# Martingale central limit theorems without uniform asymptotic negligibility: Corrigendum 

R.J. Adler and D.J. Scott

The following is a correct proof of the main theorem of [1]. It should be substituted for the published Section 3, which, as pointed out by Professor B.L.S. Prakasa Rao, contains an error in the equations following (15) on page 49.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

We shall use the notation $\sum_{j \leq k}^{\prime}$ for the sum over all $j \leq k, j \in U_{n}$, and $\sum_{j \leq k}^{\prime \prime}$ for the sum over all $j \leq k, j \in \bar{U}_{n}$. Our first step is to reduce the problem without loss of generality. Note first that we need only show that for any subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime}\right\}$ there exists a further subsequence $\left\{n^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ along which the convergence to normality holds. We may thus assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k}^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{k}^{2}(n) \rightarrow L \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0 \leq L \leq 1$. Then we observe that $\sum_{j \leq k}^{\prime} X_{j}(n)$ is a martingale difference array satisfying the conditions of McLeish's Theorem 2.3, [5], with $\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}^{2}(n) \xrightarrow{p} 1-L$ instead of 1 . We may assume also, by replacing $X_{k}(n)$ for $k \in U_{n}$ by $X_{k}(n) I\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} X_{j}^{2}(n) \leq 2\right\}$, that when $\sum_{j=1}^{k} X_{j}^{2}(n)>2$,
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all subsequent $X_{j}(n)$ terms for $j \in U_{n}$ are zero. The argument for this is exactly the same as that of McLeish [5, p. 622]. To reduce the problem further we use Theorem 4.2 of Billingsley [2]. Set
(14) $X_{j}^{*}(n)=X_{j}^{*}(n, M)=$

$$
=X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right)-E\left\{X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}
$$

for $j \in U_{n}$, while $X_{j}^{*}(n)=X_{j}(n)$ for $j \in \bar{U}_{n}$. Then if $S_{k}^{*}(n)=\sum_{j=0}^{k} X_{j}^{*}(n), \quad\left\{S_{k}^{*}(n), F_{k}(n)\right\}$ is a martingale array and condition (3) of the theorem is still satisfied. We show (6) is satisfied also, but in addition, the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{j \in U_{n}} \cdot\left|X_{j}^{*}(n)\right| \xrightarrow{L_{2}} 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies (4) and (5) is also satisfied, for this new array. Clearly $\max _{j \in U_{n}}\left|X_{j}(n)\right| I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \xrightarrow{p} 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and in addition, by boundedness, the convergence is in $L_{2}$ also. Now (McLeish [5], p. 621)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum^{\prime} X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\varepsilon<\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \xrightarrow{p} 0 \text { for each } \varepsilon>0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this being bounded by $2+M^{2}$, the convergence is in $L_{2}$ again, implying

$$
\sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\varepsilon<\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\} \xrightarrow{p} 0
$$

Then, since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\operatorname { m a x } _ { j \in U _ { n } } E \left\{X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid\right.\right. & \left.\left.F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq \sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\varepsilon<\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}+\varepsilon^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

implies

$$
\max _{j \in U_{n}} E\left\{X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\} \xrightarrow{L_{2}} 0 .
$$

Clearly (15) is satisfied. To show that (6) is satisfied we observe first that

$$
P\left(\sum_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}^{2}(n) \neq \sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\left|x_{j}(n)\right|<M\right)\right) \leq P\left(\max _{j \in U_{n}}\left|X_{j}(n)\right|>M\right),
$$

so that $\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \xrightarrow{p} 1-L$, and we must show only

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}^{\prime} x_{j}(n) I\left(\left|x_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) E\left\{x_{j}(n) I\left(\left|x_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\} \xrightarrow{p} 0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(19)

$$
\sum_{j} E\left\{X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}^{2} \xrightarrow{p} 0
$$

Now
(20) $\left|\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) E\left\{X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right|$

$$
=\left|\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) E\left\{X_{j}(n)_{I}\left\{\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right|
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j}^{\prime}\left|X_{j}(n)\right| I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) E\left\{X^{2}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{1}{M} \max _{j \in U_{n}}\left|x_{j}(n)\right| \sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\left|x_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}
$$

But

$$
\begin{aligned}
E \sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\left|x_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\} & =E\left\{\sum^{\prime} x_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\left|x_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right)\right\} \\
& \leq E\left\{2+\max _{j \in U_{n}} x_{j}^{2}(n)\right\} \\
& \leq 2+K_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $K_{1}$ is bound in (5). Hence by Markov's inequality,
$\sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\} \quad$ is bounded in probability and (18)
then follows from (20) and (4). Similar reasoning involving (17), rather than (4), gives (19), and so $\left\{s_{k}^{*}(n)\right\}$ satisfies (3), (6), and (15).

We must now show that the conditions of Theorem 4.2 of [2] are satisfied; that is to say we want, for $\varepsilon>0$,

$$
\underset{M \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\left|S_{k_{n}^{*}}^{*}(n)-S_{k_{n}}(n)\right|<\varepsilon\right)=0 .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|S_{k_{n}^{*}}^{*}(n)-S_{k_{n}}(n)\right| \leq \mid \sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|\right. & \geq M) \mid \\
& +\left|\sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \quad M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right|,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
P\left(\left|\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right)\right| \neq 0\right) \leq P\left(\max _{j \in U_{n}}\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right),
$$

which converges to zero for each fixed $M$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Also $E\left|\sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq E \sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{\left|X_{j}(n)\right| I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{M} E\left(\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \geq M\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{M}\left(2+K_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and using Markov's inequality
$\underset{M \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left\{\left|\sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|<M\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right|>\varepsilon\right\}$ $\leq \underset{M \rightarrow \infty}{\limsup } \frac{1}{M \varepsilon}\left(2+K_{1}\right)=0$.
We have thus shown that to prove the theorem we may assume $\left\{S_{k}^{(n)}, F_{k}(n)\right\}$ is a martingale triangular array satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{j}^{2}(n) \rightarrow L, \quad 0 \leq L \leq 1 \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \max _{j \in U_{n}}\left|X_{j}(n)\right| \xrightarrow{L_{2}} 0,  \tag{22}\\
& \sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}^{2}(n) \rightarrow 1-L, \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

and for some $M>0$,

$$
\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}^{2}(n) \leq 2+2 M^{2}
$$

so that
(24)

$$
\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}^{2}(n) \xrightarrow{L_{1}} 1-L
$$

Using the techniques of either MacLeish ([5], pp. 621-622) or Scott ([6], §3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left[\sum_{j}^{\prime} X_{j}^{2}(n) I\left(\left|X_{j}(n)\right|>\varepsilon\right)\right\} \rightarrow 0 \text { for each } \varepsilon>0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\} \xrightarrow{p} 1-L \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we may assume

$$
\sum_{j}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}<c<\infty
$$

for all $n$. (Otherwise replace $X_{k}(n)$ by

$$
\left.X_{k}(n) I\left\{\sum_{j \leq k}^{\prime} E\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}<C\right\} .\right)
$$

We wish to show then, for each real $t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E e^{i t S_{k}(n)}=e^{-t^{2} / 2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put for $n \geq 1, j=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}$,

$$
\tau_{j}^{2}= \begin{cases}\sigma_{j}^{2}(n), & j \in \bar{U}_{n} \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{j}^{2}(n), & j \in U_{n}\end{cases}
$$

and for $k=1,2, \ldots, k_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k}^{2}(n)=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \tau_{j}^{2}(n) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left\{\exp \left\{i t S_{k_{n}}(n)+\frac{1}{2} t^{2} U_{k_{n}}^{2}(n)\right\}-1\right)=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left|\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} t^{2} U_{k_{n}^{2}}^{2}(n)\right)-\exp \left(-t^{2} / 2\right)\right|=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which (28) follows without difficulty.
Set

$$
z_{j}(n)=\left(\exp i t S_{j-1}(n)+\frac{1}{2} t^{2} U_{j}^{2}(n)\right)\left(e^{i t X_{j}(n)}-e^{-\frac{3}{2} t^{2} \tau_{j}^{2}(n)}\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid E\left\{\exp \left(i t S_{k_{n}}(n)+t^{2} U_{k_{n}}^{2}(n)\right\}-1 \mid\right. & =\left|E \sum_{j=1}^{k_{n}} z_{j}(n)\right| \\
& \leq E\left|\sum_{j=1}^{k_{n}} E\left\{z_{j}(n) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

If $j \in U_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left\{Z_{j}(n) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{2} e^{\frac{3}{2} t^{2} C}\left[E \left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) M\left(\left|t X_{j}(n)\right|\right) \mid\right.\right. & \left.F_{j-1}(n)\right\} \\
& +\frac{1-2 t^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2}(n)\left\{\max _{j \in U_{n}} \sigma_{j}^{2}(n)\right.}{} \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

for $M(\cdot)$ defined by $M(x)=\min \left(\frac{1}{3} x, 2\right\}$, as in Brown [3], p. 64. If
$j \in \bar{U}_{n}$ then let $Y_{j}(n)$ be $N\left\{0, \sigma_{j}^{2}(n)\right\}$, independent of each other and the $\sigma$-field generated by

$$
k_{n}
$$

$$
\bigcup_{j=1}^{u_{j}} F_{j}(n) \text {. Then }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E\left\{Z_{j}(n) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right| & \leq e^{\frac{3}{2} t^{2} C}\left|E\left\{\left.e^{i t X_{j}(n)}-e^{-\frac{3}{2} t^{2} \tau_{j}^{2}(n)} \right\rvert\, F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right| \\
& \leq e^{\frac{3}{2} t^{2} C}\left|E\left\{e^{i t X_{j}(n)}-e^{i t Y_{j}(n)} \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining these last three inequalities,
(32) $\left|E \exp \left(i t S_{k_{n}}(n)+t^{2} v_{k_{n}}^{2}(n)\right)-1\right|$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\leq E \sum_{j}^{\prime}\left[\frac{3}{2} t^{2} e^{\left.\frac{1}{2} t^{2} C_{E}\left\{X_{j}^{2}(n) M\left(\left|t X_{j}(n)\right|\right) \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}+\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \sigma_{j}^{2}(n) \max _{j \in U_{n}} \sigma_{j}^{2}(n)\right]}\right. \\
+E \sum_{j}^{\prime \prime} e^{\frac{3}{2} t^{2} C}\left|E\left\{e^{i t X_{j}(n)}-e^{i t Y_{j}(n)} \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right| .
\end{array}
$$

The first sum goes to zero with $n$ using (2.4), (26), and (22) as in Brown [3], p. 64. For the second term we may use the argument on pp. 50-51 of [1], which for convenience is repeated here. Define a sequence of numbers $A_{n}$ by $A_{n}=\sqrt{2 \operatorname{loga}_{n}^{-1}}$. By Feller [4] (page 175) we have

$$
\Phi\left(A_{n}\right)=1-\Phi\left(A_{n}\right)<A_{n}^{-1} e^{-A_{n}^{2} / 2}=\alpha_{n}\left(2 \log \alpha_{n}^{-1}\right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Since for $j \in \bar{U}_{n}, \sigma_{j}(n)<1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(-A_{n} / \sigma_{j}(n)\right)=1-\Phi\left(A_{n} / \sigma_{j}(n)\right)<\alpha_{n}\left[2 \log \alpha_{n}^{-1}\right]^{-\frac{3}{2}} . \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left|E\left\{e^{i t X_{j}(n)}-e^{i t Y_{j}(n)} \mid F_{j-1}^{(n)}\right\}\right| \\
& \quad=E \mid \int^{i t x_{d \Delta}^{(n)}(x) \mid} \\
& \quad \leq E\left|\int_{-}^{-A} n e^{i t x_{j}} d \Delta_{j}^{(n)}(x)\right|+E\left|\int_{-A_{n}}^{A_{n}} e^{i t x_{d}} d \Delta_{j}^{(n)}(x)\right|+E\left|\int_{A_{n}}^{\infty} e^{i t x_{n}} d \Delta_{j}^{(n)}(x)\right| \\
& \quad=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Treating these terms separately,
(34) $\quad I_{1} \leq E \int_{-A}^{-A} n\left|d \Delta_{j}^{(n)}(x)\right| \leq E\left(P\left\{X_{j}(n) \leq-A_{n} \mid F_{j-1}\right\}+\Phi\left(-A_{n} / \sigma_{j}(n)\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq 2 \Phi\left(-A_{n} / \sigma_{j}(n)\right)+\alpha_{n} \\
& \leq a a_{n}\left(2 \log \alpha_{n}^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}+\alpha_{n},
\end{aligned}
$$

using (2) and (33). Furthermore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq t E \int_{-A_{n}}^{A_{n}}\left|\Delta_{j}^{(n)}(x)\right| d x+2 \alpha_{n} \\
& \leq 2 t A_{n}{ }_{n}+2 \alpha_{n} \\
& =2 \alpha_{n}\left\{1+t \sqrt{2 \log \alpha_{n}^{-1}}\right\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

But $j \in \bar{U}_{n}$ entails $\sigma_{j}^{2}(n) \leq \gamma_{n}$, and since $\sum_{j}^{\prime \prime} \sigma_{j}^{2}(n) \leq 1$, there are at most $\gamma_{n}^{-1}$ indices in $\bar{U}_{n}$. Combining this with (34), (35), and a similar bound for $I_{3}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j}^{\prime \prime} E\left|E\left\{e^{i t X_{j}(n)}-e^{i t Y_{j}(n)} \mid F_{j-1}(n)\right\}\right| \\
& \leq \gamma_{n}^{-1}\left\{4 \alpha_{n}+2 t \alpha_{n} \sqrt{2 \log \alpha_{n}^{-1}}+4 \alpha_{n}\left\{2 \log \alpha_{n}^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\} \\
& \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}
$$

using (1); so we have completed the proof of (30).
The proof of (31) is relatively simple:

$$
\exp \left\{\frac{3}{2} t^{2} U_{k_{n}^{2}}^{2}(n)\right\} \xrightarrow{p} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} t^{2}\right)
$$

from (21) and (27), and

$$
\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} t^{2} U_{k_{n}^{2}}^{2}(n)\right\} \leq \exp \left(\frac{3}{2} t^{2}[c+1]\right)
$$

so the convergence is in $L_{1}$ also, which is just (31).
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