

## TOPOLOGICAL LEFT AMENABILITY OF SEMIDIRECT PRODUCTS

BY  
H. D. JUNGHEHN

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be locally compact topological semigroups and  $S \rtimes T$  a semidirect product. Conditions are determined under which topological left amenability of  $S$  and  $T$  implies that of  $S \rtimes T$ , and conversely. The results are used to show that for a large class of semigroups which are neither compact nor groups, various notions of topological left amenability coincide.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be locally compact topological semigroups with identities (each denoted by 1) and  $\tau: T \times S \rightarrow S$  a jointly continuous mapping such that  $\tau(t, ss') = \tau(t, s)\tau(t, s')$ ,  $\tau(tt', s) = \tau(t, \tau(t', s))$ ,  $\tau(t, 1) = 1$ , and  $\tau(1, s) = s$  ( $s, s' \in S$ ;  $t, t' \in T$ ). If multiplication on  $S \times T$  is defined by

$$(s, t)(s', t') = (s\tau(t, s'), tt'),$$

then  $S \times T$ , with the usual product topology, becomes a locally compact topological semigroup with identity  $(1, 1)$ , called the *semidirect product* of  $S$  and  $T$  and denoted by  $S \rtimes T$ . The purpose of this paper is to determine when topological left amenability of  $S$  and  $T$  implies that of  $S \rtimes T$ . Positive results are obtained if, for example,  $T$  is a group and  $S$  is either compact or a group. More general results can be gotten by using a stronger amenability condition. The converse problem of determining topological left amenability of  $S$  and  $T$  from that of  $S \rtimes T$  is also considered, and an application to topological wreath products is given in the final section.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $S$  be a locally compact topological semigroup (jointly continuous multiplication),  $C(S)$  the Banach algebra of all bounded real-valued continuous functions on  $S$  (with the usual supremum norm),  $C_0(S)$  the subalgebra of functions which vanish at infinity, and  $M = M(S)$  the dual of  $C_0(S)$ . We shall, as usual, identify  $M$  with the space of bounded regular Borel measures on  $S$  (see, for example, [6]).  $M$  is a Banach algebra under convolution defined by

$$(\mu * \nu)(f) = \int_S \int_S f(st) d\mu(s) d\nu(t) \quad (f \in C_0(S); \mu, \nu \in M)$$

---

Received by the editors January 29, 1979 and in revised form, February 22, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970): Primary 43A07, 43A10.

Key words and phrases: Locally compact topological semigroup, topological left invariant mean, semidirect product, wreath product.

The subset  $P = P(S)$  of probability measures is a multiplicative subsemigroup of  $M$ , and the set  $P_c$  of probability measures with compact support is norm dense in  $P$  and spans a dense subspace of  $M$ .

A *mean* on  $M^*$ , the dual of  $M$ , is a positive linear functional  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma(1) = 1$ , where  $1 \in M^*$  is defined by  $1(\mu) = \mu(S)$  ( $\mu \in M$ ). The set  $Q(P_c)$ , where  $Q: M \rightarrow M^{**}$  denotes the canonical isometry, can be shown to be weak\* dense in the set of all means on  $M$ . A *topological left invariant mean* (abbreviated TLIM) is a mean  $\Gamma$  on  $M^*$  such that  $\Gamma(F * \mu) = \Gamma(F)$  for all  $F \in M^*$  and  $\mu \in P$ , where  $F * \mu \in M^*$  is defined by  $(F * \mu)(\nu) = F(\mu * \nu)$ . If a TLIM exists then  $S$  is said to be *topologically left amenable*.

Wong [13] noted that if  $S$  is a (locally compact topological) group with left Haar measure  $\lambda$ , then  $S$  is topologically left amenable if and only if  $L^\infty(S, \lambda)$  has a TLIM (as defined, for example, in [5]). This is also a consequence of the following more general result (recalling that  $L^1(S, \lambda)$  may be identified with the ideal in  $M$  of measures absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ ):

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $S$  be a locally compact topological semigroup,  $M_1$  any closed ideal of  $M$  which contains non-zero positive members. Then  $M^*$  has a TLIM if and only if  $M_1^*$  has a TLIM. (The notions of mean and TLIM on  $M_1^*$  are defined as for  $M^*$ .)*

**Proof.** Assume  $\Gamma_1 \in M_1^{**}$  is a TLIM. Let  $R: M^* \rightarrow M_1^*$  denote the restriction operator and  $\Gamma$  the mean  $\Gamma_1 \circ R \in M^{**}$ . Choose any  $\nu \in M_1 \cap P$ . Then  $R(F) * (\mu * \nu) = R(F * \mu) * \nu$  for all  $\mu \in P$ ,  $F \in M^*$ , and therefore  $\Gamma(F * \mu) = \Gamma_1(R(F * \mu)) = \Gamma_1(R(F * \mu) * \nu) = \Gamma_1(R(F) * (\mu * \nu)) = \Gamma_1(R(F)) = \Gamma(F)$ .

Conversely, let  $\Gamma$  be a TLIM on  $M^*$ , and let  $(\mu_n)$  be a net in  $P$  such that  $\Gamma = \text{weak}^* - \lim_n Q(\mu_n)$ . We may assume that  $(\mu_n) \subset M_1$  (otherwise choose any  $\nu \in M_1 \cap P$  and replace  $\mu_n$  by  $\nu * \mu_n$ , noting that  $\Gamma(F) = \Gamma(F * \nu) = \lim_n Q(\mu_n)(F * \nu) = \lim_n Q(\nu * \mu_n)(F)(F \in M^*)$ ). Let  $Q_1: M_1 \rightarrow M_1^{**}$  denote the canonical injection, and let  $(\mu_m)$  be a subnet such that  $Q_1(\mu_m)$  weak\* converges to some mean  $\Gamma_1$  on  $M_1^*$ . Given  $F_1 \in M_1^*$ , choose  $F \in M^*$  such that  $R(F) = F_1$ . Then for any  $\nu \in M_1 \cap P$ ,  $\Gamma_1(F_1 * \nu) = \lim_m F_1(\nu * \mu_m) = \Gamma(F * \nu) = \Gamma(F) = \lim_m F(\mu_m) = \Gamma_1(F_1)$ , so  $\Gamma_1$  is a TLIM on  $M_1^*$ .

Using standard results from the theory of topological vector spaces it can be shown that  $S$  is topologically left amenable if and only if the following condition holds: (A) There exists a net  $(\mu_n)$  in  $P_c$  (or, equivalently, in  $P$ ) such that  $\|\nu * \mu_n - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for each  $\nu \in P_c$ . (See [3] or [5], where the proof is given for the case  $S$  a group.) A related condition is the following: (B) There exists a net  $(\mu_n)$  in  $P_c$  such that  $\|\delta(s) * \mu_n - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  uniformly in  $s$  on each compact subset of  $S$ . Here  $\delta(s) \in P_c$  denotes Dirac measure at  $s$ . Clearly (B) implies (A), and if  $S$  is a group then the two conditions are equivalent [3]. Furthermore, if  $S$  is compact then (A) and (B) are each equivalent to the existence of a right zero in the semigroup  $P(S)$ . It is not known to the author if properties (A) and (B) are equivalent in general.

The space  $LUC(S)$  of *left uniformly continuous functions on  $S$*  is defined by  $LUC(S) = \{f \in C(S) : s \rightarrow L(s)f \text{ is norm continuous}\}$ , where  $L(s)$  denotes the left translation operator on  $C(S)$ .  $LUC(S)$  is easily seen to be a closed translation invariant subalgebra of  $C(S)$  which contains the constant function 1 and which coincides with  $C(S)$  if  $S$  is compact or discrete. (See [1, 9] for other properties of  $LUC(S)$ .) A *mean* on  $LUC(S)$  is a positive linear functional  $\mu$  on  $LUC(S)$  such that  $\mu(1) = 1$ . If for each  $f \in LUC(S)$  and  $s \in S$ ,  $\mu(L(s)f) = \mu(f)$ , then  $\mu$  is a *left invariant mean* (LIM) and  $LUC(S)$  is said to be *left amenable*. If  $S$  is a group then  $LUC(S)$  is the space  $UC_r(S)$  defined in [5]. In this case  $S$  is topologically left amenable if and only if  $LUC(S)$  is left amenable [5; Theorem 2.3.2]. The same is true if  $S$  is compact.

**3. Main results.** Throughout this section  $S$  and  $T$  denote locally compact topological semigroups with identities and  $X = S \oplus T$  a semi-direct product of  $S$  and  $T$ , as defined in section 1.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *If  $S$  and  $T$  have property (B) and  $T$  is a group, then  $X$  has property (B).*

**Proof.** Let  $(\lambda_i) \subset P_c(S)$  and  $(\nu_j) \subset P_c(T)$  be nets such that  $\|\delta(s) * \lambda_i - \lambda_i\|$  and  $\|\delta(t) * \nu_j - \nu_j\|$  tend to zero uniformly on compact subsets of  $S$  and  $T$  respectively. For each  $i$  and  $j$  define  $\mu_{ij} \in P(X)$  by

$$\mu_{ij}(f) = \iint_{ST} f((1, t)(s, 1)) d\nu_j(t) d\lambda_i(s), (f \in C_0(X)).$$

Let  $R(x)$  and  $L(x)$  denote, respectively, the right and left translation operators (by  $x \in X$ ) on  $C(X)$ , and define  $W : C(X) \rightarrow C(T)$  by  $(Wf)(t) = f(1, t)$ . For any  $s' \in S$ ,  $t' \in T$ , and  $f \in C_0(X)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(s', t') * \mu_{ij}(f) &= \iint_{ST} f((s', t')(1, t)(s, 1)) d\nu_j(t) d\lambda_i(s) \\ &= \iint_{ST} [WL(s', 1)R(s, 1)f](t', t) d\nu_j(t) d\lambda_i(s) \\ &= \iint_{ST} [WL(s', 1)R(s, 1)f](t) d\nu_j(t) d\lambda_i(s) + \alpha(i, j, s', t', f) \\ &= \iint_{TS} f(s'\tau(t, s), t) d\lambda_i(s) d\nu_j(t) + \alpha(i, j, s', t', f) \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $|\alpha(i, j, s', t', f)| \leq \|\delta(t') * \nu_j - \nu_j\| \|f\|$ . Let  $K_j$  denote the support of  $\nu_j$ , and for each  $t \in T$  define  $g_t \in C(S)$  by  $g_t(s) = f(\tau(t, s), t)$ . The double integral in (1)

may then be written

$$\int\int_{TS} g_t(\tau(t^{-1}, s')s) d\lambda_i(s) dv_j(t) = \int_{K_i} [\delta(\tau(t^{-1}, s')) * \lambda_i](g_t) dv_j(t) \\ = \int_{K_i} [\delta(\tau(t^{-1}, s')) * \lambda_i - \lambda_i](g_t) dv_j(t) + \int_T \lambda_i(g_t) dv_j(t). \quad (2)$$

Note that the second integral on the right in (2) is  $\mu_{ij}(f)$ .

Now let  $C$  and  $K$  be compact subsets of  $S$  and  $T$  respectively. Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , choose  $j$  such that  $\|\delta(t') * \nu_j - \nu_j\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $t' \in K$ . Since  $\tau(K_j^{-1}xC)$  is compact in  $S$  we may choose  $i$  such that  $\|\delta(\tau(t^{-1}, s')) * \lambda_i - \lambda_i\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in K_j$  and  $s' \in C$ . It follows from (1) and (2) that  $|\delta(s', t') * \mu_{ij}(f) - \mu_{ij}(f)| \leq 2\varepsilon\|f\|$  for all  $s' \in C, t' \in K$  and  $f \in C_0(X)$ .

Let the linear space  $E = M(X)^{S \times T}$  have the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of  $S \times T$ , where  $M(X)$  carries the norm topology. For each  $i$  and  $j$  define  $V_{ij} \in E$  by  $V_{ij}(s, t) = \delta(s, t) * \mu_{ij} - \mu_{ij}$ . The above argument shows that 0 is in the closure in  $E$  of the set  $A = \{V_{ij} : i, j\}$ , hence there exists a net  $(V_n)$  in  $A$  which converges to 0. The corresponding net of measures  $(\mu_n)$  then has the required properties.

REMARKS. Theorem 3.1 holds for the direct product case even if  $T$  is not a group, as an examination of the proof (which simplifies) reveals. In general, however, the theorem fails if  $T$  is not a group. As an example, let  $S$  and  $G$  be compact topological groups and let  $T = G \cup \{0\}$ , where 0 is an isolated zero of  $T$ . Define  $\tau : T \times S \rightarrow S$  as follows:  $\tau(G, s) = \{s\}, \tau(0, s) = 1$ . Then if  $S$  is non-trivial,  $S \oplus T$  has at least two left zeros and therefore cannot be topologically left amenable.

It is not known to the author if the property (A)-analog of Theorem 3.1 holds (except, of course, in the trivial cases  $S$  compact or  $S$  a group). However, one can show the following: If  $S$  and  $T$  have property (A) and  $T$  is a group, then there exists a net  $(\mu_n) \subset P_c(X)$  such that  $\|(\lambda \otimes \nu) * \mu_n - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for every  $\lambda \in P(S)$  and  $\nu \in P(T)$  (where  $\lambda \otimes \nu$  denotes the product measure).

The converse of Theorem 3.1 holds even if  $T$  is not a group. In fact, we have the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let  $X$  satisfy condition (A) (respectively, (B)). Then  $S$  and  $T$  satisfy condition (A) (respectively, (B)).*

**Proof.** We prove only that if  $X$  satisfies (A) then so does  $S$ . Let  $(\mu_n)$  be a net in  $P_c(X)$  such that  $\|\mu * \mu_n - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\mu \in P(X)$ . Define a net  $\lambda_n$  in  $P_c(S)$  by  $\lambda_n(g) = \int_{S \times T} g(s) d\mu_n(s, t), (g \in C_0(S))$ , or, equivalently,  $\lambda_n(A) = \mu_n(A \times T)$  ( $A$  a Borel subset of  $S$ ). Given  $\lambda \in P_c(S)$  define  $\mu \in P_c(X)$  by  $\mu(f) = \int_S f(s, 1) d\lambda(s), (f \in C_0(X))$ . Let  $g \in C_0(S)$  and define  $f \in C(X)$  by  $f(s, t) = g(s)$ .

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S g(ss') d\lambda(s) &= \int_S [R(s', 1)f](s, 1) d\lambda(s) \\ &= \int_{S \times T} [R(s', 1)f](s, t) d\mu(s, t) \\ &= \int_{S \times T} f(s\tau(t, s'), t) d\mu(s, t), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda * \lambda_n)(g) &= \int_S \int_{S \times T} f(s\tau(t, s'), t) d\mu(s, t) d\lambda_n(s') \\ &= \int_{S \times T} \int_{S \times T} f(s\tau(t, s'), t) d\mu(s, t) d\mu_n(s', t') \\ &= \int_{S \times T} \int_{S \times T} f(s\tau(t, s'), tt') d\mu(s, t) d\mu_n(s', t') \\ &= \int_{S \times T} f d\mu * \mu_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_n(g) = \int_{S \times T} f d\mu_n$  it follows that  $\|\lambda * \lambda_n - \lambda_n\| \leq \|\mu * \mu_n - \mu_n\|$  and hence  $\|\lambda * \lambda_n - \lambda_n\| \rightarrow 0$ . The proofs of the remaining statements are similar.

If  $S$  and  $T$  are both groups then Theorem 3.1 follows from the remark at the end of section 2, and the next result, which is of some independent interest.

**THEOREM 3.3.** (a) *If  $LUC(S)$  and  $LUC(T)$  are left amenable and if the set  $D = \{t \in T : \tau(t, S) \text{ is dense in } S\}$  is dense in  $T$  (which is trivially the case if  $T$  is a group), then  $LUC(X)$  is left amenable.* (b) *If  $LUC(X)$  is left amenable and  $S$  is compact then  $LUC(S)$  and  $LUC(T)$  are left amenable.*

**Proof.** Let  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  be LIM's on  $LUC(S)$  and  $LUC(T)$ , respectively, and define bounded linear operators  $V : LUC(X) \rightarrow LUC(S)$  and  $W : LUC(X) \rightarrow LUC(T)$  by  $(Vf)(s) = f(s, 1)$ ;  $(Wf)(t) = \lambda(VL(1, t)f, (s \in S, t \in T, f \in LUC(X))$ . We shall show that the mean  $\mu = \nu \circ W$  is a LIM on  $LUC(X)$ .

Note first the following identities:  $VL(s, 1) = L(s)V$ ,  $WL(1, t) = L(t)W$ , and  $WL(s, 1) = W$ . The first two are easily established. To verify the third, let  $t \in D$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $f \in LUC(X)$ . Then  $\lambda(VL(\tau(t, s), t)f) = \lambda(VL(s, 1)L(1, t)f) = \lambda(L(s)VL(1, t)f) = \lambda(VL(1, t)f)$ . From the definition of  $D$  and the fact that  $D$  is dense in  $T$  it follows that  $\lambda(VL(s, t)f) = \lambda(VL(1, t)f)$  for all  $s \in S, t \in T$ , and this establishes the identity.

The proof that  $\mu$  is a LIM follows easily from the above identities: For each  $s \in S, t \in T$  and  $f \in LUC(X)$  we have  $\mu(L(s, t)f) = \nu(WL(1, t)L(s, 1)f) =$

$$\nu(L(t)WL(s, 1)f) = \nu(Wf) = \mu(f).$$

For the converse, assume  $S$  is compact and  $\mu$  is a LIM on  $LUC(X)$ . Given  $f \in LUC(S) = C(S)$  define  $f' \in C(X)$  by  $f'(s, t) = f(s)$ . The compactness of  $S$  and the joint continuity of  $\tau$  imply that  $f' \in LUC(X)$ . Define  $\lambda(f) = \mu(f')$ . Then the identity  $(L(s)f)' = L(s, 1)f'$  implies that  $\lambda$  is a lim. A similar argument shows that  $LUC(T)$  is left amenable.

REMARKS. Theorem 3.3(a) is valid if  $S$  and  $T$  are merely topological semi-groups, not necessarily locally compact, and  $LUC(X)$  is replaced by any translation invariant left introverted (see [2, p. 540] for definition) subspace  $F$  of  $C(X)$  containing the constant functions, provided  $LUC(S)$  and  $LUC(T)$  are replaced by the spaces  $\{f(\cdot, 1) : f \in F\}$  and  $\{f(1, \cdot) : f \in F\}$ , respectively.

If  $S$  and  $T$  are discrete then part (a) of Theorem 3.3 reduces to a result of M. Klawe [7, Prop. 3.4], whose proof, quite different from ours, is based on Day's fixed point theorem.

The example given after Theorem 3.1 shows that Theorem 3.3(a) fails in general if  $D$  is not dense in  $T$ . Note that if  $T$  is a group then  $D = T$ .

**4. Wreath Products.** The wreath product construction may be used to produce non-trivial examples of locally compact topological semigroups which are neither compact nor groups but for which conditions (A) and (B) of section 2 are equivalent.

Let  $T$  be a discrete group which acts on the right on the phase space  $Y$ . For example, we could take  $Y = T$ , and right multiplication as the action. Let  $U$  be a compact topological semigroup with identity and  $S$  the product space  $U^Y$  with the product topology and coordinate multiplication. Define  $\tau : T \times S \rightarrow S$  by  $\tau(t, s)(y) = s(yt)$ , where  $yt$  denotes the action of  $t$  on  $y$ . The semidirect product  $S \rtimes T$  is called the (*abstract*) wreath product of  $U$  and  $T$  and is denoted by  $UwrT$ . (A survey of the algebraic theory of wreath products of semigroups and their applications may be found in [12]. See also [4, 8], where topological questions are considered.) Note that if  $U$  is not a group and  $T$  is not finite, then  $UwrT$  is neither compact nor a group.

THEOREM 4.1. *The following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $UwrT$  has property (A).
- (b)  $UwrT$  has property (B).
- (c)  $LUC(UwrT)$  is left amenable.
- (d)  $LUC(U)$  ( $= C(U)$ ) and  $LUC(T)$  ( $= C(T)$ ) are left amenable.

**Proof.** Since  $S$  is compact and  $T$  is a group, properties (A) and (B) are equivalent for each of these semigroups (see section 2). It follows from Theorem 3.1 and Proposition 3.2 that (a) and (b) are equivalent. A similar application of Theorem 3.3 shows that (a) and (c) are equivalent.

To show that (c) and (d) are equivalent it suffices, by Theorem 3.3, to prove that  $C(U)$  is left amenable if and only if  $C(S)$  is left amenable. Since  $U$  is a continuous homomorphic image of  $S$ , the sufficiency follows from a result of Day [2, p. 540]. An interesting proof of the necessity uses the structure theory of compact topological semigroups, according to which each such semigroup  $R$  has minimal right ideals and a smallest two-ideal  $K(R)$ , and the minimal right ideals are precisely of the form  $eR$ , where  $e^2 = e \in K(R)$  [10]. A result of Rosen relates this structure theory to the existence of a LIM on  $C(R)$ :  $C(R)$  has a LIM if and only if  $R$  has exactly one minimal right ideal [11]. Applying this to the present setting it thus suffices to show that if  $U$  has exactly one minimal right ideal then the same is true for  $S$ . For each  $y \in Y$  let  $P_y : S \rightarrow U$  denote the projection mapping  $s \rightarrow s(y)$ . Since  $P_y(S) = U$  it follows easily that  $P_y(K(S)) = K(U)$ . In particular, if  $e^2 = e \in K(S)$  then  $e(y)$  is an idempotent in  $K(U)$  and hence  $e(y)U$  is a minimal right ideal of  $U$ . Therefore, for any pair of idempotents  $e_1, e_2 \in K(S)$ ,  $e_1(y)U = e_2(y)U$  for all  $y \in Y$ , and so  $e_1S = e_2S$ .

REMARK. Using similar techniques one can show that properties (A) and (B) are equivalent to a third amenability property: There exists a net  $(\mu_n)$  in  $P_c(UwrT)$  such that  $\|\delta(x) * \mu_n - \mu_n\| \rightarrow 0$  for each  $x \in UwrT$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. F. Berglund, H. D. Junghenn, and P. Milnes, *Compact Right Topological Semigroups and Generalizations of Almost Periodicity*, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, no. 663, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
2. M. M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*, *Illinois Jour. of Math.*, **1** (1957), 509–544.
3. —, *Amenability and equicontinuity*, *Studia Mathematica*, XXXI (1968), 481–494.
4. J. R. Durbin, *On locally compact wreath products*, *Pacific Jour. of Math.*, **57** (1975), 99–107.
5. F. P. Greenleaf, *Invariant Means on Topological Groups*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1969.
6. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1963.
7. M. Klawe, *Semidirect product of semigroups in relation to amenability, cancellation properties, and strong Følner conditions*, *Pacific Jour. of Math.*, **73** (1977), 91–106.
8. A. Lakshmi, *Topological wreath products*, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **5** (1965), 396–400.
9. I. Namioka, *On certain actions of semigroups on L-spaces*, *Studia Mathematica*, XXIX (1967), 63–77.
10. K. Namakura, *On bicomact semigroups*, *Math. J. of Okayama University*, **1** (1952), 99–108.
11. W. G. Rosen, *On invariant means over compact semigroups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **7** (1956), 1076–1082.
12. C. Wells, *Some applications of the wreath product construction*, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **83** (1976), 317–338.
13. J. C. S. Wong, *A characterization of topological left thick subsets in locally compact left amenable semigroups*, *Pacific Jour. of Math.* **62** (1976), 295–303.

THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20052  
U.S.A.