

Bibliography

- Adams, F. and de Moraes, J. A. (2016). 'Is there a philosophy of information?', *Topoi*, Vol. 35, No. 1, pp. 161–171.
- Adekola, J., Fischbacher-Smith, D., Okey-Adibe, T., and Audu, J. (2022). 'Strategies to build trust and COVID-19 vaccine confidence and engagement in Scotland', *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, Vol. 13, pp. 890–902.
- Adriaans, P. (2012). 'Information', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, fall 2013 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2013/entries/information>.
- Adriaans, P. and van Benthem, J. (eds.) (2008). *Philosophy of Information*. Amsterdam and Oxford: Elsevier B.V.
- Alston, W. (2002). 'Plantinga, naturalism, and defeat', in J. Beilby (ed.), *Naturalism Defeated? Essays on Plantinga's Evolutionary Argument against Naturalism*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, pp. 176–203.
- (2005). *Beyond Justification: Dimensions of Epistemic Evaluation*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
- American Library Association. (2005). 'Resolution on disinformation, media manipulation and the destruction of public information'. Available at: www.ala.org/aboutala/sites/ala.org.aboutala/files/content/governance/policy_manual/updatedpolicymanual/ocrpdfofprm/52-8disinformation.pdf.
- Ancell, A. (2019). 'The fact of unreasonable pluralism', *Journal of the American Philosophical Association*, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 410–428.
- Baehr, J. (2009). 'Evidentialism, vice, and virtue', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 78, pp. 545–567.
- (2011). *The Inquiring Mind: On Intellectual Virtues and Virtue Epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2017). 'Four dimensions of an intellectual virtue', in C. Mi, M. Slote, and E. Sosa (eds.), *Moral and Intellectual Virtues in Western and Chinese Philosophy*. London: Routledge, pp. 86–98.
- Baker-Hytch, M. and Benton, M. (2015). 'Defeatism defeated', *Philosophical Perspectives*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 40–66.
- Ballantyne, N. and Coffman, E. J. (2011). 'Uniqueness, evidence, and rationality', *Philosophers Imprint*, Vol. 11, No. 18, pp. 1–13.

- Barclay, D. A. (2022). *Disinformation: The Nature of Facts and Lies in the Post-truth Era*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
- Bates, M. J. (2006). 'Fundamental forms of information', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 57, No. 8, pp. 1033–1045.
- Battaly, H. (2010). 'Epistemic self-indulgence', *Metaphilosophy*, Vol. 41, No. 1–2, pp. 214–234.
- (2014). 'Varieties of epistemic vice', in J. Matheson and R. Vitz (eds.), *The Ethics of Belief*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 51–76.
- Beddor, B. (2015). 'Process reliabilism's troubles with defeat', *Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 65, No. 259, pp. 145–159.
- (2021). 'Reasons for reliabilism', in J. Brown and M. Simion (eds.), *Reasons, Justification, and Defeat*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 146–176.
- Benton, M. (2014). 'Knowledge norms', in J. Fieser and B. Dowden (eds.), *Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. Available at: www.iep.utm.edu/kn-norms.
- Bergmann, M. (2006). *Justification without Awareness*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Bird, A. (2007). 'Justified judging', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 74, pp. 81–110.
- Blair, D. C. (1992). 'Information retrieval and the philosophy of language', *Computer Journal*, Vol. 35, No. 3, pp. 200–207.
- (2003). 'Information retrieval and the philosophy of language', *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 3–50.
- Blair, J. P., Levine, T., and Shaw, A. (2010). 'Content in context improves deception detection accuracy', *Human Communication Research*, Vol. 36, pp. 423–442.
- Bond, C. F. and DePaulo, B. M. (2006). 'Accuracy of deception judgments', *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, Vol. 10, pp. 214–234.
- BonJour, L. (1985). *The Structure of Empirical Knowledge*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Brookes, B. C. (1980). 'The foundations for information science: part I. Philosophical aspects', *Journal of Information Science*, Vol. 2, pp. 125–133.
- Brown, J. (2012). 'Assertion and practical reasoning: common or divergent epistemic standards?', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 84, No. 1, pp. 123–157.
- (2018). *Fallibilism: Evidence and Knowledge*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Brown, J. and Simion, M. (2021). *Reasons, Justification, and Defeat*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bucher, T. (2017). 'The algorithmic imaginary: exploring the ordinary affects of Facebook algorithms', *Information, Communication & Society*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 30–44.
- Buckland, M. K. (1991). 'Information as thing', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, Vol. 42, No. 5, pp. 351–360.

- Budd, J. M. (2011). 'Meaning, truth, and information: prolegomena to a theory', *Journal of Documentation*, Vol. 67, No. 1, pp. 56–74.
- Buller, D. J. (1998). 'Etiological theories of function: a geographical survey', *Biology and Philosophy*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 505–527.
- Burge, T. (2010). *Origins of Objectivity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Burrell, J. (2016). 'How the machine "thinks": understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms', *Big Data & Society*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 1–12.
- Calvert, P. J. (2001). 'Scholarly misconduct and misinformation on the World Wide Web', *Electronic Library*, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp. 232–240.
- Capurro, R. and Hjørland, B. (2003). 'The concept of information', *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 37, No. 1, pp. 343–411.
- Carnap, R. and Bar-Hillel, Y. (1952). *An Outline of a Theory of Semantic Information, Technical Report No. 247*. Cambridge, MA: Research Laboratory of Electronics, MIT.
- Carson, T. L. (2010). *Lying and Deception*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Carter, J. A. and McKenna, R. (2020). 'Skepticism motivated: on the skeptical import of motivated reasoning', *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 50, No. 6, pp. 702–718.
- Carter, J. A. and Navarro, J. (2017). 'The defeasibility of knowledge-how', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 95, No. 3, pp. 662–685.
- Cevolani, G. (2011). 'Strongly semantic information and verisimilitude', *Etica and Political/Ethics and Politics*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 159–179.
- Chaby, L. E., Karavidha, K., Lisieski, M. J., Perrine, S. A., and Liberzon, I. (2019). 'Cognitive flexibility training improves extinction retention memory and enhances cortical dopamine with and without traumatic stress exposure', *Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience*, Vol. 13, p. 24.
- Chandler, J. (2013). 'Defeat reconsidered', *Analysis*, Vol. 73, No. 1, pp. 49–51.
- Choi, S. and Fara, M. (2018). 'Dispositions', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, fall 2018 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2018/entries/dispositions>.
- Chrisman, M. (2008). 'Ought to believe', *Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 105, No. 7, pp. 346–370.
- Christensen, D. (2010). 'Higher-order evidence', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 81, No. 1, pp. 185–215.
- Coates, A. (2012). 'Rational epistemic akrasia', *American Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 113–124.
- Comesaña, J. (2010). 'Evidentialist reliabilism', *Nous*, Vol. 94, pp. 571–601.
- (2020). *Being Rational and Being Right*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Conee, E. (1987). 'Evident, but rationally unacceptable', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 65, pp. 316–326.
- (1992). 'The truth connection', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 52, pp. 657–669.
- (2009). 'Peerage', *Episteme*, Vol. 6, No. 3, pp. 313–323.

- Conee, E. and Feldman, R. (2004). *Evidentialism: Essays in Epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Cornelius, I. (2002). 'Theorizing information for information science', *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 36, No. 1, pp. 393–425.
- Crawford, K. (2016). 'Can an algorithm be agonistic? Ten scenes from life in calculated publics', *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, Vol. 71, No. 1, pp. 77–92.
- Crupi, V. (2021). 'Confirmation', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, spring 2021 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2021/entries/confirmation>.
- Cummins, R. (1975). 'Functional analysis', *Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 72, No. 20, pp. 741–765.
- D'Alfonso, S. (2011). 'On quantifying semantic information', *Information*, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 61–101.
- Davies, M. (2003). 'The problem of armchair knowledge', in S. Nuccetelli (ed.), *New Essays on Semantic Externalism and Self-Knowledge*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 23–55.
- (2004). 'Epistemic entitlement, warrant transmission, and easy knowledge', *Aristotelian Society Supplement*, Vol. 78, pp. 213–245.
- Day, R. E. (2001/2008). *The Modern Invention of Information. Discourse, History, and Power*, Paperback Gricean edition. Carbondale: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Dinneen, J. D. and Brauner, C. (2015). 'Practical and philosophical considerations for defining information as well-formed, meaningful data in the information sciences', *Library Trends*, Vol. 63, No. 3, pp. 378–400.
- Dogramaci, S. and Horowitz, S. (2016). 'An argument for uniqueness about evidential support', *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 130–147.
- Dretske, F. (1970). 'Epistemic operators', *Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 67, pp. 1007–1023.
- (1971). 'Conclusive reasons', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 49, pp. 1–22.
- (1981). *Knowledge and the Flow of Information*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- (1983). 'Précis of knowledge and the flow of information', *The Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Vol. 6, pp. 55–63.
- (1988). *Explaining Behavior*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Dutant, J. and Littlejohn, C. (2021). 'Defeaters as indicators of ignorance', in J. Brown and M. Simion (eds.), *Reasons, Justification, and Defeat*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 223–246.
- European Commission (2022). Code of Practice on Disinformation. Available at: <https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/code-practice-disinformation#:~:text=The%20Code%20will%20strengthen%20the,challenge%20related%20to%20such%20techniques>.
- Evans, G. (1982). *Varieties of Reference*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Ewing, A. C. (1947). *The Definition of Good*. London: Macmillan.

- Falbo, A. (2023). 'Should epistemology take the zetetic turn?', *Philosophical Studies*, Vol. 180, pp. 2977–3002.
- Fallis, D. (2009). A Conceptual Analysis of Disinformation, Preprint from iConference, Tucson, AZ. Available at: www.ideals.illinois.edu/bitstream/handle/2142/15205/fallis_disinfo1.pdf?sequence=2.
- (2010). 'Lying and deception', *Philosophers' Imprint*, Vol. 10, No. 11, pp. 1–42.
- (2011). 'Floridi on disinformation', *Etica & Politica*, Vol. 13, No. 2, pp. 201–214.
- (2014). 'The varieties of disinformation', in L. Floridi and P. Illari (eds.), *The Philosophy of Information Quality*. Cham, Heidelberg, New York, Dordrecht, and London: Springer, pp. 135–161.
- (2015). 'What is disinformation?', *Library Trends*, Vol. 63, No. 3, pp. 401–426.
- Fantl, J. (2018). *The Limitations of the Open Mind*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Fantl, J. and McGrath, M. (2002). 'Evidence, pragmatics, and justification', *Philosophical Review*, Vol. 111, pp. 67–94.
- Feldman, R. (2000). 'The ethics of belief', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 60, No. 3, pp. 667–695.
- (2004). 'The ethics of belief', in E. Conee and R. Feldman (eds.), *Evidentialism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 166–196.
- (2008). 'Modest deontologism in epistemology', *Synthese*, Vol. 161, pp. 339–355.
- Fetzer, J. H. (2004a). 'Information: does it have to be true?', *Minds and Machines*, Vol. 14, pp. 223–229.
- (2004b). 'Disinformation: the use of false information', *Minds and Machines*, Vol. 14, pp. 231–240.
- Flores, C. and Woodard, E. (2023). 'Epistemic norms on evidence gathering', *Philosophical Studies*, 180 (9), 2547–2571.
- Floridi, L. (2004). 'Outline of a theory of strongly semantic information', *Minds and Machines*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 197–221.
- (2005a). 'Is semantic information meaningful data?', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. LXX, No. 2, pp. 351–370.
- (2005b). 'Semantic conceptions of information', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, Spring 2013 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2013/entries/information-semantic/>.
- (2007). 'In defence of the veridical nature of semantic information', *European Journal of Analytic Philosophy (EUJAP)*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp. 31–41.
- (2008). 'Trends in the philosophy of information', in P. Adriaans and J. van Benthem (eds.), *Philosophy of Information*. Amsterdam and Oxford: Elsevier B.V., pp. 113–131.
- Floridi, L. (2011). *The Philosophy of Information*. Oxford: Oxford Scholarship Online. Available at: www.oxfordscholarship.com.
- Foley, R. (1991). 'Evidence and reasons for belief', *Analysis*, Vol. 51, pp. 98–102.

- Fox, C. J. (1983). *Information and Misinformation: An Investigation of the Notions of Information, Misinformation, Informing, and Misinforming*. Westport, CT, and London: Greenwood Press.
- Frances, B. and Matheson, J. (2019). 'Disagreement', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, winter 2019 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2019/entries/disagreement/>.
- Frické, M. (1997). 'Information using likeness measures', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science*, Vol. 48, No. 10, pp. 882–892.
- Fricker, M. (2007). *Epistemic Injustice: Power & the Ethics of Knowing*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Friedman, J. (2017). 'Why suspend judging?', *Nous*, Vol. 51, pp. 302–326.
- (2020). 'The epistemic and the zetetic', *Philosophical Review*, Vol. 129, No. 4, pp. 501–536.
- Furner, J. (2004). 'Information studies without information', *Library Trends*, Vol. 52, No. 3, pp. 427–446.
- (2010). 'Philosophy and information studies', *Annual Review of Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 44, No. 1, pp. 154–200.
- (2014). 'Information without information studies', in F. Ibekwe-SanJuan and T. M. Dousa (eds.), *Theories of Information, Communication and Knowledge*. Dordrecht, Heidelberg, New York, and London: Springer Science+Business Media B.V., pp. 143–179.
- Garner, J. K. (2009). 'Conceptualizing the relations between executive functions and self-regulated learning', *Journal of Psychology*, Vol. 143, No. 4, pp. 405–426.
- Geach, P. T. (1956). 'Good and evil', *Analysis*, Vol. 17, pp. 32–42.
- Gendler, T. (2011). 'On the epistemic costs of implicit bias', *Philosophical Studies*, Vol. 156, No. 1, pp. 33–63.
- Gerken, M. (2011). 'Warrant and action', *Synthese*, Vol. 178, pp. 529–547.
- Gettier, E. L. (1963). 'Is justified true belief knowledge?', *Analysis*, Vol. 23, pp. 121–123.
- Gillespie, T. (2014). 'The relevance of algorithms', in T. Gillespie, P. J. Boczkowski, and K. A. Foot (eds.), *Media Technologies: Essays on Communication, Materiality, and Society*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 167–193.
- Gilovich, T., Griffin, D. W., and Kahneman, D. (eds.) (2002). *Heuristics and Biases: The Psychology of Intuitive Judgment*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Godfrey-Smith, P. (1989). 'Misinformation', *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 19, No. 4, pp. 533–550.
- Goffey, A. (2008). 'Algorithm', in M. Fuller (ed.), *Software Studies: A Lexicon*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 15–20.
- Goldberg, S. (2022). 'What is a speaker owed?', *Philosophy and Public Affairs*, Vol. 50, No. 3, pp. 375–407.
- (2016). 'On the epistemic significance of evidence you should have had', *Episteme*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 449–470.

- (2017). 'Should have known', *Synthese*, Vol. 194, pp. 2863–2894.
- (2018). *To the Best of Our Knowledge: Social Expectations and Epistemic Normativity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2021). 'The normativity of knowledge and the scope and sources of defeat', in J. Brown and M. Simion (eds.), *Reasons, Justification, and Defeat*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 18–38.
- Goldman, A. I. (1979). 'What is justified belief?', in G. Pappas (ed.), *Justification and Knowledge*. Boston, MA: D. Reidel, pp. 1–25.
- (1988). 'Strong and weak justification', in J. Tomberlin (ed.), *Philosophical Perspectives*, Vol. 13. Atascadero, CA: Ridgeview, pp. 51–69.
- Graham, P. (2010). 'Testimonial entitlement and the function of comprehension', in A. Haddock, A. Miller, and D. Pritchard (eds.), *Social Epistemology*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 148–174.
- (2012). 'Epistemic entitlement', *Nous*, Vol. 46, No. 3, pp. 449–482.
- (2014). 'Functions, warrant, history', in A. Fairweather and O. Flanagan (eds.), *Naturalizing Epistemic Virtue*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 15–35.
- (2015). 'Epistemic normativity and social norms', in D. Henderson and J. Greco (eds.), *Epistemic Evaluation. Purposeful Epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 247–273.
- Graham, P. and Lyons, J. (2021). 'The structure of defeat: Pollock's evidentialism, Lackey's framework, and prospects for reliabilism', in J. Brown and M. Simion (eds.), *Reasons, Justification, and Defeat*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 39–68.
- Greco, D. and Hedden, B. (2016). 'Uniqueness and metaepistemology', *Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 113, No. 8, pp. 365–395.
- Grice, H. P. (1967/1989/1991a). 'Logic and conversation', *Studies in the Way of Words*, Paperback edition. Cambridge, MA, and London: First Harvard University Press, pp. 22–40.
- (1967/1989/1991b). 'Meaning', in *Studies in the Way of Words*, Paperback edition. Cambridge, MA, and London: First Harvard University Press, pp. 213–223.
- (1967/1989/1991c). *Studies in the Way of Words*, paperback edition. Cambridge, MA, and London: First Harvard University Press.
- Griffin, B., McGaw, E., and Care, E. (eds.) (2012). *Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Grundmann, T. (2020). 'Fake news: the case for a purely consumer-oriented explication', *Inquiry*. DOI: 10.1080/0020174X.2020.1813195.
- Halavais, A. (2004). The Isuzu experiment. Available from: <http://alex.halavais.net/the-isuzu-experiment>.
- Hancock, J. T. (2007). 'Digital deception: when, where and how people lie online', in A. Joinson, K. McKenna, T. Postmes, and U.-D. Reips (eds.), *Oxford Handbook of Internet Psychology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 289–301.
- Harman, E. (2011). 'Does moral ignorance exculpate?', *Ratio*, Vol. 24, No. 4, pp. 443–468.

- Haselton, M. G. (2007). 'Error management theory', in R. F. Baumeister and K. D. Vohs (eds.), *Encyclopedia of Social Psychology*, Vol. 1. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications, pp. 311–312.
- Haselton, M. G. and Buss, D. M. (2000). 'Error management theory: a new perspective on biases in cross-sex mindreading', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 78, No. 1, pp. 81–91.
- (2009). 'Error management theory and the evolution of misbeliefs', *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Vol. 32, pp. 522–523.
- Haselton, M. G. and Nettle, D. (2006). 'The paranoid optimist: an integrative evolutionary model of cognitive biases', *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, Vol. 10, pp. 47–66.
- Hawthorne, J. (2004). *Knowledge and Lotteries*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Hawthorne, J. and Srinivasan, A. (2013). 'Disagreement without transparency: some bleak thoughts', in D. Christensen and J. Lackey (eds.), *The Epistemology of Disagreement: New Essays*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 9–30.
- Hazlet, A. (2017). 'On the special insult of refusing testimony', *Philosophical Explorations*, Vol. 20, Suppl. 1, pp. 37–51.
- Hernon, P. (1995). 'Disinformation and misinformation through the internet: findings of an exploratory study', *Government Information Quarterly*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 133–139.
- Hjørland, B. (2007). 'Information: objective or subjective/situational?', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 58, No. 10, pp. 1448–1456.
- Horowitz, S. (2014). 'Epistemic akrasia', *Nous*, Vol. 48, No. 4, pp. 718–744.
- Huemer, M. (2007). 'Compassionate phenomenal conservatism', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 74, No. 1, pp. 30–55.
- Hughes, N. (2019). 'Dilemmic epistemology', *Synthese*, Vol. 196, pp. 4059–4090.
- Ichikawa, J. (2014). 'Justification is potential knowledge', *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 44, pp. 184–206.
- (forthcoming). *Epistemic Courage*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Jackson, F. (1998). *From Metaphysics to Ethics*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Jenkins-Ichikawa, J. (2020). 'Contextual injustice', *Kennedy Institute for Ethics Journal*, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 1–30.
- Jope, M. (2019). 'Closure, credence and rationality: a problem for non-belief hinge epistemology', *Synthese*, Vol. 198, pp. 3565–3575.
- Kahan, D. (2013). 'Ideology, motivated reasoning, and cognitive reflection', *Judgement and Decision Making*, Vol. 8, pp. 407–424.
- (2014). 'Making climate-science communication evidence-based – all the way down', in M. Boykoff and D. Crow (eds.), *Culture, Politics and Climate Change*. New York: Routledge, pp. 203–220.
- Kahan, D., Hoffman, D., Evans, D., Devins, N., Lucci, E., and Cheng, K. (2016). "'Ideology" or "situation sense"? An experimental investigation of motivated reasoning and professional judgment', *University of Pennsylvania Law Review*, Vol. 164, No. 349, pp. 349–438.

- Kahan, D., Jenkins-Smith, H., and Braman, D. (2011). 'Cultural cognition of scientific consensus', *Journal of Risk Research*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 147–174.
- Kahneman, D., Slovic, P., and Tversky, A. (eds.) (1982), *Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Karlova, N. A. and Fisher, K. E. (2013). 'A social diffusion model of misinformation and disinformation for understanding human information behavior', *Information Research*, Vol. 18, No. 1, p. 573.
- Kearney, M. D., Chiang, S. C., and Massey, P. M. (2020). 'The Twitter origins and evolution of the COVID-19 "pandemic" conspiracy theory', *Harvard Kennedy School Misinformation Review*, Vol. 1, No. 3. DOI: 10.37016/mr-2020-42.
- Kelly, T. (2010). 'Peer disagreement and higher-order evidence', in R. Feldman and T. A. Warfield (eds.), *Disagreement*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 111–174.
- (2016). 'Evidence', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, winter 2016 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2016/entries/evidence/>.
- Kelp, C. (2018). *Good Thinking: A Knowledge-First Virtue Epistemology*. New York: Routledge.
- (2019). 'Inquiry and the transmission of knowledge', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 99, pp. 298–310.
- (2020). 'Internalism, phenomenal conservatism, and defeat', *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 30, pp. 192–204.
- (2021). *Inquiry, Knowledge, Understanding*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2022). 'Defeat and proficiencies'. *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 32, pp. 82–103.
- (2023). *The Nature and Normativity of Defeat*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kelp, C. and Simion, M. (2017). 'Criticism and blame in action and assertion', *Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 114, No. 2, pp. 76–93.
- (2021a). *Sharing Knowledge: A Functionalist Account of Assertion*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- (2021b). *What Is Normative Defeat?* Manuscript.
- (2023a). 'What is trustworthiness?', *Nous*, Vol. 57, No. 3, pp. 667–683.
- (2023b). *Justification as the Proper Route to Knowledge*. Manuscript.
- Klinton, M. (2019). *Knowledge Resistance: How We Avoid Insight from Others*. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- Kornblith, H. (2001). 'Epistemic obligation and the possibility of internalism', in A. Fairweather and L. Zagzebski (eds.), *Virtue Epistemology: Essays on Epistemic Virtue and Responsibility*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 231–248.
- (2015). 'The role of reasons in epistemology', *Episteme*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 225–239.
- Kotzen, M. (2019). 'A formal account of epistemic defeat', in B. Fitelson, R. Borges, and C. Branden (eds.), *Themes from Klein: Knowledge, Scepticism, and Justification*. Synthese Library 404. New York: Springer, pp. 213–234.
- Kraut, R. (1980). 'Humans as lie detectors: some second thoughts', *Journal of Communication*, Vol. 30, pp. 209–216.

- Krohs, U. and Kroes, P. (eds.) (2009). *Functions in Biological and Artificial Worlds*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Kroon, F. (1993). 'Rationality and epistemic paradox', *Synthese*, Vol. 84, pp. 377–408.
- Kumar, K. P. K. and Geethakumari, G. (2014). 'Detecting misinformation in online social networks using cognitive psychology', *Human-Centric Computing and Information Sciences*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 1–22.
- Kunda, Z. (1987). 'Motivated inference: self-serving generation and evaluation of causal theories', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 53, No. 4, pp. 636–647.
- Kunst, H., Groot, D., Lathe, P. M., Lathe, M., and Khan, K. S. (2002). 'Accuracy of information on apparently credible websites: survey of five common health topics', *British Medical Journal*, Vol. 324, No. 7337, pp. 581–582.
- Lackey, J. (2006). 'Knowing from testimony', *Philosophy Compass*, Vol. 1, No. 5, pp. 432–448.
- (2008). *Learning from Words*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2011). 'Assertion and isolated second hand knowledge', in J. Brown and H. Cappelen (eds.), *Assertion: New Philosophical Essays*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 251–276.
- (2014). 'Deficient testimonial knowledge', in T. Henning and D. Schweikard (eds.), *Knowledge, Virtue and Action*. London: Routledge, pp. 30–52.
- (2018). 'Credibility and the distribution of epistemic goods', in K. McCain (ed.), *Believing in Accordance with the Evidence: New Essays on Evidentialism*. New York: Springer, pp. 145–168.
- (2020). 'The duty to object', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 101, No. 1, pp. 35–60.
- Lasonen-Aarnio, M. (2010). 'Unreasonable knowledge', *Philosophical Perspectives*, Vol. 24, pp. 1–21.
- (2014). 'Higher-order evidence and the limits of defeat', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 88, pp. 314–345.
- Leonard, N. (2020). 'Epistemic dilemmas and rational indeterminacy', *Philosophical Studies*, Vol. 177, No. 3, pp. 573–596.
- (2023). 'Epistemological problems of testimony', in E. N. Zalta and U. Nodelman (eds.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, spring 2023 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2023/entries/testimony-episprob/>.
- Levine, T. R., Park, H. S., and McCornack, S. A. (1999). 'Accuracy in detecting truths and lies: documenting the "veracity effect"', *Communication Monographs*, Vol. 66, No. 2, pp. 125–144.
- Levinson, P. (2017). 'Fake news in real context', *Explorations in Media Ecology*, Vol. 18, No. 1, pp. 173–177.
- Levy, N. (2021). *Bad Beliefs: Why They Happen to Good People*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Littlejohn, C. (2020). 'A plea for epistemic excuses', in F. Dorsch and J. Dutant (eds.), *The New Evil Demon Problem*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- Littlejohn, C. and Turri, J. (2015). *Epistemic Norms*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lord, C. G., Ross, L., and Lepper, M. R. (1979). 'Biased assimilation and attitude polarization: the effects of prior theories on subsequently considered evidence', *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 37, No. 11, pp. 2098–2109.
- Lord, E. and Sylvan, K. (2021). 'Suspension, higher-order evidence, and defeat', in J. Brown and M. Simion (eds.), *Reasons, Justification, and Defeat*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 116–145.
- (2022). 'On suspending properly', in L. R. G. Oliveira and P. Silva (eds.), *Propositional and Doxastic Justification*. London: Routledge, ch. 9, pp. 141–161.
- Lovden, M., Backman, L., Lindenberger, U., Schaefer, S., and Schmiedek, F. (2010). 'A theoretical framework for the study of adult cognitive plasticity', *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 136, No. 4, pp. 659–676.
- Lynch, C. A. (2001). 'When documents deceive: trust and provenance as new factors for information retrieval in a tangled web', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 52, No. 1, pp. 12–17.
- Lyons, J. (2011). 'Circularity, reliability, and the cognitive penetrability of perception', *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 289–311.
- Mahon, J. (2008). 'The definition of lying and deception', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, fall 2019 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2009/entries/lying-definition/>.
- Mai, J.-E. (2013). 'The quality and qualities of information', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 64, No. 4, pp. 675–688.
- Maitra, I. (2011). 'Assertion, norms, and games', in J. Brown and H. Cappelen (eds.), *Assertion: New Philosophical Essays*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 277–296.
- Matheson, J. (2011). 'The case for rational uniqueness', *Logos & Episteme*, Vol. 2, No. 3, pp. 359–373.
- McConnell, T. (2018). 'Moral dilemmas', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, fall 2018 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2018/entries/moral-dilemmas/>.
- McGrath, M. (2013). 'Phenomenal conservatism and cognitive penetration: the "bad basis" counterexamples', in C. Tucker (ed.), *Seemings and Justification*. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 225–247.
- McNally, L. (2016). 'Modification', M. Aloni and P. Dekker (eds.), *Cambridge Handbook of Formal Semantics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 442–466.
- McNamara, P. and Van De Putte, F. (2022). 'Deontic logic', in E. N. Zalta and U. Nodelman (eds.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, fall 2022 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2022/entries/logic-deontic/>.

- Mearsheimer, J. J. (2011). *Why Leaders Lie*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Melnyk, A. (2008). 'Conceptual and linguistic analysis: a two-step program', *Noûs*, Vol. 42, No. 2, pp. 267–291.
- Mercier, H. (2020). *Not Born Yesterday. The Science of Who We Trust and What We Believe*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Millikan, R. G. (1984). *Language, Thought, and Other Biological Categories*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Mingers, J. C. (1995). 'Information and meaning: foundations for an intersubjective account', *Information Systems Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 285–306.
- Miracchi, L. (2015). 'Competence to know', *Philosophical Studies*, Vol. 172, No. 1, pp. 29–56.
- (2017). 'When evidence isn't enough: suspension, evidentialism, and knowledge-first virtue epistemology', *Episteme*, Vol. 16, No. 4, pp. 413–437.
- Miscevic, N. (2016). 'Epistemic value. Curiosity, knowledge and response-dependence', *Croatian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 16, pp. 393–417.
- (2017). 'Curiosity – the basic epistemic virtue', in C. Mi, M. Slote, and E. Sosa (eds.), *Moral and Intellectual Virtues in Western and Chinese Philosophy*. London: Routledge, pp. 144–163.
- Molden, D. C. and Higgins, E. T. (2012). 'Motivated thinking', in K. J. Holyoak and R. G. Morrison (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Thinking and Reasoning*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 390–409.
- Monmonier, M. (1991). *How to Lie with Maps*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Montmarquet, J. (1993). *Epistemic Virtue and Doxastic Responsibility*. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Moore, G. E. (1939). 'Proof of an external world', *Proceedings of the British Academy*, Vol. 25, pp. 273–300.
- (1993). *Principia Ethica*, revised edition. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Moran, R. (2006). 'Getting told and being believed', *The Philosopher's Imprint*, Vol. 5, No. 5, pp. 1–29.
- Nagel, J. (2010). 'Epistemic anxiety and adaptive invariantism', *Philosophical Perspectives*, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 407–435.
- Neander, K. (1991). 'The teleological notion of function', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 69, pp. 454–468.
- Nesta, F. and Blanke, H. (1991). 'Warning: propaganda!', *Library Journal*, Vol. 116, No. 9, pp. 41–43.
- Newman, M. L., Pennebaker, J. W., Berry, D. S., and Richards, J. M. (2003). 'Lying words: predicting deception from linguistic styles', *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, Vol. 29, No. 5, pp. 665–675.
- Nisbett, R. and Ross, L. (1980). *Human Inference: Strategies and Shortcomings of Social Judgment*. Hoboken, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Odegard, D. (1993). 'Resolving epistemic dilemmas', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 71, No. 2, pp. 161–168.
- PHEME (2014). About PHEME. Available at: www.pheme.eu.

- Piper, P. S. (2002). 'Web hoaxes, counterfeit sites, and other spurious information on the Internet', in A. P. Mintz (ed.), *Web of Deception*. Medford, NJ: Information Today, pp. 1–22.
- Plantinga, A. (2000). *Warranted Christian Belief*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pollock, J. (1986). *Contemporary Theories of Knowledge*. Savage, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.
- Pratchett, T., Stewart, I., and Cohen, J. (1999). *The Science of Discworld*. London: Ebury Press.
- Primero, G. (2016). 'Information in the philosophy of computer science', in L. Floridi (ed.), *The Routledge Handbook of Philosophy of Information*, London: Routledge, pp. 151–175.
- Pritchard, D. (2015). *Epistemic Angst: Radical Skepticism and the Groundlessness of Our Believing*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Pryor, J. (2000). 'The skeptic and the dogmatist', *Nous*, Vol. 34, pp. 517–549.
- (2004). 'What's wrong with Moore's argument?', *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 14, pp. 349–378.
- (2012). 'When warrant transmits', in A. Coliva (ed.), *Mind, Meaning, and Knowledge: Themes from the Philosophy of Crispin Wright*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 269–303.
- Rabinowicz, W. and Rønnow-Rasmussen, T. (2004). 'The strike of the demon: on fitting pro-attitudes and value', *Ethics*, Vol. 114, pp. 391–423.
- Raleigh, T. (2017). 'Another argument against uniqueness', *Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 67, No. 267, pp. 327–346.
- Reddy, S. (2013). "I don't smoke, doc," and other patient lies', *Wall Street Journal*. Available at: <http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424127887323478004578306510461212692.html>.
- Richter, R. (1990). 'Ideal rationality and handwaving', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 68, pp. 147–156.
- Roberts, R. and Wood, W. (2007). *Intellectual Virtues: An Essay in Regulative Epistemology*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Robinson, L. and Bawden, D. (2014). 'Mind the gap: transitions between concepts of information in varied domains', in F. Ibekwe-SanJuan and T. M. Dousa (eds.), *Theories of Information, Communication and Knowledge*. Dordrecht, Heidelberg, New York, and London: Springer Science + Business Media B.V., pp. 121–141.
- Rowe, M. W. (2012). 'The problem of perfect fakes', *Royal Institute of Philosophy Supplements*, Vol. 71, pp. 151–175.
- Rubin, V. L. and Conroy, N. (2012). 'Discerning truth from deception: human judgments and automation efforts', *First Monday*, Vol. 17, No. 3. Available at: <http://firstmonday.org/ojs/index.php/fm/article/view/3933/3170>.
- Rudy-Hiller, F. (2018). 'The epistemic condition for moral responsibility', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, fall 2018 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2018/entries/moral-responsibility-epistemic/>.

- Ryan, S. (2003). 'Doxastic compatibilism and the ethics of belief', *Philosophical Studies*, Vol. 114, No. 1/2, pp. 47–79.
- Sandvig, C. (2015). 'Seeing the sort: the aesthetic and industrial defense of "the algorithm"', *Journal of the New Media Caucus*. Available at: <http://median.newmediacaucus.org/art-infrastructures-information/seeing-the-sort-the-aesthetic-and-industrial-defense-of-the-algorithm/>.
- Sassenberg, K., Winter, K., Becker, D., Ditrich, L., Scholl, A., and Moskowitz, G. (2022). 'Flexibility mindsets: reducing biases that result from spontaneous processing', *European Review of Social Psychology*, Vol. 33, No. 1, pp. 171–213.
- Scanlon, T. (1998). *What We Owe to Each Other*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Scarantino, A. and Piccinini, G. (2010). 'Information without truth', *Metaphilosophy*, Vol. 41, No. 3, pp. 313–330.
- Schauer, F. and Zeckhauser, R. (2009). 'Paltering', in B. Harrington (ed.), *Deception: From Ancient Empires to Internet Dating*. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press, pp. 38–54.
- Schellenberg, S. (2018). *The Unity of Perception: Content, Consciousness, Evidence*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Schroeder, M. (2012). 'Value theory', in E. N. Zalta (ed.), *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, summer 2012 edition. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University. Available at: <http://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2012/entries/value-theory/>.
- Shannon, C. E. (1948). 'A mathematical theory of communication', *The Bell System Technical Journal*, Vol. 27, pp. 379–423.
- Shao, C., Ciampaglia, G. L., Flammini, A., and Menczer, F. (2016). 'Hoaxy: a platform for tracking online misinformation', WWW'16 Companion, Montréal and Québec, 11–15 April, pp. 745–750. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2872518.2890098>.
- Sidgwick, H. (1907). *The Methods of Ethics*, 7th edition. Indianapolis, IN: Hackett.
- Siegel, S. (2012). 'Cognitive penetrability and perceptual justification', *Nous*, Vol. 46, No. 2, pp. 201–222.
- (2017). *The Rationality of Perception*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Silins, N. (2005). 'Deception and evidence', in J. Hawthorne (ed.), *Philosophical Perspectives, Vol. 19, Epistemology*. Oxford: Blackwell, pp. 375–404.
- Simion, M. (2016). 'Perception, history and benefit', *Episteme*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 61–76.
- (2018a). 'The explanation proffering norm of moral assertion', *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 477–488.
- (2018b). 'No epistemic norm for action', *American Philosophical Quarterly*, Vol. 55, No. 3, pp. 231–238.
- (2019a). 'Knowledge-first functionalism', *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 254–267.
- (2019b). 'Saying and believing: the norm commonality assumption', *Philosophical Studies*, Vol. 176, No. 8, pp. 1951–1966.

- (2019c). 'Assertion: the context shiftiness dilemma', *Mind & Language*, Vol. 34, pp. 503–517.
- (2020). 'A priori perceptual entitlement, knowledge-first', *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 30, No. 1, pp. 311–323.
- (2021a). *Shifty Speech and Independent Thought: Epistemic Normativity in Context*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2021b). 'Testimonial contractarianism: a knowledge-first social epistemology', *Nous*, Vol. 55, No. 4, pp. 891–916.
- (2021c). 'Knowledge and reasoning', *Synthese*, Vol. 199, pp. 10371–10388.
- (2022a). 'Review of Juan Comesaña, *Being Rational and Being Right*, Oxford University Press 2020', *Mind*, Vol. 131, No. 523, pp. 1007–1017.
- (2022b). 'Sosa on permissible suspension. Book Symposium on Ernest Sosa's "Epistemic Explanations: A Theory of Telic Normativity"', *Res Philosophica*, Vol. 99, No. 4, pp. 453–466.
- (2023a). 'Resistance to evidence and the duty to believe', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*. DOI: 10.1111/phpr.12964.
- (2023b). 'Knowledge and disinformation', *Episteme*. DOI: 10.1017/epi.2023.25.
- (forthcoming). 'Defeat', in K. Sylvan (ed.), *The Blackwell Companion to Epistemology*. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell.
- Simion, M. and Kelp, C. (2017). 'Commodious knowledge', *Synthese*, Vol. 194, No. 5, pp. 1487–1502.
- (forthcoming). 'Information, misinformation, and disinformation: a knowledge-first account', in M. Popa-Wyatt (ed.), *Vices of the Mind: Mis/Disinformation and Other Epistemic Pathologies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Simion, M., Kelp, C., and Ghijsen, H. (2016). 'Norms of belief', *Philosophical Issues*, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 375–392.
- Simion, M., Schnurr, J., and Gordon, E. (2021). 'Epistemic norms, closure, and no-belief hinge epistemology'. *Synthese*, Vol. 198, pp. 3353–3564.
- Skinner, S. and Martin, B. (2000). 'Racist disinformation on the World Wide Web: initial implications for the LIS community', *The Australian Library Journal*, Vol. 49, No. 3, pp. 259–269.
- Skyrms, B. (2010). *Signals: Evolution, Learning, and Information*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Slote, M. (1989). *Beyond Optimizing*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Sober, E. (1994). *From a Biological Point of View: Essays in Evolutionary Philosophy*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Søe, S. O. (2016). 'The urge to detect, the need to clarify. Gricean perspectives on information, misinformation, and disinformation', PhD thesis, Faculty of Humanities, University of Copenhagen.
- Sorensen, R. (1987). 'Anti-expertise, instability, and rational choice', *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, Vol. 65, pp. 301–315.
- Sosa, E. (2016). *Judgment and Agency*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2021). *Epistemic Explanations*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- (2022). 'On epistemic explanations: responses to two critics', *Res Philosophica*, Vol. 99, No. 4, pp. 475–483.
- Sowards, S. W. (1988). 'Historical fabrications in library collections', *Collection Management*, Vol. 10, No. 3–4, pp. 81–88.
- Sperber, D., Clement, F., Heintz, C., Mascaro, O., Mercier, H., Origgi, G., and Wilson, D. (2010). 'Epistemic vigilance', *Mind & Language*, Vol. 25, No. 4, pp. 359–393.
- Staffel, J. (2023). 'Transitional attitudes and the unmooring view of higher order evidence', *Nous*, Vol. 57, No. 1, pp. 238–260.
- Steup, M. (2000). 'Doxastic voluntarism and epistemic deontology', *Acta Analytica*, Vol. 15, pp. 25–56.
- (2008). 'Doxastic freedom', *Synthese*, Vol. 161, No. 3, pp. 375–392.
- Stokke, A. (2013). 'Lying, deceiving, and misleading', *Philosophy Compass*, Vol. 8, No. 4, pp. 348–359.
- Striphas, T. (2015). 'Algorithmic culture', *European Journal of Cultural Studies*, Vol. 18, No. 4–5, pp. 395–412.
- Sturgeon, S. (2014). 'Pollock on defeasible reasons', *Philosophical Studies*, Vol. 169, No. 1, pp. 105–118.
- Styron, W. (1979) *Sophie's Choice*. New York: Random House
- Sudduth, M. (2018). Defeaters in epistemology. Internet Encyclopaedia of Philosophy. Available at: www.iep.utm.edu/ep-defea/#SH1b.
- Sullivan-Bisset, E. (2017). 'Malfunction defended', *Synthese*, Vol. 194, No. 7, pp. 2501–2522.
- Sutton, J. (2005). 'Stick to what you know', *Nous*, Vol. 39, No. 3, pp. 359–396.
- (2007). *Beyond Justification*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Sylvan, K. (2022). 'Suspending properly' (with E. Lord), in L. R. G. Oliveira and P. Silva (eds.), *Propositional and Doxastic Justification*. London: Routledge, pp. 137–158.
- Sylvan, K. and Lord, E. (2021). 'Suspension, higher-order evidence, and defeat' (with E. Lord), in J. Brown and M. Simion (eds.), *Reasons, Justification, and Defeat*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 116–145.
- Sylvan, K. and Sosa, E. (2018). 'The place of reasons in epistemology', in D. Star (ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Reasons and Normativity*, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 555–574.
- Taber, C. S. and Lodge, M. (2006). 'Motivated skepticism in the evaluation of political beliefs', *American Journal of Political Science*, Vol. 50, No. 3, pp. 755–769.
- Tanesini, A. (2021). *The Mismeasure of the Self: A Study in Vice Epistemology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Tappin, B. M., Pennycook, G., and Rand, D. G. (2021). 'Rethinking the link between cognitive sophistication and politically motivated reasoning', *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, Vol. 150, No. 6, pp. 1095–1114.
- The II Research Network (2013). *The Philosophy of Information – An Introduction, Version 1.0*. The Society for the Philosophy of Information.

- Thorstad, D. (2021). 'Inquiry and the epistemic', *Philosophical Studies*, 178 (9), 2913–2928.
- Thorson, E. (2016). 'Belief echoes: the persistent effects of corrected misinformation', *Political Communication*, Vol. 33, No. 3, pp. 460–480.
- Tulloch, G. (1967). *Toward a Mathematics of Politics*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Turri, J. (2010). 'On the relationship between propositional and doxastic justification', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. LXXX, No. 2, pp. 312–326.
- Twain, M. (2002). 'My first lie, and how I got out of it', in *The Man That Corrupted Hadleyburg*. New York: Prometheus Books, pp. 159–170 (original work published 1900).
- Vermaas, P. E. and Houkes, W. (2003). 'Ascribing functions to technical artefacts: a challenge to etiological accounts of functions', *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, Vol. 54, pp. 261–289.
- Vrij, A. (2000). *Detecting Lies and Deceit: The Psychology of Lying and the Implications for Professional Practice*. New York: Wiley.
- (2008). *Detecting Lies and Deceit: Pitfalls and Opportunities*, 2nd edition. Chichester: Wiley.
- Walsh, J. (2010). 'Librarians and controlling disinformation: is multi-literacy instruction the answer?', *Library Review*, Vol. 59, No. 7, pp. 498–511.
- Wardle, C. (2016). '(M|D)isinformation reading list', *First Draft News*. Available at: <https://firstdraftnews.com/misinformation-reading-list/>.
- Wardle, C. (2017). 'Fake news. It's complicated', *First Draft News*. Available at: <https://firstdraftnews.com/fake-news-complicated/>.
- Webber, J. (2013). 'Liar!', *Analysis*, Vol. 73, No. 4, pp. 651–659.
- Whitcomb, D. (2017). 'One kind of asking', *The Philosophical Quarterly*, 67 (266), 148–168.
- White, R. (2005). 'Epistemic permissiveness', *Philosophical Perspectives*, Vol. 19, pp. 445–459.
- Whitty, M. T., Buchanan, T., Joinson, A. N., and Meredith, A. (2012). 'Not all lies are spontaneous: an examination of deception across different modes of communication', *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, Vol. 63, No. 1, pp. 208–216.
- Willard-Kyle, C. (2023). 'The knowledge norm for inquiry', *Journal of Philosophy*, 120 (11): 615–640.
- Williamson, T. (2000). *Knowledge and Its Limits*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- (2007). 'Knowledge and scepticism', in F. Jackson and M. Smith (eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Contemporary Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp. 681–700.
- (forthcominga). 'Justifications, excuses, and sceptical scenarios', in F. Dorsch and J. Dutant (eds.), *The New Evil Demon*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

- (forthcomingb). 'Epistemological ambivalence', in N. Hughes (ed.), *Epistemic Dilemmas*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Worsnip, A. (2015). 'The conflict of evidence and coherence', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 96, pp. 3–44.
- (2018). 'The conflict of evidence and coherence', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 96, No. 1, pp. 3–44.
- Wright, C. (2002). '(Anti-)sceptics simple and subtle: Moore and McDowell', *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, Vol. 65, pp. 330–348.
- (2003). 'Some reflections on the acquisition of warrant by inference', in S. Nuccetelli (ed.), *New Essays on Semantic Externalism and Self-Knowledge*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, pp. 57–77.
- (2004). 'Warrant for nothing (and foundations for free)?', *Aristotelian Society Supplementary Volume*, Vol. 78, No. 1, pp. 167–212.
- Zagzebski, L. (1996). *Virtues of the Mind: An Inquiry into the Nature of Virtue and the Ethical Foundations of Knowledge*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Zimmerman, M. (1997). 'Moral responsibility and ignorance', *Ethics*, Vol. CVII, pp. 410–426.