# ON THE LOWER CENTRAL FACTORS OF A FREE ASSOGIATIVE RING 

ROBERT TYLER

Let $R$ be a free associative ring with identity freely generated by $r_{1}, r_{2}, \ldots, r_{k}$. In analogy to group theory the lower central series for $R$ is defined inductively by

$$
\gamma_{0}=R \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{n}=\left[\gamma_{n-1}, R\right]
$$

where $\gamma_{n}$ is the ideal generated by the indicated ring commutators. Using P. Hall's collection process [2;1, Chapter 11] $\gamma_{n} / \gamma_{n+1}$ will be shown to be free as a $Z$-module and as an $R / R^{\prime}$-module for each non-negative integer $n$. In each case a basis will be exhibited.

Definition 1. Commutators of order zero are the free generators of $R$. A commutator, $c$, of order $n$ (denoted by $o(c)=n$ ) is of the form $[x, y]$, where $x$ and $y$ are commutators and $o(x)+o(y)=n-1$.

The commutators of $R$ are ordered in any manner respecting the condition that $x$ preceed $y$ whenever $o(x)<o(y)$.

Definition 2. Basic commutators of order zero are the commutators of order zero. A basic commutator of order $n$ is of the form $[x, y]$; where $x$ and $y$ are basic commutators, $o(x)+o(y)=n-1, y$ precedes $x$ in the ordering on the commutators, and if $x=[r, s]$, where $r$ and $s$ are basic commutators, then either $s=y$ or $s$ precedes $y$ in the ordering.

Definition 3. Basic products of order $k$ in $R$ are defined to be products of the form $b_{i_{1}} b_{i_{2}} \ldots b_{i_{m}}$, where the $b_{i_{j}}$, are basic commutators ordered by their subscripts, $i_{1} \leqq i_{2} \leqq \ldots \leqq i_{m}$, and

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} o\left(b_{i_{j}}\right)=k
$$

Recall that the identity together with the basic products of $R$ form an additive basis for $R$ [1, p. 172, Theorem 11.2.3].

Definition 4. The order, $o$, of an element $r$ of $R$ is the least of the orders of the basic products which appear when $r$ is expressed in terms of the basis described above.

[^0]S. A. Jennings has shown that for non-negative integers $p, q, r$, and $s$
$$
\left[\gamma_{p}, \gamma_{q}\right] \subseteq \gamma_{p+q+1} \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{\tau} \gamma_{s} \subseteq \gamma_{\tau+s}
$$
[3, p. 345, Theorems 3.3 and 3.4]. It follows from the definition of basic commutators and his first result that basic commutators of order $n$ belong to $\gamma_{n}$. Then it follows from his second result that basic products of order $n$ belong to $\gamma_{n}$.

Thus elements of $R$ of order at least $n$ belong to $\gamma_{n}$. The problem is to show that the non-zero elements of $\gamma_{n}$ are of order at least $n$. To this end we will use the fact that a commutator of order $n$ may be expressed as a sum of basic commutators each of order $n$ [ $\mathbf{4}, \mathrm{p} .327$, Theorem 5.9].

Consider the product (not necessarily basic)

$$
b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{k}}
$$

where the $b_{p_{j}}$ are basic commutators. The pseudo-order, $\bar{o}$, of this product is defined to be the sum of the orders of the $b_{p_{j}}$.

Lemma 1. $\bar{o}\left(b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{k}}\right) \leqq o\left(b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{k}}\right)$.
Proof. The deficiency of a factor in a product of basic commutators is defined to be the number of succeeding factors in the product that have lower subscripts. The deficiency, $d$, of a product of basic commutators is the sum total of the deficiencies of its factors.

For each non-negative integer $i$ and positive integer $j$ let $A(i, j)$ represent the following statement: If $b=b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{j}}$ is a product of basic commutators and $d(b)=i$ then $\bar{o}(b) \leqq o(b)$. Note that $A(i, 1)$ is true for each $i$ and $A(0, j)$ is true for each $j$ since the order and pseudo-order of a basic product are the same. We proceed by double induction.
$A(m, n)$ represents the statement that

$$
\bar{o}\left(b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}\right) \leqq o\left(b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}\right)
$$

where the deficiency of $b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}$ is $m$. If $A(m, n)$ is not vacuously true, then there are adjacent $b_{p_{i}}$ and $b_{p_{i+1}}$ with $p_{i}>p_{i+1}$. Since

$$
b_{p_{\boldsymbol{i}}} b_{\boldsymbol{p}_{i+1}}=b_{\boldsymbol{p}_{i+1}} b_{p_{i}}+\left[b_{p_{i}}, b_{\boldsymbol{p}_{i+1}}\right]
$$

it follows that

$$
b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}=b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{i+1}} b_{p_{i}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}+b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots\left[b_{p_{i}}, b_{p_{i+1}}\right] \ldots b_{p_{n}}
$$

It follows from the assumption that $A(m-1, n)$ is true that the first term on the right of this equation is of order greater than or equal to the pseudoorder of $b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}$. Furthermore, since $\left[b_{p_{i}}, b_{p_{i+1}}\right]$ is a commutator of order $o\left(b_{p_{i}}\right)+o\left(b_{\boldsymbol{p}_{i+1}}\right)+1$ it may be expressed as a sum of basic commutators each of order $o\left(b_{p_{i}}\right)+o\left(b_{p_{i+1}}\right)+1$. Hence by an application of the distributive law and the assumption that $A(i, n-1)$ is true it follows that we may express the second term on the right as the sum of terms each of order greater
than or equal to $\bar{o}\left(b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}\right)+1$. Hence

$$
\bar{o}\left(b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}\right) \leqq o\left(b_{1} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{n}}\right)
$$

Lemma 2. If $x$ and $y$ are basic products and $[x, y] \neq 0$, then $o([x, y])>o(x)+$ $o(y)$.

Proof. Let $x=b_{i_{1}} b_{i_{2}} \ldots b_{i_{s}}$ and $y=b_{j_{1}} b_{j_{2}} \ldots b_{j_{t}}$. It follows from the distributive law and successive applications of the identities

$$
\left[a, b_{p_{1}} b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{k}}\right]=\left[a, b_{p_{1}}\right] b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{k}}+b_{p_{1}}\left[a, b_{p_{2}} \ldots b_{p_{k}}\right]
$$

and

$$
[a, b]=-[b, a]
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are elements of $R$, that $[x, y]$ may be written as the sum of terms of the form

$$
A\left[b_{i}, b_{j}\right] B
$$

where $b_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are factors of $x$ and $y$ respectively, and $A$ and $B$ are monomials in the remaining $b_{k}$. Then since $\left[b_{i}, b_{j}\right]$ may be written as a sum of basic commutators each of order $o\left(b_{i}\right)+o\left(b_{j}\right)+1$ we have from an application of the distributive law that $[x, y]$ may be expressed as a sum in which each term has pseudo-order $o(x)+o(y)+1$. Hence, from Lemma 1 it follows that

$$
o[x, y]>o(x)+o(y) .
$$

Lemma 3. Nonzero elements of $\gamma_{n}$ are of order at least $n$.
Proof. For $n=0$ the result follows trivially. Proceeding by induction let $x$ be a nonzero element of $\gamma_{n}$. It follows from the identity

$$
a[b, c] d=[b, a c] d-[b, a] c d
$$

where $a, b, c$ and $d$ are elements of $R$, and from the definition of $\gamma_{n}$, that $x$ may be expressed as the sum of nonzero elements of the form

$$
\left[g_{n-1}, r\right] s
$$

where $g_{n-1}$ is a nonzero element of $\gamma_{n-1}$ and $r$ and $s$ are elements of $R$. Then from the induction hypothesis and the linearity of the bracket operation

$$
\left[g_{n-1}, r\right] s=\left[\sum_{i} B_{i}, r\right] s=\sum_{i}\left[B_{i}, r\right] s
$$

where the $B_{i}$ are basic products of order at least $n-1$. It then follows from the linearity of the bracket operation, the distributive law, and the fact that $r$ and $s$ may be expressed as sums of basic products and constants that

$$
\sum_{i}\left[B_{i}, r\right] s=\sum_{i, j, k}\left[B_{i}, C_{j}\right] D_{k},
$$

where the $B_{i}$ are basic products of order at least $n-1$, the $C_{j}$ are basic products, and the $D_{k}$ are basic products or constants.

Assume, without loss of generality, that none of the terms in $\sum_{i, j, k}\left[B_{i}, C_{j}\right] D_{k}$ are zero. Then by Lemmas 1 and 2

$$
o\left(\left[B_{i}, C_{j}\right] D_{k}\right) \geqq n
$$

for all $i, j$, and $k$. Thus

$$
o(x) \geqq n
$$

and the proof is complete.
Note that $R / R^{\prime}$ is just the polynomial ring with identity in the $k$ commuting variables $\bar{r}_{1}, \bar{r}_{2}, \ldots, \bar{r}_{k}$, where $\bar{r}_{i}=r_{i}+\gamma_{1}$. In other words, $\gamma_{0} / \gamma_{1}$, is a free $Z$-module with the identity and basic products of order zero for a basis. This observation will be generalized in the theorem below.

For notational convenience we identify the basic products of order $n$ with the basic products of order $n$ modulo $\gamma_{n+1}$.

Theorem. For each positive integer $n, \gamma_{n} / \gamma_{n+1}$ is free as a $Z$-module and as an $R / R^{\prime}$-module with bases given respectively by the basic products of order $n$ and the basic products of order $n$ without factors of order zero.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3 that the basic products of order at least $n$ span $\gamma_{n} / \gamma_{n+1}$ as a $Z$-module. Then since basic products of order greater than $n$ belong to $\gamma_{n+1}$ it follows that the basic products of order exactly $n$ span $\gamma_{n} / \gamma_{n+1}$.

To show that this set is linearly independent let

$$
\sum_{i} n_{i} B_{i}=0 \bmod \gamma_{n+1}
$$

where the $n_{i}$ are integers and the $B_{i}$ are distinct basic products of order $n$. Then by Lemma 3

$$
\sum_{i} n_{i} B_{i}=0
$$

But since the $B_{i}$ are elements of an additive basis for $R$ this implies that $n_{i}=0$ for each $i$.

Similarly, since the elements of $R / R^{\prime}$ are linear combinations of the identity of $R$ and basic products of order zero it follows that the basic products of order $n$ without factors of order zero, form an $R / R^{\prime}$ basis for $\gamma_{n} / \gamma_{n+1}$. In particular, $\gamma_{n} / \gamma_{n+1}$ has a finite basis.

From Jennings' results and Lemma 3 it follows that for $n$ greater than zero, $R / \gamma_{n}$ is a free $Z$-module with the identity and the basic products of order less than $n$ for a basis. Thus $R / \gamma_{n}$ provides a natural prototype for a finitely generated ring of finite class [3, p. 343].
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