

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) treatment versus mycobacterial infections: Better safe than sorry?

Henry Marcel Zalona Fernandes MSc¹ , Gabriela Mastrobuono MSc¹, Emilyn Costa Conceição PhD^{2,3} , Rubens Clayton da Silva Dias PhD⁴ , Daniela Sales Alviano PhD¹  and Rafael Silva Duarte MD, PhD¹ 

¹Institute of Microbiology, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ²Laboratory of Bacteriology and Bioassays, National Institute of Infectology Evandro Chagas, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, ³Postgraduate Program in Clinical Research of Infectious Diseases, National Institute of Infectology Evandro Chagas, Oswaldo Cruz Foundation, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil and ⁴Biomedical Institute, Federal University of State of Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

To the Editor—More than 1 year after the onset of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, severe acute respiratory coronavirus virus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has infected ~113 million people and has caused ~2.5 million deaths, according to the World Health Organization (WHO). Vaccination campaigns have been implemented in several countries worldwide as a prevention strategy for preventing new cases, preventing overcrowding of health facilities and decreasing COVID-19-associated deaths. Nevertheless, the total number of vaccines produced is still not sufficient to address the world population, especially developing countries, and many people continue to be infected daily, requiring hospitalization and health care.¹

Currently, there is no effective treatment for COVID-19. However, some drugs and immunomodulators agents have been suggested to prevent the aggravation of clinical conditions: azithromycin, hydroxychloroquine, remdesivir, lopinavir, ritonavir, dexamethasone, tocilizumab, and others.² Previous studies have also alluded to the importance of differential diagnosis to facilitate adequate treatment because other infectious lung diseases, such as tuberculosis (TB) and nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) pulmonary diseases, may present similar symptoms and result in increased disease severity if they are not correctly identified early.³ Furthermore, TB–COVID-19 and NTM–COVID-19 coinfections have also been described.^{3,4} Some medications used in COVID-19 therapy can cause drug–drug interactions with first-line anti-TB drugs, such as antivirals and corticosteroids with rifampicin,^{5,6} or they can increase the risk of latent TB reactivation and NTM pulmonary diseases, such as antirheumatic and immunomodulatory agents.^{7,8} In addition, azithromycin, which has been adopted in the medication management of COVID-19 patients based on its anti-inflammatory and antiviral properties, may result in the development of macrolide-resistant mycobacterial strains (Table 1).⁹

Therefore, when COVID-19 is suspected, differential diagnoses should be performed to prevent risk factors for mycobacterial disease due to inadequate patient treatment. On the other hand, patients with concomitant mycobacterial and COVID-19 etiology require simultaneous treatment, but coadministration

of rifampicin with antiviral drugs (eg, remdesivir, lopinavir and ritonavir) should be avoided due to the gastrointestinal and liver toxicity risk in addition to the reduction of antiviral drugs to subtherapeutic concentrations.⁶ In a related study, high cortisol levels have been proposed as a possible prognostic marker for COVID-19 associated with adverse outcomes. However, concomitant use of rifampicin with dexamethasone can result in increased dexamethasone metabolism and altered cortisol levels.^{5,10} Under these circumstances, an antimycobacterial protocol should be maintained to reduce the selection of resistant mycobacterial strains, and drug therapy for COVID-19 should be limited to severe cases.³

During the COVID-19 pandemic, TB surveillance programs have also been affected by social isolation and limited patient access to health services, resulting in delayed diagnosis and treatment failure. Moreover, COVID-19 and TB share socioeconomic determinants and comorbidities, so TB–COVID-19 coinfection should be considered, especially in endemic regions, because it can result in potentiated pathogenesis of both diseases and consequent worsening of the patient's condition. Some measures that may be used in pandemic management to improve TB monitoring include assessing patient and family exposure history and implementing digital health-assistive technologies.³

Due to the absence of specific treatment of COVID-19, only ongoing drug trials have been used to improve the outcomes of hospitalized patients. Nevertheless, drug therapy protocols for COVID-19 can increase susceptibility to mycobacterial infections.^{3,4} Thus, further studies analyzing the therapeutic agents used for COVID-19 and their influence on mycobacterial viability and virulence are needed. Their findings will contribute to clinical decision making regarding the best treatment strategy while preventing the development of mycobacterial infections or serious complications in TB–COVID-19 and NTM–COVID-19 coinfections, especially in elderly patients with comorbidities and in TB-endemic countries, such as Brazil and India, which are among the 3 countries with the highest burden of COVID-19 worldwide.^{1,3}

Acknowledgments. The authors acknowledge support from Foundation for Research Support of the State of Rio de Janeiro (FAPERJ) and National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq).

Financial support. This study was supported by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior, Brazil (CAPES, finance code 001).

Conflicts of interest. All authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Author for correspondence: Henry Marcel Zalona Fernandes M.Sc., E-mail: henry_zalona@hotmail.com.

Cite this article: Zalona Fernandes HM, *et al.* (2022). Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) treatment versus mycobacterial infections: Better safe than sorry?. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 43: 952–953, <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2021.124>

Table 1. Therapeutic Suggested Approaches for COVID-19 and Possible Risk Factors for Mycobacterial Diseases

Therapeutic Agent	Pharmacological Class	Proposed Mechanism of Action in COVID-19	Risk Factors for Mycobacterial Disease	Reference
Azithromycin	Antibiotic	Immunomodulatory properties and <i>in vitro</i> antiviral activity	Potential emergence of macrolide-resistant NTM	9
Hydroxychloroquine	Antirheumatic	Anti-inflammatory action and preventing viral entry into the cell	Increased risk of NTM disease	7
Remdesivir	Antiviral	Inhibition of RdRp, preventing viral RNA synthesis	Drug–drug interaction with rifampicin	6
Lopinavir	Antiviral	Protease inhibitory activity, preventing viral replication	Drug–drug interaction with rifampicin	6
Ritonavir	Antiviral	Protease inhibitory activity, preventing viral replication	Drug–drug interaction with rifampicin	6
Dexamethasone	Corticosteroid	Anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive properties, preventing cytokine storm and damage to lung tissue	Drug–drug interaction with rifampicin	5
Anakinra	Immunomodulator	Blocking IL-1 signaling, preventing cytokine storm and damage to lung tissue	Reactivation of latent TB	8
Canakinumab	Immunomodulator	Blocking IL-1 signaling, preventing cytokine storm and damage to lung tissue	Reactivation of latent TB	8
Tocilizumab	Immunomodulator	Blocking IL-6 signaling, preventing cytokine storm and damage to lung tissue	Increased risk of NTM disease and reactivation of latent TB	8
Ruxolitinib	Immunomodulator	JAK1 and JAK2 inhibition, preventing cytokine storm and damage to lung tissue	Increased risk of NTM disease and reactivation of latent TB	8

Note. NTM, non-tuberculous mycobacteria; TB, tuberculosis; RdRp, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; IL, interleukin; JAK, Janus kinases.

References

- Weekly operational update on COVID-19—1 March 2021. World Health Organization website. <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-operational-update-on-covid-19-1-march-2021>. Updated March 1, 2021. Accessed March 3, 2021.
- Magro, G. COVID-19: review on latest available drugs and therapies against SARS-CoV-2. Coagulation and inflammation cross-talking. *Virus Res* 2020, doi: [10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198070](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2020.198070).
- Crisan-Dabija R, Grigorescu C, Pavel CA, *et al.* Tuberculosis and COVID-19: lessons from the past viral outbreaks and possible future outcomes. *Can Respir J* 2020. doi: [10.1155/2020/1401053](https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/1401053).
- Rodriguez JA, Bonnano C, Khatiwada P, Roa AA, Mayer D, Eckardt PA. COVID-19 coinfection with Mycobacterium abscessus in a patient with multiple myeloma. *Case Rep Infect Dis* 2021. doi: [10.1155/2021/8840536](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/8840536).
- Abdullah HN, Nowalid WKWM. Abnormal dexamethasone suppression tests in a rifampicin-treated patient with suspected Cushing's syndrome. *Endokrynol Pol* 2010;61:706–709.
- Lemaitre F, Solas C, Grégoire M, *et al.* Potential drug–drug interactions associated with drugs currently proposed for COVID-19 treatment in patients receiving other treatments. *Fundam Clin Pharmacol* 2020; 34:530–547.
- Brode SK, Jamieson FB, Ng R, *et al.* Increased risk of mycobacterial infections associated with anti-rheumatic medications. *Thorax* 2015;70: 677–682.
- Kordzadeh-Kermani E, Khalili H, Karimzadeh I, Salehi M. Prevention strategies to minimize the infection risk associated with biologic and targeted immunomodulators. *Infect Drug Resist* 2020;13:513.
- Choi H, Kim SY, Lee H, *et al.* Clinical characteristics and treatment outcomes of patients with macrolide-resistant Mycobacterium massiliense lung disease. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017;61(2):e02189–16.
- Tan T, Khoo B, Mills EG, *et al.* Cortisol concentrations and mortality from COVID-19—authors' reply. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2020;8: 809–810.

Implications of social distancing in Brazil in the COVID-19 pandemic

Raquel Cristina Cavalcanti Dantas PhD¹ , Paola Amaral de Campos PhD², Iara Rossi MSc² and Rosineide Marques Ribas PhD²

¹Institute of Biotechnology, Federal University of Uberlandia, Brazil and ²Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology, Federal University of Uberlandia, Brazil

Author for correspondence: Rosineide Marques Ribas, E-mail: rosi_ribas@yahoo.com.br

Cite this article: Dantas RCC, *et al.* (2020). Implications of social distancing in Brazil in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 43: 953–954, <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.210>

© 2020 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

To the Editor—The pandemic caused by a novel coronavirus disease, known as COVID-19, carried millions of people around the world to a state of unprecedented panic. The World Health Organization (WHO) stated that more than one-third of the world is currently under some social distancing pattern, which is the