^{-m} v(x+m).$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \widehat{u}_z(x) \,\widehat{v}_{1/z}(x) \,\frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{m,n} \oint_{|z|=1} z^{n-m} u(x+n) v(x+m) \,\frac{dz}{z},$$

and the result obviously follows.

LEMMA 4.5. For any $x \in W_0$, we have

$$\sum_{m} m u(x+m)v(x+m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z^{-f(x)} \,\widehat{u}_z(x)) z^{f(x)} \,\widehat{v}_{1/z}(x) \, dz.$$

Proof. The result follows as above by inserting into the contour integral the expressions

$$z^{-f(x)} \,\widehat{u}_z(x) = \sum_n z^n u(x+n) \quad \text{and} \quad z^{f(x)} \,\widehat{v}_{1/z}(x) = \sum_m z^{-m} v(x+m).$$

We will apply Lemma 4.5 with $u(x+m) = K_{\tilde{P}}(x+m,y)$ and $v(x+m) = K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x+m)$, where $x, y \in W_0$. Comparing

$$u(x+m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} z^{-f(x)-m} \,\widehat{u}_z(x) \,\frac{dz}{z}$$

with

$$K_{\tilde{P}}(x+m,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} z^{f(y)-f(x)-m} K_{P_z}(x,y) \frac{dz}{z},$$

see (11), we obtain

$$\widehat{u}_z(x) = z^{f(y)} K_{P_z}(x, y);$$

see also (13). Similarly, substitute w = 1/z in

$$v(x+m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|w|=1} w^{-f(x)-m} \,\widehat{v}_w(x) \,\frac{dw}{w}$$

to obtain

$$v(x+m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} z^{f(x)+m} \,\widehat{v}_{1/z}(x) \,\frac{dz}{z}.$$

Comparing the latter with

$$K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x+m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} z^{f(x)+m-f(y)} K_{Q_z}(y,x) \frac{dz}{z},$$

see (11), we obtain

$$\widehat{v}_{1/z}(x) = z^{-f(y)} K_{Q_z}(y, x).$$

Substitute the above in the formula of Lemma 4.5 to obtain the following formula for the expression (21):

$$-\sum_{m} m \cdot K_{\tilde{P}}(x+m,y) K_{\tilde{Q}}(y,x+m) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} z^{f(x)-f(y)} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z^{-f(x)+f(y)} K_{P_z}(x,y)) K_{Q_z}(y,x) dz.$$

A direct calculation shows that the latter equals

$$(f(x) - f(y)) \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} K_{P_z}(x, y) K_{Q_z}(y, x) \frac{dz}{z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (K_{P_z}(x, y)) K_{Q_z}(y, x) dz.$$
(22)

Because of (20), to complete the calculation of $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P,Q]$ all we need to do is apply the (matrix) trace to (22) and integrate it over $W_0 \times W_0$. An application of this procedure to the second term of (22) results in

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial P_z}{\partial z} \cdot Q_z\right) dz.$$

Regarding the first term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{W_0 \times W_0} (f(x) - f(y)) \operatorname{tr}(K_{P_z}(x, y) K_{Q_z}(y, x)) \, dx \, dy \\ &= \int_{W_0} f(x) \bigg(\int_X \operatorname{tr}(K_{P_z}(x, y) K_{Q_z}(y, x)) \, dy \bigg) \, dx \\ &- \int_{W_0} f(y) \bigg(\int_X \operatorname{tr}(K_{P_z}(x, y) K_{Q_z}(y, x)) \, dx \bigg) \, dy, \end{aligned}$$

which equals

$$\int_{W_0} f(x) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(K_{P_z Q_z}(x, x) - K_{Q_z P_z}(x, x)) \, dx$$

THEOREM 4.6. Let $P = \mathcal{D}^- \exp(-s\mathcal{D}^+\mathcal{D}^-)$ and $Q = \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$ be operators on Z_{∞} ; then

$$\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P,Q] = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \left(\int_{W_0} f(x) \cdot \operatorname{tr}(K_{P_z Q_z}(x,x) - K_{Q_z P_z}(x,x)) \, dx \right) \frac{dz}{z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\frac{\partial P_z}{\partial z} \cdot Q_z\right) dz.$$

An index theorem for end-periodic operators

Proof. The proof is contained in the lengthy calculation that precedes the statement of this theorem; here is a summary. We begin by using some elementary calculus of smoothing kernels on Z_{∞} to derive formula (18). Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 show how the right-hand side of that formula can be expressed in terms of smoothing kernels on \tilde{X} . Passing to the limit, we arrive at formula (20). In §4.2, that formula is converted into the formula claimed in the theorem using Parseval's relation for the Fourier–Laplace transform.

5. The end-periodic index theorem

Let Z_{∞} be an even-dimensional end-periodic manifold whose end is modeled on the infinite cyclic cover \tilde{X} of X, and assume that the chiral Dirac operators $\mathcal{D}^{\pm} = \mathcal{D}^{\pm}(Z_{\infty}) : L^2_1(Z_{\infty}, \mathcal{S}^{\pm}) \to L^2(Z_{\infty}, \mathcal{S}^{\mp})$ are Fredholm. For the sake of brevity, introduce the notation

$$\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) = \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)) - \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^+\mathcal{D}^-)).$$

Our calculation of the index of \mathcal{D}^+ will rely on the following two formulas.

The first formula is obtained by straightforward differentiation using the easily verified identity $\mathcal{D}^{-} \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{+}\mathcal{D}^{-}) = \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{-}\mathcal{D}^{+})\mathcal{D}^{-}$:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) = -\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[\mathcal{D}^-, \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)].$$

The second formula is the formula of Theorem 4.6 with $P = \mathcal{D}^-$ and $Q = \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$. Since $P = \mathcal{D}^-$ is not a smoothing operator, this needs a little justification.

LEMMA 5.1. The formula of Theorem 4.6 holds as stated for $P = \mathcal{D}^-$ and $Q = \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$.

Proof. Consider the family $P_s = \mathcal{D}^- \exp(-s\mathcal{D}^+\mathcal{D}^-)$ of smoothing operators and apply Theorem 4.6 to P_s and Q to derive a formula for $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P_s, Q]$. To obtain the formula for $\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P, Q]$, simply pass to the limit in this formula as $s \to 0$. The equality

$$\lim_{s \to 0} \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P_s, Q] = \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[P, Q]$$

follows from Lemma 3.2: for any positive t, the regularized trace of $P_sQ = \mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-(s+t)\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$ is a continuous function of $s \ge 0$, and so is the regularized trace of QP_s . \Box

Together, the two aforementioned formulas result in

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^{2}}) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \int_{W_{0}} f \cdot \operatorname{tr}(K_{\mathcal{D}_{z}^{-}\mathcal{D}_{z}^{+}} \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{z}^{-}\mathcal{D}_{z}^{+}) - K_{\mathcal{D}_{z}^{+}\mathcal{D}_{z}^{-}} \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{z}^{+}\mathcal{D}_{z}^{-})) dx \frac{dz}{z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_{z}^{+} \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{z}^{-}\mathcal{D}_{z}^{+})) \frac{dz}{z}$$

because $\partial D_z^- / \partial z = -df/z$. Since

$$\frac{d}{dt}\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^{\mp}\mathcal{D}_z^{\pm}) = -\mathcal{D}_z^{\mp}\mathcal{D}_z^{\pm}\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^{\mp}\mathcal{D}_z^{\pm}).$$

we can write

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^{2}}) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \oint_{|z|=1} \left(\int_{W_{0}} f \cdot \operatorname{tr}(K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{z}^{-}\mathcal{D}_{z}^{+})} - K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{z}^{+}\mathcal{D}_{z}^{-})}) \, dx \right) \frac{dz}{z} - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_{z}^{+} \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{z}^{-}\mathcal{D}_{z}^{+})) \frac{dz}{z}.$$

$$(23)$$

Integrating the latter formula with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$, we obtain an identity whose individual terms are described one at a time in the three subsections that follow.

5.1 The left-hand side

Integrate the left-hand side of (23) with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ to obtain

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) - \lim_{t \to 0+} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2})$$

Let us first address the limit as $t \to 0$. According to Roe [Roe88a], on any manifold of bounded geometry (of even dimension n), of which end-periodic manifolds are a special case, we have an asymptotic expansion

$$\operatorname{tr}(K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{-}\mathcal{D}^{+})}(x,x)) \sim t^{-n/2} \sum_{k \ge 0} t^{k} \cdot \psi_{k}(x),$$

whose remainder terms, implicit in the asymptotic expansion, are uniformly bounded in x. The same local calculation as in [BGV92, p. 146] then gives an asymptotic expansion

$$\operatorname{tr}(K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{-}\mathcal{D}^{+})}(x,x) - K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{+}\mathcal{D}^{-})}(x,x)) \sim t^{-n/2} \sum_{k \ge n/2} t^{k} \cdot \alpha_{k}(x),$$

where $\alpha_k(x)$ is locally computable in terms of curvatures and their derivatives, and $\alpha_0(x)$ is the index form. In particular, on the end-periodic manifold Z_{∞} we have

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} \operatorname{tr}(K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{-}\mathcal{D}^{+})}(x,x) - K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^{+}\mathcal{D}^{-})}(x,x)) = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}))(x)$$
(24)

uniformly in $x \in Z_{\infty}$, and similarly on \tilde{X} .

PROPOSITION 5.2. At the level of regularized traces, we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) = \int_Z \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(Z))$$

Proof. Use formula (14) to write

$$\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) = \lim_{N \to \infty} s_N(t)$$

with the functions $s_N: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$s_N(t) = \int_{Z_N} \operatorname{str}(t, x) \, dx - (N+1) \int_{W_0} \widetilde{\operatorname{str}}(t, x) \, dx, \tag{25}$$

where $\operatorname{str}(t, x) = \operatorname{tr}(K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)}(x, x) - K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^+\mathcal{D}^-)}(x, x))$ for $x \in Z_{\infty}$, and $\operatorname{str}(t, x)$ is given by the same formula for $x \in \tilde{X}$. It follows from (24) that, for any fixed N,

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} s_N(t) = \int_{Z_N} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty)) - (N+1) \int_{W_0} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})) = \int_Z \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(Z)).$$

On the other hand, it follows as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 that the limit

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} s_N(t) = \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2})$$

is uniform on all bounded intervals. Therefore, the repeated limits

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{t \to 0+} s_N(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to 0+} \lim_{N \to \infty} s_N(t)$$

exist and are equal to each other, which justifies the following calculation:

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) = \lim_{t \to 0+} \lim_{N \to \infty} s_N(t)$$
$$= \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{t \to 0+} s_N(t) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \int_Z \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(Z)) = \int_Z \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(Z)).$$

Let us now investigate the limit of $\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2})$ as $t \to \infty$. PROPOSITION 5.3. At the level of regularized traces, we have

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) = \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$$

Proof. We will only show that $\lim \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^{-}\mathcal{D}^{+}}) = \dim \ker \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty})$, since the proof of the statement with the roles of \mathcal{D}^{-} and \mathcal{D}^{+} reversed is identical. Let $K_{0}(t; x, y) = K(t; x, y) - K(t; x, y)$ $K_{P_+}(t; x, y)$ as in § 10.5, where P_+ is the projector onto ker $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty)$. Write

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(e^{-t\mathcal{D}^{-}\mathcal{D}^{+}}) - \dim \ker \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}))$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \lim_{N \to \infty} \left(\int_{Z_{N}} \operatorname{tr}(K_{0}(t;x,x)) \, dx - (N+1) \int_{W_{0}} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{K}(t;x,x)) \, dx \right)$$

$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{W_{0}} \operatorname{tr}(K_{0}(t;x+k,x+k) - \tilde{K}(t;x+k,x+k)) \, dx \right)$$

$$+ \lim_{t \to \infty} \int_{Z} \operatorname{tr}(K_{0}(t;x,x)) \, dx$$

as a sum of two limits, by breaking Z_N into Z and N+1 copies of W_0 . It is immediate from Proposition 10.11 that the latter limit vanishes. As for the former limit, we have the following two estimates:

$$\begin{aligned} |K_0(t;x+k,x+k) - K(t;x+k,x+k)| \\ &\leqslant |K(t;x+k,x+k) - \tilde{K}(t;x+k,x+k)| + |K_{P_+}(t;x+k,x+k)| \\ &\leqslant C_1 e^{\alpha t} e^{-\gamma k/t} + C_2 e^{-\delta k} \end{aligned}$$

by Propositions 10.6 and 10.17, and (assuming without loss of generality that $t \ge 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} |K_0(t;x+k,x+k) - \tilde{K}(t;x+k,x+k)| \\ \leqslant |K_0(t;x+k,x+k)| + |\tilde{K}(t;x+k,x+k)| \leqslant Ce^{-\mu t} \end{aligned}$$

by Propositions 10.11 and 10.16. We will use the latter estimate for the terms in the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |K_0(t; x+k, x+k) - \tilde{K}(t; x+k, x+k)|$$

with $k \leq (\alpha + \mu)t^2/\gamma$, and the former for the terms with $k > (\alpha + \mu)t^2/\gamma$. The series is then bounded from above by

$$\sum_{k \leq (\alpha+\mu)t^2/\gamma} Ce^{-\mu t} \leq C(\alpha+\mu)t^2 e^{-\mu t}/\gamma$$

plus

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{k>(\alpha+\mu)t^2/\gamma} C_1 e^{\alpha t} e^{-\gamma k/t} \leqslant C_1 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{\alpha t - \gamma ((\alpha+\mu)t^2/\gamma+\ell)/t} \\ &\leqslant C_1 e^{-\mu t} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{-\gamma \ell/t} = \frac{C_1 e^{-\mu t}}{1 - e^{-\gamma/t}} \end{split}$$

and plus

$$\sum_{>(\alpha+\mu)t^2/\gamma} C_2 e^{-\delta k} \leqslant C_2 \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e^{-\delta((\alpha+\mu)t^2/\gamma+\ell)} = \frac{C_2 e^{-\delta(\alpha+\mu)t^2/\gamma}}{1-e^{-\delta}}.$$

It is an easy calculus exercise to show that all of the above three terms go to zero as $t \to \infty$, which completes the proof.

k

5.2 The first term on the right

Integrating the first term on the right-hand side of (23) with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \left(\int_{W_0} f \cdot \operatorname{tr}(K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^-\mathcal{D}_z^+)} - K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^+\mathcal{D}_z^-)}) \, dx \right) \frac{dz}{z} \\ &- \lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \left(\int_{W_0} f \cdot \operatorname{tr}(K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^-\mathcal{D}_z^+)} - K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^+\mathcal{D}_z^-)}) \, dx \right) \frac{dz}{z}. \end{split}$$

As $t \to \infty$, each of the operators $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^-\mathcal{D}_z^+)$ on X converges to the orthogonal projection onto $\ker \mathcal{D}_z^+$. This projection is zero for all z on the unit circle |z| = 1 because $\ker \mathcal{D}_z^+ = 0$ for all such z; see Proposition 2.1. Since X is closed, we have the uniform convergence of smoothing kernels, $K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^-\mathcal{D}_z^+)}(x,x) \to 0$; see for instance [Roe88b, Lemma 1.2]. Similarly, we have a uniform limit $K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^+\mathcal{D}_z^-)}(x,x) \to 0$. This implies that the first limit in the above formula vanishes.

Concerning the second limit, note that the operators \mathcal{D}_z^{\pm} are Dirac operators twisted by the connection $-\ln z \, df$ in a complex line bundle E_z . Since we are assuming that |z| = 1, this is a unitary connection and hence we have (on the closed manifold X)

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} \operatorname{tr}(K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+)} - K_{\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^+ \mathcal{D}_z^-)}) = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))\operatorname{ch}(E_z),$$

which in fact equals simply $\mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$ because the line bundles E_z are flat. In particular, the integrand in the second limit is independent of z, so the z-integration results in

$$\int_{W_0} f \cdot \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X)).$$
(26)

Since

$$\int_X \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X)) = \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^+(X) = 0$$

by Corollary 2.2, the form $I(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$ is exact. Choose a differential form ω on X such that

$$d\omega = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X)).$$

Recall that $f: W_0 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function on W_0 but not on X. Denote the two boundary components of W_0 by $\partial_-W_0 = Y_0$ and $\partial_+W_0 = Y_1$ (of course, $Y_0 = -Y$ and $Y_1 = Y$) and observe that $f|_{Y_1} = f|_{Y_0} + 1$. Apply Stokes' theorem to (26) to obtain

$$\int_{W_0} f \cdot \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X)) = \int_{W_0} f \cdot d\omega = \int_{Y_1} f\omega - \int_{Y_0} f\omega - \int_{W_0} df \wedge \omega$$
$$= \int_Y (f|_{Y_1} - f|_{Y_0})\omega - \int_X df \wedge \omega = \int_Y \omega - \int_X df \wedge \omega.$$

Remark 5.4. Suppose that Y has a product neighborhood in X with a product metric, and that df is supported in that neighborhood. Then one can easily check that

$$\int_Y \omega - \int_X df \wedge \omega = 0$$

5.3 The second term on the right

Integrate the second term on the right-hand side of (23) with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$ to obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\infty \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+)) \frac{dz}{z} dt,$$
(27)

which equals negative one half times the η -invariant $\eta(X)$ defined in (5). This completes the proof of Theorem A.

6. The periodic η -invariant

In this section, we will try to get a clearer idea of what the periodic η -invariant (5) represents, and how it relates to the classical η -invariant of Atiyah, Patodi, and Singer.

6.1 A spectral interpretation

The classical η -invariant is a spectral invariant; we will obtain a similar, if not as explicit, formula for the periodic η -invariant. We will continue to assume that the L^2 -closure of $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ is Fredholm or, equivalently, that the operators $\mathcal{D}_z^{\pm} = \mathcal{D}^{\pm}(X) - \ln z \cdot df$ are invertible when |z| = 1.

Theorem 4.6 in [MRS11] states that the family \mathcal{D}_z^+ is meromorphic in the variable $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, as is the family \mathcal{D}_z^- . The poles z_k of the family \mathcal{D}_z^- form the spectral set of \mathcal{D}_z^- ; see §2.2. Note that the analysis in [MRS11] was only done for spin Dirac operators in dimension four but it readily extends to the situation at hand.

We wish to relate (5) to the spectral set of \mathcal{D}_z^- . To this end, observe that

$$-\frac{d}{dt}(df \cdot (\mathcal{D}_z^-)^{-1}e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^-\mathcal{D}_z^+}) = df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^-\mathcal{D}_z^+}$$

Since X is compact, the kernel of $df \cdot (\mathcal{D}_z^-)^{-1} e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+}$ converges uniformly to zero as $t \to \infty$ as long as |z| = 1; hence, we can write

$$\eta(X) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr} \left(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+} \right) \frac{dz}{z} dt$$
$$= \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr} \left(df \cdot (\mathcal{D}_z^-)^{-1} e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+} \right) \frac{dz}{z}$$

As we explain next, after passing to the limit as $t \to 0$ under the integral, one can make the right-hand side of this formula into a series with summation over the spectral set of \mathcal{D}_z^- . The invariant $\eta(X)$ can then be viewed as a regularization of this (divergent) series.

A direct calculation shows that, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ away from the spectral set of \mathcal{D}_z^- , we have

$$df \cdot (\mathcal{D}_z^-)^{-1} = K \cdot (I - \ln z \cdot K)^{-1}$$
 where $K = df \cdot \mathcal{D}^-(X)^{-1}$. (28)

The operator $K : L^2(X; \mathcal{S}^+) \to L^2(X; \mathcal{S}^+)$ is compact because both operators $df : L^2 \to L^2$ and $\mathcal{D}^-(X)^{-1} : L^2 \to L_1^2$ are bounded, so that their composition factors through the compact embedding $L_1^2 \to L^2$. As a compact operator, K has a discrete spectrum and a basis of generalized eigenspinors.

Write $\ln z_k = \mu_k + 2\pi i n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, for a choice of branch of $\ln z$; then the eigenvalues of K are of the form $\lambda_{k,n} = 1/(\mu_k + 2\pi i n)$. It follows from (28) that, for every $z = e^{is}$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the operator $df \cdot (\mathcal{D}_z^-)^{-1}$ restricted to the generalized eigenspace of K corresponding to $\lambda_{k,n}$ is isomorphic to a sum of Jordan cells with $1/(\mu_k + 2\pi i n - is)$ along the diagonal. Also note that, for any given k, the generalized eigenspaces of K corresponding to $\lambda_{k,n}$ for different n are isomorphic to each other. These (finite-dimensional) spaces will be denoted by V_k .

Since $L^2(X; \mathcal{S}^+)$ is a sum of the generalized eigenspaces of K, one can formally write

$$\operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot (\mathcal{D}_z^-)^{-1}) = \sum_k \sum_n \frac{1}{\mu_k - i(s - 2\pi n)} \dim V_k.$$

Integrate the right-hand side of this formula with respect to $s \in [0, 2\pi]$ and convert the summation over $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ into an improper integral to obtain

$$\sum_{k} \left(\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu_k - is} \, ds \right) \dim V_k$$

The summation here extends over the points z_k in the spectral set of the family \mathcal{D}_z^- . Since

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\mu_k - is} = \operatorname{sign}(\operatorname{Re} \mu_k),$$

we arrive at the promised interpretation of $\eta(X)$ as a regularization of the divergent series

$$\sum_{k} \operatorname{sign} \ln |z_k| \cdot \dim V_k.$$
⁽²⁹⁾

This series can be viewed as a 'spectral asymmetry' of the family \mathcal{D}_z^- with respect to the unit circle |z| = 1, that is, informally, the number of spectral points with |z| > 1 minus the number of spectral points with |z| < 1. The map $\tau(z) = 1/\bar{z}$ establishes a bijection between the spectral sets of \mathcal{D}_z^- and \mathcal{D}_z^+ ; therefore, the series (29) can also be viewed as minus the 'spectral asymmetry' of the family \mathcal{D}_z^+ .

Remark 6.1. We used the notation $d(z_k)$ in [MRS11, § 6.3] for the dimension of the solution space of the system (33) responsible for the asymptotic behavior of the kernel of the spin Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ over the end. It is a straightforward linear algebra exercise to show that $d(z_k) = \dim V_k$.

6.2 η -invariant and von Neumann trace

Here is another interpretation of the periodic η -invariant using the trace in the von Neumann algebra of bounded L^2 -operators on \tilde{X} .

PROPOSITION 6.2. Let \mathcal{D}^+ and \mathcal{D}^- be periodic Dirac operators on \tilde{X} , and τ the von Neumann trace on \tilde{X} ; then

$$\eta(X) = 2 \int_0^\infty \tau(df \cdot \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)) dt.$$

We use the following definition of von Neumann trace; see Atiyah [Ati76]. Let $\tilde{K}(x, y)$ be the smoothing kernel of the operator $df \cdot \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$ on \tilde{X} . Then $\operatorname{tr}(\tilde{K}(x, x))$ is a periodic function, see (12), hence it is lifted from a function on X; integrate the latter function over Xto get $\tau(df \cdot \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+))$.

Proof. Integrate equation (11) with $x = y \in W_0$ to obtain

$$\int_{W_0} \tilde{K}(x,x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \left(\int_X K_z(x,x) \, dx \right) \frac{dz}{z}$$

If \tilde{K} is the smoothing kernel of $df \cdot \mathcal{D}^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+)$ on \tilde{X} , then K_z is the smoothing kernel of the operator $df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^-\mathcal{D}_z^+)$. Applying the matrix trace, we obtain

$$\int_{W_0} \operatorname{tr} \tilde{K}(x, x) \, dx = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr} (df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+)) \, \frac{dz}{z}$$

Integration with respect to t completes the proof.

6.3 The product case

Let $X = S^1 \times Y$ with product metric and orientation, and choose $f = \theta$. Then $\mathcal{D}^+(X) = d\theta \cdot (\partial/\partial\theta - \mathcal{D}(Y))$; hence, if we write $z = e^{is}$, $0 \leq s \leq 2\pi$, we have

$$\mathcal{D}_z^+ = d\theta \cdot (\partial/\partial\theta - \mathcal{D} - is) \text{ and } \mathcal{D}_z^- = (\partial/\partial\theta + \mathcal{D} - is) d\theta,$$

where $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(Y)$ is the self-adjoint Dirac operator on Y. To calculate $\operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^-\mathcal{D}_z^+})$, we will take advantage of the basis of eigenspinors $\psi_{n,\lambda} = e^{2\pi i n \theta} \varphi_{\lambda}$, where n is an arbitrary integer and $\mathcal{D}\varphi_{\lambda} = \lambda \varphi_{\lambda}$ (note that $\lambda \neq 0$, since we assume that ker $\mathcal{D} = 0$). A direct calculation in this basis gives

$$\operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+}) = \sum_{n,\lambda} (\lambda + i(s - 2\pi n)) e^{-t(\lambda^2 + (s - 2\pi n)^2)}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+}) \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+}) ds$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{\lambda} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\lambda + is) e^{-t(\lambda^2 + s^2)} ds$$

where we incorporated the summation over n into the improper integral. Next, use the fact that $se^{-t(\lambda^2+s^2)}$ is an odd function in s to obtain, after some basic integration,

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \oint_{|z|=1} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ e^{-t\mathcal{D}_z^- \mathcal{D}_z^+}) \frac{dz}{z} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \sum_{\lambda} \lambda e^{-t\lambda^2}.$$

Integration with respect to t results in

$$\eta(X) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} \left(\sum_{\lambda} \lambda e^{-t\lambda^2} \right) dt,$$

which gives a well-known formula for the η -invariant of \mathcal{D} ,

$$\eta_{\mathcal{D}}(Y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/2} \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{D}e^{-t\mathcal{D}^2}) dt;$$

see for instance [Mel93]. Therefore, $\eta(X) = \eta_{\mathcal{D}}(Y)$. That this matches the η -invariant of (2) can be proved as in [APS75a] using the Mellin transform.

6.4 Non-product examples

In the non-product case, direct computations of spectral sets and periodic η -invariants are very difficult. In this section, we will obtain partial information about the spectral set of Dirac operators for a class of examples called Inoue surfaces. These are compact complex surfaces which belong to type VII₀ in Kodaira's classification. They were constructed by Inoue [Ino74], and their most remarkable property is that they do not admit any holomorphic curves.

We will study some of the simplest Inoue surfaces, those of class S_M . These are compact quotients of $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{H} = \{w = w_1 + iw_2 \in \mathbb{C} \mid w_2 > 0\}$ is the upper half-plane. To construct X, start with a matrix $M = (m_{ij}) \in SL(3,\mathbb{Z})$ with one real eigenvalue $\alpha > 1$ (easily seen to be irrational) and two complex-conjugate eigenvalues $\beta \neq \overline{\beta}$. Let $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3)$ be a real eigenvector corresponding to α , and $b = (b_1, b_2, b_3)$ a complex eigenvector corresponding to β . Let G_M be the group of complex analytic transformations of $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ generated by

$$g_0(w, z) = (\alpha w, \beta z),$$

$$g_i(w, z) = (w + a_i, z + b_i), \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The group G_M acts on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}$ freely and properly discontinuously, so that the quotient $X = (\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C})/G_M$ is a compact complex surface.

Inoue [Ino74] showed that X is smoothly a 3-torus bundle over a circle whose monodromy is given by the matrix M, and that $b_1(X) = 1$ and $b_2(X) = 0$. Remarkably, these same manifolds occur in the study of high-dimensional knots and four-dimensional manifolds [CS76a, CS76b]. Define a function $f : \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ by the formula $f(w, z) = \ln w_2 / \ln \alpha$. One can easily see that df is a well-defined 1-form on X whose cohomology class generates $H^1(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$.

The surface X admits no global Kähler metric. We will however consider the following Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}$, called the Tricerri metric [Tri82, DO98],

$$g = \frac{dw \otimes d\bar{w}}{w_2^2} + w_2 \, dz \otimes d\bar{z}.$$

For the Kähler form ω of this metric on $\mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}$, we find $d\omega = d \ln w_2 \wedge \omega$ with the exact torsion form $d \ln w_2 = \ln \alpha \, df$. The metric g is G_M -invariant, and so it defines a metric on X which makes X into a locally conformal Kähler manifold.

As a complex surface, X admits a canonical Spin^c structure with respect to which

$$\mathcal{S}^+ = \Lambda^{0,0}(X) \oplus \Lambda^{0,2}(X) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}^- = \Lambda^{0,1}(X).$$

Let $\mathcal{D}^{\pm}(X)$ be the Dirac operators associated with the Tricerri metric and the canonical Spin^c structure on X. According to Gauduchon [Gau97, p. 283], there is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{D}^{-}(X) + \frac{1}{4}\ln\alpha \cdot df = \sqrt{2}(\bar{\partial} \oplus \bar{\partial}^*), \qquad (30)$$

where

$$\bar{\partial} \oplus \bar{\partial}^* : \Omega^{0,1}(X) \longrightarrow \Omega^{0,2}(X) \oplus \Omega^{0,0}(X)$$
(31)

is the Dirac–Dolbeault operator on the complex surface X. This identity implies that the spectral set of $\mathcal{D}^{-}(X)$ is obtained from that of $\bar{\partial} \oplus \bar{\partial}^{*}$ via multiplication by $\alpha^{-1/4}$.

One can check directly that the sections $d\bar{w}/w_2$ and $d\bar{z}$ give rise to the spectral points z = 1and $z = \alpha\beta$ of the Dirac–Dolbeault operator, and hence to the spectral points $\alpha^{-1/4}$ and $\alpha^{3/4}\beta$ of the operator $\mathcal{D}^-(X)$. We see in particular that, unlike in the product case, spectral points need not be real.

The two spectral points we found above lie on the boundary of the annulus $\alpha^{-1/4} \leq |z| \leq \alpha^{1/4}$. We can prove that there are no other spectral points inside this annulus via a much more involved argument, which uses Fourier analysis on X viewed as a 3-torus bundle over a circle to reduce the calculation to solving a Sturm-Liouville problem on the real line. We plan to give the details of this argument in a subsequent paper.

The infinite cyclic cover of X is a product $\mathbb{R} \times T^3$ topologically even though it is not metrically. Manifolds with periodic ends that are not products even topologically have recently appeared in our paper [MRS14], which studied the index of the de Rham complex; the examples there include manifolds whose ends arise from the infinite cyclic covers of 2-knot exteriors in the 4-sphere.

7. Non-Fredholm case

In this section, we will extend Theorem A to the case when the L^2 -closure of the operator $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ is not necessarily Fredholm. This extension will correspond, in the product end case, to the general case of the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index theorem as stated in (2), without the assumption that ker B = 0.

7.1 Fredholm theory

We begin by reviewing some material from our paper [MRS11] regarding the family $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X) = \mathcal{D}^+(X) - \ln z \cdot df$. In [MRS11], we only dealt with spin Dirac operators in dimension four; however, all of the results easily extend to cover the more general case at hand. We will restrict ourselves to stating a few relevant results, and refer to [MRS11] for proofs.

Let us assume that the spectral set of the family $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$ is discrete, which will be the case, for example, if the conditions of Theorem 2.3 are fulfilled. Since we no longer assume that the L^2 -closure of the operator $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty)$ is Fredholm, some of the spectral points may land on the unit circle |z| = 1.

For any choice of $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ that makes the operator (7) Fredholm, denote the index of (7) by $\operatorname{ind}_{\delta} \mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$. Given two choices $\delta < \delta'$, we have the following change of index formula:

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\delta} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) - \operatorname{ind}_{\delta'} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) = \sum_{e^{\delta} < |z| < e^{\delta'}} d(z);$$
(32)

see [MRS11, formula (20)]. Here, the integer d(z) is defined as in [MRS11, §6.3] to be the dimension of the space of solutions $(\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_m)$ of the system

$$\begin{cases}
D_z^+(X)\varphi_1 = df \cdot \varphi_2, \\
\vdots \\
D_z^+(X)\varphi_{m-1} = df \cdot \varphi_m, \\
D_z^+(X)\varphi_m = 0.
\end{cases}$$
(33)

Equivalently, d(z) is the number of linearly independent vectors in the kernel of the operator $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ that have the form

$$z^{-f(x)} \sum_{p=1}^{m} (-1)^{p-1} f(x)^{p-1} \varphi_p(x) / (p-1)!.$$
(34)

7.2 Statement of the theorem

We will say that $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ is *small* if the only complex numbers z in the annulus $e^{-2|\varepsilon|} < |z| < e^{2|\varepsilon|}$ for which $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$ is non-invertible are those with |z| = 1. Formula (32) with $\delta = \varepsilon$ then implies that the index $\operatorname{ind}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ is independent of ε as long as ε is small and stays on the same side of zero. We denote this index by $\operatorname{ind}_{\pm} \mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ according to whether ε is positive or negative.

Our extension of Theorem A will give a formula for $\operatorname{ind}_+ \mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty)$. In order to state it, we need to introduce two new quantities. First, let

$$h = \sum_{|z|=1} d(z),$$
(35)

where d(z) is defined by (33). Equivalently, h is the term on the right-hand side of the change of index formula (32) with small negative δ and small positive δ' . This second definition implies, in particular, that h is independent of the choice of f.

The integer (35) will play the role of $h_B = \dim \ker B$ of the Atiyah–Patodi–Singer theorem (2) in the product case. To be precise, if $X = S^1 \times Y$ with product metric and $f = \theta$, then $\mathcal{D}^+(X) = d\theta \cdot (\partial/\partial\theta - \mathcal{D}(Y))$; see § 6.3. A straightforward calculation using Fourier analysis then shows that d(z) = 0 for all $z \neq 1$ on the unit circle |z| = 1, and $h = d(1) = \dim \ker \mathcal{D}(Y)$. Second, let

$$\eta_{\varepsilon}(X) = \frac{1}{\pi i} \int_0^\infty \oint_{|z|=e^{\varepsilon}} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ \exp(-t(\mathcal{D}_z^+)^* \mathcal{D}_z^+)) \frac{dz}{z} dt,$$
(36)

where the integral is understood in a regularized sense: for small positive t, the integral

$$\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_t^\infty \oint_{|z|=e^\varepsilon} \operatorname{Tr}(df \cdot \mathcal{D}_z^+ \exp(-t(\mathcal{D}_z^+)^* \mathcal{D}_z^+)) \frac{dz}{z} dt$$

has an asymptotic expansion in powers of t, and we let $\eta_{\varepsilon}(X)$ equal the constant term in this expansion. Define

$$\eta_{\pm}(X) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0\pm} \eta_{\varepsilon}(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(X) = \frac{1}{2}(\eta_{\pm}(X) + \eta_{\pm}(X)).$$
(37)

Since $(\mathcal{D}_z^+)^* = \mathcal{D}_z^-$ on the unit circle |z| = 1, this definition of $\eta(X)$ matches that in the Fredholm case. Similarly to (29), one can interpret $\eta(X)$ defined by (37) as a regularization of the series

$$\sum_{|z_k| \neq 1} \operatorname{sign} \ln |z_k| \cdot d(z_k).$$

The equality $\eta(X) = \eta_{\mathcal{D}}(Y)$ proved in § 6.3 for product manifolds $X = S^1 \times Y$ continues to hold in the non-Fredholm case.

THEOREM C. Let $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ be such that the spectral set of $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$ is a discrete subset of \mathbb{C}^* , and let ω be a form on X such that $d\omega = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$. Then

$$\operatorname{ind}_{+} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) = \int_{Z} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(Z)) - \int_{Y} \omega + \int_{X} df \wedge \omega - \frac{h + \eta(X)}{2}.$$

7.3 Sketch of the proof

Given $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the operators $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} = e^{\varepsilon f} \mathcal{D} e^{-\varepsilon f} = \mathcal{D} - \varepsilon df$ on each of the manifolds Z_{∞} , \tilde{X} , and X, where f stands for both the function $f : \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ and its extension to Z_{∞} . If ε is small, the operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^+$ is Fredholm on Z_{∞} , and its index can be computed mainly as before. The few changes that arise are due to the fact that the full Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}$ is no longer self-adjoint. To be precise, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^* = \mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}$ and $(\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^+)^* = \mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}^-$; hence, $\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^+(Z_{\infty}) = \dim \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^+(Z_{\infty}) - \dim \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}^-(Z_{\infty})$.

The proof now goes as follows. Define the regularized trace as in $\S\,3$ and introduce the notation

$$\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\varepsilon,t) = \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}^{-}\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{+})) - \operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}(\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}^{-}))$$

for operators on Z_{∞} . Essentially the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 5.3 shows that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\varepsilon, t) = \operatorname{ind}_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty}).$$

On the other hand, the limit

$$\lim_{t\to 0} \operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\varepsilon, t) = \int_{Z} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(Z))$$

of Proposition 5.2 now needs to be understood in a regularized sense, as the constant term in the asymptotic expansion of $\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\varepsilon, t)$ in the powers of t. It turns into a true limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$. A direct calculation with the easily verified formula $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^*)\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon} = \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^*\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon})$ shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Str}^{\flat}(\varepsilon,t) = -\operatorname{Tr}^{\flat}[\mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}^{-},\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{+}\exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}^{-}\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{+})].$$
(38)

Repeat the argument of § 4 to derive a commutator trace formula with $P = \mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}^{-}$ and $Q = \mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{+} \exp(-t\mathcal{D}_{-\varepsilon}^{-}\mathcal{D}_{\varepsilon}^{+})$, and integrate (38) with respect to $t \in (0, \infty)$, in a regularized sense. Passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we arrive as in § 5 at the formula

$$\operatorname{ind}_{\pm} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) = \int_{Z} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(Z)) - \int_{Y} \omega + \int_{X} df \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\pm}(X).$$
(39)

Assume now that $\varepsilon > 0$; then, according to the change of index formula (32),

$$\operatorname{ind}_+ \mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty) - \operatorname{ind}_- \mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty) = -h$$

and hence

$$\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{ind}_{+} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) + \operatorname{ind}_{-} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty})) = \operatorname{ind}_{+} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) + \frac{1}{2}h.$$

Substituting (39) into the left-hand side of this identity completes the proof.

7.4 Dependence of $\eta(X)$ on orientations

The invariant $\eta(X)$ defined by (5) and, in general, by (37), depends on two choices of orientation: the orientation of X itself, and the sign of the primitive cohomology class $\gamma \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ associated with the infinite cyclic cover of X. In applications, it is useful to know how changing these two orientations affects $\eta(X)$.

PROPOSITION 7.1. Let X be an oriented compact manifold with a choice of primitive class $\gamma \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$, and $\eta(X)$ the periodic η -invariant of a Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^+(X)$. Then negating γ changes the sign of $\eta(X)$.

Proof. Changing the sign of $\gamma = [df]$ amounts to replacing f by -f; this has the effect of changing the family \mathcal{D}_z^{\pm} to the family $\mathcal{D}_{1/z}^{\pm}$. The change of variables w = 1/z in the integral (36) then changes $\eta_{\pm}(X)$ to $-\eta_{\mp}(X)$; hence, the invariant $\eta(X)$ defined by (37) changes sign. \Box

PROPOSITION 7.2. Let X be an oriented spin compact manifold and $\eta(X)$ the periodic η invariant of a spin Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^+(X)$. Denote by -X the manifold X with reversed
orientation. Then

$$\eta(X) + \eta(-X) = -2h.$$

Proof. First assume that our η -invariant arises from an index problem for a spin Dirac operator on an end-periodic manifold Z_{∞} . Apply Theorem C to this spin Dirac operator twice, first on Z_{∞} and then on Z_{∞} with reversed orientation, to obtain the index formulas

$$\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) = \int_{Z} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(Z)) - \int_{Y} \omega + \int_{X} df \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2}(h + \eta(X))$$
$$-\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) = -\int_{Z} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(Z)) + \int_{Y} \omega - \int_{X} df \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2}(h + \eta(-X)).$$

Adding these formulas together, we obtain the desired formula $\eta(X) + \eta(-X) = -2h$. In general, use the fact that the spin cobordism group in odd dimensions vanishes over the rationals, and apply the above argument to an end-periodic manifold Z_{∞} with multiple ends.

7.5 Spectral flow

Let $\mathcal{D}_t^+(Z_\infty)$ be a family of Dirac operators parameterized by $t \in [0,1]$ to which Theorem C applies, leading to the formula

$$\operatorname{ind}_{+} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{+}(Z_{\infty}) = \int_{Z} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}_{t}^{+}(Z)) - \int_{Y} \omega_{t} + \int_{X} df \wedge \omega_{t} - \frac{h_{t} + \eta_{t}(X)}{2}.$$

As t varies, the integral terms in this formula vary continuously while $\operatorname{ind}_{+} \mathcal{D}_{t}^{+}(Z_{\infty})$ may have integer jumps at the values of t for which the operator $\mathcal{D}_{t}^{+}(Z_{\infty})$ fails to be Fredholm. Following Atiyah *et al.* [APS76, (7.1)], separate the function $\xi(t) = (h_t + \eta_t(X))/2$ into its continuous part g(t) and the integer-valued part j(t),

$$\xi(t) = g(t) + j(t), \quad j(0) = 0.$$

In favorable circumstances, j(t) can be interpreted as the net number of the spectral points z of the family $\mathcal{D}_t^+(X) - \ln z \cdot df$ crossing the unit circle |z| = 1 as t varies. This 'spectral flow across the unit circle' generalizes the spectral flow of [APS76, §7], and reduces to it in the product end case. We studied this spectral flow in [MRS11] for the spin Dirac operators associated to a family of Riemannian metrics g_t on a spin 4-manifold X, and showed that under certain regularity assumptions it coincides with the change in the count of solutions to the Seiberg–Witten equations.

8. Periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants

An important extension of the classical Atiyah–Patodi–Singer η -invariant $\eta_B(Y)$ involves a twist of the operator B by a unitary representation $\alpha : \pi_1(Y) \to U(k)$, yielding invariants

$$\eta_{B_{\alpha}}(Y)$$
 and $\xi_{B_{\alpha}}(Y) = \frac{1}{2}(h_{B_{\alpha}} + \eta_{B_{\alpha}}(Y))$

Comparing the untwisted and twisted versions yields the $\hat{\xi}$ -invariant

$$\xi_{\alpha}(Y,B) = \xi_{B_{\alpha}}(Y) - k \cdot \xi_B(Y), \tag{40}$$

see [APS75b, § 3], which has had many applications in geometry and topology. (In the literature, $\tilde{\xi}$ is denoted variously by ρ , η , eta, and perhaps other symbols.) When *B* is the odd signature operator on an oriented (4n - 1)-dimensional manifold *Y*, as defined in [APS75a, (4.6)], the invariants $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, B)$ are metric independent, and so give smooth invariants of *Y*. When *B* is the Dirac operator, only the reduction of $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, B)$ modulo integers is metric independent.

We will use the same procedure to define periodic ξ -invariants for chiral Dirac operators on even-dimensional manifolds X equipped with a unitary representation. This section contains the definition and basic properties of these periodic ξ -invariants. In the following §9, they are used to study metrics of positive scalar curvature on X.

8.1 Definition of periodic ξ -invariants

Let X be a compact even-dimensional Riemannian manifold with a choice of a primitive cohomology class $\gamma \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$, and let $\mathcal{D}^+ = \mathcal{D}^+(X)$ be a chiral Dirac operator associated with a Dirac bundle on X with the property that the spectral set of \mathcal{D}_z^+ is discrete. Suppose that in addition we have a representation $\alpha : \pi_1(X) \to U(k)$ such that the twisted Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_\alpha^+ = \mathcal{D}_\alpha^+(X)$ has the property that the spectral set of $(\mathcal{D}_\alpha^+)_z = \mathcal{D}_{z\alpha}^+$ is discrete. Let

$$\xi(X, \mathcal{D}^+_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2}(h(\mathcal{D}^+_\alpha) + \eta(X, \mathcal{D}^+_\alpha))$$

and define the periodic ξ -invariant by

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+) = \xi(X, D^+_{\alpha}) - k \cdot \xi(X, \mathcal{D}^+)$$

with the periodic η -invariants defined as in (37) and the *h*-invariants as in (35). The notation we used there did not include the dependence on various choices that we have made, as these did not play much of a role in the analysis. We will now need to keep track of the Riemannian metric g on X and the function $f: \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\gamma = [df]$. We will incorporate these into our notation as needed, by writing (for example) $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, f, g)$.

In the special case when the operators \mathcal{D}_z^+ and $\mathcal{D}_{z\alpha}^+$ are invertible on the unit circle |z| = 1, which is equivalent to the L^2 -closures of the operators $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ and $\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(\tilde{X})$ being Fredholm, one can use formula (5) for the η -invariants in the above definition instead of (37).

8.2 Two special cases

Let $X = S^1 \times Y$ for some odd-dimensional manifold Y. Equip X with a product metric $g = d\theta^2 + g^Y$ and let $f = \theta$. If $\alpha : \pi_1(X) \to U(k)$ factors through $\alpha : \pi_1(Y) \to U(k)$, the spectral sets of \mathcal{D}_z^+ and $\mathcal{D}_{z\alpha}^+$ are automatically discrete, and we have

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, f, g) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y), \tag{41}$$

where \mathcal{D} is the self-adjoint Dirac operator on Y. This follows from §§ 6.3 and 7.2 in which the periodic η -invariants and h-invariants of X were identified with those of Y.

Another important special case comprises spin Dirac operators on manifolds with metrics of positive scalar curvature. Since such metrics are of particular interest in both this and next sections, we will record the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 8.1. Let Z_{∞} be an end-periodic spin manifold with an end-periodic metric g of positive scalar curvature. Then the L^2 -closure of the associated spin Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ is Fredholm and has zero index. The same is true for the twisted operator $\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(Z_{\infty})$ associated with any representation $\alpha : \pi_1(Z_{\infty}) \to U(k)$.

Proof. Since the end-periodic metric g has positive scalar curvature, the operators $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ and $\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(Z_{\infty})$ are uniformly invertible at infinity, which implies that their L^2 -closures are Fredholm; see Gromov and Lawson [GL83]. Alternatively, assume that the end of Z_{∞} is modeled on $\tilde{X} \to X$, and use the Lichnerowicz formula [Lic63] on X to prove that $\mathcal{D}^+_z(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}^+_{z\alpha}(X)$ are invertible on the unit circle |z| = 1. The statement about Fredholmness now follows from Proposition 2.1. Applying the Lichnerowicz formula once more, this time on Z_{∞} , we conclude that the operators $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ and $\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(Z_{\infty})$ are invertible and hence their indices vanish.

It follows from the proof of Lemma 8.1 that, whenever X is a spin manifold with a metric g of positive scalar curvature and the associated spin Dirac operator \mathcal{D}^+ , the operators \mathcal{D}^+_z and $\mathcal{D}^+_{z\alpha}$ are invertible on the unit circle and hence the invariants $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, f, g)$ are well defined for all representations $\alpha : \pi_1(X) \to U(k)$.

8.3 Dependence on choices

In this section, we will use Theorem C to study how the invariants $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, f, g)$ depend on the choices of f and g.

Choose a submanifold $Y \subset X$ dual to the generator $\gamma \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$. Then

$$\tilde{X} = (\underbrace{\cdots \cup W_{-2} \cup W_{-1}}_{X_{-}}) \cup W_0 \cup (\underbrace{W_1 \cup W_2 \cup \cdots}_{X_{+}}), \tag{42}$$

where W_k are isometric copies of the fundamental segment W obtained by cutting X open along Y. We will view \tilde{X} as the union of W_0 with two ends X_+ and X_- and use Theorem C to compute the indices of the operators $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ and $\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(\tilde{X})$.

The statement of Theorem C makes use of functions $f_{\pm}: \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ associated with the ends X_+ and X_- such that $\gamma = [df_+]$ and $-\gamma = [df_-] \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$, respectively. For example, given a function $f: \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\gamma = [df]$, one could use $f_+ = f$ and $f_- = -f$ as such functions. In addition, Theorem C requires a choice of local index forms and transgressed classes. Denote by $\mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$ the local index form for $\mathcal{D}^+(X)$; then $\mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(X)) = k \cdot \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$. Our assumption that the spectral sets of $\mathcal{D}_z^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{z\alpha}^+(X)$ are discrete ensures that the operators $\mathcal{D}^+(X)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}^+(X)$ have zero index and hence the forms $\mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$ and $\mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(X))$ are exact. If ω is a transgressed class such that $d\omega = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X))$, then evidently $d(k \cdot \omega) = \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(X))$.

LEMMA 8.2. The invariant $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, f, g)$ does not depend on the choice of the function f.

Proof. Suppose that f_0 and $f_1: \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ are two choices of function f such that $\gamma = [df_0] = [df_1]$. Then we can compute the index of $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ in two different ways. One, as described above, will use $f_- = -f_0$ and $f_+ = f_0$. The other will continue to use $f_- = -f_0$ but will use $f_+ = f_1$. For the first choice, using Theorem C and taking advantage of Proposition 7.1, we obtain

$$\operatorname{ind}_{+} \mathcal{D}^{+}(\tilde{X}) = \int_{W_{0}} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(X))$$
$$- \int_{Y} \omega + \int_{X} df_{0} \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2}(h + \eta(X, \mathcal{D}^{+}, f_{0}, g))$$
$$+ \int_{Y} \omega - \int_{X} df_{0} \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2}(h - \eta(X, \mathcal{D}^{+}, f_{0}, g)).$$

Since $\int_{W_0} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X)) = 0$, this implies that $\operatorname{ind}_+ \mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X}) = -h$. Note that the same answer could also be obtained from the change of index formula (32). Now we use the second choice to obtain

$$\operatorname{ind}_{+} \mathcal{D}^{+}(\tilde{X}) = \int_{W_{0}} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(X))$$
$$- \int_{Y} \omega + \int_{X} df_{1} \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2}(h + \eta(X, \mathcal{D}^{+}, f_{1}, g))$$
$$+ \int_{Y} \omega - \int_{X} df_{0} \wedge \omega - \frac{1}{2}(h - \eta(X, \mathcal{D}^{+}, f_{0}, g)),$$

from which it follows that

$$\xi(X, \mathcal{D}^+, f_1, g) - \xi(X, \mathcal{D}^+, f_0, g) = \int_X df_1 \wedge \omega - \int_X df_0 \wedge \omega.$$
(43)

Here, we used the fact that h is independent of f; see the discussion following (35). Repeating this argument with \mathcal{D}^+ replaced by \mathcal{D}^+_{α} , and taking advantage of the relation between the index forms and transgressed classes for the two operators described above, we obtain

$$\xi(X, \mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}, f_1, g) - \xi(X, \mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}, f_0, g) = k \left(\int_X df_1 \wedge \omega - \int_X df_0 \wedge \omega \right).$$
(44)

Subtracting k times (43) from (44) yields the result.

From this point on, we remove the function f from the notation for the periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant, and turn our attention to the metric dependence. Except in those circumstances where the kernel and cokernel of $\mathcal{D}^+(X)$ have topological interpretations, we do not expect that the $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant is metric independent. Indeed, this is not true even in the product case. LEMMA 8.3. Let g_0 and g_1 be Riemannian metrics on X with respect to which the spectral sets of \mathcal{D}_z^+ and $\mathcal{D}_{z\alpha}^+$ are discrete. Then

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g_1) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g_0) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Proof. We again consider \tilde{X} as an end-periodic manifold with two ends, but now use a lift of the metric g_0 on X_- and a lift of the metric g_1 on X_+ , with a metric g on W_0 interpolating between the two. Using $f_- = -f$ and $f_+ = f$ for a choice of function f, we obtain

$$\operatorname{ind}_{+} \mathcal{D}^{+}(\tilde{X}) = \int_{W_{0}} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^{+}(X,g))$$
$$- \int_{Y} \omega_{1} + \int_{X} df \wedge \omega_{1} - \frac{1}{2}(h_{1} + \eta(X,\mathcal{D}^{+},f,g_{1}))$$
$$+ \int_{Y} \omega_{0} - \int_{X} df \wedge \omega_{0} - \frac{1}{2}(h_{0} - \eta(X,\mathcal{D}^{+},f,g_{0}))$$

with a similar expression for $\operatorname{ind}_+ \mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(\tilde{X})$. Subtract k times the first expression from the second and take into account the behavior of the local index forms and transgressed classes to conclude that

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g_1) - \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g_0)$$

is an integer.

Remark 8.4. It is worth pointing out that the periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant is also a diffeomorphism invariant in the same sense as the classical $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant is; see Botvinnik and Gilkey [BG95, p. 516]. Let $H \subset \text{Diff}(X)$ denote the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms $F: X \to X$ that preserve the class $\gamma \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$ and all spin structures. Then, for any $F \in H$, any representation $\alpha: \pi_1(X) \to U(k)$, and any metric g on X for which $\tilde{\xi}$ is defined, we have

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g) = \tilde{\xi}_{F^*\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, F^*g).$$
(45)

Note that the pull back of representations is only well defined on conjugacy classes (because of base point issues) but changing α within its conjugacy class does not affect ξ_{α} .

8.4 Reduction to the classical $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant

We saw in §8.2 that the periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant reduces to the classical $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant on product manifolds $X = S^1 \times Y$; see formula (41). We will now show that a similar reduction holds for more general manifolds X.

Let X have a metric g which restricts to a product metric $g = d\theta^2 + g^Y$ on a product region $I \times Y \subset X$. Recall from §6.3 that the operator $\mathcal{D}^+(X)$ has the form $d\theta \cdot (\partial/\partial\theta - \mathcal{D}(Y))$ on $I \times Y$. Similarly, for any representation $\alpha : \pi_1(X) \to U(k)$, the operator $\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(X)$ has the form $d\theta \cdot (\partial/\partial\theta - \mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(Y))$, where we use the same symbol α to denote the restriction of α to $\pi_1(Y)$.

PROPOSITION 8.5. Let X be a manifold as above, and suppose that the L^2 -closures of the operators $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ and $\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(\tilde{X})$ are Fredholm.

(i) If both operators $\mathcal{D}(Y)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\alpha}(Y)$ are invertible, then

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}.$$
(46)

(ii) If both metrics g and g^Y have positive scalar curvature, then (46) holds as an equality of real numbers.

Proof. Consider an end-periodic manifold $Z_{\infty} = ((-\infty, 0] \times Y) \cup W_0 \cup X_+$. Because of the product region $I \times Y \subset X$, the metric g on X induces an obvious metric on Z_{∞} . Choose the function f on X_+ so that df has support in the product region. By Remark 5.4, the terms involving the integrals of ω and $df \wedge \omega$ that appear in the index theorem all vanish. Using Theorem A and the result of § 6.3, we obtain

ind
$$\mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty) = \int_{W_0} \mathbf{I}(\mathcal{D}^+(X,g)) - \frac{1}{2}(\eta(X,\mathcal{D}^+) - \eta_\mathcal{D}(Y)),$$

and a similar formula for $\operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(Z_{\infty})$. Formula (46) follows by subtraction as in the proof of Lemma 8.3. If g has positive scalar curvature, the indices of $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$ and $\mathcal{D}^+_{\alpha}(Z_{\infty})$ vanish by Lemma 8.1, and it follows that $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y)$.

Remark 8.6. It is standard in the field [Gil89] to extend the definition (40) to the ring $R_0(\pi_1(Y))$ of virtual unitary representations of $\pi_1(Y)$ of virtual dimension zero. Given $\alpha \in R_0(\pi_1(Y))$ of the form $\alpha = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$, where α_1 and $\alpha_2 : \pi_1(Y) \to U(k)$ are representations, one defines

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y,B) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_1}(Y,B) - \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_2}(Y,B)$$

Our definition of the periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant can similarly be extended to the ring $R_0(\pi_1(X))$ of virtual unitary representations of $\pi_1(X)$ of virtual dimension zero. All properties of the $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant hold in this extended setting.

9. Metrics of positive scalar curvature

One of the main applications of the $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant of the spin Dirac operator on odd-dimensional manifolds has been to the study of Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature (PSC for short). In this section, we will use our periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant to study PSC metrics on certain even-dimensional manifolds.

Denote the space of PSC metrics on a manifold M by $\mathfrak{R}^+(M)$. The quotient of $\mathfrak{R}^+(M)$ by the group of self-diffeomorphisms of M is called the moduli space of PSC metrics on M and is denoted by $\mathfrak{M}^+(M)$. These spaces may be empty: obstructions to the existence of PSC metrics on a manifold arise both from index theory [Lic63, Hit74] and from minimal surface arguments [SY79]. However, if a manifold M admits a PSC metric, refinements of these techniques show that the spaces $\mathfrak{R}^+(M)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^+(M)$ are often disconnected and may have non-trivial higher homotopy groups. An introduction to the area may be found in [LM89], and the papers [RS01, Ros07] survey some more recent results.

For certain odd-dimensional spin manifolds Y, one can show that $\mathfrak{R}^+(Y)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^+(Y)$ have infinitely many components using the $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants associated to the spin Dirac operator on Y. The main result of this section is that our periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants can be used to a similar effect for a class of 4n-dimensional manifolds X: we will show that the spaces $\mathfrak{R}^+(X)$ and $\mathfrak{M}^+(X)$ may have infinitely many components when n > 1, and arbitrarily many components when n = 1. The proofs will differ somewhat for n > 1 and n = 1 but both will rely on the result of the following section.

9.1 Homotopies through PSC metrics

Throughout this section, X will be a closed spin manifold of dimension 4n with a choice of a primitive class $\gamma \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Z})$, and \mathcal{D}^+ will denote a chiral spin Dirac operator on X.

AN INDEX THEOREM FOR END-PERIODIC OPERATORS



FIGURE 2. Decomposition of \tilde{X} .

THEOREM 9.1. Suppose that g_0 and g_1 are metrics on X with positive scalar curvature, so that the invariants $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g_0)$ and $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g_1)$ are defined for any $\alpha \in R_0(\pi_1(X))$. If g_0 and g_1 are homotopic through metrics of positive scalar curvature, then

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g_1) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g_0).$$
(47)

Moreover, let $F: X \to X$ be a diffeomorphism preserving γ and spin structure, and such that $F^*\alpha = \alpha$ up to conjugation. If g_0 and F^*g_1 are homotopic through metrics of positive scalar curvature, then (47) still holds.

Proof. We will treat the infinite cyclic cover $\tilde{X} \to X$ classified by γ as an end-periodic manifold with two ends, as described in (42). We claim that for some N > 0, there exists a PSC metric g on \tilde{X} which is equal to g_0 on $\bigcup_{i \leq 0} W_i$ and to g_1 on $\bigcup_{i \geq N} W_i$. Given this, the equality (47) of $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants will follow from Lemma 8.1 using a slight modification of the argument proving Lemma 8.3.

We now construct the metric g, starting with a family g_t , $0 \le t \le 1$, of PSC metrics on X providing the homotopy between g_0 and g_1 . Fix an oriented, connected submanifold $Y \subset X$ whose homology class is dual to γ , and a lift of Y to \tilde{X} so that Y is the right-hand boundary of W_0 . Fix a smooth neighborhood $U = [-1, 1] \times Y$ of Y in X; the lift of Y gives a lift of U that we label U_0 . The translates of U_0 by the covering translations T^j will be labeled U_j ; these overlap W_j and W_{j+1} as shown in Figure 2. Finally, fix a smooth function $\beta : U \to [0, 1]$ such that $\beta = 0$ near $\{-1\} \times Y$ and $\beta = 1$ near $\{1\} \times Y$; translation gives a similar function on each U_j .

For an arbitrary N > 0, consider the end-periodic metric on \tilde{X} defined as follows. Start with an initial metric \tilde{g}^N defined on individual W_j by

$$\tilde{g}^{N}(x) = \begin{cases} g_{0}(x) & \text{if } x \in W_{j}, j < 0, \\ g_{j/N}(x) & \text{if } x \in W_{j}, 0 \leq j \leq N, \\ g_{1}(x) & \text{if } x \in W_{j}, j > N. \end{cases}$$
(48)

These of course do not match up on the intersections $W_{j-1} \cap W_j$, so we modify them by defining the metric g^N to be

$$(1 - \beta(u)) \cdot g_{j/N}(u) + \beta(u) \cdot g_{(j+1)/N}(u)$$
(49)

on U_j for j = 0, ..., N - 1. The metric g^N is then well defined as an end-periodic metric on \tilde{X} .

On the complement of U_j , the metric g^N equals g_t for some $t \in [0, 1]$ and hence has positive scalar curvature by hypothesis. We will establish that, for N sufficiently large, the metric defined by (49) has positive scalar curvature throughout. This follows from two straightforward facts. First, the path g_t (restricted to U) is uniformly continuous as a function from [0, 1] to the space $\mathcal{R}(U)$ of Riemannian metrics on U with the \mathcal{C}^{∞} topology. Therefore, if N is sufficiently large, the metric defined by (49) will be in any prescribed neighborhood of $g_{j/N}$ on U. Second, the minimum (over U) of the scalar curvature is a continuous function of the metric. By compactness of X, the minimum of the scalar curvature of g_t is bounded away from 0, and this implies that for N sufficiently large, the scalar curvature of g^N is positive everywhere on \tilde{X} .

The second statement of the theorem (concerning diffeomorphisms) follows from the first after taking Remark 8.4 into account. $\hfill \Box$

9.2 The 4*n*-dimensional case with n > 1

Our result will be an even-dimensional version of [BG95, Theorem 0.3], which holds for odddimensional closed spin manifolds Y with a non-trivial finite fundamental group G.

THEOREM 9.2. Let Y be a closed connected spin manifold of dimension 4n - 1 with n > 1 and with a non-trivial finite fundamental group G, and let M be a closed spin manifold of dimension 4n. If both Y and M admit metrics of positive scalar curvature, then $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}^+((S^1 \times Y) \# M)))$ is infinite.

Proof. Start with the product metrics $d\theta^2 + g_j^Y$ on $S^1 \times Y$, where g_j^Y is an infinite family of PSC metrics used in [BG95, Theorem 0.3] to prove the non-finiteness of $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}^+(Y))$ by showing that

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g_i^Y) \neq \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g_j^Y) \quad \text{for } i \neq j$$

for an explicitly constructed $\alpha \in R_0(G)$. Note that the condition $r_m(G) > 0$ of that theorem is automatically satisfied because $m = 4n - 1 = 3 \pmod{4}$; see the remark following the statement of [BG95, Theorem 0.1].

Next, fix a PSC metric g^M on M and equip $X_M = (S^1 \times Y) \# M$ with PSC metrics $g_j = (d\theta^2 + g_j^Y) \# g^M$ constructed from PSC metrics on the two summands via connected sum using modifications supported in small neighborhoods of points in the summands. That this can be done follows from [GL80, SY79]. Note that Y continues to have a product neighborhood in X_M ; therefore,

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X_M, \mathcal{D}^+, g_j) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g_j^Y)$$

by part (2) of Proposition 8.5. Theorem 9.1 then immediately implies that the metrics g_j lie in different components of $\mathfrak{R}^+(X_M)$, and indeed of $\mathfrak{R}^+(X_M)/H$, where $H \subset \operatorname{Diff}(X_M)$ is the group discussed in Remark 8.4. Since H is a subgroup of finite index, this implies as in Botvinnik and Gilkey [BG95] that there are infinitely many path components in $\mathfrak{R}^+(X_M)/\operatorname{Diff}(X_M) = \mathfrak{M}^+(X_M)$.

Remark 9.3. Note that the metrics g_j^Y used in the above proof were constructed in [BG95] (based on [Miy84, Ros86]) by pushing PSC metrics across a cobordism, which necessitates the hypothesis that n > 1.

Remark 9.4. Theorem 9.2 illustrates an important point about the periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants. By Proposition 8.5, when $Y \subset X$ has a metric product neighborhood, $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g)$ reduces to the classical $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariant of the odd-dimensional manifold Y. However, even if such a neighborhood is present for the PSC metrics g_0 and g_1 , there is no reason it would be present for all PSC metrics in a homotopy g_t . Hence, it is crucial for the proof of Theorem 9.2 that we are able to define $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(X, \mathcal{D}^+, g)$ for arbitrary PSC metrics on X.

9.3 The four-dimensional case

The proof of Theorem 9.2 for higher-dimensional manifolds does not extend to manifolds of dimension four due to the breakdown in the topological arguments used to push PSC metrics across a cobordism; see Remark 9.3. These arguments, which go back to [Gaj87, GL80, SY79],

An index theorem for end-periodic operators

do not work for surgeries along spheres of co-dimension one or two, and these are often unavoidable when dealing with manifolds of dimensions four and five. Indeed, Seiberg–Witten theory shows [Wit94] that there are 4-manifolds that do not carry PSC metrics, but are cobordant to manifolds that do carry such metrics. One dimension down, Ricci flow techniques show [Mar12] that $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}^+(Y))$ vanishes for any 3-manifold Y carrying a PSC metric.

In this section, we will adapt the argument for Theorem 9.2 to produce a class of orientable 4-manifolds X for which $\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}^+(X))$ may be arbitrarily large. Non-orientable 4-manifolds having this property were constructed in [Rub01].

THEOREM 9.5. Let Y be a closed connected oriented 3-manifold with non-trivial finite fundamental group, and let M be a closed spin 4-manifold which admits a metric of positive scalar curvature. Then, for any positive integer N, there exists m_N such that

$$\pi_0(\mathfrak{M}^+(S^1 \times Y) \# m_N \cdot (S^2 \times S^2) \# M)$$

has at least N elements.

Before we go on to prove Theorem 9.5, we need to review some basic handlebody theory [RS72].

LEMMA 9.6. Let $n \ge 4$, and suppose that (W, X_0, X_1) is an (n+1)-dimensional cobordism with connected X_0 and X_1 . Assume that the map $\pi_1(X_0) \to \pi_1(W)$ is a surjection and the map $\pi_1(X_1) \to \pi_1(W)$ is an isomorphism. Then W has a handle decomposition relative to X_0 such that:

- (i) there are no handles of index 0 or 1;
- (ii) there are no handles of index n + 1 or n; and
- (iii) for each handle h of index n 1, its belt sphere (or attaching circle for h viewed as a 2-handle relative to X_1) is null-homotopic in X_1 .

Proof. Item (1) is standard: 0-handles are canceled by 1-handles, and one can use the surjectivity of $\pi_1(X_0) \to \pi_1(W)$ to trade 1-handles for 3-handles as in [RS72, Lemma 6.15]. Turning over the handle structure yields item (2); note that one needs $n \ge 4$ to ensure that this step does not introduce any new 1-handles. If item (3) failed to hold, the inclusion $\pi_1(X_1) \to \pi_1(W)$ would have non-trivial kernel.

We also need a simple fact about the non-triviality of $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y)$ in the three-dimensional case. Although such calculations are well known to experts in the field, we could not find the precise statement in the literature so we supply a quick proof here.

LEMMA 9.7. Let Y be a three-dimensional spherical space form, with a spherical metric g^Y (i.e. pushed down from S^3). Then, for any spin structure on Y, there is a representation α : $\pi_1(Y) \to U(k)$ such that $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y) \neq 0$.

Proof. We will first prove this for lens spaces L(p,q). Let p > 1 be an odd integer and view L(p,q) as the quotient of the unit sphere $S^3 \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ by the cyclic group action

$$t(z_1, z_2) = (e^{2\pi i/p} z_1, e^{2\pi i q/p} z_2).$$

Let $\alpha_1 : \pi_1(L(p,q)) \to U(1)$ be the representation sending t to $e^{2\pi i/p}$. Then, for the unique spin structure and the spherical metric g, we have

$$\xi_{\alpha_1}(L(p,q),\mathcal{D},g) = -(d/p) \cdot (p+1)/2 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}},$$

where d is a certain integer relatively prime to 48 p; see [Gil84, Theorem 2.5]. One can easily see that $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_1}(L(p,q), \mathcal{D}, g)$ is never zero modulo the integers and hence it is not zero as a real number. The same theorem tells us that, for $L(2,1) = \mathbb{R}P^3$, the invariants $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_1}(L(2,1),\mathcal{D},g)$ are equal to $\pm 1/4 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$, depending on the spin structure.

Any other spherical space form Y is finitely covered by a lens space L(p,q) with a spin structure pulled back from Y. Let α be the representation of $\pi_1(Y)$ induced by the representation α_1 on the finite index subgroup $\pi_1(L(p,q)) \subset \pi_1(Y)$. Then, according to [Gil89, Lemma 2.5.6], we have

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha_1}(L(p, q), \mathcal{D}, g),$$

which is not zero.

Proof of Theorem 9.5. Being a spherical space form, the manifold Y admits a metric g^Y of positive constant sectional curvature. Fix a spin structure on Y and choose, via Lemma 9.7, a representation $\alpha : \pi_1(Y) \to U(k)$ with $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y) \neq 0$. Via the connected sum construction, the metric g^Y gives rise to a PSC metric g_0 on the connected sum $m \cdot Y$ for any integer $m \ge 1$. Positive scalar curvature metrics proving the theorem will be constructed by pushing the product metric $d\theta^2 + g_0$ on $S^1 \times m \cdot Y$ across a carefully chosen cobordism, and using periodic $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants associated with α to distinguish their moduli.

We begin our construction of the cobordism with the observation that, due to the finiteness of $\pi_1(Y)$, the spin cobordism group $\Omega_3^{\text{spin}}(B\pi_1(Y))$ is finite and hence there is a positive integer d annihilating its every element. It then follows that, for any n, there are a spin cobordism V_n and a representation $\tilde{\alpha} : \pi_1(V_n) \to U(k)$ such that

$$\partial(V_n, \tilde{\alpha}) = (Y, \alpha) - (nd + 1) \cdot (Y, \alpha),$$

where $r \cdot (Y, \alpha)$ stands for the connected sum of r copies of Y with representation α on each summand. One may further assume, after killing the kernel of the map $\pi_1(V_n) \to \pi_1(Y)$ by surgery on some circles in V_n , that the inclusion of Y into V_n induces an isomorphism on the fundamental groups, and that the same is true for each summand of $(nd + 1) \cdot Y$.

Next, endow S^1 with a non-bounding spin structure and consider the spin cobordism $W_n = S^1 \times V_n$ with boundary

$$\partial W_n = S^1 \times Y - S^1 \times (nd+1) \cdot Y.$$

Since this cobordism satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 9.6, we will assume that W_n has a handle decomposition with only 2- and 3-handles. Let k_n be the number of the 3-handles, and view them as 2-handles relative to $S^1 \times Y$. By item (iii) of Lemma 9.6, the attaching maps of these 2-handles are null-homotopic in $S^1 \times Y$, so the result of adding them is a spin cobordism from $(S^1 \times Y) \# k_n \cdot (S^2 \times S^2)$ to $S^1 \times Y$. Note that we are taking the connected sum with $S^2 \times S^2$ rather than the non-trivial S^2 -bundle over S^2 , which is not a spin manifold.

Attaching the original 2-handles of W_n to $S^1 \times (nd+1) \cdot Y$ then results in a spin cobordism U_n with boundary

$$\partial U_n = (S^1 \times Y) \# k_n \cdot (S^2 \times S^2) - S^1 \times (nd+1) \cdot Y.$$

One can easily check that the inclusion of $(S^1 \times Y) \# k_n \cdot (S^2 \times S^2)$ into U_n induces an isomorphism on the fundamental groups, and so there is a surjection $\varphi : \pi_1(U_n) \to \mathbb{Z}$ whose restriction to the other boundary component of U_n is the obvious projection $\pi_1(S^1 \times (nd+1) \cdot Y) \to \pi_1(S^1) = \mathbb{Z}$. Under this projection, the attaching circle of any 2-handle must map to zero because $H_1(U_n; \mathbb{Q})$ $= \mathbb{Q}$. It then follows that this attaching circle is homotopic to a curve in $(nd+1) \cdot Y$. Since

An index theorem for end-periodic operators

homotopy implies isotopy in dimension four, we may assume that all of the attaching circles of the 2-handles of U_n (relative to $S^1 \times (nd+1) \cdot Y$) live outside of some product region $I \times (nd+1) \cdot Y$. Since U_n has only 2-handles, the construction of Gajer [Gaj87] gives it a PSC metric, which extends the product metric on $S^1 \times (nd+1) \cdot Y$ and which is a product metric near both boundary components. The construction involves modifying the metric on $S^1 \times (nd+1) \cdot Y$ in some neighborhood of the attaching maps of the 2-handles and hence does not affect the metric in the aforementioned product region.

Let g be the metric obtained by restricting this metric to $(S^1 \times Y) \# k_n \cdot (S^2 \times S^2)$. It has positive scalar curvature and also contains a product neighborhood of $(nd+1) \cdot Y$. Using part (ii) of Proposition 8.5 and formula (41), we calculate

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}((S^1 \times Y) \# k_n \cdot (S^2 \times S^2), \mathcal{D}^+, g) = \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}((nd+1) \cdot Y, \mathcal{D}, g_0).$$

To calculate the latter ξ -invariant, consider a standard spin cobordism between the disjoint union of nd + 1 copies of Y and the connected sum $(nd + 1) \cdot Y$ obtained by adding 1-handles to the disjoint union. According to Gajer [Gaj87], this cobordism has a metric of positive scalar curvature which restricts to a product metric near its boundary. The representation α extends over this cobordism; hence, it follows from [APS75b] that

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}((nd+1)\cdot Y, \mathcal{D}, g) = (nd+1)\cdot \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y).$$

Since $S^2 \times S^2$ has a metric of positive scalar curvature, the connected sum construction gives us a natural PSC metric on $(S^1 \times Y) \# k \cdot (S^2 \times S^2)$ with

$$\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}((S^1 \times Y) \# k \cdot (S^2 \times S^2), \mathcal{D}^+, g) = (nd+1) \cdot \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y)$$

for any $k \ge k_n$. These $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants are distinct for different *n* because $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y) \ne 0$.

To complete the proof of the theorem, let N be a positive integer, and let m_N be the maximum of $\{k_n \mid n = 1, ..., N\}$. Then, by adding more copies of $S^2 \times S^2$ if necessary to the manifolds $(S^1 \times Y) \# k_n \cdot (S^2 \times S^2)$, we obtain metrics on $(S^1 \times Y) \# m_N \cdot (S^2 \times S^2)$ with $\tilde{\xi}$ -invariants

$$(nd+1) \cdot \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}(Y, \mathcal{D}, g^Y), \quad n = 1, \dots, N.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 9.2, these metrics are distinct up to homotopy, even after connected sum with an arbitrary spin manifold M carrying a PSC metric.

10. Heat kernel estimates

Let M be a Riemannian manifold of dimension n, and S a Dirac bundle with associated Dirac operator $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(M)$. In this section, we derive estimates on the smoothing kernel of the operator $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$, as well as estimates on its derivatives. These were needed in the proof of Theorem A but were postponed for the sake of the exposition. In the first two subsections, we make only the assumption (cf. Roe [Roe88a, § 2]) that the pair (M; S) has bounded geometry. This means that the injectivity radius of M is bounded from below, and that the norm of its curvature tensor (and its covariant derivatives) is bounded from above. Similarly, the curvature of the Clifford connection (along with its covariant derivatives) on S has bounded norm. Of course, end-periodic manifolds and end-periodic Dirac operators satisfy these conditions. The last three subsections will be specific to operators on end-periodic manifolds. We will work most of the time with full Dirac operators; their chiral counterparts can be treated in similar fashion.

Heat kernel estimates similar to ours but in the context of relative index theory can be found in [Bun92]; see also [Don87, CLY81] for the case of a scalar Laplacian. We have chosen to give a full treatment here because we need stronger results regarding the behavior of heat kernels at $t \to \infty$ than the relative index theory provides; in addition, there are essential differences with [Bun92] in how we arrive at our estimates, including our use of gradient estimates and of Taubes' trick [Tau07] for converting estimates for the scalar heat kernel to such estimates for more general operators.

10.1 Smoothing kernels

The paper of Roe [Roe88a] explains the basic analytical properties of Dirac operators $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(M)$ that hold whenever (M; S) has bounded geometry. Most important for us is the construction of the smoothing kernel for operators of the form $h(\mathcal{D})$ for h a rapidly decaying function. We briefly summarize some properties we will use, referring to [Roe88a] for more details.

Let $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with the property that for each integer $k \ge 0$, there exists a constant C_k such that $|h(s)| \le C_k(1+|s|)^{-k}$. Then the operator $h(\mathcal{D})$ defined by the spectral theorem can be represented by its smoothing kernel K(x, y) as in (10). With respect to topologies described on [Roe88a, pp. 93–94], the map that associates its smoothing kernel to such an operator is continuous; see [Roe88a, Proposition 2.9]. In particular, the operators $\mathcal{D}^m \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ are represented by such smoothing kernels for all t > 0 and $m \ge 0$.

10.2 Estimates for the kernel of $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$

In this subsection, we establish estimates, valid whenever (M; S) has bounded geometry, for the smoothing kernel of the operator $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$. This kernel will be denoted K = K(t; x, y) and viewed as a smooth section of the bundle $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_R^*S, \pi_L^*S)$ over $(0, \infty) \times M \times M$. As a function of t and x with y fixed, it solves the initial value problem

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{D}^2\right) K = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to 0} K = \delta_y \cdot \mathbb{I}, \tag{50}$$

where \mathbb{I} is the identity automorphism.

We begin with short-term Gaussian estimates on K and its derivatives.

PROPOSITION 10.1. Let (M; S) have bounded geometry and let K(t; x, y) be the smoothing kernel of the operator $\exp(-tD^2)$ on M. Then, for any T > 0, there is a positive constant C such that

$$\left|\frac{\partial^{i}}{\partial t^{i}}\nabla_{x}^{j}\nabla_{y}^{k}K(t;x,y)\right| \leqslant Ct^{-n/2-i-|j|-|k|}e^{-d^{2}(x,y)/4t}$$
(51)

for all $t \in (0,T]$. Here, j and k are multi-indices, and the constant C depends only on T.

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that for scalar heat kernels; see for instance Donnelly $[Don79, \S 3]$ and Donnelly $[Don88, \S 4]$.

Note that, for any $m \ge 0$, the smoothing kernels of $\mathcal{D}^m \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ are of the form $\mathcal{D}^m K(t; x, y)$, where \mathcal{D} is the Dirac operator acting on the x-variable. In particular, we see that estimates similar to (51) hold as well for the smoothing kernels of the operators $\mathcal{D}^m \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ and their chiral versions.

We turn next to Gaussian estimates on |K(t; x, y)|, valid for all t > 0, using the well-known results of Li and Yau [LY86, Corollary 3.1] on the scalar heat kernel and Taubes' trick [Tau07, Proposition 2.1]. More precisely, we will prove the following result.

PROPOSITION 10.2. Let (M; S) have bounded geometry and let K(t; x, y) be the smoothing kernel of the operator $\exp(-tD^2)$ on M. There exist positive constants α , γ , and C such that

$$|K(t;x,y)| \leq C e^{\alpha t} t^{-n/2} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,y)/t} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$
(52)

The rest of this subsection will be dedicated to the proof of this proposition. We begin with the generalized Bochner formula [LM89, ch. II, $\S 8$],

$$\mathcal{D}^2 =
abla^*
abla + \mathfrak{R},$$

where \mathfrak{R} is defined in terms of the curvature of the connection on S. In the special case when M is a spin manifold and \mathcal{D} the spin Dirac operator, \mathfrak{R} is just 1/4 times the scalar curvature of M. Inserting this into (50), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial t} + \nabla^* \nabla(K) + \Re \cdot K = 0$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial K}{\partial t}, K\right) + \left(\nabla^* \nabla(K), K\right) + \left(\mathfrak{R} \cdot K, K\right) = 0.$$
(53)

Here, the parentheses stand for the fiberwise inner product on the vector bundle $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_R^*S, \pi_L^*S)$. Let $\Delta = d^*d = -*d * d$ be the scalar Laplace operator.

LEMMA 10.3. For any section s of a Euclidean bundle with the compatible connection ∇ , one has

$$\Delta(|s|^2) = 2(\nabla^* \nabla s, s) - 2|\nabla s|^2.$$

Using Lemma 10.3 with s = K, the formula (53) can easily be converted into

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial |K|^2}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \Delta(|K|^2) + |\nabla(K)|^2 + (\Re \cdot K, K) = 0,$$

which, after another application of Lemma 10.3 with s = |K|, becomes

$$|K| \cdot \frac{\partial |K|}{\partial t} + |K| \cdot \Delta(|K|) - |d|K||^2 + |\nabla K|^2 + (\Re \cdot K, K) = 0.$$
(54)

LEMMA 10.4. For any section s of a Euclidean vector bundle with the compatible connection ∇ , one has $|d|s| \leq |\nabla s|$.

Proof. This is known as Kato's inequality; see for instance formula (3.3) in Taubes [Tau89]. \Box

Proof of Proposition 10.2. Together, Lemma 10.4 and formula (54) yield the differential inequality

$$\frac{\partial |K|}{\partial t} + \Delta(|K|) \leqslant \left\| \Re \right\| |K|.$$

Since (M; S) has bounded geometry, the curvature operator \mathfrak{R} is bounded and hence there is a constant $\alpha \ge 0$ such that

$$\frac{\partial |K|}{\partial t} + \Delta(|K|) \leqslant \alpha |K|.$$

For any fixed $y \in M$, let us consider the function $h(t, x) = e^{-\alpha t} |K|$. A straightforward calculation shows that h satisfies the differential inequality

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \Delta(h) \leqslant 0$$

with the initial condition

$$\lim_{t \to 0} h = \lim_{t \to 0} |K| = |\delta_y \cdot \mathbb{I}| = \kappa \cdot \delta_y$$

for some positive constant κ . Let H(t; x, y) be the scalar heat kernel, that is, the smoothing kernel of the operator $\exp(-t\Delta)$. As a function of t and x with y fixed, H solves the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + \Delta(H) = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to 0} H = \delta_y.$$

Then the difference $k = h - \kappa \cdot H$, as a function of t and x, solves the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial t} + \Delta(k) \leqslant 0, \quad \lim_{t \to 0} k = 0.$$

The maximum principle can be applied to k even though the manifold M is not compact because estimates (51) and similar estimates for H(t; x, y) ensure that, for any fixed t and y, the function k approaches zero when x runs off to infinity. The maximum principle implies that $k(t, x) \leq 0$ for all t > 0 and $x \in M$, which of course translates into the inequality

$$|K(t;x,y)| \leqslant \kappa e^{\alpha t} \cdot H(t;x,y).$$

Now the Gaussian estimates on the scalar heat kernel H(t; x, y) found in [LY86, Corollary 3.1] complete the proof.

10.3 Long-term derivative estimates

The results of this subsection are specific to the periodic manifold \tilde{X} and do not necessarily extend to general manifolds of bounded geometry.

PROPOSITION 10.5. Let $\tilde{K}(t; x, y)$ be the smoothing kernel of the operator $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ on \tilde{X} . There exist positive constants α , γ , and C such that

$$|\nabla \tilde{K}(t;x,y)| \leqslant C e^{\alpha t} t^{-n/2-1} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,y)/t} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$
(55)

Proof. Differentiate equation (50) with respect to t to conclude that $\tilde{K}' = \partial \tilde{K} / \partial t$ solves the equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{D}^2\right)\tilde{K}' = 0$$

with the initial condition

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \tilde{K}' = -\lim_{t \to 0} \mathcal{D}^2 \tilde{K} = -\mathcal{D}^2(\delta_y \cdot \mathbb{I}) = -(\Delta \delta_y) \cdot \mathbb{I}.$$

Similarly, the time derivative \tilde{H}' of the scalar heat kernel \tilde{H} on \tilde{X} solves the initial value problem

$$\frac{\partial H'}{\partial t} + \Delta(\tilde{H}') = 0, \quad \lim_{t \to 0} \tilde{H}' = -\Delta \delta_y.$$

The argument of the previous section can now be applied to the time derivatives of \tilde{K} and \tilde{H} to deduce that

$$|\tilde{K}'(t;x,y)| \leqslant \kappa e^{\alpha t} \tilde{H}'(t;x,y)$$

for some positive constants κ and α . The Gaussian estimates on the time derivatives of \tilde{H} , see [Dav89, Theorem 3] and also [Gri95], ensure that there exist positive constants γ and C such that

$$|\tilde{K}'(t;x,y)| \leqslant C e^{\alpha t} t^{-n/2-1} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,y)/t} \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

Using this estimate, one can argue as in [CD99, Lemma 2.3] that there are positive constants β and C such that

$$\int_{\tilde{X}} e^{\beta d^2(x,y)/t} |\nabla \tilde{K}(t;x,y)|^2 \, dx \leqslant C e^{2\alpha t} t^{-n/2-1}.$$

With this weighted L^2 -estimate in place, one can follow the argument of [Dun04] to derive the pointwise estimates (55). The caveat is that both [CD99, Dun04] deal with scalar heat kernels but the aforementioned arguments go through with little change to cover the case of $\tilde{K}(t; x, y)$.

10.4 On-diagonal estimates

Let $Z_{\infty} = Z \cup \tilde{X}_+$ be a manifold with periodic end, where $\tilde{X}_+ = W_0 \cup W_1 \cup \cdots$. Let K(t; x, y)and $\tilde{K}(t; x, y)$ be the smoothing kernels of the operators $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ on, respectively, Z_{∞} and \tilde{X} . This subsection is devoted to the proof of the following result.

PROPOSITION 10.6. There are positive constants α , γ , and C such that, for all t > 0 and all $x \in W_k$ with $k \ge 1$, one has

$$|K(t;x,x) - \tilde{K}(t;x,x)| \leq C e^{\alpha t} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,W_0)/t}.$$

Proof. The proof will rely on the construction of the heat kernel on Z_{∞} via the Duhamel principle; cf. [BW93, § 22C] in the product end case. We will use the intersection $(Z \cup W_0) \cap \tilde{X}_+ = W_0$ as the gluing region for patching the heat kernel on $DZ = Z \cup W_0 \cup (-W_0) \cup (-Z)$ with that on \tilde{X} .

Let $h: \tilde{X} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that h(x+1) = h(x) + 1, $h(W_0) \subset [0,1]$, and h equals zero on $\partial_-W_0 = -Y$ and one on $\partial_+W_0 = Y$. The restriction of h to \tilde{X}_+ is nowhere negative; we extend it to a smooth function on $Z_{\infty} = Z \cup \tilde{X}_+$ (called again h), so that it is negative on the interior of Z. For any real numbers a < b, let $\rho_{a,b}$ be an increasing smooth function of the real variable u such that

$$\rho_{a,b}(u) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } u \leq a, \\ 1 & \text{for } u \geq b. \end{cases}$$

Define smooth cut-off functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \psi_1, \psi_2 : Z_{\infty} \to [0, 1]$ by defining them first on W_0 by the formulas

$$\begin{array}{ll} \varphi_1 = 1 - \rho_{5/7,6/7} \circ h, & \varphi_2 = \rho_{1/7,2/7} \circ h, \\ \psi_1 = 1 - \rho_{3/7,4/7} \circ h, & \psi_2 = 1 - \psi_1, \end{array}$$

and then extending to the entire Z_{∞} by 0 or 1 in an obvious way; see the schematic picture in Figure 3 below. The functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 form a partition of unity subordinate to the open covering $Z_{\infty} = \{h(x) < 5/7\} \cup \{h(x) > 2/7\}$. In addition, $\varphi_j = 1$ on $\operatorname{supp} \psi_j$ and the distance between $h(\operatorname{supp} \nabla \varphi_j)$ and $h(\operatorname{supp} \psi_j)$ is no less than 1/7 for both j = 1 and j = 2.

Let $K_1(t; x, y)$ and $K_2(t; x, y) = \tilde{K}(t; x, y)$ be smoothing kernels of the operators $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ on DZ and \tilde{X} , respectively. Define an approximate smoothing kernel $K^a(t; x, y)$ of $\exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ on Z_{∞} by the formula

$$K^{a}(t;x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \varphi_{j}(x) K_{j}(t;x,y) \psi_{j}(y).$$
(56)

Note that $K^a(t;x,y) = K_1(t;x,y)$ when $(x,y) \in Z \times Z$ and $K^a(t;x,y) = K_2(t;x,y)$ when $(x,y) \in (\tilde{X}_+ - W_0) \times (\tilde{X}_+ - W_0)$ and also that $K^a(t;x,y) = 0$ when $(x,y) \in Z \times (\tilde{X}_+ - W_0)$ or $(x,y) \in (\tilde{X}_+ - W_0) \times Z$. In addition, $\lim K^a(t;x,y) = \delta_y(x) \cdot \mathbb{I}$ when $t \to 0$ for all (x,y).



FIGURE 3. (Colour online) Bump functions.

This means that K^a solves the initial value problem (50) for most $(x, y) \in Z_{\infty} \times Z_{\infty}$. To be precise, let us consider the error term induced by the approximate smoothing kernel $K^a(t; x, y)$ on Z_{∞} ,

$$-E(t;x,y) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{D}^2\right) K^a(t;x,y),$$
(57)

where the operator \mathcal{D} acts on the x-variable for any fixed t and y.

LEMMA 10.7. The error term E(t; x, y) vanishes unless $h(x) \in (1/7, 6/7)$ and the distance between h(x) and h(y) is greater than 1/7. There are positive constants α , γ , and C such that, for all t > 0 and all $x, y \in Z_{\infty}$, the following estimate holds:

$$|E(t;x,y)| \leqslant C e^{\alpha t} t^{-n/2 - 1} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,y)/t}.$$
(58)

Proof. Apply the formula of [Roe98, Lemma 7.13] to the spinor $\varphi_j K_j$ to obtain

$$\mathcal{D}^2(\varphi_j K_j) = (\Delta \varphi_j) K_j - 2 \nabla_{\nabla \varphi_j} K_j + \varphi_j \mathcal{D}^2 K_j.$$

Since both K_j satisfy (50), we calculate

$$-E(t;x,y) = \sum_{j} (\Delta \varphi_j(x) K_j(t;x,y) \psi_j(y) - 2\nabla_{\nabla \varphi_j(x)} K_j(t;x,y) \psi_j(y)).$$

The claim now follows by applying (52) to K_1 and K_2 and (55) to ∇K_2 , and using the standard estimates on ∇K_1 on the closed manifold DZ.

Denote by $\mathcal{K}(t) = \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ and $\mathcal{K}^a(t)$ the operators on Z_{∞} with smoothing kernels K(t; x, y) and $K^a(t; x, y)$, respectively. Because of the initial conditions $\mathcal{K}(t) \to \mathbb{I}$ and $\mathcal{K}^a(t) \to \mathbb{I}$ as $t \to 0$, we can write at the operator level

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{K}(t) - \mathcal{K}^{a}(t) &= \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{ds} (\mathcal{K}(s) \cdot \mathcal{K}^{a}(t-s)) \, ds \\ &= \int_{0}^{t} \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{K}(s) \cdot \mathcal{K}^{a}(t-s) \, ds + \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{K}(s) \cdot \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{K}^{a}(t-s) \, ds. \end{split}$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{ds}\mathcal{K}(s) = -\mathcal{D}^2\mathcal{K}(s) = -\mathcal{K}(s)\mathcal{D}^2,$$

the above can be written as

$$\mathcal{K}(t) - \mathcal{K}^{a}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{K}(s) \cdot \left(-\mathcal{D}^{2} + \frac{d}{ds}\right) \mathcal{K}^{a}(t-s) \, ds$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{K}(s) \cdot \left(-\mathcal{D}^{2} - \frac{d}{d(t-s)}\right) \mathcal{K}^{a}(t-s) \, ds = \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{K}(s) \cdot \mathcal{E}(t-s) \, ds, \quad (59)$$

where $\mathcal{E}(t)$ is the operator with the smoothing kernel E(t; x, y). At the level of smoothing kernels, formula (59) implies that

$$K(t;x,x) - K^{a}(t;x,x) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{Z_{\infty}} K(s;x,z) E(t-s;z,x) \, dz \, ds$$

The z-integration in this formula extends only to $\operatorname{supp}_z E(t-s; z, x) \subset \{z \in W_0 \mid 1/7 \leq h(z) \leq 6/7\}$. In particular, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\operatorname{supp}_z E(t-s; z, x) \subset N$, where $N = \{z \in W_0 \mid d(z, \partial W_0) \geq \varepsilon\}$, and

$$K(t;x,x) - K^{a}(t;x,x) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{N} K(s;x,z) E(t-s;z,x) \, dz \, ds.$$
(60)

Let $z \in N$ and restrict ourselves to $x \in W_k$ with $k \ge 1$. Then $\varepsilon^2 + d^2(x, W_0) \le d^2(x, z)$ and we have the estimates

$$|K(s;x,z)| \leqslant Ce^{\alpha s} s^{-n/2} e^{-\gamma \varepsilon^2/s} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,W_0)/s} \leqslant C_1 e^{\alpha s} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,W_0)/s}$$

for all s > 0 (see (52)) and

$$|E(t-s;z,x)| \leq C e^{\alpha(t-s)} (t-s)^{-n/2-1} e^{-\gamma \varepsilon^2/(t-s)} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,W_0)/(t-s)} \leq C_2 e^{\alpha(t-s)} e^{-\gamma d^2(x,W_0)/(t-s)}$$

for all $s \in (0, t)$ (see (58)). Combining these estimates with (60), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |K(t;x,x) - K^{a}(t;x,x)| \\ \leqslant \ C_{3}e^{\alpha t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{N} e^{-\gamma d^{2}(x,W_{0})(1/s+1/(t-s))} \, dz \, ds \leqslant C_{4}e^{\alpha t}e^{-\gamma d^{2}(x,W_{0})/t}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the inequality $1/t \leq 1/s + 1/(t-s)$, which obviously holds for all $s \in (0, t)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 10.6.

COROLLARY 10.8. For any given T > 0, there are positive constants γ and C such that, for all $t \in (0,T]$ and all $x \in W_k$ with $k \ge 1$, one has

$$|K(t;x,x) - \tilde{K}(t;x,x)| \leq Ce^{-\gamma d^2(x,W_0)/t}.$$

The following result is a slight generalization of the above corollary; it provides a good estimate on $|K(t; x, y) - \tilde{K}(t; x, y)|$ when (x, y) is not necessarily on the diagonal but sufficiently close to it.

PROPOSITION 10.9. For any given T > 0, there are positive constants γ and C such that, for all $t \in (0,T]$ and all $x \in W_k$ and $y \in W_\ell$ with $k, \ell \ge 1$, one has

$$|K(t;x,y) - \tilde{K}(t;x,y)| \leqslant C e^{-\gamma d^2/t},$$

where d^2 is the minimum of $d^2(x, W_0)$ and $d^2(y, W_0)$.

Proof. We will essentially follow the proof of Proposition 10.6 with the factor $e^{\alpha t}$ replaced by a constant on the bounded time interval. For any x and y as in the statement of the proposition, formula (59) implies that

$$K(t;x,y) - K^{a}(t;x,y) = \int_{0}^{t} \int_{N} K(s;x,z) E(t-s;z,y) \, dz \, ds;$$
(61)

compare with (60). We can estimate

$$K(s;x,z) | \leq C_1 e^{-\gamma d^2(x,W_0)/s}, \quad |E(t-s;z,y)| \leq C_2 e^{-\gamma d^2(y,W_0)/(t-s)}$$

and use the obvious inequalities

$$-d^{2}(x,W_{0})/s - d^{2}(y,W_{0})/(t-s) \leqslant -d^{2}(1/s + 1/(t-s)) \leqslant -d^{2}/t$$

to arrive at the desired estimate.

Remark 10.10. For any integer $m \ge 0$, the statements of Corollary 10.8 and Proposition 10.9 also hold if K(t; x, y) and $\tilde{K}(t; x, y)$ are the smoothing kernels of the operators $\mathcal{D}^m \exp(-t\mathcal{D}^2)$ on respectively Z_{∞} and \tilde{X} . The above proofs work with little change once we observe that both K and \tilde{K} solve the initial value problem (50) on their respective manifolds with matching initial conditions.

10.5 Long-time behavior

In this section, we will assume that the L^2 -closure of $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ is invertible and derive certain uniform estimates on heat kernels over Z_{∞} .

Let K(t; x, y) be the smoothing kernel of the operator $e^{-t\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+}$ on Z_{∞} and let $K_0(t; x, y) = K(t; x, y) - K_{P_+}(x, y)$, where P_+ is the projector onto (the finite-dimensional) ker $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty})$.

PROPOSITION 10.11. There exist positive constants μ and C such that, for all $x, y \in Z_{\infty}$ and all $t \ge 1$,

$$|K_0(t;x,y)| \leqslant Ce^{-\mu t}.$$

Before we go on to prove this proposition, we will need a few preliminary results. The operator $\mathcal{D}^-\mathcal{D}^+$ on Z_∞ will be temporarily called Q; note that ker $Q = \ker \mathcal{D}^+$. We will first estimate the operator norm of e^{-tQ} and then use the bounded geometry condition to derive the pointwise estimate as claimed.

LEMMA 10.12. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ is invertible; then Q has only a discrete spectrum near zero (the 'discrete spectrum' here means 'finitely many eigenvalues of finite multiplicity').

Proof. Since the operator $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ has bounded inverse, one can rely on the usual parametrix argument; see for instance [DW91, Lemma 6.2].

LEMMA 10.13. Let $\mu > 0$ be the smallest non-zero eigenvalue of Q. For any integer $k \ge 0$, there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $||Q^k(e^{-tQ} - P_+)|| \le C_1 e^{-\mu t}$ for all t > 1.

Proof. From functional analysis, we obtain $||Q^k(e^{-tQ} - P_+)|| \leq \sup\{\lambda^k e^{-\lambda t} \mid \mu \leq \lambda\}$. This supremum equals $\mu^k e^{-\mu t}$ if $t \geq k/\mu$, and $(k/t)^k e^{-k}$ otherwise. The result now follows. \Box

This completes the derivation of the operator norm estimates. To pass to pointwise estimates, we need two more lemmas.

LEMMA 10.14. For all even integers k > 0, the operator $(I + Q)^{k/2} : L^2_{m+k}(Z_{\infty}; \mathcal{S}^+) \to L^2_m(Z_{\infty}; \mathcal{S}^+)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Since $\ker(I+Q) = 0$, this is immediate if we define the Sobolev space $L^2_m(Z_\infty; \mathcal{S}^+)$ for $m \ge 0$ as the completion of $C_0^\infty(Z_\infty; \mathcal{S}^+)$ in the norm

$$\|s\|_{L^2_m} = (\|s\|^2 + \|\mathcal{D}s\|^2 + \dots + \|\mathcal{D}^m s\|^2)^{1/2},$$

and for m < 0 as the dual space of $L^2_{-m}(Z_{\infty}; \mathcal{S}^+)$; cf. [Roe88a, Definition 2.6]. This definition is equivalent to the standard one because of the bounded geometry condition.

LEMMA 10.15. For any k > n/2 and $x \in Z_{\infty}$, we have $\delta_x \in L^2_{-k}(Z_{\infty}; \mathcal{S}^+)$. Moreover, there is a constant $C_2 > 0$ such that, for all $x \in Z_{\infty}$,

$$\|\delta_x\|_{L^2_{-k}} \leqslant C_2.$$

Proof. The first claim is standard. The second follows using the bounded geometry condition as in the proof of [Roe88a, Proposition 5.4]. \Box

Proof of Proposition 10.11. Let k > n/2 be an even integer. Since $(I+Q)^{k/2} : L^2(Z_{\infty}; \mathcal{S}^+) \to L^2_{-k}(Z_{\infty}; \mathcal{S}^+)$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that

$$\|(I+Q)^{-k/2}\delta_x\|_{L^2} \leq C_3 \|\delta_x\|_{L^2_{-k}}$$

and therefore

$$||(I+Q)^{-k/2}\delta_x||_{L^2} \leq C_4$$

Next, write

$$K_0(t;x,y) = (\delta_x, (e^{-tQ} - P_+)\delta_y) = (\delta_x, (I+Q)^{-k/2}(I+Q)^k(e^{-tQ} - P_+)(I+Q)^{-k/2}\delta_y)$$

using the fact that Q and $e^{-tQ} - P_+$ commute with each other. Since I + Q is self-adjoint, we can write

$$K_0(t;x,y) = ((I+Q)^{-k/2}\delta_x, (I+Q)^k(e^{-tQ} - P_+)(I+Q)^{-k/2}\delta_y)$$

and estimate

$$K_0(t;x,y) \le C_4^2 \| (I+Q)^k (e^{-tQ} - P_+) \| \le C e^{-\mu t}.$$

PROPOSITION 10.16. There exist positive constants μ and C such that, for all $x, y \in \tilde{X}$ and all $t \ge 1$,

$$|\tilde{K}(t;x,y)| \leqslant Ce^{-\mu t}$$

Proof. This is identical to the proof of Proposition 10.11, keeping in mind that \tilde{X} has bounded geometry and that ker $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X}) = 0$.

PROPOSITION 10.17. There exist positive constants C and δ such that, for all $x \in W_0$ and $k \ge 1$, one has

$$|K_{P_+}(x+k,x+k)| \leq Ce^{-\delta k}.$$

Proof. Let φ_i be an orthonormal basis in ker $\mathcal{D}^+(Z_\infty)$; then $|K_{P_+}(x,x)| = \sum |\varphi_i(x)|^2$. Let $h: Z_\infty \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function whose restriction to \tilde{X}_+ has the property that h(x+1) = h(x) + 1 with respect to the covering transformation action. According to [MRS11], the

invertibility of $\mathcal{D}^+(\tilde{X})$ implies that there is a small $\delta > 0$ such that the spinors $e^{\delta h(x)}\varphi_i(x)$ form a basis in ker $(\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty}) - \delta dh)$. The kernel of the projector onto ker $(\mathcal{D}^+(Z_{\infty}) - \delta df)$ is uniformly bounded by [Roe88a, Proposition 2.9] and hence so are the spinors $e^{\delta h(x)}\varphi_i(x)$. Therefore, one can find C > 0 such that

$$|K_{P_{+}}(x,x)| = \sum |\varphi_{i}(x)|^{2} = e^{-2\delta h(x)} \sum |e^{\delta h(x)}\varphi_{i}(x)|^{2} \leq C e^{-2\delta h(x)},$$

and the result follows.

Acknowledgements

We thank Boris Botvinnik, Peter Gilkey, Lev Kapitanski, Leonid Parnovski, and Andrei Teleman for generously sharing their expertise. We are also thankful to Pierre Albin, Gilles Carron, and Rafe Mazzeo for sharing their approach to the index theorem in this setting with us.

References

- Ati76 M. Atiyah, Elliptic operators, discrete groups and von Neumann algebras, in Colloque 'Analyse et Topologie' en l'Honneur de Henri Cartan (Orsay, 1974), Asterisque, vol. 32–33 (Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1976), 43–72.
- ABP73 M. Atiyah, R. Bott and V. K. Patodi, On the heat equation and the index theorem, Invent. Math. 19 (1973), 279–330.
- APS75a M. Atiyah, V. Patodi and I. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry: I, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 77 (1975), 43–69.
- APS75b M. Atiyah, V. Patodi and I. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry: II, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 78 (1975), 405–432.
- APS76 M. Atiyah, V. Patodi and I. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry: III, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 79 (1976), 71–99.
- AS68 M. Atiyah and I. Singer, *The index of elliptic operators. III*, Ann. of Math. (2) **87** (1968), 546–604.
- BGV92 N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators* (Springer, Berlin, 1992).
- BW93 B. Booß-Bavnbek and K. Wojciechowski, *Elliptic boundary problems for Dirac operators* (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1993).
- BG95 B. Botvinnik and P. Gilkey, The eta invariant and metrics of positive scalar curvature, Math. Ann. 302 (1995), 507–517.
- Bun92 U. Bunke, *Relative index theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **105** (1992), 63–76.
- CS76a S. Cappell and J. Shaneson, There exist inequivalent knots with the same complement, Ann. of Math. (2) 103 (1976), 349–353.
- CS76b S. Cappell and J. Shaneson, Some new four-manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 104 (1976), 61–72.
- CLY81 S. Y. Cheng, P. Li and S. T. Yau, On the upper estimate of the heat kernel of a complete Riemannian manifold, Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), 1021–1063.
- CD99 T. Coulhon and X.-T. Duong, *Riesz transforms for* $1 \le p \le 2$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 1151–1169.
- Dav89 E. B. Davies, Pointwise bounds on the space and time derivatives of heat kernels, J. Operator Theory 21 (1989), 367–378.
- Don79 H. Donnelly, Asymptotic expansions for the compact quotients of properly discontinuous group actions, Illinois J. Math. 23 (1979), 485–496.
- Don87 H. Donnelly, Essential spectrum and heat kernel, J. Funct. Anal. 75 (1987), 362–381.

AN INDEX THEOREM FOR END-PERIODIC OPERATORS

- Don88 H. Donnelly, Local index theorem for families, Michigan Math. J. 35 (1988), 11–20.
- DW91 R. Douglas and K. Wojciechowski, Adiabatic limits of the η -invariants. The odd-dimensional Atiyah–Patodi–Singer problem, Comm. Math. Phys. **142** (1991), 139–168.
- DO98 S. Dragomir and L. Ornea, *Locally conformal Kähler geometry*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 155 (Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1998).
- Dun04 N. Dungey, Some gradient estimates on covering manifolds, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. Math. 52 (2004), 437–443.
- Gaj87 P. Gajer, Riemannian metrics of positive scalar curvature on compact manifolds with boundary, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. 5 (1987), 179–191.
- Gau97 P. Gauduchon, Hermitian connections and Dirac operators, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. (7) 11 (1997), 257–288; suppl.
- Gil84 P. B. Gilkey, The eta invariant and the K-theory of odd dimensional spherical space forms, Invent. Math. **79** (1984), 1421–1453.
- Gil89 P. B. Gilkey, *The geometry of spherical space form groups* (World Scientific, Teaneck, NJ, 1989); with an appendix by A. Bahri and M. Bendersky.
- Gil93 P. B. Gilkey, On the index of geometrical operators for Riemannian manifolds with boundary, Adv. Math. 102 (1993), 129–183.
- Gri95 A. Grigoryan, Upper bounds of derivatives of the heat kernel on an arbitrary complete manifold,
 J. Funct. Anal. 127 (1995), 363–389.
- GL80 M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson Jr., The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature, Ann. of Math. (2) 111 (1980), 423–434.
- GL83 M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson Jr., Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete Riemannian manifolds, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 58 (1983), 83–196.
- Hit74 N. Hitchin, Harmonic spinors, Adv. Math. 14 (1974), 1–55.
- Ino74 M. Inoue, On surfaces of class VII₀, Invent. Math. **24** (1974), 269–310.
- LM89 H. B. Lawson and M.-L. Michelsohn, Spin geometry (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989).
- LY86 P. Li and S.-T. Yau, On the parabolic kernel of the Schrödinger operator, Acta Math. 156 (1986), 153–201.
- Lic63 A. Lichnerowicz, Spineurs harmoniques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 257 (1963), 7–9.
- Mar12 F. C. Marques, Deforming three-manifolds with positive scalar curvature, Ann. of Math. (2) 176 (2012), 815–863.
- MP98 R. Mazzeo and D. Pollack, Gluing and moduli for noncompact geometric problems, in Geometric theory of singular phenomena in partial differential equations (Cortona, 1995), Symposia Mathematica, vol. XXXVIII (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998), 17–51.
- MPU96 R. Mazzeo, D. Pollack and K. Uhlenbeck, Moduli spaces of singular Yamabe metrics, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1996), 303–344.
- Mel93 R. Melrose, The Atiyah–Patodi–Singer index theorem, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 4 (A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 1993).
- Mil06 J. Miller, The Euler characteristic and finiteness obstruction of manifolds with periodic ends, Asian J. Math. **10** (2006), 679–713.
- Miy84 T. Miyazaki, On the existence of positive scalar curvature metrics on non-simply-connected manifolds, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo 30 (1984), 549–561.
- MRS11 T. Mrowka, D. Ruberman and N. Saveliev, Seiberg-Witten equations, end-periodic Dirac operators, and a lift of Rohlin's invariant, J. Differential Geom. 88 (2011), 333-377.
- MRS14 T. Mrowka, D. Ruberman and N. Saveliev, Index theory of the de Rham complex on manifolds with periodic ends, Algebr. Geom. Topol. 14 (2014), 3689–3700.

- Nic99 L. Nicolaescu, Eta invariants of Dirac operators on circle bundles over Riemann surfaces and virtual dimensions of finite energy Seiberg-Witten moduli spaces, Israel J. Math. 114 (1999), 61–123.
- OT00 C. Okonek and A. Teleman, Seiberg–Witten invariants for 4-manifolds with $b_{+} = 0$, in Complex analysis and algebraic geometry (de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000), 347–357.
- Roe88a J. Roe, An index theorem on open manifolds. I, J. Differential Geom. 27 (1988), 87–113.
- Roe88b J. Roe, An index theorem on open manifolds. II, J. Differential Geom. 27 (1988), 115–136.
- Roe98 J. Roe, *Elliptic operators, topology and asymptotic methods*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 395, second edition (Longman, Harlow, 1998).
- Ros86 J. Rosenberg, C*-algebras, positive scalar curvature and the Novikov conjecture. II, in Geometric methods in operator algebras (Kyoto, 1983), Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 123 (Longman, Harlow, 1986), 341–374.
- Ros07 J. Rosenberg, Manifolds of positive scalar curvature: a progress report, in Surveys in differential geometry, Vol. XI, Surveys in Differential Geometry, vol. 11 (International Press, Somerville, MA, 2007), 259–294.
- RS01 J. Rosenberg and S. Stolz, *Metrics of positive scalar curvature and connections with surgery*, Surveys on Surgery Theory, vol. 2 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001), 353–386.
- RS72 C. P. Rourke and B. J. Sanderson, *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 69 (Springer, New York, 1972).
- Rub01 D. Ruberman, Positive scalar curvature, diffeomorphisms and the Seiberg-Witten invariants, Geom. Topol. 5 (2001), 895–924 (electronic).
- RS007 D. Ruberman and N. Saveliev, *Dirac operators on manifolds with periodic ends*, J. Gökova Geom. Topol. GGT 1 (2007), 33–50.
- SY79 R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature, Manuscripta Math. 28 (1979), 159–183.
- Tau87 C. Taubes, Gauge theory on asymptotically periodic 4-manifolds, J. Differential Geom. 25 (1987), 363–430.
- Tau89 C. Taubes, *The stable topology of self-dual moduli spaces*, J. Differential Geom. **29** (1989), 163–230.
- Tau07 C. Taubes, Asymptotic spectral flow for Dirac operators, Comm. Anal. Geom. 15 (2007), 569–587.
- Tel07 A. Teleman, Harmonic sections in sphere bundles, normal neighborhoods of reduction loci, and instanton moduli spaces on definite 4-manifolds, Geom. Topol. **11** (2007), 1681–1730.
- Tri82 F. Tricerri, Some examples of locally conformal Kähler manifolds, Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Politec. Torino 40 (1982), 81–92.
- Wit94 E. Witten, Monopoles and four-manifolds, Math. Res. Lett. 1 (1994), 769–796.

Tomasz Mrowka mrowka@mit.edu

Department of Mathematics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

Daniel Ruberman ruberman@brandeis.edu Department of Mathematics, MS 050, Brandeis University, Waltham, MA 02454, USA

Nikolai Saveliev saveliev@math.miami.edu Department of Mathematics, University of Miami, PO Box 249085, Coral Gables, FL 33124, USA