# Business student attitudes toward corporate social responsibility: a Vietnamese study

Hauthikim Do[1]* and Chee Chuong Sum[2]

[1]Becamex Business School, Eastern International University, Nam Ky Khoi Nghia Street, Hoa Phu Ward, Thu Dau Mot City, Binh Duong Province, Vietnam and [2]Singapore Institute of Technology, 10 Dover Drive, Singapore 138683, Singapore
*Corresponding author. E-mail: hau.do@eiu.edu.vn

### Abstract

Globally, organizations are increasingly embracing corporate social responsibility (CSR) to strengthen competitive advantage. Although CSR is recognized to be context-sensitive, the literature is still lacking studies that examine CSR in different contexts, particularly non-western ones. Our study adds to a deeper understanding of CSR in Vietnam by identifying the predictors of CSR attitudes of business students. Vietnam has rich cultural, social, and economic characteristics that offer new theoretical perspectives and insights on the contextual nature of CSR. Our findings showed that CSR attitudes related positively to idealism and student seniority, and negatively to materialism and male students. A positive CSR–relativism relationship was uncovered. Spirituality related positively to the importance of CSR to business sustainability and negatively to CSR's importance to short-term competitiveness. The findings have theoretical and practical implications on the understanding and practice of CSR that would benefit CSR researchers, business organizations, and education institutions.

**Key words:** Business student attitudes; corporate social responsibility; CSR; ethics and social responsibility; Vietnam

## Introduction

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is gaining in importance as an expression of an organization's commitment to ethical and socially responsible behavior (Du, Bhattacharya, & Sen, 2007; Teixeira, Ferreira, Correia, & Lima, 2018). For businesses, CSR has been shown to be a potential source of competitive advantage (Porter & Kramer, 2006; Smirnova, 2012). Multinational organizations have incorporated CSR in developed as well as developing economies. For instance, Vietnam, one of the fastest growing economies in Asia and Asia Pacific region (Dang, 2019), has experienced a remarkable influx of foreign organizations implementing CSR in their local business units (e.g., Intel Products, Unilever, HSBC, Honda, Toyota). CSR could bring about higher firm value and profitability (Harjoto & Laksmana, 2018; López-Pérez, Melero, & Javier Sese, 2017) and impact customer loyalty and buying behavior (Cho & Lee, 2019; Du, Bhattacharya, & Sen, 2007), firm reputation (Schwaiger, 2004), and community acceptance (Cho & Lee, 2019).

The conceptions of CSR are rooted in stakeholder theory which spells that a firm as a system of stakeholders, including community and society, has moral obligations to benefit all stakeholders (Carroll, 1991; Clarkson, 1995; Freeman, 1984). Stakeholder theory also provides a framework for responsible and ethical behavior of businesses toward society (Clarkson, 1995; Mele, 2009). From a practical perspective, the theory serves as a normative reference for managers to engage in socially responsible activities and moral decision-making (Clarkson Center for Business Ethics, 1999).

Studies have shown that the attitudes of management have a significant impact on CSR outcomes. Supervisor commitment was found to predict CSR engagement (Muller & Kolk, 2010; Weaver, Treviño, & Cochran, 1999a, 1999b) while visionary leadership was associated with employee efforts in enhancing firm performance (Sully de Luque, Washburn, Waldman, & House, 2008). Supervisors' commitment to ethics was a moderator in the CSR–outcome relationship (Muller & Kolk, 2010). Kerse (2019) and De Hoogh and Den Hartog (2008) found positive correlations between corporate effectiveness and ethical leadership. Metcalf and Benn (2013) established that leadership is crucial in fitting the organization into the wider complex environment to bring about changes and sustainability.

Notwithstanding the importance of managers, understanding business student attitudes towards CSR is vital because business students are tomorrow's business leaders and managers (Albaum & Peterson, 2006; Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, & McKinnon, 2017). Attitudes are important because according to Ajzen's (1991) Theory of Planned Behavior, intent is a key predictor of future behavior and attitude is a major influencer of related behavior (Dawkins, Jamali, Karam, Lin, & Zhao, 2014; Pietiläinen, 2015). An organization's ethical posture could thus be foretold by business student attitudes toward CSR (Albaum & Peterson, 2006; Ham, Pap, & Stimac, 2019). A better understanding of business students' CSR attitudes is necessary to allow learning and socialization actions to be taken in advance to encourage positive socially responsible behavior through curriculum design and to improve student placement through deeper awareness of the expectations of CSR-centric organizations (Ham, Pap, & Stimac, 2019; Kolodinsky, Madden, Zisk, & Henkel, 2010).

In a comprehensive review of CSR, Aguinis and Glavas (2012: 953) highlighted a knowledge gap on the underlying mechanisms that link CSR and outcomes, particularly for individuals who 'actually strategize, make decisions, and execute CSR initiatives.' There is, therefore, a need for 'a better understanding of the predictors that influence individuals to carry out CSR activities.' Most studies on business students have drawn from Forsyth's (1980) personal moral philosophy model and used ethical ideologies as predictors of CSR attitudes. Results, however, were mixed. For instance, Kolodinsky et al. (2010) found positive CSR–idealism and negative CSR–relativism relationships, whereas Etheredge (1999) reported positive associations between CSR and both idealism and relativism. Studies have also used different predictor variables. Ng and Burke (2010) examined variables such as individualism, collectivism, personal values, and leadership styles while Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, and Rodriguez-Pomeda (2015) included job experience, year of study, and nationality.

Though CSR is increasingly practiced globally, the proliferation is not matched by the understanding of business students' CSR attitudes across different contexts. The extant CSR literature on business students as subjects is not only limited (Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, & Rodriguez-Pomeda, 2015) but also heavily based on western contexts such as the United States (e.g., Kolodinsky et al., 2010; Ng & Burke, 2010; Sleeper, Schneider, Weber, & Weber, 2006) and Europe (e.g., Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, & Rodriguez-Pomeda, 2015; Ham, Pap, & Stimac, 2019). Calls have been made to conduct more studies across different contexts to deepen our understanding of CSR and the extent of transferability of current models and results (Davidson, 2016; Kolodinsky et al., 2010; Matten & Moon, 2008).

From a values perspective, culture can affect perceptions on ethics and social responsibility (Etheredge, 1999; Nguyen, Bensemann, & Kelly, 2018). Studying Chinese employees, Zhao, Lee, and Moon (2019) established that collectivism, a characteristic of country culture, moderated employees' CSR perception on organizational identification. Wei, Egri, and Yeh-Yun Lin (2014) found differences in employee commitment to CSR practices between Taiwan and Canada. Such studies suggest that individuals with cultural backgrounds influenced by collectivist or Confucian values might perceive CSR differently from their western counterparts. Understanding country and cultural contexts is thus necessary to derive better outcomes as CSR is increasingly rolled out in developing countries with different social and cultural norms from the west.

Studies that attempted to address the knowledge gaps on individual-level impacts on CSR, non-western contexts, cultural sensitivity, and attitudes of business students as future managers have tended to address the gaps singly or partially. Studies examining individual-level impacts such as Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, and McKinnon (2017), Zhao, Lee, and Moon (2019), Etheredge (1999), Shafer, Fukukawa, and Lee (2007), and Axinn, Blair, Heorhiadi, and Thach (2004) have included cultural and social aspects in non-western settings but did not use business students as study subjects of future managers. While Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, and Rodriguez-Pomeda (2015), Ham, Pap, and Stimac (2019), Kolodinsky et al. (2010), and Ng and Burke (2010) sampled business students, they did so in western settings.

Our paper addresses the intersection of the knowledge gaps and represents one of the first studies to rigorously examine the CSR attitudes of business students in the Vietnam context. By identifying the predictors affecting the CSR attitudes of Vietnamese business students, the paper contributes to the literature by adding to the understanding of how business students perceive CSR in a non-western setting and closing the knowledge gap in CSR research on the micro, individual level of analysis. The paper also enriches the literature by comparing the results between Vietnam and other countries, both western and non-western. The context of Vietnam also contributes as a valuable research setting on both economic and cultural fronts. As Vietnam integrates with the international trade community (e.g., by joining World Trade organization in 2006, Trans-Pacific Partnership Agreement in 2016, Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership in 2020), more and more Vietnamese organizations are emphasizing CSR to foster greater business sustainability amid a fiercer competitive environment (Nguyen, 2013). Vietnamese organizations, embracing export-oriented industrialization, are also facing an urgency to manage CSR effectively as the thriving economy and increased exports have led foreign customers and investors to exert greater scrutiny on CSR practices in Vietnam (Kabir & Thai, 2017; Newman, Rand, Tarp, & Trifkovic, 2018). Amid the COVID-19 pandemic, Vietnam represents one of the few countries in the world that not only avoided an economic recession but also registered a positive growth (of 1.8%) in GDP in 2020 (Nguyen, 2020).

Vietnam culture is a blend of socialist, collectivist, and Confucian elements (Nguyen, Bensemann, & Kelly, 2018). Although studies have addressed CSR in similar economic and cultural contexts (e.g., Lin & Liu, 2019; Zhao, Lee, & Moon, 2019), the literature does not reveal any study that examined these contexts on business student attitudes. Our findings will enrich the literature and provide insights for economies with similar cultural contexts and economic aspirations. Our findings also serve to provide valuable information on future CSR managers that Vietnamese organizations and potential investors could use to enhance hiring strategies and assimilation programs to bring about more desirable CSR outcomes. Education institutions can also use the findings as a basis to improve curriculum, enrich student engagement, and sharpen career counseling.

## Literature review

### *Background and theoretical foundations*

CSR portrays how an organization views their responsibilities toward social, environmental, and economic impacts of its practices beyond financial gains. CSR can be expressed in several ways. Waddock (2004) defined CSR as a collection of organizational responsibilities related to the voluntary relationships with multiple stakeholders of the organization. Stakeholders, according to Freeman (1984: 49), are 'groups who can affect or are affected by the achievement of an organization's purpose,' and include government, shareholders, employees, suppliers, customers, environmental activists, and other related parties. Kolodinsky et al. (2010: 169) defined CSR as 'an organization's ethical duty, beyond its legal regulations and fiduciary obligation to shareholders, to sensitively consider and effectively manage its impact on its internal and external relationships and environments.' Sheehy (2015: 643) stated CSR as a form of organizational self-regulation of

harms and public good. For the purpose of this study, Kolodinsky et al.'s (2010) definition was employed.

The core ideas of CSR are drawn from theories such as Corporate Social Performance, Shareholder Value Theory, and Stakeholder Theory, of which Stakeholder Theory is the most referenced theory in CSR studies (Glavas & Kelley, 2014; Lee, Park, & Lee, 2013; Mele, 2009). In Stakeholder Theory, the firm is a system of stakeholders operating within the larger societal system providing legal and market infrastructure for the firm whose purpose is to create wealth or value for all of its stakeholders (Clarkson, 1995). The theory also serves as a normative standard for organizations and managers on ethical and socially responsible behavior. Management is a critical element of CSR because of the responsibility for driving CSR programs to ensure the well-being of both internal stakeholders (employees, suppliers, customers) and external stakeholders (community, government, society) and enhance firm value (He, Chen, & Chiang, 2015; Kerse, 2019).

Managerial and leadership attitudes have a significant bearing on ethical and socially responsible behavior and CSR outcomes of an organization (Metcalf & Benn, 2013; Muller & Kolk, 2010). The Theory of Planned Behavior asserts that attitudes form a major component shaping intent that foretells future behavior (Ajzen, 1991). From a theoretical perspective, there is a causal link between the ethical judgment of an individual and the individual's actual ethical behavior (Barnett, Bass, & Brown, 1994; Jones, 1991; Trevino, 1986). Ethical ideology, also known as moral philosophy, has been studied as an important factor of ethical judgment on ethical and moral reasoning (Forsyth, 1980). Ideology, in general, relates to ideas, beliefs, perspectives, and worldviews. A body of studies including Forsyth (1981, 1985, 1992), Forsyth, Nye, and Kelley (1988), and Barnett, Bass, and Brown (1994) have suggested that ethical ideology affects ethical judgment and influences an individual's attitude toward ethics.

Notwithstanding the variety of ethical theories (e.g., teleology, deontology, utilitarianism, rights, virtues), Schlenker and Forsyth (1977) and Forsyth (1980) suggested a two-dimensional theoretical framework to describe the ethical ideologies that could explain ethical judgment. The first dimension describes the extent to which an individual rejects universal, moral principles in preference for a relativistic posture while the second represents the extent to which an individual emphasizes idealism in moral attitudes and action to produce positive consequences. Based on the two dimensions of idealism and relativity, four ethical perspectives were conceptualized: situationism, absolutism, subjectivism, and exceptionism. Forsyth (1980) developed an instrument to measure the ethical ideologies of idealism and relativism. The instrument has been used in many studies relating to ethical ideologies (sometimes termed personal moral philosophies) and ethical attitudes (e.g., Chen, Mujtaba, & Heron, 2011; Etheredge, 1999; Kolodinsky et al., 2010; Park, 2005; Singhapakdi, Vitell, Rallapalli, & Kraft, 1996).

## CSR in Vietnam

Anecdotally, CSR was introduced in Vietnam in the 1990s by international companies sourcing in Vietnam. The impetus for the emphasis and spread of CSR can be attributed to two factors (Hamm, 2012; Pham, 2011). The first is the 'Doi Moi' economic reforms in 1986 aimed at bringing Vietnam from a centrally planned economy to a market economy. An open-door policy to allow international companies to set up operations in Vietnam resulted in many companies bringing in their CSR values and requirements. The second factor is Vietnam joining the WTO in 2006 which led the government to formulate new laws and regulations to meet international practices and standards. Laws and regulations on matters such as environmental protection, labor relations, and sustainability development helped to create awareness on CSR and contributed to its proliferation.

After joining WTO, Vietnam witnessed a growth in CSR implementations as Vietnamese organizations engaged with the international trade community that was increasingly focal on corporate accountability and sustainability (Nguyen, 2013). Expectations grew among markets and

customers for trading organizations to embrace ethical and socially responsible behavior toward the community and stakeholders. As Vietnam embarked on export-oriented industrialization, the need for Vietnamese organizations to incorporate CSR into their strategies and operations becomes even more crucial to win over foreign investors and customers (Kabir & Thai, 2017; Newman et al., 2018).

Recognizing CSR as a highly contextual and contingent concept, Nguyen, Bensemann, and Kelly (2018) developed a conceptual framework that included institutional contextual factors influencing the nature of CSR in Vietnam. They conceptualized three contextual factors, namely, tradition, governance, and modernity, that will interact with the external social context to determine the 'CSR thinking' and 'CSR doing' of individuals and organizations in countries like Vietnam that has a distinct social, political, economic, and cultural context. At the individual level, they highlighted the vital role played by individuals such as managers and owners in shaping and determining CSR initiatives for the organization, and hence the need to better understand the personal norms, beliefs, and cognitive attitudes of the individual in order to explain CSR in Vietnam. The lack of CSR studies addressing the contextual nature of CSR and individual level of analysis is also underscored in Aguinis and Glavas (2012) and Frynas and Yamahaki (2016).

The more prominent studies of CSR in Vietnam relate to the perception and interpretation of CSR. Pham (2011) investigated CSR perceptions of 32 industry managers and 97 customers. Managers were found to have a more positive perception of CSR compared to customers who were not clear about the purpose and effects of CSR. Le, Lai, and Truong (2014) employed Carroll's (1991) pyramid of CSR responsibilities to evaluate the understanding of CSR of managers. In-depth interviews with nine middle managers showed that managers believed that the community expects organizations to contribute to society, especially in alleviating environmental problems. Nguyen and Mai (2020) conducted semi-structured interviews with top executives of six firms to examine how factors such as legal requirements, financial targets, managerial mindset, and competitor actions affect CSR practices and competitive advantage. Data suggested that all factors were significant in influencing the selection of CSR practices. Properly selected CSR practices were deemed to have a positive impact on firm reputation and employee commitment.

### CSR attitudes of business students

As prospective managers, business students are studied for their views on ethics and social responsibility (Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, & McKinnon, 2017). Studies that assessed CSR attitudes of business students are concentrated on western contexts over a variety of CSR-related issues. For example, Kolodinsky et al. (2010) identified the predictors of CSR attitudes of United States (US) business students using two ethical ideology items (idealism and relativism), spirituality and materialism as independent variables. Ng and Burke (2010) examined effects such as social concern, collectivism, and leadership type on US student attitudes toward sustainability while Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, and Rodriguez-Pomeda (2015) investigated the impact of gender, work experience, and education level on the attitudes of Spanish undergraduate students toward CSR and shareholder value maximization. Teixeira et al. (2018) examined the CSR perceptions of Portuguese management and technology students using social-demographic characteristics (age, gender, education qualification, and professional experience). Comparative studies on CSR attitudes across country contexts have typically surveyed working MBA students or practicing managers as subjects. Examples include the comparison between the US and China (Shafer, Fukukawa, & Lee, 2007) and between Malaysia, Ukraine, and the US (Axinn et al., 2004). Collectively, these studies were either western centric or involved study subjects who were practicing managers.

Research on CSR attitudes of Vietnamese business students is very scarce. Existing studies have focused on the perceptions of Vietnamese students on specific aspects of CSR such as business ethics or sustainability rather than on broader CSR themes. Nguyen, Mujtaba, Tran, and Tran (2013) used gender, work experience, education, and ethics training to predict the level of ethical maturity in 260 Vietnamese business students and found that all of the predictors were

insignificant. Nguyen, Bui, Nguyen, and Nguyen (2015) found that transformational leadership, collectivism, social values, and money power positively influenced business student attitudes toward sustainability. Comparing US and Vietnamese business students, Nguyen and Pham (2015) found that Vietnamese students reported relatively lower attitude scores. Pham, Nguyen, and Favia (2015) investigated the effects of gender and experience of having taken a business ethical course on attitudes toward business ethics. Le (2017) investigated business and engineering students on their personal ethical values and how these values affect their perceptions on professional ethics.

## Research hypotheses

The study seeks to identify the predictors of CSR attitudes of business students. According to Forsyth (1992), individuals' moral judgments and actions on business practices are shaped by their personal moral philosophies. Accordingly, studies on student business attitudes have applied Forsyth's (1980, 1992) personal moral philosophy model and used ethical ideologies (i.e., idealism, relativism) as predictors (Etheredge, 1999; Kolodinsky et al., 2010; Park, 2005; Singhapakdi et al., 1996). Beyond idealism and relativism, several studies have employed different predictors for their respective influence on ethical and socially responsible attitudes. To enrich the understanding of business student attitudes, particularly in the Vietnam context, and be able to compare against the extant literature, other predictors were included in the study: spirituality and materialism (Kolodinsky et al., 2010), gender (Arlow, 1991; Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, & McKinnon, 2017; Sleeper et al., 2006), and student seniority (Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, & Rodriguez-Pomeda, 2015; Kumar, 1995). The inclusion of a wider set of predictors from different studies also allows for a synthesis of previous results in the literature.

### Idealism and relativism (personal moral philosophies)

Idealism and relativism are orthogonal dimensions of personal moral philosophies/ethical ideologies that influence judgments and actions on business. Idealism refers to the extent in which an individual exhibits behavior that avoids doing harm to others and produces beneficial outcomes for others (Forsyth, 1992). Embracing values of care, empathy, altruism, and consideration for others, an idealist is deemed to view CSR positively. A positive relationship between idealism and ethics and social responsibility was established in Kolodinsky et al. (2010), Etheredge (1999), Singhapakdi et al. (1996), and Park (2005).

Relativism involves the replacement of universal moral rules with subjective moral judgment based on specific contexts or circumstances in making decisions. A relativist tends to focus on the outcomes of a judgment and associated idiosyncratic evaluation of alternatives to justify the decision or action (Forsyth, 1992). Davis, Andersen, and Curtis (2001) did not find any relationship between relativism and empathy, emphatic concern and the ability to accept new perspectives. With the rejection of universal moral principles and an outcome-based orientation, a relativist is inclined to view financial performance as the key objective of organizations over ethics and social responsibility. With the exceptions of a few studies (e.g., Chen, Mujtaba, & Heron, 2011; Etheredge, 1999), relativism was found to have a negative relationship with perceived importance of ethics and social responsibility, and ethical judgment (Kolodinsky et al., 2010; Singhapakdi et al., 1996; Vitell, Ramos, & Nishihara, 2010).

We posit that idealistic students, with their ethical disposition of altruism, care, and empathy, will view CSR positively. Relativistic students, due to their focus on outcomes and skepticism of moral rules, would tend to exhibit a negative view of CSR. We propose the following hypotheses for idealism and relativism.

Hypothesis 1 (H1): For business students, idealism is positively related to CSR where students with higher levels of idealism hold positive attitudes on the importance of ethics and social responsibility in attaining organizational effectiveness.

Hypothesis 2 (H2): For business students, relativism is negatively related to CSR where students with higher levels of relativism hold negative attitudes on the importance of ethics and social responsibility in attaining organizational effectiveness.

## Materialism

Materialism refers to a set of values that focuses on wealth, worldly possessions, image, and status, very often at the expense of concern for the well-being of others (Belk, 1984: 291; Kasser, 2016). Studies have shown that materialists have reduced connectedness with others, adverse work motivation, and weaker pro-environmental orientation (Hurst et al., 2013; Kasser, 2016). An employee with materialistic values could affect fellow employees and the organization by degrading organizational citizenship behavior and inflating workplace deviance (Deckop, Giacalone, & Jurkiewicz, 2015). Materialists are less concerned about the environmental consequences caused by their consumption habits (Hurst et al., 2013; Tascioglu, Eastman, & Iyer, 2017). The materialist's traits of possessiveness, non-generosity, and envy (Belk, 1995) would tend to go against the values of a caring, ethical, and socially sensitive CSR supporter.

Studies have suggested that materialism exists and is growing in emerging economies (Burroughs & Rindfleisch, 2002; Sharma, 2011). Nguyen (2012) argued that materialism is particularly relevant in transitional economies like Vietnam due to improving consumer purchase power, availability of material goods, and exposure to global media and modern lifestyles. The impact of materialism on business student attitudes has not been examined in depth in the extant literature. A negative relationship between materialism and ethic and social responsibility was reported in Kolodinsky et al. (2010). We posit that materialistic students, who focus on material possessions, would hold negative attitudes toward CSR.

Hypothesis 3 (H3): For business students, materialism is negatively related to CSR, where students with higher levels of materialism will hold negative attitudes on the importance of ethics and social responsibility in attaining organizational effectiveness.

## Spirituality

According to Hodge (2001), spirituality relates to 'an individual's relationship with God (or perceived Transcendence)' whereas religion is characterized by a particular set of communal beliefs, practices, and rituals developed by people who shared similar 'existential experiences of transcendent reality.' Giacalone and Jurkiewicz (2003) define spirituality as 'the individual's drive to experience transcendence or a deeper meaning in life, through the way in which they live and work.' Hodge (2003) stated that spirituality is key in driving how an individual makes decisions, as well as influencing personal development and outlook of life.

Fry (2005) stated that heightened workplace spirituality facilitated by leadership can generate value congruence between employees and CSR. Cavanagh (1999) asserted that spirituality could enhance the understanding of business ethics due to their similarities in goals and inspiration. While most have argued for the 'intuitive' positive impact of spirituality on ethics and morality, studies have produced mixed results. Baumsteiger, Chenneville, and McGuire (2013) found that spirituality and religiosity were positively associated with idealism, while Ayoun, Rowe, and Yassine (2015) results were inconclusive on the constructive influence of spirituality on business ethics. Kolodinsky et al. (2010) tested the relationship between CSR and spirituality and did not uncover any significant relationship.

Though socialist Vietnam is officially an atheist country, many of its people are practicing the beliefs and teachings of Buddhism, Confucianism, and Taoism which encourage virtues of benevolence, humanity, and moral uprightness (Nguyen, Bensemann and Kelly 2018). As these virtues are compatible with CSR underpinnings, their spiritual influences could have an impact on the CSR attitudes of business students. We posit the following relationship.

Hypothesis 4 (H4):  For business students, spirituality is positively related to CSR, where students with higher levels of spirituality will hold positive attitudes on the importance of ethics and social responsibility in attaining organizational effectiveness.

### Gender and student seniority

Previous CSR-related research has investigated the effects of personal demographic characteristics. In particular, gender and student seniority (i.e., year of study) have been used as predictors to explain differences in CSR attitudes (Luthar & Karri, 2005). Studies have employed these characteristics as control variables (e.g., Kolodinsky et al., 2010) or independent variables in regression modeling (e.g., Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, and McKinnon, 2017; Sleeper et al., 2006). Female business students have been found to hold more positive attitudes toward CSR compared to their male counterparts (Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, & Rodriguez-Pomeda, 2015; Arlow, 1991; Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, & McKinnon, 2017; Sleeper et al., 2006).

In Vietnam's socio-cultural context, gender roles revolve around males as the predominant leaders and decision-makers within the family unit and society (UNDP Vietnam, 2015). Females are expected to take on mundane domestic duties and leave 'macro' matters to the males. With growing education opportunities and foreign influence on gender equality in business and society, it is unclear the extent to which gender would differ in their perceptions on CSR.

Kumar (1995) reported that students who took ethical-training courses were likely to support social responsibility. Undergraduate students were found to have a lower ethical orientation than graduate students. Ham, Pap, and Stimac (2019) found that students were more likely to exhibit CSR behaviors when they learn more about CSR while Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, and Rodriguez-Pomeda (2015) reported that upper-level students were more concerned about business ethics and environmental respectability.

Drawing from extant literature, we posit that female business student attitudes are more likely to support ethical and socially responsible behavior relative to male business students. Business students who are more senior (or advanced in their study program) and hence more advanced in their understanding and appreciation of ethical business conduct are more supportive of CSR.

Hypothesis 5 (H5):  Female business students are more likely to support the role of ethics and social responsibility in attaining organizational effectiveness, compared to their male counterparts.

Hypothesis 6 (H6):  Senior business students are more likely to support the role of ethics and social responsibility in attaining organizational effectiveness, compared to their junior counterparts.

The hypotheses are shown in the research model in Figure 1.

### Control variables

In the study, age was used as the control variable. Though Vietnamese students enter university education at different ages, their ethical and moral attitudes should be developed by the time and not be affected by age. Student seniority differences, if any, should be due to the levels of exposure to CSR knowledge, and not age. Control was to assess that variables were not confounded by age.

### Data collection and measurement

#### Data collection

The empirical data to test the hypotheses were collected through a survey targeting undergraduate business students from four public and private Vietnamese universities in southern Vietnam. These universities, located in Ho Chi Minh City and Binh Duong province, can be considered as representative of key centers of education advancement and economic growth in Vietnam.

**Fig. 1.** Research model.

One of the four universities is accredited by ACBSP (Accreditation Council for Business Schools and Programs), while another is a member university of Vietnam National University (VNU) which is regarded as one of Vietnam's top universities and ranked within the top 1,000 universities in Asia by Times Higher Education (5 Vietnamese schools listed in QS 2017 University Rankings for Asia, 2017). For the remaining two universities, one has been accredited for education quality by the Ministry of Education and Training and is earmarked by the provincial government to be the premier university in Binh Duong province. The last university is recognized for innovation and technology and is popular among students as a modern and progressive university.

Hard-copy questionnaires were administered to 500 students during their class time at the business schools of the target universities. The questionnaire took about 20 min to complete. The administrators of the survey ensured that all administered questionnaires were returned. After removing responses with missing data, a total of 425 responses were usable, giving a response rate of 85%. The final sample comprises 39.1% of male students and 60.9% of female students.

### CSR assessment

Instruments have been developed to assess ethics and social responsibility (Etheredge, 1999; Hunt, Kiecker, & Chonko, 1990; Singhapakdi et al. 1996). Singhapakdi et al. (1996) created an instrument to measure the perceived role of ethics and social responsibility (or PRESOR) that has been popularly adopted or adapted to assess an organization's commitment to and support of CSR (Ham, Pap, & Stimac, 2019; Kolodinsky et al., 2010; Moyeen & West, 2014; Teixeira et al., 2018).

Singhapakdi et al.'s (1996) instrument comprised a 13-item scale to evaluate perceptions on the importance of ethics and social responsibility in business decisions. The instrument was validated by data from 153 business students in US business schools and found to be reliable in measuring PRESOR. Etheredge (1999) attempted to refine the PRESOR instrument using data

from 233 part-time MBA students and managers in Hong Kong. A different factorial structure for the CSR construct was found from that in Singhapakdi et al. (1996) which was attributed to differences in the cultural settings of the studies.

### Measures

In order to conduct modeling, measurement scales were developed for CSR and its predictors. As in Kolodinsky et al. (2010), Saxena and Mishra (2017), and Ham, Pap, and Stimac (2019), CSR was measured using Singhapakdi et al.'s (1996) 13-item instrument on the perceived role of ethics and social responsibility (PRESOR) in achieving organizational effectiveness.

The idealism and relativism predictors were measured using Forsyth's (1980) scale. The 20-item scale has been used in Etheredge (1999), Singhapakdi et al. (1996), and Vitell, Ramos, and Nishihara (2010) to measure idealism and relativism. Spirituality was measured using the 6-item scale developed by Hodge (2003) while Richins and Dawson's (1992) 18-item scale was used to measure the materialism predictor. Gender and student seniority were surveyed as categorical variables.

Participants were asked to indicate their responses to the questions using a 5-point Likert scale, with '1' for 'Strongly Disagree' and '5' for 'Strongly Agree.' To ensure reliability of the questionnaire, the English version of the questionnaire was first translated into Vietnamese by a bilingual faculty member. The researcher who was the subject matter expert on CSR then checked the Vietnamese version for content and translation accuracy. The Vietnamese questionnaire was then tested on 10 business students, where the researcher went through the entire Vietnamese questionnaire with the students to ensure clarity of the questions and content. Modifications were made when there were confusion or ambiguity in the wording or meaning of the question. This approach is similar to that used in Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, and Rodriguez-Pomeda (2015), Palihawadana, Oghazi, and Liu (2016), and Zhao, Sum, Qi, Zhang, and Lee (2006).

### Analysis

#### Factor analysis

For our sample, exploratory factor analysis (EFA) was performed to group similar items into factors and to derive a mathematical model from which the factors were estimated (Field, 2013: 1949). Results of the exploratory, principle components factor analysis employing a varimax rotation for the CSR and predictor constructs are shown in Table 1. Table 1 presents the items of the factors, factor loadings, and Cronbach's α coefficients for the CSR and predictor constructs. In identifying the factors, loadings for items less than .4 or items with split loadings were removed (Churchill, 1979). Scale reliability was assessed using Cronbach's α reliability coefficient. Table 1 shows that the Cronbach's α coefficients range from .610 to .900. These values are above the minimum threshold level of .5 for acceptable reliability for newly developed constructs (Nunnally, 1978). Therefore, the items in Table 1 reliably estimate their respective constructs.

Table 2 presents the correlation matrix for the CSR and predictor factors used to construct the regression models. None of the correlation coefficients in Table 2 is greater than .9, suggesting that multi-collinearity does not appear to be present (Hair, Black, Babin, Anderson, & Tatham, 2006).

EFA yielded a two-factor solution for CSR (Table 1). The factors accounted for 45.2% of the variance. The first factor measures the importance of ethics and social responsibility. As suggested by the top items in the factor, a high score reflects the belief that CSR is important to the long-term survival and profitability of an organization. The factor is named CSR-Importance (CSR-IMP). The second factor, having items in Singhapakdi et al.'s (1996) 'social responsibility and profitability' and 'short-term gains' factors, is named CSR-Short-Term Competitiveness

**Table 1.** Factor analysis

| Construct | Factor | Statement | Loading |
|---|---|---|---|
| CSR | F1: CSR-Importance (CSR-IMP) | Business ethics and social responsibility are critical to the survival of the business enterprise. | .704 |
| | | The ethics and social responsibility of a firm is essential to its long-term profitability. | .678 |
| | (Cronbach = .767) | Being ethical and socially responsible is the most important thing a firm can do. | .649 |
| | | Overall effectiveness of a business can be determined to a great extent by the degree to which it is ethical and socially responsible. | .624 |
| | | Good ethics is often good business. | .610 |
| | | Business has a social responsibility beyond making a profit. | .608 |
| | | A firm's first priority should be employee morale. | .520 |
| | | Social responsibility and profitability can be compatible. | .518 |
| | F2: CSR-Short-term Competitiveness | To remain competitive in a global environment, business firms will have to disregard ethics and social responsibility. | .757 |
| | (CSR-STC) | If survival of business enterprise is at stake, then ethics and social responsibility must be ignored. | .753 |
| | (Cronbach = .792) | The most important concern for a firm is making a profit, even if it means bending or breaking the rules. | .749 |
| | | Efficiency is much more important to a firm than whether or not the firm is seen as ethical or socially responsible. | .710 |
| | | If the stockholders are unhappy, nothing else matters. | .699 |
| Idealism | F1: Idealism-Altruistic (I-ALTRU) | One should not perform an action, which might in any way threaten the dignity and welfare of another individual. | .747 |
| | | One should never psychologically or physically harm another person. | .737 |
| | (Cronbach = .671) | A person should make certain that their actions never intentionally harm another even to a small degree. | .701 |
| | | The dignity and welfare of people should be the most important concern in any society. | .610 |
| | F2: Idealism-Moral (I-MORAL) | It is never necessary to sacrifice the welfare of others. | .803 |
| | (Cronbach = .610) | Deciding whether or not to perform an act by balancing the positive consequences of the act against the negative consequences of the act is immoral. | .725 |

*(Continued)*

**Table 1.** (*Continued.*)

| Construct | Factor | Statement | Loading |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | Moral actions are those, which closely match ideals of the most 'perfect' action. | .698 |
| Relativism | F1: Relativism-Advancement (R-ADVAN) | Rigidly codifying an ethical position that prevents certain types of actions could stand in the way of better human relations and adjustment. | .709 |
| | | Whether a lie is judged to be moral or immoral depends upon the circumstances surrounding the action. | .695 |
| | (Cronbach = .698) | No rule concerning lying can be formulated; whether a lie is permissible or not permissible totally depends upon the situation. | .682 |
| | | Moral standards are simply personal rules which indicate how a person should behave and are not to be applied in making judgments of others. | .602 |
| | | Ethical considerations in interpersonal relations are so complex that individuals should be allowed to formulate their own individual codes. | .549 |
| | F2: Relativism-Situation (R-SITUA) | There are no ethical principles that are so important that they should be a part of any code of ethics. | .826 |
| | | What is ethical varies from one situation and society to another. | .711 |
| | (Cronbach = .666) | Moral standard should be seen as being individualistic: what one person considers to be moral maybe judged to be immoral by another person. | .704 |
| Materialism | F1: Materialism-Happiness and Success (M-HAPSU) | I'd be happier if I could afford to buy more things. | .780 |
| | | I admire people who own expensive homes, car and clothes. | .698 |
| | | It sometimes bothers me quite a bit that I can't afford to buy all the things I'd like. | .666 |
| | (Cronbach = .647) | My life would be better if I owned certain things I don't have. | .620 |
| | F2: Materialism-Centrality (M-CENTR) | I try to keep my life simple, as far as possessions are concerned. | .855 |
| | (Cronbach = .652) | I usually buy only the things I need. | .852 |
| Spirituality | (Cronbach = .900) | My spiritual beliefs absolutely affect every aspect of my life. | .841 |
| | | When I think of the things that help me to grow and mature as a person, my spirituality is absolutely the most important factor in my personal growth. | .833 |
| | | Spirituality is the master motive of my life, directing every other aspect of my life. | .830 |

(*Continued*)

**Table 1.** (*Continued.*)

| Construct | Factor | Statement | Loading |
|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|
| | | When I am faced with an important decision, my spirituality is always the overriding consideration. | .825 |
| | | Growing spiritually is more important than anything else in my life. | .816 |
| | | In terms of the questions I have about life, my spirituality absolutely answers all my questions. | .756 |

(CSR-STC) to relate to the role of ethics and social responsibility in achieving short-term gains in profitability, efficiency, and stockholder happiness. In terms of reliability, the Cronbach coefficients for the two factors are .767 and .792, respectively.

The two factors in idealism accounted for 53.6% of the variance. A business student who scores high on the first factor tends to be selfless and other-centric, always acting to benefit and avoiding doing harm to others. The factor is named Idealism-Altruistic (I-ALTRU). The Cronbach coefficient for the items in the factor is .671. The second factor describes the desire to act morally and a behavior that makes decisions aligned with high moral principles and ethical values. The factor, with a Cronbach coefficient of .610, is named Idealism-Moral (I-MORAL).

The two relativism factors accounted for 51.18% of the variance. The first factor depicts a belief that ethical evaluation should not be standardized or controlled so that human relationships and engagement can be improved. A business student with a high score would subscribe to the removal of universal fixed rules and interpretation of morality for the advancement of the human spirit. The factor has a Cronbach coefficient of .698 and is labelled Relativism-Advance (R-ADVAN). The second factor relates to the subjectivity of ethical principles and morality. A high score on this dimension suggests that the business student rejects moral absolutes and believes that ethical evaluation and practices should be situational and based on prevailing circumstances. The factor is termed Relativism-Situation (R-SITUA). The Cronbach coefficient is .666.

The materialism construct had two factors, contributing to 57.29% of the variance. The first factor is labelled Materialism-Happiness and Success (M-HAPSU) and describes the dependence of happiness and success on material possessions. A high score means that the business student believes that acquisition of material possessions will lead to greater happiness and success. The items in the factor produced a Cronbach coefficient of .647. The second factor, comprising reverse-coded items, indicates the general importance of material possessions and acquisitions, with a high score suggesting a business student who attaches centrality to acquiring possessions. The factor is called Materialism-Centrality (M-CENTR). The Cronbach coefficient of the factor items is .652.

The Spirituality construct yielded one factor with a Cronbach coefficient of .900. A business student with a high score on the factor would embrace spirituality beliefs as primarily fundamental in providing direction and motivation in all aspects of life, including the workplace.

### Regression models

An assessment was made on the impact of the control variable. Age was found to be insignificant in predicting any of the dependent CSR variables. Regression models showed that age was insignificant at the $p < .01$ level.

Multiple regression was conducted to analyze the relationships between CSR and the predictors. The regression models for the dependent variables CSR-IMP and CSR-STC are presented in

**Table 2.** Correlation matrix

| Factor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. CSR-Importance (CSR-IMP) | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2. CSR-Short-term Competitiveness (CSR-STC) | .000 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| 3. Relativism-Advancement (R-ADVAN) | .229** | .248** | | | | | | | |
| 4. Relativism- Situation (R-SITUA) | .161** | .380** | .000 | | | | | | |
| 5. Materialism-Happiness and Success (M-HAPSU) | .290** | .325** | .418** | .290** | | | | | |
| 6. Materialism-Centrality (M-CENTR) | .149** | .043 | .206** | .057 | .000 | | | | |
| 7. Spirituality | .133** | .411** | .261** | .254** | .454** | .168** | | | |
| 8. Idealism-Altruistic (I-ALTRU) | .527** | −.035 | .237** | .140** | .198** | .189** | .046 | | |
| 9. Idealism- Moral (I-MORAL) | .188** | .518** | .250** | .429** | .384** | .114* | .455** | .000 | 1 |

$*p < .05$, $**p < .01$.

**Table 3.** Regression models

| Model | β | T-value | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Dependent variable: CSR-Importance (CSR-IMP) | | | |
| $R^2$ = .296, Adj.$R^2$ = .291, $F$ = 59.1, $p$ = .000 | | | |
| Independent variables: predictors | | | |
|    Idealism-Altruistic (I-ALTRU) | .503 | 11.941 | .000 |
|    Relativism-Advancement (R-ADVAN) | .87 | 1.992 | .047 |
|    Spirituality | .87 | .040 | .040 |
| Dependent variable: CSR-Short-term Competitiveness (CSR-STC) | | | |
| $R^2$ = .242, Adj.$R^2$ = .235, $F$ = 33.571, $p$ = .000 | | | |
| Independent variables: predictors | | | |
|    Gender – male | −.279 | −3.079 | .002 |
|    Year of study (student seniority) | .321 | 3.231 | .001 |
|    Materialism-Happiness and Success (M-HAPSU) | −.156 | −3.247 | .001 |
|    Spirituality | −.302 | −6.264 | .000 |

Table 3. The regression results show that the models for both CSR-IMP and CSR-STC are significant at the $p < .01$ level. The independent variables (predictors) are also significant at the $p < .01$ or $p < .05$ levels.

Table 3 shows that the first factor CSR-IMP ($R^2$ = .296, Adj.$R^2$ = .291, $F$ = 59.1, $p < .000$) is significantly related to I-ALTRU, R-ADVAN, and spirituality. The β values of the three predictors are positive. The second factor, CSR-STC ($R^2$ = .242, Adj.$R^2$ = .235, $F$ = 33.571, $p < .000$), has a negative relationship with M-HAPSU and spirituality. The CSR-STC model also indicates that male students are less prone to support the view that CSR is important for short-term competitiveness. Student seniority was found to be positively associated with CSR-STC, suggesting that senior students tend to support the role of CSR as important in contributing to short-term competitiveness.

## Discussion

The study seeks to identify the predictors of business student attitudes toward CSR. Results revealed that underlying dimensions existed within the CSR and several predictor constructs, enabling more specific and precise analysis of the relationships between CSR and the predictors.

### Idealism and materialism

Table 3 indicates a positive relationship between CSR-IMP and I-ALTRU (β = .503, $p < .01$). This finding is similar to that in Singhapakdi et al. (1996), Etheredge (1999), and Kolodinsky et al. (2010). Students who are idealistic and hold an altruistic attitude toward society and others tend to view CSR as an important element in achieving organizational effectiveness. The Vietnam context did not affect the impact of idealism on CSR, suggesting that idealism could be a predictor across cultural contexts. The finding appears to be consistent with the Vietnamese culture of high collectivism as influenced by Confucianism which also advocates empathy, social harmony, and moral uprightness (Low, 2012; Nguyen, Bensemann, & Kelly, 2018; Shafer, Fukukawa, & Lee, 2007). As idealism was found in CSR-IMP only, we conclude that H1 is partially supported.

While no materialism predictors were significant in the CSR-IMP model, M-HAPSU ($\beta$ = $-.156$, $p < .05$) was found to have a negative effect on CSR-STC. A negative relationship between CSR attitude and materialism was established in Kolodinsky et al. (2010). Our finding appears to reinforce the idea that dependence of happiness and success on material possessions could influence a materialistic business student to regard CSR negatively as a short-lived effort that does not generate sufficient success and happiness.

Studying the impact of materialism on life satisfaction and standard of living of Vietnamese consumers, Nguyen (2013) found that the happiness and centrality dimensions of materialism related negatively to overall life satisfaction and standard of living. The study suggests that materialistic Vietnamese were generally dissatisfied with their lifestyle in their pursuit of possessions. The self-centered focus to accumulate possessions in order to be happy and successful could drive them to socially disengage with friends, family, and society. The negative effect on CSR could arise from a discontented, unhappy life of unfulfilled attainment, leading to a disregard for intrinsic motivations such as empathy and care for others. The impact of CSR-STC could be deemed as temporary and hence unattractive and incapable of satisfying their incessant desire to acquire possessions. As materialism is found to only affect CSR-STC, H3 is partially supported.

### Relativism

CSR-IMP was positively related to R-ADVAN ($\beta$ = .87, $p < .05$) (Table 3). A positive relationship between CSR and relativism was supported in studies such as Chen, Mujtaba, and Heron (2011) and Etheredge (1999). However, Kolodinsky et al. (2010) and Vitell, Ramos, and Nishihara (2010) found that CSR was negatively associated with relativism, while Singhapakdi et al. (1996) did not find any significant relationship between CSR and relativism.

A plausible explanation for the mixed results was provided in Etheredge (1999) and Forsyth (1981) who argued that a relativist, though skeptical on universal moral rules, could accept the importance of ethics and social responsibility but acknowledge situations, such as when survival or competitiveness is under threat, that require ethics and social responsibility to be accorded relatively lower priority. Hence, it is not inconsistent for a relativist to support CSR.

In the Vietnam context, the positive relationship between CSR and relativism could be explained from the cultural perspective. According to Hofstede, Hofstede, and Minkov (2010), culture can be expressed in six dimensions, including power distance, individualism, masculinity, uncertainty avoidance, long-term orientation, and indulgence. They found that Vietnam scored low on uncertainty avoidance, suggesting that the Vietnamese are more comfortable with ambiguity and less dependent on structured rules and rigid codes. They described people with low uncertainty avoidance scores as those that uphold a more open, casual mindset with reduced attention given to principles than practices. These people tend to rely on informal norms in dealing with most matters. This cultural dimension could account for why students that endorse the principle of ethical and social responsibility could act out the principle through pragmatic adaption to circumstances and flexible compliance to rules and codes.

In developing a conceptual framework for understanding CSR in Vietnam, Nguyen, Bensemann, and Kelly (2018) highlighted the huge influence of Confucian values on Vietnamese culture. They provided an insight into the positive impact of relativism by noting that the Vietnamese interpretation of one of the Confucian values applicable to CSR conceptualization and implementation is *Dieu Do* which denotes the virtue of moderating one's stance in the interest of social harmony. This virtue denounces excessively rigid and assertive relativistic behavior and could account for the positive CSR–relativism relationship[1].

---

[1]To gain more insights on relativism, three post-survey focus groups with 15 students were conducted. Majority of relativistic students believed relativism does not conflict with doing good. They believed flexibility and adaptation is needed to be effective to generate benefits. The focus groups appear to corroborate our explanations.

It is interesting to note that both Kolodinsky et al. (2010) and Vitell, Ramos, and Nishihara (2010) found negative CSR–relativism relationships using student subjects from western countries (i.e., US and Spain, respectively). Etheredge (1999), Chen, Mujtaba, and Heron (2011) and this study, which all established a positive CSR–relativism relationship, used student subjects from East Asian countries, namely, Hong Kong, Taiwan, and Vietnam respectively. The mixed results could be attributed to differences between the West and East Asia, in aspects such as cultural norms and country characteristics that are manifested in the student attitudes. East Asian culture emphasizes discipline, hard work, placing society before self, and an overarching reverence to authority. Taken together, these attributes could have influenced individuals to adopt a stance where societal benefits (through CSR) are deemed acceptable when delivered in a manner moderated by expectations of superiors or by peculiarities of the situation. Thus, navigating around situations and rules could be appropriate and even essential to pass benefits to society and other stakeholders. As our findings indicated CSR-IMP were positively related to the relativism predictor, H2 is partially rejected.

### Spirituality

The regression models in Table 3 reveal that spirituality is significant in both CSR-IMP and CSR-STC. Spirituality related positively to CSR-IMP ($\beta = .87$, $p < .05$) and negatively to CSR-STC ($\beta = -.302$, $p = .000$). The finding of a significant relationship between spirituality and CSR attitudes for business students differs from that in Kolodinsky et al. (2010). Our finding represents the first to report a significant effect of spirituality on CSR attitudes in the Vietnam context and provided further evidence to support the literature on the association between spirituality and ethical behavior and understanding (e.g., Baumsteiger, Chenneville, & McGuire, 2013; Cavanagh, 1999; Fry, 2005). As the direction for spirituality is different for CSR-IMP and CSR-STC, H4 is partially supported.

The positive impact of spirituality on CSR-IMP could be explained by the relationship between spirituality and religious beliefs in Vietnam. Examining the link between spirituality and CSR from a religious perspective, Vu (2018) outlined the applicability and relevance of high-level Buddhist principles to CSR practice. Buddhist principles such as *Middle Path*, *Cause-Condition-Effect*, *Noble Eightfold Path*, *Skilful Approach*, and *Non-Self* advocate ethical, moral, and socially responsible behavior. Buddhism also sought to inspire long-term, sustainable pursuits by underscoring the interconnectedness of the world and exhorting people to contemplate how present actions should be performed to prevent future harm (Vu, 2018; Vu & Tran, 2021). Buddhism, being one of the most popular religions, could have influenced the spiritual disposition of the Vietnamese to support the CSR-IMP.

The negative relationship between spirituality and CSR-STC could be explained by business students' spiritual alignment with the Buddhism principle on karma, defined as an action with an intent leading to future consequences. Even as Buddhism encourages long-lasting perspectives, it cautions against the karmic consequences of actions, such as practices that are short-lived that could harm the future. Applying Buddhist principles to CSR, Vu (2018) highlighted that 'short-term pursuits and the instrumentalization of CSR in discounting the future can result in karmic consequences.' According to Vu and Tran (2021), karma rationalizes the consequences of actions, encourages long-term over short-term survival pursuits, and endorses leadership and organizational approaches to sustainability. Though stated in general terms, these principles could have shaped the attitudes of spiritual business students as future business leaders to form a negative view of short-term business pursuits. In Thailand which is primarily a Buddhist country, Buddhist principles alluding to long-term sustainability have been developed into a formal framework of business and leadership practices where avoidance of short-term profitability is highlighted as a highly desirable leadership practice (Suriyankietkaew & Kantamara, 2019).

### Gender and student seniority

Table 3 indicates that male students compared to their female counterparts were found to hold negative views on CSR-STC ($\beta = -.279$, $p < .01$). Past studies (e.g., Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, & McKinnon, 2017; Lämsä, Vehkaperä, & Puttonen, 2008; Sleeper et al., 2006) had reported female students exhibiting more favorable attitudes toward CSR. The notion that females stress social relationships, responsibilities, and care when dealing with moral issues whereas men emphasize individual rights and justice (Gilligan, 1982; Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, & McKinnon, 2017; Walker, de Vries, & Trevethan, 1987) appears to be applicable to Vietnam which could explain the negative attitudes of Vietnamese male vis-à-vis female students toward social and ethical responsibilities. While the socio-cultural expectation of Vietnamese males, as heads of family, is to provide for livelihoods, it is plausible that their negative perceptions of CSR outweighed their view on CSR's importance for short-term financial gains. As male students relate negatively to CSR-STC only, H5 is partially supported.

CSR-STC is positively related to student seniority ($\beta = .321$, $p < .01$). This finding is similar to those in prior studies such as Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, and Rodriguez-Pomeda (2015) and Kumar (1995). Our finding suggests that senior students tended to agree with the importance of CSR to short-term competitiveness. The positive effect could be due to senior students being more knowledgeable on CSR and business after taking relatively more courses in these areas. Specifically, senior students could have developed a perception on the role of CSR in a fast-moving transitional economy like Vietnam where firm survival is precarious and uncertain. Firms must not only be concerned with long-term sustainability but also ensure viability in the short-term. While beneficial for long-term business sustainability, CSR is also important for boosting short-term sustenance, especially with the push from foreign MNCs to practice CSR in Vietnam. Nguyen, Hoang, and Luu (2019) found that many CSR practices in Vietnam were encouraged by MNCs for the benefit of creating new, immediate market opportunities. CSR could thus be perceived by senior students as important for short-term success. As senior students were found to relate positively to CSR-STC only, H6 is partially supported.

### Characterization of Vietnamese CSR attitudes

Collectively, our findings represent a characterization of the CSR attitudes of Vietnamese business students. A Vietnamese business student who is idealistic or relativistic is likely to support CSR. A spiritual business student tends to view CSR as important to long-term sustainability and profitability but rejects CSR's importance to short-term success. A senior student is inclined to support CSR while a male student would consider CSR less favorably.

Compared to western business students (Alonso-Almeida, Fernández de Navarrete, & Rodriguez-Pomeda, 2015; Arlow, 1991; Haski-Leventhal, Pournader, & McKinnon, 2017; Kolodinsky et al., 2010; Vitell, Ramos, & Nishihara, 2010), Vietnamese business students who were idealistic, materialistic, males, or senior tended to express similar attitudes as their western counterparts toward CSR. While a relativistic Vietnamese business student is supportive of CSR, this is in contrast to the relativistic western student (Kolodinsky et al., 2010; Singhapakdi et al., 1996; Vitell, Ramos, & Nishihara, 2010) but in alignment with a relativistic Asian student (Chen, Mujtaba, & Heron 2011; Etheredge, 1999). With Kolodinsky et al. (2010) as one of the very few studies to include spirituality and not finding it significant, our finding in spirituality represented new evidence on the relevance of spirituality in affecting the CSR attitudes of business students. These attitude characteristics could be shaped by Vietnamese cultural, economic, and social contexts such as Confucian and religious values, collectivism, socialism, and traditional gender role expectations.

### Theoretical contributions and managerial implications

With rapid implementations of CSR globally and recognition of CSR as a highly contextualized concept (Davidson, 2016; Nguyen, Bensemann, & Kelly, 2018), our study makes a theoretical

contribution to the understanding of CSR attitudes of business students in Vietnam, a new context in the literature. By analyzing our Vietnamese findings against extant literature, our study not only offers insights on the extent of universal applicability of existing CSR models but also contributes to the understanding of CSR in developing economies with similar cultural and social features and economic ambitions as Vietnam. Despite calls to derive better CSR outcomes from more context-sensitive research and understanding at the individual level of analysis (Aguinis & Glavas, 2012; Nguyen, Bensemann, & Kelly, 2018), few research efforts have addressed the issue of examining how business students as future managers perceive CSR, especially in developing economies.

From a theoretical perspective, our findings provided reinforcing empirical evidence to validate the contextual nature of CSR. While findings on idealism, materialism, student seniority, and gender were generally consistent with previous studies suggesting these predictors' global relevance and applicability, the relativism and spirituality predictors were found to deviate from the extant literature.

Explanations on the positive effect of relativism on CSR using the cultural context of collectivism and Confucian teaching of moderation (or *Dieu Do*) strengthen the impetus for future theoretical work on CSR to incorporate contextual elements in their studies. The positive effect of relativism also accentuates a pattern of results, suggesting a distinct differentiation of how relativism affects business student attitudes between western and east Asian regions. This has implications for the theoretical development and understanding of CSR in these regions.

Our study represents one of the first to find spirituality significant in predicting the CSR attitudes of business students. While the importance of spirituality to CSR and managers has been highlighted (e.g., Brammer et al., 2007; Cavanagh, 1999; Vu, 2018), our finding provided empirical support to strengthen the case for the inclusion of spirituality as a key variable in the conceptualization of CSR. The possible influence of Buddhism principles, which are generally in alignment with CSR ideologies, on the Vietnamese indicates the importance of cultural context in understanding CSR and the relationship between religion and spirituality. Our finding on the contrasting effects of spirituality on CSR's importance to long-term sustainability and short-term benefits appear to reflect Buddhist views and business practices in Thailand (Suriyankietkaew & Kantamara, 2019), suggesting a possible similar influence of religion in spirituality and attitudes in east Asia.

Our study contributes to the identification of characteristics of CSR attitudes of Vietnamese business students. The specific characteristics are conceptualized based on the comparison of attitudes between Vietnamese business students and their counterparts elsewhere as well as explanations of the findings from Vietnamese cultural, economic, and social contexts.

Our findings have important managerial implications for business and education organizations. First, the similar findings on the effects of idealism and materialism on CSR between our study and those using western subjects suggest that the attributes of having moral value to do good to others and the desire to gain worldly materials for self-gratification could be universal among business students. An implication is that employers can expect idealistic business students from different geographical locations to carry a positive orientation and attitude toward CSR. Likewise, materialistic business students, in general, would view CSR negatively. With increasing global mobility of graduates seeking jobs, this finding has implications on the recruitment process for business students from different geographical locations, as long as employers are able to assess and determine the idealistic and materialistic inclinations of the job candidates. The findings could also assist educators in developing curriculum and career counsellors in providing better job selection advice after they have evaluated the student's posture on idealism and materialism.

Referencing our finding on spirituality, organizations wishing to cultivate a CSR culture can focus on building a more spiritual work environment to nurture higher spirituality among their employees. As spirituality deals with personal meaning in life, organizations could also develop spiritual leadership to lead by example and establish long-term visions and goals that

convey meaningful, spiritual purpose and fulfillment. The focus of spirituality efforts should target long-term rather than on short-term perspectives.

Our findings reveal that male students tended to have a negative view of CSR's impact on short-term success. An implication is that recruiters can use this orientation to select new hires to better match hiring objectives and organizational expectations. Educators can use this finding as a basis to tailor modules and student interactions to different students to achieve desired learning outcomes.

## Conclusion and future research directions

The study enriches the literature as one of the first to rigorously identify the predictors of CSR attitudes of Vietnamese business students. From a theoretical perspective, the study advances the understanding of CSR development in a new, non-western country context defined by distinct economic, cultural, and social features. Similar to Wang and Calvano (2015) and Zhao, Lee, and Moon (2019) in using multiple theories to substantiate their research, the study used stakeholder theory, theory of planned behavior, and ethical ideology framework to provide theoretical grounding and support. The findings provide a CSR profile of business students that could assist organizations to better identify suitable hires for CSR roles, assimilate new hires into effective CSR managers and achieve desired CSR outcomes. This is particularly important to Vietnamese organizations given the increased demands from international markets and foreign investors to step up CSR. Education institutions can also use our findings to improve curriculum, student engagement, and job placement. The findings and insights generated from the study also serve as a basis for future comparative studies on similar CSR themes.

Future research could take the form of replication to gain a more robust theoretical understanding of context. Research could seek to validate our findings in new country and cultural contexts. The fast evolving Vietnamese economy could also entail longitudinal research to track how cultural and social elements impact CSR practices and implementation. The identification of various dimensions of the variables (e.g., CSR construct) could be examined further because these dimensions reflect the underlying characteristics of the data collected. Differences in dimensions across studies could generate insights into the nature of contexts. Studies could also focus on specific predictors. In particular, our finding on relativism appears to reinforce a discernable difference of results between studies using western and East Asian subjects. Spirituality could be included as a predictor to further assess its impact on CSR attitudes, especially in the context of its relationship with the practice of religiosity. Interaction effects between predictors could also be examined to enrich the understanding of how predictors affect each other. Future research could examine more closely how factors including culture, social norms, and traditions affect the interpretation and practice of relativistic beliefs of business students toward CSR. More research is needed to provide a more definitive understanding of the CSR–relativism relationship. As exposure to CSR concepts and practice could shape perceptions, future research could study how the quantity and quality of CSR-related courses taken by business students affect their CSR attitudes.

## References

5 Vietnamese schools listed in QS 2017 University Rankings for Asia. (2017). Retrieved from https://tuoitrenews.vn/news/education/20171019/5-vietnamese-schools-listed-in-qs-2017-university-rankings-for-asia/42151.html.

Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2012). What we know and don't know about corporate social responsibility: A review and research agenda. *Journal of Management*, 38(4), 932–968.

Ajzen, I. (1991). The theory of planned behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50, 179–211.

Albaum, G., & Peterson, R. A. (2006). Ethical attitudes of future business leaders: Do they vary by gender and religiosity? *Business & Society*, 45(3), 300–321.

Alonso-Almeida, M. D. M., Fernández de Navarrete, F. C., & Rodriguez-Pomeda, J. (2015). Corporate social responsibility perception in business students as future managers: A multifactorial analysis. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 24, 1–17.

Arlow, P. (1991). Personal characteristics in college students' evaluations of business ethics and corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *10*(10), 63–69.

Axinn, C. N., Blair, M. E., Heorhiadi, A., & Thach, S. V. (2004). Comparing ethical ideologies across cultures. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *54*(2), 103–119.

Ayoun, B., Rowe, L., & Yassine, F. (2015). Is workplace spirituality associated with business ethics? *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, *27*(5), 938–957.

Barnett, T., Bass, K., & Brown, G. (1994). Ethical ideology and ethical judgment regarding ethical issues in business. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *13*(6), 469–480.

Baumsteiger, R., Chenneville, T., & McGuire, J. F. (2013). The roles of religiosity and spirituality in moral reasoning. *Ethics & Behavior*, *23*(4), 266–277.

Belk, R. W. (1984). Three scales to measure constructs related to materialism: Reliability, validity, and relationships to measures of happiness, in advances in consumer research. In T. Kinnear (Ed.), *Advances in Consumer Research* (Vol. *11*, pp. 291–297). Provo, UT: Association for consumer research.

Belk, R. W. (1995). *Collecting in a consumer society*. London: Routledge.

Brammer, S., Williams, G., & Zinkin, J. (2007). Religion and attitudes to corporate social responsibility in a large cross-country sample. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *71*(3), 229–243.

Burroughs, J. E., & Rindfleisch, A. (2002). Materialism and well-being: A conflicting values perspective. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *29*(3), 348–370.

Carroll, A. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, *34*, 39–48.

Cavanagh, G. F. (1999). Spirituality for managers: Context and critique. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, *12*(3), 186–199.

Chen, L. Y., Mujtaba, B. G., & Heron, T. W. (2011). Utilizing computer-based scenarios to explore idealism and relativism influences on perceptions of ethics and social responsibilities and ethical decision making. *International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics*, *6*(4), 359–384.

Cho, S. Y., & Lee, C. (2019). Managerial efficiency, corporate social performance, and corporate financial performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *158*, 467–486.

Churchill, G. A. (1979). A paradigm for developing better measures of marketing constructs. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *16*(1), 64–73.

Clarkson, M. B. E. (1995). A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review*, *92*, 105–108.

Clarkson Center for Business Ethics. (1999). *Principles of stakeholder management*. Toronto: Joseph L. Rotman School of Management.

Dang, K. (2019). Vietnam to remain a fast growing Asian economy. VnExpress. Retrieved from https://e.vnexpress.net/news/business/economy/vietnam-to-remain-a-fast-growing-asian-economy-3870840.html.

Davidson, D. K. (2016). The importance of context in understanding CSR. In A. Örtenblad (Ed.), *Research handbook on corporate social responsibility in context* (pp. 32–45). Cheltenham: Edward Elgar Publishing.

Davis, M. A., Andersen, M. G., & Curtis, M. B. (2001). Measuring ethical ideology in business ethics: A critical analysis of the ethics position questionnaire. *Journal of Business Ethics*. *32*(1), 35–53.

Dawkins, C. E., Jamali, D., Karam, C., Lin, L., & Zhao, J. (2014). Corporate social responsibility and job choice intentions: A cross-cultural analysis. *Business & Society*, *55*(6), 1–35.

Deckop, J. R., Giacalone, R. A., & Jurkiewicz, C. L. (2015). Materialism and workplace behaviors: Does wanting more result in less? *Social Indicators Research*, *121*(3), 787–803.

De Hoogh, A. H. B., & Den Hartog, D. N. (2008). Ethical and despotic leadership, relationships with leader's social responsibility, top management team effectiveness and subordinates' optimism: A multi-method study. *The Leadership Quarterly*, *19*(3), 297–311.

Du S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2007). Reaping relational rewards from corporate social responsibility: The role of competitive positioning. *International Journal of Marketing Research*, *24*(3), 224–241.

Etheredge, J. M. (1999). The perceived role of ethics and social responsibility: An alternative scale structure. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *18*(1), 51–64.

Field, A. P. (2013). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics: And sex and drugs and rock 'N' roll* (4th ed.), London: Sage.

Forsyth, D. R. (1980). A taxonomy of ethical ideologies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *39*(1), 175–184.

Forsyth, D. R. (1981). Moral judgment: The influence of ethical ideology. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, *7*(2), 218–223.

Forsyth, D. R. (1985). Individual differences in information integration during moral judgment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *49*(1), 264–272.

Forsyth, D. R. (1992). Judging the morality of business practices: The influence of personal moral philosophies. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *11*(5–6), 461–470.

Forsyth, D. R., Nye, J. L., & Kelley, K. (1988). Idealism, relativism, and the ethic of caring. *The Journal of Psychology: Interdisciplinary and Applied*, *122*(3), 243–248.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Boston: Pitman.

Fry, L. W. (2005). Toward a theory of ethical and spiritual well-being, and corporate social responsibility through spiritual leadership. In R. Giacalone, C. Jurkiewicz and C. Dunn (Eds.), *Positive psychology in business ethics and corporate responsibility* (pp. 47–83). Information Age Publishing, Greenwich, CT.

Frynas, J. G., & Yamahaki, C. (2016). Corporate social responsibility: Review and roadmap of theoretical perspectives. *Business Ethics: A European Review*, 25(3), 258–285.

Giacalone, R. A., & Jurkiewicz, C. L. (2003). Right from wrong: The influence of spirituality on perceptions of unethical business activities. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 46(1), 85–97.

Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a different voice: Psychological theory and women's development* (p. 326). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Glavas, A., & Kelley, K. (2014). The effects of perceived corporate social responsibility on employee attitudes. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 24(2), 165–202.

Hair, J., Black, W., Babin, B., Anderson, R., & Tatham, R. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis with readings* (6th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall.

Ham, M., Pap, A., & Stimac, H. (2019). Intention to implement corporate social responsibility – the role of religiousness and ethical idealism. *Eurasian Journal of Business and Management*, 7(1), 18–30.

Hamm, B. (2012). Corporate social responsibility in Vietnam. *Pacific News*.

Harjoto, M., & Laksmana, I. (2018). the impact of corporate social responsibility on risk taking and firm value. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 151, 353–373.

Haski-Leventhal, D., Pournader, M., & McKinnon, A. (2017). The role of gender and age in business students' values, CSR attitudes, and responsible management education: Learnings from the PRME international survey. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 146(1), 219–239.

He, L.-J., Chen, C.-J., & Chiang, H.-T. (2015). Top manager background characteristics, family control and corporate social responsibility (CSR) performance. *Journal of Applied Finance and Banking*, 5(1), 1–5.

Hodge, D. R. (2001). Spiritual genograms: A generational approach to assessing spirituality. *Families in Society*, 82(1), 35–48.

Hodge, D. R. (2003). The intrinsic spirituality scale: A new six-item instrument for assessing the salience of spirituality as a motivational construct. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 30(1), 41–61.

Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.

Hunt, S. D., Kiecker, P. L., & Chonko, B. L. (1990). Social responsibility and personal success: A research note. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing*, 18(3), 239–244.

Hurst, M., Dittmar, H., Bond, R., & Kasser, T. (2013). The relationship between materialistic values and environmental attitudes and behaviors: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 36, 257–269.

Jones, T. M. (1991). Ethical decision making by individuals in organizations: An issue-contingent model. *Academy of Management Review*, 16(2), 366–395.

Kabir, R., & Thai, H. M. (2017). Does corporate governance shape the relationship between corporate social responsibility and financial performance? *Pacific Accounting Review*, 29(2), 227–258.

Kasser, T. (2016). Materialistic values and goals. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 67, 9.1–9.26.

Kerse, G. (2019). A leader indeed is a leader in deed: The relationship of ethical leadership, person–organization fit, organizational trust, and extra-role service behavior. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 1–20.

Kolodinsky, R. W., Madden, T. M., Zisk, D. S., & Henkel, E. T. (2010). Attitudes about corporate social responsibility: Business student predictors. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 91(2), 167–181.

Kumar, K. (1995). Ethical orientations of future American executives: What the value profiles of business school students portend. *Advanced Management Journal*, 60, 32–38.

Lämsä, A. M., Vehkaperä, M., Puttonen, T., & Pesonen, H.-L. (2008). Effect of business education on women and men students' attitudes on corporate responsibility in society. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 82, 45–58.

Le, T. T. X. (2017). The relation between professional ethics and individual – organizational factors: A study of students' perceptions in Ho Chi Minh City. *VNU Journal of Science: Economics and Business*, 33(2), 74–86.

Le, T. T. X., Lai, V. T., & Truong, T. L. A. (2014). What do Vietnamese executives understand corporate social responsibility? *Journal of Science Ho Chi Minh City Open University*, 1(9), 12–24.

Lee, E. M., Park, S.-Y., & Lee, H. J. (2013). Employee perception of CSR activities: Its antecedents and consequences. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(10), 1716–1724.

Lin, Y. T., & Liu, N. C. (2019). Corporate citizenship and employee outcomes: Does a high-commitment work system matter? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 156, 1079–1097.

López-Pérez, M. E., Melero, I., & Javier Sese, F. (2017). Management for sustainable development and its impact on firm value in the SME context: Does size matter? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(7), 985–999.

Low, K. (2012). Being empathetic, the way of Confucius. *Educational Research*, 3, 818–826.

Luthar, H., & Karri, R. (2005). Exposure to ethics education and the perception of linkage between organizational ethical behavior and business outcomes. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 61(4), 353–368.

Matten, D., & Moon, J. (2008). 'Implicit' and 'explicit' CSR: A conceptual framework for a comparative understanding of corporate social responsibility. *Academy of Management Review*, *33*(2), 404–424.

Mele, D. (2009). Corporate social responsibility theories. In A. Crane, A. McWilliams, D. Matten, J. Moon & S. D. Siegel (Eds.), *The Oxford handbook of corporate social responsibility* (pp. 3–15). New York: Oxford University Press.

Metcalf, L., & Benn, S. (2013). Leadership for sustainability: An evolution of leadership ability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *112*(3), 369–384.

Moyeen, A., & West, B. (2014). Promoting CSR to foster sustainable development. *Asia – Pacific Journal of Business Administration*, *6*(2), 97–115.

Muller, A., & Kolk, A. (2010). Extrinsic and intrinsic drivers of corporate social performance: Evidence from foreign and domestic firms in Mexico. *Journal of Management Studies*, *47*(1), 1–26.

Newman, C., Rand, J., Tarp, F., & Trifkovic, N. (2018). The transmission of socially responsible behaviour through international trade. *European Economic Review*, *101*(C), 250–267.

Ng, E. S., & Burke, R. J. (2010). Predictor of business students' attitudes toward sustainable business practices. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *95*(4), 603–615.

Nguyen, T. T. M. (2012). Materialism and satisfaction with life: A study of emerging urban Vietnamese consumers. *Journal of Commerce*, *54*(5), 79–102.

Nguyen, P. M. (2013). Implementing corporate social responsibility towards sustainable development: A case study of SMEs in Thanh Hoa Province. *VNU Journal of Economics and Business*, *29*(5E), 67–80.

Nguyen, T. (2020). ADB cuts Vietnam GDP growth forecast to 1.8% in 2020. Retrieved from: http://hanoitimes.vn/adb-cuts-vietnam-gdp-growth-forecast-to-18-in-2020-314210.html.

Nguyen, M., Bensemann, J., & Kelly, S. (2018). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) in Vietnam: A conceptual framework. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, *3*(9), 1–12.

Nguyen, P. H. C., Bui, Q. T., Nguyen, D. T. N., & Nguyen, T. H. (2015). Antecedents of attitudes toward sustainable business practices: A study among Vietnamese business students. The 1st International Conference on Corporate Social Responsibility and Sustainable Business Development. VNU-HCM Press, pp. 355–371

Nguyen, H. T., Hoang, T. G, & Luu, H. (2019). Corporate social responsibility in Vietnam: Opportunities and innovation experienced by multinational corporation subsidiaries. *Social Responsibility Journal*, *16*(6), 771–792.

Nguyen, T. K. A., & Mai, N. K. (2020): Building a conceptual framework of corporate social responsibility: An experience of qualitative approach in Vietnam. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Business*, *21*(1), 39–56.

Nguyen, L. D., Mujtaba, G. B., Tran, C., & Tran, Q. H. M. (2013). Sustainable growth and ethics: A study of business ethics in Vietnam between business students and working adults. *The South East Asian Journal of Management*, *7*(1), 41–56.

Nguyen, L. D., & Pham, N. T. L. (2015). Business students and ethics: A cross-cultural study between the U.S. and Vietnam. *SAM Advanced Management Journal*, *80*(4), 31–44.

Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric theory* (2nd ed.), New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.

Palihawadana, D., Oghazi, P., & Liu, Y. (2016). Effects of ethical ideologies and perceptions of CSR on consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, *69*(11), 4964–4969.

Park, H. (2005). The role of idealism and relativism as dispositional characteristics in the socially responsible decision-making process. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *56*(1), 81–98.

Pham, D. H. (2011). Corporate social responsibility: A study on awareness of managers and consumers in Vietnam. *Journal of Accounting and Taxation*, *3*(8), 162–170.

Pham, N. T. L., Nguyen, D. L., & Favia, J. M. (2015). Business students' attitudes toward business ethics: An empirical investigation in Vietnam. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, *9*(3), 289–305.

Pietiläinen, E. (2015). *Attitudes toward CSR: The attitudes of Finnish trade unions' representatives toward corporate social responsibility practices* (Master's thesis). Jyväskylä School of Business and Economics.

Porter, M. E., & Kramer, M. R. (2006). Strategy and society: The link between competitive advantage and corporate social responsibility. *Harvard Business Review*, *84*(12), 78–92.

Richins, M. L., & Dawson, S. (1992). A consumer values orientation for materialism and its measurement: Scale development and validation. *The Journal of Consumer Research*, *19*(3), 303–316.

Saxena, M., & Mishra, D. K. (2017). CSR perception: A global opportunity in management education. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, *49*(5), 231–244.

Schlenker, B. R., & Forsyth, D. R. (1977). On the ethics of psychological research. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *13*, 369–396.

Schwaiger, M. (2004). Components and parameters of corporate reputation – An empirical study. *Schmalenbach Business Review*, *56*(1), 46–71.

Shafer, W. E., Fukukawa, K., & Lee, G. M. (2007). Values and the perceived importance of ethics and social responsibility: The US versus China. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *70*(3), 265–284.

Sharma, P. (2011). Country of origin effects in developed and emerging markets: Exploring the contrasting roles of materialism and value consciousness. *Journal of International Business Studies*, *42*, 285–306.

Sheehy, B. (2015). Defining CSR: Problems and solutions. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *131*, 625–648.

Singhapakdi, A., Vitell, J. S., Rallapalli, K. C., & Kraft, L. K. (1996). The perceived role of ethics and social responsibility: A scale development. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *15*(11), 1131–1140.

Sleeper, B., Schneider, K., Weber, P., & Weber, J. (2006). Scale and study of student attitudes toward business education's role in addressing social issues. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *68*(4), 381–391.

Smirnova, Y. (2012). Perceptions of corporate social responsibility in Kazakhstan. *Social Responsibility Journal*, *8*(3), 404–417.

Sully de Luque, M. S., Washburn, N. T., Waldman, D. A., & House, R. J. (2008). Unrequited profit: How stakeholder and economic values relate to subordinates' perceptions of leadership and firm performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *53*, 626–654.

Suriyankietkaew, S., & Kantamara, P. (2019). Business ethics and spirituality for corporate sustainability: A Buddhism perspective. Journal of Management, *Spirituality & Religion*, *16*(3), 264–289.

Tascioglu, M., Eastman, J. K., & Iyer, R. (2017). The impact of the motivation for status on consumers' perceptions of retailer sustainability: The moderating impact of collectivism and materialism. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, *34*(4), 292–305.

Teixeira, A., Ferreira, M. R., Correia, A., & Lima, V. (2018). Students' perceptions of corporate social responsibility: Evidences from a Portuguese higher education institution. *International Review on Public and Non-Profit Marketing*, *15*(2), 235–252.

Trevino, L. K. (1986). Ethical decision making in organizations: A person-situation interactionist model. *Academy of Management Review*, *11*(3), 601–617.

UNDP Vietnam (2015). Gender equality strategy 2016–2020. Retrieved from: www.vn.undp.org.

Vitell, S. J., Ramos, E., & Nishihara, C. M. (2010). The role of ethics and social responsibility in organizational success: A Spanish perspective. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *91*(4), 467–483.

Vu, M. C. (2018). Skillful means – A Buddhist approach to social responsibility. *Social Responsibility Journal*, *14*(2), 321–335.

Vu, M. C., & Tran, T. (2021). Trust issues and engaged Buddhism: The triggers for skillful managerial approaches. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *169*, 77–102.

Waddock, S. (2004). Parallel universes: Companies, academics, and the progress of corporate citizenship. *Business and Society Review*, *109*, 5–42.

Walker, L. J., de Vries, B., & Trevethan, S. D. (1987). Moral stages and moral orientations in real-life and hypothetical dilemmas. *Child Development*, *58*(3), 842–858.

Wang, L., & Calvano, L. (2015). Is business ethics education effective? An analysis of gender, personal ethical perspectives, and moral judgement. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *126*(4), 591–602.

Weaver, G. R., Treviño, L. K., & Cochran, P. L. (1999a). Corporate ethics programs as control systems: Influences of executive commitment and environmental factors. *Academy of Management Journal*, *42*, 41–57.

Weaver, G. R., Treviño, L. K., & Cochran, P. L. (1999b). Integrated and decoupled corporate social performance: Management commitments, external pressures, and corporate ethics practices. *Academy of Management Journal*, *42*, 539–552.

Wei, Y.-C., Egri, C., & Yeh-Yun Lin, C. (2014). Do corporate social responsibility practices yield different business benefits in eastern and western contexts? *Chinese Management Studies*, *8*(4), 556–576.

Zhao, L., Lee, J., & Moon, S. (2019). Employee response to CSR in China: The moderating effect of collectivism. *Personnel Review*, *48*(3), 839–863.

Zhao, X., Sum, C. C., Qi, Y., Zhang, H., & Lee, T. S. (2006). A taxonomy of manufacturing strategies in China. *Journal of Operations Management*, *24*(5), 621–636.

**Hauthikim Do** is a faculty member at Becamex Business School, Eastern International University, Vietnam. She has an LLB degree in Commercial Law from the University of Law, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, and an MSc in Management from the University of Nottingham Trent, UK. Her current research interests include the impact of corporate ethics and social responsibility on Vietnamese companies, role of ethical leadership in the Vietnamese business ecosystem, employment relations, and human resource management.

**Chee Chuong Sum** was a Professor at Singapore Institute of Technology (SIT). He previously served as Co-President at Eastern International University, Vietnam, and faculty at NUS Business School, National University of Singapore (NUS). He has a BEng (Hons) degree from the National University of Singapore and holds a PhD in Business Administration (Operations Management) from the University of Minnesota, USA. His research has appeared in leading international journals such as *Journal of Operations Management*, *Decision Sciences*, *IIE (Trans)*, *European Journal of Operational Research*, and *Omega*. His research interests include operations and supply chain capabilities, operations strategy, global operations, leadership, and business ethics.