# A characterization of the Hall planes of odd order 

## P.B. Kirkpatrick

The Hall projective planes of odd order are characterized in terms of their translations, collineations which fix all the points of a Baer subplane, and involutory homologies.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\Pi$ be a projective plane, $\tau_{\infty}$ a line of $\Pi$ and $\Pi_{0}$ a Baer subplane of $\Pi$ such that $\eta_{\infty}$ is a line of $\Pi_{0}$. We call II a generalized Hall plane with respect to $l_{\infty}$, $I_{0}$ if
(1) II is a translation plane with respect to $l_{\infty}$, and
(2) II has a group of collineations which is transitive on the points of $l_{\infty}$ not in $\Pi_{0}$, and fixes every point in $\Pi_{0}$.

The object of this paper is to prove:
THEOREM. A projective plane $\Pi$ is a Hall plane of odd order if and only if
(a) II is a finite generalized Hall plane with respect to some line $\tau_{\infty}$ and Baer subplane $\Pi_{0}$ containing $\tau_{\infty}$, and
(b) each point of $\underline{\underline{M}}=\left\{M \mid M \in Z_{\infty}\right.$ and $\left.M \in \Pi_{0}\right\}$ is the centre of an involutory homology with axis in $\Pi_{0}$.

The necessity of conditions ( $a$ ) and (b) was proved by Hughes [6]

[^0]in 1959.
All finite planes with involutory homologies have odd order.
We use the terminology of Dembowski [2], except that we denote points by capital letters and the coordinate quadrangle $(0,0),(1,1),(0),(\infty)$ by $0, I, X, Y$ instead of $0, e, u, v$.

## 2. Preliminary results and lemmas

If $O, I, X, Y$ is any coordinate quadrangle of a generalized Hall plane $I$, with $0, I, X, Y \in \Pi_{0}$ and $X, Y \in I_{\infty}$, then the corresponding ternary ring determines a quasifield $F$ which has a sub-quasifield $F_{0}$ such that whenever $z \in F \backslash F_{0}$ and $w \in F$ then $w=z \alpha+\beta$ for exactly one pair $(\alpha, \beta) \in F_{\mathrm{O}} \times F_{\mathrm{O}} \cdot F$ has a group of automorphisms which is transitive on $F \backslash F_{0}$ and fixes every element of $F_{0}$. Consequently, there exist four maps $f, g, h, k: F_{0} \rightarrow F_{0}$ with

$$
(z \alpha) z=z f(\alpha)+\dot{g}(\alpha) \text { and } \beta z=z h(\beta)+k(\beta)
$$

for all $z \in F \backslash F_{0}, \quad \alpha \in F_{0}, \quad \beta \in F_{0}$.
In addition to these facts, we shall need the classification of the subgroups of PSL(2, q) by Dickson ([3], Second Part, Chapter XII), and the following two results:

RESULT 1 (Kirkpatrick [7]). If $\pi$ is a generalized Hall plane of odd order then $F_{0}$ is a field and $F$ is a right vector space (of dimension two) over $F_{0}$ with respect to the operations induced by the quasifield structure of $F$. .

RESULT 2 (André [1]). If $\underline{\underline{H}}_{1}$ and $\underline{\underline{H}}_{2}$ are non-trivial homologies in a finite projective plane, and if $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ have the same axis but distinct centres, then the group $\left\langle\mathrm{H}_{1}, \underline{\underline{H}}_{2}\right.$ ) contains a non-trivial elation.

Let us assume throughout the remainder of the paper that $I$ is a generalized Hall plane of odd order, with special line $l_{\infty}$ and special subplane $\Pi_{0}$; and that all coordinate systems mentioned shall have
$0, I \in \Pi_{0}$ and $X, Y \in \underline{M}=\left\{M \mid M \in Z_{\infty}\right.$ and $\left.M \in \Pi_{0}\right\}$. Then $\underline{\underline{M}}=\left\{(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in F_{0}\right\} \cup\{(\infty)\}$ and, by Result 1,
$(z \alpha+\beta) z=z[f(\alpha)+h(\beta)]+g(\alpha)+k(\beta), \forall z \in F \backslash F_{0}, \alpha \in F_{0}, \beta \in F_{0}$,
and the four maps are additive homomorphisms.
LEMMA 1. Every elation of $I I$ with centre in $M$ is a translation.
Proof. Suppose there is an elation with centre $M \in M$ which is not a translation. There is an allowable coordinate system with $Y=M$. The non-trivial $(Y, O Y)$-elations are in one-to-one correspondence with the non-zero $d \in F$ such that

$$
x(d+y)=x d+x y, \forall x, y \in F
$$

If there exists such an element $d$, then, for some $\beta \in F_{0}, \beta \neq 0$, and $z \in F \backslash F_{0}, z(\beta+z)=z \beta+z z$ and $\beta(\beta+z)=\beta \beta+\beta z$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta(\beta+z)=\beta \beta+\beta z & \Rightarrow(\beta+z) h(\beta)+k(\beta)=\beta \beta+z h(\beta)+k(\beta) \\
& \Rightarrow h(\beta)=\beta,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(\beta+z)=z \beta+z z & \Rightarrow(\beta+z-\beta)(\beta+z)=z \beta+z f(1)+g(1) \\
& \Rightarrow(\beta+z) f(1)+g(1)+(\beta+z) h(-\beta)+k(-\beta)=z[\beta+f(1)]+g(1) \\
& \Rightarrow f(1)+h(-\beta)=\beta+f(1) \\
& \Rightarrow h(\beta)=-\beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

This contradiction establishes the lemma.
LEMMA 2. II has an involutory ( $X, O Y$ )-homology if and only if $f_{f}=k=0$ (the zero map).

Proof. The $(X, O Y)$-homologies are given by $(x, y) \mapsto(x b, y)$ where $b \in N_{m}$,

$$
N_{m}=\{b \mid a(b c)=(a b) c ; \forall a, c \in F\}
$$

Suppose $(x, y) \mapsto(x z, y)$, where $z \in F \backslash F_{0}$, is an involutory homology. Then $(x z) z=x$ if $x \in F$, so that $z z=1$; and $z(z \dot{\alpha})=(z z) \alpha=\alpha$ if $\alpha \in F_{0}$, so that $f=0$ and $g(\alpha)=\alpha^{-1}$ if $\alpha \neq 0$. But $g$ is an
additive homomorphism and $F_{0}$ is a field of odd order, so we have a contradiction.

Now the multiplicative group of $F_{0}$ is cyclic, with unique involution -l, and it is easily verified that if $f=k=0$ then $-1 \in N_{m}$. Suppose, on the other hand, that $-1 \in N_{m}, \alpha \in F_{0}$ and $z \in F \backslash F_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(-z)=(-\alpha) z=-(\alpha z), \\
& \text { and so }(-z) h(\alpha)+k(\alpha)=-[z h(\alpha)+k(\alpha)] . \text { Thus } k=0, \text { and, if } \beta \in F_{0}, \\
& {[(z \alpha+\beta)(-1)] z }=-[(z \alpha+\beta) z] \\
&=-z[f(\alpha)+h(\beta)]-g(\alpha),
\end{aligned}
$$

whereas

$$
\begin{aligned}
(z \alpha+\beta)(-z) & =[(-z)(-\alpha)+\beta](-z) \\
& =(-z) f(-\alpha)+g(-\alpha)+(-z) h(\beta),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $f=0$ also.
LEMMA 3. Suppose $\Pi$ has an involutory ( $X$, oY)-homology $\underline{=}_{0, \infty}$ and an involutory $((\alpha), O(\beta))$-homology $H_{\alpha, \beta}$, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are (distinct) non-zero elements of $F_{0}$. Then $H_{\alpha, \beta} \operatorname{maps}(z)$ to (-z), for all $z$ in $F \backslash F_{0}$, and

$$
g(\tau)=\alpha B \gamma h^{-1}\left(\gamma^{-1} \tau\right) \text { for all } \tau \in F_{0} \text {, }
$$

where $\gamma=2(\alpha-\beta)^{-1}$.
Proof. $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ maps $Y$ to ( $\sigma$ ), where $\sigma=\frac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta)$, and therefore ${ }_{\underline{=}}^{\alpha, \beta}$ maps any affine point $(x, y)$ of $\Pi$ to $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$, where

$$
y_{1}-x \beta=\left(x_{1}-x\right) \sigma \quad \text { and } \quad y_{1}-y=\left(x_{1}-x\right) \alpha
$$

The line $y=x z$ is mapped to a line $y=x w$. Substituting $y=x z$ and $y_{1}=x_{1} w$ in the above equations, we derive:

$$
x_{1} \omega-x_{1} \sigma=x \beta-x \sigma \text { and } x_{1} \omega-x_{1} \alpha=x z-x \alpha .
$$

These imply:

$$
x_{1}=x+(x \beta-x z)(\alpha-\sigma)^{-1} \text { and } x_{1} w=x_{1} \sigma+x(\beta-\sigma)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x+(x \beta-x z) \gamma] \omega=(x \beta-x z) \gamma \sigma+x \beta \text { for all } x \in F, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y=(\alpha-\sigma)^{-1}=2(\alpha-\beta)^{-1}$.
There exists an automorphism of $F$ which maps $z$ to $w$, while fixing each element of $F_{0}$. Suppose this maps $w$ to $v$. Then we deduce from (1):

$$
[x+(x \beta-x w) \gamma] v=(x \beta-x w) \gamma \sigma+x \beta \text { for all } x \in E .
$$

But $\underline{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ maps $(w)$ to ( $z$ ), so ( 1 ) also yields:

$$
[x+(x \beta-x w) \gamma] z=(x \beta-x w) \gamma \sigma+x \beta \text { for all } x \in F
$$

It follows that $v=z$, whence $w=-z+\lambda$ for some $\lambda$ in $F_{0}$.
Now let $G$ be the cyclic group $\left\langle\mathrm{H}_{=0, \infty}, \mathrm{H}_{\alpha, \beta}\right.$ 〉. There is a homomorphism $\varphi: G \rightarrow\left(F_{0},+\right)$ which maps any $K$ in $G$ to the $\mu$ in $F_{0}$ such that $(z+\mu)=(z) \underline{K}$. We shall prove that $\varphi$ is trivial. Write $p$ equals the characteristic of $F_{0}, q=\left|F_{0}\right|$, and suppose that $G$ contains an element $\underline{\underline{K}}$ of order $p$. Since $K$ fixes 0 , and $\left(q^{2}-1, p\right)=1, K$ fixes another affine point $A$ of $\Pi_{0}$. It follows that $K$ is a central collineation with axis $O A$, when restricted to the subplane $\Pi_{0}$. We readily conclude from this that either the two homologies have the same centre or they have the same axis. This contradiction shows that $\left(|G|,\left|F_{0}\right|\right)=1$.

Since $\varphi$ is trivial and $\underline{\underline{H}}_{0, \infty}$ maps $(z)$ to $(-z), H_{=\alpha, \beta}$ also maps (z) to (-z).

Substituting $\omega=-\boldsymbol{z}$, and restricting $x$ to $F_{0}$, we may simplify equation (1) to:

$$
-z h(x+x \beta \gamma)+g(h(x) \gamma)=x \beta(\gamma \sigma+1)-z h(x) \gamma \sigma .
$$

It follows that $g(h(x) \gamma)=x \beta(\gamma \sigma+1)$ for all $x$ in $F_{0}$. Since $\gamma \sigma+1=\alpha \gamma$, the lemma is proved.

## 3. Proof of the theorem

We now assume that each point of $M$ is the centre of an involutory homology with axis in $\Pi_{0}$. The theorem is to be proved by considering separately the cases $q=\left|F_{0}\right| \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. We shall refer to the unordered pairs $\{(\alpha),(\beta)\}$ and $\{X, Y\}$ corresponding to the involutory $((\alpha), O(\beta))-,(X, O Y)-$ and $(Y, O X)$-homologies as "special pairs". These special pairs partition $M$ and are permuted by each of the homologies (by Result 2 and Lemma 1).

Case $1: q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. The permutation induced by $\underline{H}_{0, \infty}$ on the set of $\frac{1}{2}(q+1)$ special pairs fixes $\{X, Y\}$ and therefore fixes at least one other special pair $\{(\alpha),(\beta)\}$. The corresponding homologies $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $H_{B, \alpha}$ both interchange $X$ and $Y$. We can change coordinates so that $H_{\alpha, \beta}$ becomes $H_{1,-1}$. By Lemma 3,

$$
g(\tau)=-h^{-1}(\tau) \text { for all } \tau \in F_{0}
$$

Now consider any $\underline{H}_{\alpha, \beta}$ with $\alpha \neq \pm 1$. Since
$\stackrel{H}{-1}_{1,-1}^{H_{\alpha, \beta}}{ }_{1,-1}=\underline{\underline{H}}_{\alpha^{-1}, \beta^{-1}}$, we have, from Lemma 3 again

$$
g(\tau)=\alpha \beta \gamma h^{-1}\left(\gamma^{-1} \tau\right)=\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}(-\alpha \beta \gamma) h^{-1}\left(-\alpha^{-1} \beta_{\gamma^{-1}}^{-1} \tau\right),
$$

and so $\alpha \beta h^{-1}\left(\gamma^{-1} \tau\right)=h^{-1}\left(\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \gamma^{-1} \tau\right)$. Putting $\tau=\gamma \rho$, we deduce that

$$
h^{-1}\left(\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \rho\right)=\alpha \beta h^{-1}(\rho) \text { for all } \rho \in F_{0}
$$

It follows that either $\alpha \beta$ is in the prime subfield $G F(p)$ of $F_{0}$, or $h^{-1}$ induces an involutory automorphism of the extension of GF(p) by $\alpha \beta$. Since $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, the first alternative is the only possibility. Thus $\alpha \beta= \pm 1$, and $g(\gamma)= \pm \gamma h^{-1}(1)= \pm \gamma \quad$ (since $1 . z=z .1$ ), whence $h^{-1}(\gamma)=\mp \gamma$. But $\gamma$ ranges over exactly half of the non-zero elements of
$F_{0}$, since $\gamma=2(\alpha-\beta)^{-1}$ and if $\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma$ then $\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}=\alpha \beta$ (Lemma 3). So we may choose a basis for $F_{0}$ (as a vector space over $G F(p)$ ) from among the values taken by $\gamma$, and relative to this basis $h$ has a diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are $\pm 1$.

If one or more of these entries is -1 , then a contradiction results. For $h(1)=1$ implies that at least one entry is +1 , and so $h$ has only $p^{i}+p^{j}-1$ eigenvectors, for some $i, j$ with $p^{i+j}=p^{n}=q, i>0$, $j>0$. But $h$ has at least $\frac{1}{2}\left(p^{n}-1\right)$ eigenvectors, so
$p^{i}+p^{j}-1 \geq \frac{1}{2}\left(p^{n}-1\right)$. Simple calculations now show that (since $p$ is an odd prime) $q=9$, contradicting $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

Thus $h(\tau)=\tau$ and $g(\tau)=-\tau$ for all $\tau$ in $F_{0}$, that is $F$ is a Hall system.

Case 2: $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. We show first that ${\underset{\sim}{H}}^{H}, \infty$ does not interchange the two points of any special pair. Suppose the contrary. Then choose coordinates so that $\{(1),(-1)\}$ is a special pair. Let $\varepsilon$ be a square root of -1 in $F_{0}$, and $\{(\varepsilon),(\beta)\}$ the corresponding special pair. Each of ${\underset{H}{H}, \infty}^{\infty}$ and ${\underset{\sim}{H}}_{1,-1}$ maps this special pair to a special pair. So $\{(-\varepsilon),(-\beta)\}$ and $\left\{(-\varepsilon),\left(\beta^{-1}\right)\right\}$ are special pairs, that is $\beta^{2}=-1$, and $\{(\varepsilon),(-\varepsilon)\}$ is a special pair. By Lemma 3,

$$
-h^{-1}(\tau)=g(\tau)=-\varepsilon h^{-1}(\varepsilon \tau) \text { for all } \tau \in E_{0}
$$

Thus $h^{-1}(\varepsilon)=-\varepsilon$, and so $\varepsilon$ does not lie in $G F(p)$, and $h^{-1}$ induces an involutory automorphism of the extension of $G F(p)$ by $\varepsilon$. This field contains an element $\rho$ such that $h(\rho) \rho=-1$. But

$$
(z+\rho) z=g(1)+z h(\rho)=-1-z \rho^{-1}=(z+\rho)\left(-\rho^{-1}\right)
$$

if $z \in F \backslash F_{0}$. This contradiction proves our original assertion.
It follows that the collineation group $K$ generated by our involutory homologies is transitive on the set of $\frac{1}{2}(q+1)$ special pairs.

Let $K^{*}$ be the group induced on the points of $M$ by $K$, and let $H^{*}$ be the subgroup of $K^{*}$ generated by all the products of two non-trivial elements of $K^{*}$. Then $K^{*}$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, since $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Also $\left[K^{*}: H^{*}\right]=2$ and $\frac{1}{2}(q+1)\left|\left|K^{*}\right|\right.$. But $\frac{1}{2}(q+1)$ is odd, so $q+1| | K^{*} \mid$ and $H^{*}$ is transitive on the set of special pairs.

Now $\left(\left|K^{*}\right|, q\right)=1$, by an argument used in the proof of Lemma 3 ; also $K^{*} \leq \operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, and $q+1| | K^{*} \mid$. So either $K^{*}$ is dihedral of order $q+1$ or $K^{*}$ is isomorphic to $A_{4}, S_{4}$ or $A_{5}$ (Dickson [3]). Since $q \equiv 1(\bmod 4), K^{*}$ is dihedral of order $q+1$, and $H^{*}$ is cyclic.

The situation, then, is that our involutory homologies, restricted to the affine portion of $\Pi_{0}$, are the reflections in an orthogonal group $O(2, q)$, and therefore $\alpha \beta$ has the same value for all ${\underset{\sim}{\alpha}, \beta}$. Since $g(\gamma)=\alpha \beta \gamma$ for all $\gamma$ corresponding to homologies $H_{\alpha, \beta}$, an argument used in Case 1 shows that $g(\tau)=\alpha \beta \tau$ for all $\tau$ in $F_{0}$. But $g(\tau)=\alpha \beta \gamma h^{-1}\left(\gamma^{-1} \tau\right)$, and so $h(\tau)=\tau$ for all $\tau$ in $F_{0}$. Thus $F$ is once again a Hall system, and the theorem is proved.
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