The effect of supplements of groundnut flour or groundnut protein isolate fortified with calcium salts and vitamins or of skim-milk powder on the digestibility coefficient, biological value and net utilization of the proteins of poor Indian diets given to undernourished children

By KANTHA JOSEPH, P. K. TASKER, M. NARAYANARAO, M. SWAMINATHAN, A. SREENIVASAN AND V. SUBRAHMANYAN

Central Food Technological Research Institute, Mysore, India

(Received 23 October 1961-Revised 17 August 1962)

The production of milk and other protective foods in many tropical and subtropical countries is inadequate to meet the needs of the population (FAO, 1959). The diets consumed in these countries are in general deficient in proteins, certain vitamins and minerals (FAO, 1959; Jelliffe, 1955). The problem of providing low-cost supplementary foods based on locally available protein-rich foods of vegetable origin has recently been engaging the attention of research workers (Subrahmanyan, Narayanarao & Swaminathan, 1960; Scrimshaw & Bressani, 1961) and also of international agencies (Autret & van Veen, 1955). Among the promising protein sources are oilseed meals and legumes. Groundnut meal is available in large quantities as a by-product of the oil industry in many countries (Altschul, 1958). Joseph, Narayanarao, Swami-nathan & Subrahmanyan (1957) have reported that the incorporation in a poor Indian rice diet of a processed protein food, based on a 3:1 blend of low-fat groundnut flour and chick-pea (*Cicer arietinum*) flour and fortified with calcium salts and vitamins, caused a significant increase in the retention of nitrogen, calcium and phosphorus by children.

The proteins of groundnut contain less lysine, methionine, threonine and tryptophan than milk proteins (Block & Weiss, 1956). No information is available on the comparative retentions of N on a poor Indian rice diet supplemented with equal amounts of proteins from groundnut or milk. Therefore the metabolism of N, Ca and P and the digestibility coefficients and biological value of the proteins and net protein utilization were studied in children receiving a poor rice diet supplemented with the same amounts of proteins, Ca and P either from groundnut flour (GF) or groundnut protein isolate (GPI) fortified with Ca salts and vitamins or from skim-milk powder. The results of experiments with these diets relating to N, Ca and P metabolism have recently been published (Joseph, Tasker, Narayanarao, Sankaran, Swaminathan, Sreenivasan & Subrahmanyan, 1962). The present paper is concerned with the digestibility coefficient and biological value of the proteins and net protein utilization. https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19630002 Published online by Cambridge University Press

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials. The composition of the groundnut flour and groundnut protein isolate fortified with Ca salts and vitamins and of skim-milk powder fortified with vitamins used in this investigation is given in an earlier paper (Joseph *et al.* 1962). The contents of essential amino acids in the mixed proteins in the different diets consumed by the children, determined by the method of Krishnamurthy, Tasker, Ramakrishnan, Rajagopalan & Swaminathan (1960), are given in Table 1 and compared with the FAO reference protein pattern (FAO, 1957).

		D	iet		FAO
Amino acid	Rice diet	Rice diet + groundnut flour	Rice diet + groundnut protein isolate	Rice diet + skim-milk powder	FAO (1957) reference protein pattern
Arginine	5.61	7.83	7.65	4.83	
Histidine	2.12	2.15	2.23	2.37	
Lysine	4.61	3.93	3.84	6.12	4.5
Tryptophan	1.14	1.10	1.12	1.33	1.4
Phenylalanine	5.50	5.34	5.21	5.32	2.8
Cystine	1.34	1.41	1.38	1.15	
Methionine	1.66	1.39	1.42	2.10	2.2
Total sulphur amino acids	3.00	2.80	2.80	3.22	4.5
Threonine	3.84	3.31	3.23	4.25	2.8
Leucine	8.22	7.31	7.14	9.03	4.8
Isoleucine	4.93	4.54	4.28	5.61	4.2
Valine	6.42	5.84	5.65	6.63	4.5
	j	Protein score (FA	O, 1957)		
	71	67	67	76	100

Table 1.	Essential	amino	acid	content*	(g/1	:6 g	N)	of t	he	mixed	protein	2S
			of th	ne differer	ıt di	ets						

• The limiting amino acids in bold-faced type.

Metabolic study. The details of the metabolic study have been described by Joseph et al. (1962). The subjects were eight girls aged 8-9 years, belonging to low-income groups. The metabolic study was divided into five periods: period 1, rice only (rice diet); period 2, rice + fortified groundnut flour (rice-GF diet); period 3, rice + fortified groundnut protein isolate (rice-GPI diet); period 4, rice + skim-milk powder (rice-SMP diet); period 5, low-protein diet. The children were given a rest for 1 week between metabolism periods during which they were fed on the basal rice diet. The compositions of the rice diet, rice-GF diet, rice-GPI diet and rice-SMP diet and the analytical methods used have already been described (Joseph et al. 1962). The different protein foods added to the rice diet provided about 20 g extra protein daily. The low-N diet was similar in composition to that used by Tasker, Doraiswamy, Narayanarao, Swaminathan, Sreenivasan & Subrahmanyan (1962), and the digestibility coefficient and biological value of the proteins of the diets were calculated by their methods. The net protein utilization (operative) NPU_(op), net dietary protein value (ND-pV) and net dietary protein calories % (ND-p Cals %) were calculated according to the formulas of Platt, Miller & Payne (1961).

Definition of terms. The terms were introduced by Platt et al. (1961). NPU_(op) refers to the net protein utilized from the diet as consumed without adjustment for level of protein and the amounts of vitamins and minerals in the diet. It is calculated as

true digestibility coefficient × biological value

ND-pv refers to the net available protein content of the diet. It is calculated as $NPU_{(op)} \times protein content (\%)$ of the diet.

ND-p Cals % refers to the net available dietary protein expressed in terms of protein calories in the diet. It is calculated as $NPU_{(op)} \times$ the percentage of protein calories in the diet.

RESULTS

The results of measurements of endogenous urinary and faecal N excretion are given in Table 2 and of the digestibility coefficient, biological value, and net protein utilization in Tables 3-5.

Table 2. Daily urinary and faecal excretion (g) of nitrogen by the children on the low-protein diet

Girl no.	Age (years)	Urinary	Faecal	Total
I	8	1.03	o ·69	1.72
2	9	1.30	0.28	1.97
3	8	1.01	0.21	1.72
4	9	1.30	0.62	2.01
5	9	1.42	o·46	1.03
6	8	1.04	0.95	1.96
7	9	1.41	o ·79	2.20
8	8	1.08	0.81	1.89
Mean value with its standard err (7 df)		1·23±0·13	0 ^{.70} ±0.05	1.93 ± 0. 09

Table 3. Digestibility coefficient and biological value of proteins of the different diets eaten by the children

-

Diet						
<u></u>	Calorie value	Nitrogen intake	Nitrogen (g/g	excretion lay)	True digestibility	Biological
Description	(kcal/day)	(g/day)	Urinary	Faecal	(%)	value
Rice	I 394	4.08	2.19	1.14	89.2	73.6
Rice + fortified groundnut flour	1382	7.30	3.86	1.99	82.2	56.0
Rice + fortified groundnut protein isolate	1388	7.20	3.85	1.68	86-3	57.8
Rice + skim-milk powder	1372	7.35	3.37	1.76	85.5	65.7
Standard error of the mean (21 df)				—	± 1·92	± 2·42

https://doi.org/10.1079/BJN19630002 Published online by Cambridge University Press

	•	protein take				Net		
Diet	g	g/kg body- weight	Protein in diet (%)	Protein calories (%)	NPU _(op)	available protein* (g)	ND-р v (%)	ND-p Cals %
Rice diet	25.2	1.2	7.92	7.32	65.6	16.7	5.20	4.80
Rice + fortified groundnut flour	45.6	2.6	14.03	13.30	46.1	21.0	6.47	6.08
Rice + fortified groundnut protein isolate	45.0	2.6	13.80	12.96	49.8	22'4	6.87	6.46
Rice + skim-milk	45.9	2.6	13.99	13.39	56.4	25.9	7.89	7.55
Standard error of the mean (21 df)	-			—	<u>+</u> 2·82	—	—	±0.34

 Table 4. Mean values for net protein utilization, net dietary protein value and net dietary protein calories with the different diets eaten by the children

* The 'reference' protein requirement of the children calculated according to FAO (1957) amounts to 19:3 g.

Protein scores (Table 1). The protein scores of the mixed proteins of the diets containing groundnut flour or groundnut protein isolate (67), calculated by the method of FAO (1957), were lower than that of the rice diet (71) or the rice-SMP diet (76).

Endogenous urinary and faecal N (Table 2). The mean daily urinary N was 1.23 g and the mean daily faecal N was 0.70 g for the children on the low-protein diet.

Digestibility coefficient and biological value of the protein (Table 3). The true digestibility coefficients of the proteins in the different diets were nearly the same and ranged from $82 \cdot 2$ to $89 \cdot 2$. The biological value of the protein in the rice-SMP diet (65.7) was higher than that in diets supplemented with groundnut protein or groundnut flour (56.0 and 57.8, respectively).

NPU_(op) and ND-p Cals % (Table 4). The NPU_(op) value for the rice-SMP diet (56·4) was higher than for the diets supplemented with groundnut flour or groundnut protein isolate (46·1 and 49·8, respectively). The ND-p Cals % for the rice diet (4·80) was lower than for diets supplemented with different protein foods (6·08–7·55).

Mean intake and absorption of essential amino acids (Table 5). The amount of essential amino acids absorbed was calculated as amino acid intake \times digestibility coefficient of the protein \div 100, on the assumption that all amino acids were digested and absorbed to the same extent. The results show that the amounts of sulphur amino acids absorbed from the rice diet were lower than those required according to the reference protein pattern suggested by FAO (1957).

DISCUSSION

The results presented in Tables 4 and 5 show that the net available protein from the rice diet (16.7 g/day) did not meet the requirement of 19.3 g of reference protein calculated according to FAO (1957); on the other hand, the net available protein from

1963

.	i))))	Rice die	Rice dict + fortified	Rice die	Rice dict + fortified Rice diet + fortified Rice diet + skim-milk I	Rice diet	Rice diet + skim-milk	FAO (1957)
	Ĭ.	Rice diet	ground	groundnut flour	groundnut	groundnut protein isolate	Mod	powder	protein
Amino acid	Intake	Absorption	Intake	Absorption	Intake	Absorption	Intake	Absorption	requirement†
Arginine	1.43	1.28	3.57	2.94	3.44	26.2	2.22	1.89	ļ
Histidine	0.54	0.48	86.0	0-81	00.1	o-86	60. I	26.0	ļ
Lysine	21.1	96.0	62.1	1.47	1-73	1.49	2-81	2.39	0.81
Tryptophan	0.20	0.26	0.50	0.41	0.50	0.43	19.0	0.52	6.27
Phenylalanine	1.40	1.25	2.4 4	10.2	2.34	2.02	2.44	2.08	0.54
Cystine	0.34	0.30	o .64	0.53	0.62	0.54	0.52	o:44	l
Methionine	0.42	0.37	0.63	0.52	o .64	0.55	96. 0	0.82	0.42
Total sulphur	0.76	6.0	22.1	50. I	92.1	60.1	1.48	1.26	0·81
amino acids									
Threonine	86.0	0-87	15.1	1.25	1.45	1.25	26.1	1·66	0.54
Leucine	3.10	1.87	3.33	2.74	3.21	2.77	4.15	2.54	26.0
Isoleucine	92.1	1.12	2.07	04.1	£6.I	1-67	2.58	2.20	0.81
Valine	1.64	1.46	3.66	5.19	2.54	61.1	3.04	2.59	0.8I
	The limitir	The limiting amino acids in bold-faced type.	bold-faced t	ype.					
	* Concluster (intake × dige	estibility coef	intake × digestibility coefficient of the protein	otein				
	- Calculate	SR D	100		•				
	† The 'ref	erence' protein re	equirement o	f the children c	alculated acco	† The 'reference' protein requirement of the children calculated according to FAO (1957) amounts to 1973 g.	957) amount	s to 19.3 g.	

Table ζ . Mean daily intake (p) and absorption^{*} by the children of essential amino acids from the different diets

2

Nutr. 17, 1

the diets supplemented with groundnut flour (21.0 g) or groundnut protein isolate (22.4 g) or skim-milk powder (25.9 g) fully met the protein requirement.

According to Platt et al. (1961), the protein requirement of girls of the age group 8-9 years, recommended by FAO (1957), is about 5.9 when expressed as ND-p Cals %. Judged on this basis, the rice diet did not provide an adequate amount of protein, but after supplementation with groundnut flour, groundnut protein or skim-milk powder it met protein requirement.

SUMMARY

1. The effect of supplementing a poor Indian rice diet with fortified groundnut flour or groundnut protein isolate or skim-milk powder (so as to provide 20 g extra protein daily) on the biological value and digestibility coefficient of the proteins and net protein utilization was studied in eight girls aged 8-9 years.

2. The true digestibility coefficients of the mixed proteins in the different diets were nearly the same. The biological value of the proteins of the rice diet supplemented with skim-milk powder was higher than of those in the diets supplemented with groundnut flour or groundnut protein isolate.

3. The NPU(op) of the diet supplemented with skim-milk powder was greater than of the diets supplemented with groundnut flour or with groundnut protein isolate. The rice diet supplied less, and the protein-supplemented diets more, net available protein than the FAO (1957) 'reference' protein requirement.

We are thankful to Mr A. N. Sankaran and Miss K. Indiramma for statistical analysis and to Mr V. A. Daniel for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

Altschul, A. M. (editor) (1958). Processed Plant Protein Foodstuffs. New York: Academic Press Inc. Autret, M. & van Veen, A. G. (1955). Amer. J. clin. Nutr. 3, 234.

- Block, R. J. & Weiss, K. W. (1956). Amino Acid Handbook. Springfield, Ill.: C. C. Thomas.
- FAO (1957). F.A.O. nutr. Stud. no. 16.
- FAO (1959). Yearb. Food agric. Statist.
- Jelliffe, D. B. (1955). W.H.O. Monogr. no. 29.

Joseph, K., Narayanarao, M., Swaminathan, M. & Subrahmanyan, V. (1957). Brit. J. Nutr. 11, 388. Joseph, K., Tasker, P. K., Narayanarao, M., Sankaran, A. N., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. &

- Subrahmanyan, V. (1962). Food Sci. 11, 31.
- Krishnamurthy, K., Tasker, P. K., Ramakrishnan, T. N., Rajagopalan, R. & Swaminathan, M. (1960). Ann. Biochem. 20, 73.
- Platt, B. S., Miller, D. S. & Payne, P. R. (1961). In Recent Advances in Human Nutrition with Special Reference to Clinical Medicine, p. 351. [J. F. Brock, editor.] London: J. and A. Churchill Ltd.
- Scrimshaw, N. S. & Bressani, R. (1961). Fed. Proc. 20, Suppl. 7, p. 80.
- Subrahmanyan, V., Narayanarao, M. & Swaminathan, M. (1960). Proc. nat. Inst. Sci. India, 26A, Suppl. 1, p. 99.
- Tasker, P. K., Doraiswamy, T. R., Narayanarao, M., Swaminathan, M., Sreenivasan, A. & Subrahmanyan, V. (1962). Brit. J. Nutr. 16, 361.

Printed in Great Britain