

DIVISORS ON VARIETIES OVER A REAL CLOSED FIELD

W. KUCHARZ

ABSTRACT. Let X be a projective nonsingular variety over a real closed field R such that the set $X(R)$ of R -rational points of X is nonempty. Let $\text{Cl}_R(X) = \text{Cl}(X)/\Gamma(X)$, where $\text{Cl}(X)$ is the group of classes of linearly equivalent divisors on X and $\Gamma(X)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Cl}(X)$ consisting of the classes of divisors whose restriction to some neighborhood of $X(R)$ in X is linearly equivalent to 0. It is proved that the group $\text{Cl}_R(X)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^s$ for some non-negative integer s . Moreover, an upper bound on s is given in terms of the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -dimension of the group cohomology modules of $\text{Gal}(C/R)$, where $C = R(\sqrt{-1})$, with values in the Néron-Severi group and the Picard variety of $X_C = X \times_R C$.

1. Introduction. Let k be a commutative field. Let X be a quasi-projective nonsingular variety over k (that is, X is assumed to be a quasi-projective integral scheme over k , which is smooth over k). We let $\text{Div}(X)$ and $\text{Cl}(X)$ denote the group of (Weil) divisors on X and the group of classes of linearly equivalent divisors on X , respectively. Given a divisor D in $\text{Div}(X)$, let $[D]$ denote its class in $\text{Cl}(X)$. Assume that the set $X(k)$ of k -rational points of X is nonempty and put

$$\text{Cl}_k(X) = \text{Cl}(X)/\Gamma(X),$$

where $\Gamma(X)$ is the subgroup of $\text{Cl}(X)$ consisting of all classes $[D]$ in $\text{Cl}(X)$ such that the restriction of D to some neighborhood $X(k)$ in X is linearly equivalent to 0.

Throughout the remaining part of this note R stands for a fixed *real closed field*. Our first result is as follows.

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a quasi-projective nonsingular variety over R with $X(R)$ nonempty. Then the group $\text{Cl}_R(X)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2)^s$ for some nonnegative integer s .*

This result is of interest since, in general, the group $\text{Cl}(X)$ is not even finitely generated. For example, this is the case when X is an affine or projective cubic curve over $R = \mathbf{R}$. Let us also mention that $X(R) \neq \emptyset$ implies density of $X(R)$ in X (cf. for example [1]).

REMARK. If in Theorem 1, X is projective and $R = \mathbf{R}$, then a more precise result is known. Namely, there exists a canonical monomorphism

$$\phi: \text{Cl}_{\mathbf{R}}(X) \rightarrow H^1(X(\mathbf{R}), \mathbb{Z}/2)$$

The author was supported by an NSF grant.

Received by the editors October 18, 1991.

AMS subject classification: Primary: 14C20, 14P05.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1992.

(cf. [3] or [2, Definition 11.3.2, Corollary 12.4.7]). Here $X(\mathbf{R})$ is equipped with the metric topology and $H^1(-, \mathbf{Z}/2)$ stands for the first cohomology group with coefficients in $\mathbf{Z}/2$. The above statement follows also easily from [7] and [13, Theorem 2.2], which concern vector bundles.

In case of an arbitrary real closed field R , we still have the cohomology group $H^1(X(R), \mathbf{Z}/2)$ suitably defined (cf. [2, 6]). This group is, as in the classical case $R = \mathbf{R}$, a finite-dimensional $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -vector space. Moreover, one can easily define a canonical homomorphism $\phi_R: \text{Cl}_R(X) \rightarrow H^1(X(R), \mathbf{Z}/2)$, which coincides with the monomorphism ϕ for $R = \mathbf{R}$. Using Witt's theorem [9], one can show that ϕ_R is a monomorphism if $\dim X = 1$. However, in higher dimensions it is not known whether ϕ_R is injective. For $R = \mathbf{R}$, injectivity is proved by applying the approximation theorem of Weierstrass. ■

Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of Theorem 2, stated in Section 2 and proved in Section 3. Section 4 deals with the Picard group of some R -algebras and is based on Theorem 1.

2. The main theorem. Let X be a projective nonsingular variety over R with $X(R)$ nonempty. Let C denote the algebraic closure of R , that is, $C = R(\sqrt{-1})$. Then $X_C = X \times_R C$ is a nonsingular variety over C . The Galois group $G = \{1, \sigma\}$ of C over R acts on $\text{Div}(X_C)$ as follows. Let $\sigma_X: X_C \rightarrow X_C$ be the involution corresponding to σ . Given $D = \sum k_i D_i$ in $\text{Div}(X_C)$, where the k_i are integers and D_i are prime divisors, one sets $D^\sigma = \sum k_i \sigma_X(D_i)$. This action induces actions of G on $\text{Cl}(X_C)$ and the Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(X_C)$ of X_C . Thus $\text{Div}(X_C)$, $\text{Cl}(X_C)$ and $\text{NS}(X_C)$ can be regarded as G -modules. If P is the Picard variety of X , then $P(C) = \text{Mor}_R(\text{Spec } C, P)$ is also a G -module.

Recall that if M is a (right) G -module, then the second cohomology group $H^2(G, M)$ is the $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -vector space defined by

$$H^2(G, M) = M^G / \{m + m^\sigma \mid m \in M\},$$

where m^σ is the image of m under the action of σ and $M^G = \{m \in M \mid m^\sigma = m\}$.

We can now state our main result.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a projective nonsingular variety over R with $X(R)$ nonempty. Then the group $\text{Cl}_R(X)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^s$ for some nonnegative integer s . Moreover, $H^2(G, \text{NS}(X_C))$ and $H^2(G, P(C))$, where P is the Picard variety of X , are finite-dimensional $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -vector spaces and*

$$s \leq \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} H^2(G, \text{NS}(X_C)) + \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} H^2(G, P(C)).$$

We should mention that Theorem 2 with $R = \mathbf{R}$ is related to [12, p. 58]. A proof of Theorem 2 will be postponed to Section 3. Here we show only how to derive Theorem 1 from Theorem 2.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. By Hironaka's resolution of singularities theorem [8], we may assume that X is an open subvariety of some projective nonsingular variety Y over

R. Clearly, the inclusion morphism $X \hookrightarrow Y$ induces an epimorphism $\text{Cl}_R(Y) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_R(X)$ and hence Theorem 1 follows from Theorem 2. ■

3. Proof of the main theorem.

We begin with some preliminary results.

LEMMA 1. *Let X be a quasi-projective variety over R with $X(R)$ nonempty. Let N be a neighborhood of $X(R)$ in X . Then there exists an affine neighborhood U of $X(R)$ in N .*

PROOF. We may assume that X is a locally closed subvariety of projective space \mathbf{P}_R^n for some n . Let Y be the closure of X in \mathbf{P}_R^n . Then N can be written as $N = Y \setminus V(H_1, \dots, H_k)$, where H_1, \dots, H_k are homogeneous polynomials in $R[X_0, \dots, X_n]$ and $V(H_1, \dots, H_k)$ denotes the closed subspace of \mathbf{P}_R^n determined by the zeros of the H_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Select nonnegative integers d_1, \dots, d_k such that

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^k (X_0^2 + \dots + X_n^2)^{d_i} H_i^2$$

is a homogeneous polynomial. By construction, $U = Y \setminus V(H)$ is a neighborhood of $X(R)$ in N . It is obvious that U is affine. ■

Recall that R (being real closed) is an ordered field and the order on R is uniquely determined. The open intervals $(a, b) = \{x \in R \mid a < x < b\}$, with $a, b \in R$, $a < b$, form a base of open sets of a topology on R , called the *order topology*.

Let X be a quasi-projective variety over R with $X(R)$ nonempty. Suppose that X is a locally closed subvariety of \mathbf{P}_R^n for some n . Then $X(R)$ is a semi-algebraic subset of $\mathbf{P}_R^n(R)$. The order topology on R determines a topology on $\mathbf{P}_R^n(R)$, which in turn induces a topology on $X(R)$. This topology on $X(R)$ is called the *order topology*. Recall that $X(R)$ can be written as $X(R) = S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_k$, where the S_i are pairwise disjoint semi-algebraic subsets of $X(R)$, which are open and closed in the order topology on $X(R)$, and S_i cannot be represented as a union of two semi-algebraic, closed, disjoint, nonempty subsets. Moreover, the S_i are uniquely determined up to permutation. They are called the *semi-algebraic connected components* of $X(R)$. The above constructions do not depend on the choice of the embedding of X in \mathbf{P}_R^n . All these facts, and others which will be used in the proof of Lemma 2 below, can be found in [2] [4] [5].

LEMMA 2. *Let A be an abelian variety over R . Let c be the number of semi-algebraic connected components of $A(R)$. Then considering $A(C)$ as a G -module and setting $2A(R) = \{x + x \mid x \in A(R)\}$, one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} H^2(G, A(C)) &\leq \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} A(R)/2A(R) \\ \text{order}(A(R)/2A(R)) &\leq c. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. The first inequality is obvious by virtue of the definition of $H^2(G, -)$. Below we prove the second inequality.

Since $A(R)$ is nonempty, it follows that $A(R)$ is dense in A (*cf.* for example [1]). Hence $2A(R) = 2_A(A(R))$, where $2_A: A \rightarrow A$ is the isogeny multiplication by 2, is also dense in A . By a theorem of Seidenberg and Tarski [2], $2A(R)$ is a semi-algebraic subset of $A(R)$. The last two facts imply that $2A(R)$ has a nonempty interior in the order topology on $A(R)$ (*cf.* [2, Proposition 2.8.12]) and hence, using translations on $A(R)$, one easily sees that $2A(R)$ is open in the order topology on $A(R)$. By [2, Theorem 2.5.8], $2A(R)$ is also closed in the order topology on $A(R)$.

Let S be a semi-algebraic connected component of $A(R)$. Let x be a point in $A(R)$ and let $f_x: A(R) \rightarrow A(R)$ be the mapping defined by $f_x(y) = y - x$ for y in $A(R)$. It follows from the properties of $2A(R)$ discussed above that the set

$$S_x = S \cap f_x^{-1}(2A(R)) = \{y \in S \mid y - x \in 2A(R)\}$$

is semi-algebraic, and open and closed in the order topology on $A(R)$. Thus $S = S_x$, which shows that

$$\text{order}(A(R)/2A(R)) \leq c. \quad \blacksquare$$

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. The short exact sequence of groups

$$0 \rightarrow P(C) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X_C) \rightarrow \text{NS}(X_C) \rightarrow 0$$

gives rise to an exact sequence of $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -vector spaces

$$H^2(G, P(C)) \rightarrow H^2(G, \text{Cl}(X_C)) \rightarrow H^2(G, \text{NS}(X_C))$$

and hence

$$\dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} H^2(G, \text{Cl}(X_C)) \leq \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} H^2(G, (\text{NS}(X_C))) + \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} H^2(G, P(C)).$$

Note that $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} H^2(G, \text{NS}(X_C)) < \infty$, the Néron-Severi group $\text{NS}(X_C)$ being finitely generated [10]. Moreover, by Lemma 2, $\dim_{\mathbf{Z}/2} H^2(G, P(C)) < \infty$. Thus in order to complete the proof of Theorem 2, it suffices to find an epimorphism of $H^2(G, \text{Cl}(X_C))$ onto $\text{Cl}_R(X)$ or, equivalently, to construct an epimorphism

$$\phi: \text{Cl}(X_C)^G \rightarrow \text{Cl}_R(X)$$

such that

$$(1) \quad \phi([D + D^\sigma]) = 0$$

for all D in $\text{Div}(X_C)$.

We proceed as follows. First recall that the canonical projection $\pi: X_C = X \times_R C \rightarrow X$ induces a monomorphism $\pi^*: \text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X_C)$, whose image is equal to $\text{Cl}(X_C)^G$ (*cf.* [11, V. 20]). We define $\phi: \text{Cl}(X_C)^G \rightarrow \text{Cl}_R(X)$ to be the composition of $(\pi^*)^{-1}: \text{Cl}(X_C)^G \rightarrow \text{Cl}(X)$ and the canonical projection $\text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_R(X) = \text{Cl}(X)/\Gamma(X)$ (*cf.* Section 1). By construction, ϕ is an epimorphism. Now it remains to prove (1), where without any loss

of generality we may assume that D is a prime divisor. We precede the proof of (1) by some preliminary remarks.

Recall that X_C endowed with its canonical descent datum relative to C/R can be identified with X (cf. [11, V. 20]). Let $\sigma_X: X_C \rightarrow X_C$ be the involution corresponding to σ in G . We regard $X(C) = \text{Mor}_R(\text{Spec } C, X)$ as the set of closed points in X_C . Then $X(C)^G = \{x \in X(C) \mid \sigma_X(x) = x\}$ corresponds to the subset $X(R)$ of X . In particular, by Lemma 1, for each neighborhood N of $X(C)^G$ in X_C , there exists an affine neighborhood U of $X(C)^G$ in N such that $\sigma_X(U) = U$ (observe that $N \cap \sigma_X(N)$ is a neighborhood of $X(C)^G$).

Let \mathcal{O} be the structure sheaf of X_C . Given an open subset V of X_C , we identify elements of $\mathcal{O}(V)$ with morphisms from V into affine line \mathbf{A}_C^1 . If f is an element of $\mathcal{O}(V)$, then f^σ denotes the element of $\mathcal{O}(\sigma_X(V))$ defined by $f^\sigma = \sigma_1 \circ f \circ (\sigma_X|_{\sigma_X(V)})$, where $\sigma_1: \mathbf{A}_C^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_C^1$ is the involution corresponding to σ . Observe that if $\sigma_X(V) = V$ and $f = f^\sigma$, then $f(x)$ is in R for all x in $V \cap X(C)^G$, where R is considered as a subset of $\mathbf{A}_C^1(C) = C$. Furthermore, if $\sigma_X(V) = V$ and g is any element of $\mathcal{O}(V)$, then $(gg^\sigma)(x) \geq 0$ for all x in $V \cap X(C)^G$.

Let us now return to the proof of (1). One can find affine open sets V_i and elements f_i in $\mathcal{O}(V_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $X(C)^G$ is contained in $M = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_k$ and $D = (f_i)$ as divisors on V_i . Let U be an affine neighborhood of $X(C)^G$ in M and let $U_i = U \cap V_i \cap \sigma_X(V_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Then the U_i form an open cover of U and $\sigma(U_i) = U_i$. Since U and the U_i are affine, one can find g_i in $\mathcal{O}(U)$ such that $D = (g_i)$ as divisors on U_i and $g_j = \alpha_{ij}g_i$ for some α_{ij} in $\mathcal{O}(U_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq k$. Note that

$$(2) \quad D + D^\sigma = (g_i g_i^\sigma) \text{ as divisors on } U_i.$$

We claim that if h is the element of $\mathcal{O}(U)$ defined by

$$(3) \quad h = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i g_i^\sigma,$$

then there is a neighborhood U' of $X(C)^G$ in U such that

$$(4) \quad \sigma_X(U') = U' \text{ and } D + D^\sigma = (h) \text{ as divisors on } U'.$$

Indeed, let x be a point in $X(C)^G$. Then x is in U_i for some i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. By renaming the indices, we may assume that $i = 1$. Then putting $\alpha_j = \alpha_{1j}$, we have $g_j = \alpha_j g_1$ on U_1 , and substituting into (3), we obtain

$$(5) \quad h = g_1 g_1^\sigma + \sum_{j=2}^k g_j g_j^\sigma = g_1 g_1^\sigma \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^k \alpha_j \alpha_j^\sigma\right) \text{ on } U_1.$$

Since $(\alpha_j \alpha_j^\sigma)(x) \geq 0$ in R for $2 \leq j \leq k$, it follows that

$$1 + \sum_{j=2}^k \alpha_j \alpha_j^\sigma$$

is an invertible element in the stalk \mathcal{O}_x . Hence, by virtue of (5), $(h) = (g_1 g_1^\sigma)$ as divisors on some neighborhood of x in U . Applying (2), we see that (4) follows.

Since $h = h^\sigma$, it follows from (4) that (1) holds, which completes the proof of Theorem 2. ■

4. The Picard group of some algebras over R . Let A be a finitely generated R -algebra with no zero divisors. Assume that the set $\text{Max}_R(A)$ of maximal ideals of A with residue field R is nonempty, and that the localization of A with respect to every maximal ideal in $\text{Max}_R(A)$ is a regular local ring. Let A_R denote the localization of A with respect to the multiplicatively closed subset consisting of all elements in A not contained in any maximal ideal in $\text{Max}_R(A)$.

THEOREM 3. *With the notation as above, the Picard group $\text{Pic}(A_R)$ of A_R is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^s$ for some nonnegative integer s .*

PROOF. Let $Y = \text{Spec } A$. Observe that there is a neighborhood X of $Y(R)$ in Y , which is a nonsingular variety over R . Hence, by Theorem 1, $\text{Cl}_R(X)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{Z}/2)^s$ for some nonnegative integer s .

Consider the ring $\mathcal{R}(X)$ defined by

$$\mathcal{R}(X) = \lim \text{inj } \mathcal{O}_X(U),$$

where \mathcal{O}_X is the structure sheaf of X and U runs through the set of all affine neighborhoods of $X(R) = Y(R)$ in X (cf. Lemma 1). One easily sees that $\mathcal{R}(X)$ is canonically isomorphic to A_R . Moreover, since $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{O}(U))$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Cl}(U)$, U being affine, one obtains that $\text{Pic}(\mathcal{R}(X))$ is isomorphic to $\text{Cl}_R(X)$. Thus the proof is complete. ■

REFERENCES

1. E. Becker, *Valuations and real places in the theory of formally real fields*. In: Géométrie Algébrique Réelle et Formes Quadratiques, Lecture Notes in Math. **959**, Springer, 1982 1–40.
2. J. Bochnak, M. Coste and M.-F. Roy, *Géométrie Algébrique Réelle*, Ergebnisse der Math., **12**, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Springer Verlag, 1987.
3. L. Bröcker, *Reelle Divisoren*, Arch. der Math. **35**(1980), 140–143.
4. G. W. Brumfiel, *Partially Ordered Rings and Semi-algebraic Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1979.
5. H. Delfs and M. Knebusch, *Semi-algebraic topology over a real closed field I, II*, Math. Z. **177**(1981), 107–129; Math. Z. **178**(1981), 175–213.
6. ———, *On the homology of algebraic varieties over real closed fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **335**(1982), 122–163.
7. E. G. Evans, *Projective modules as fiber bundles*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **27**(1971), 623–626.
8. H. Hironaka, *Resolution of singularities of an algebraic variety over a field of characteristic zero*, Ann. of Math. **79**(1964), 109–326.
9. M. Knebusch, *On algebraic curves over real closed fields I*, Math Z. **150**(1976), 49–70.
10. S. Lang and A. Néron, *Rational points of abelian varieties over function fields*, Amer. J. Math. **81**(1959), 95–118.
11. J.-P. Serre, *Groups Algébriques et Corps de Classes*, Hermann, Paris, 1959.

12. R. Silhol, *Real Algebraic Surfaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1392**, Springer, 1989.
13. R. G. Swan, *Topological examples of projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **230**(1977), 201–234.

*Department of Mathematics
University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87131
U.S.A.*