Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (1999) 42, 127-141 (

BOUNDS FOR THE SIZE OF INTEGRAL SOLUTIONS TO $Y^m = f(X)$

by DIMITRIOS POULAKIS

(Received 20th February 1997)

Let K be an algebraic number field with ring of integers O_K and $f(X) \in O_K[X]$. In this paper we establish improved explicit upper bounds for the size of solutions in O_K , of diophantine equations $Y^2 = f(X)$, where f(X) has at least three roots of odd order, and $Y^m = f(X)$, where m is an integer ≥ 3 and f(X) has at least two roots of order prime to m.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 11D41.

1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraic number field with ring of integers O_K , f(X) a polynomial in $O_K[X]$ and m an integer ≥ 2 . Consider the diophantine equation

$$Y^m = f(X) \tag{(*)}$$

and assume that if $m \ge 3$, f(X) has at least two roots of order prime to m and if m = 2, f(X) has at least three roots of odd order. When $K = \mathbb{Q}$, Baker [1] obtained the first explicit upper bound for the size of integral solutions to the equation (*). This result has been extended to an arbitrary algebraic number field and has been improved by several authors. The best known results have been obtained by Voutier [10]. Moreover, a generalization of the equation (*) has been studied in [5].

Throughout this paper we denote by d, D_K and N_K the degree of K, the discriminant of K and the norm from K to \mathbb{Q} . Further, we denote by \overline{K} an algebraic closure of K. By an *absolute value* we will always understand an absolute value that it extends either the standard absolute value of \mathbb{Q} or a *p*-adic absolute value $||_p$ of \mathbb{Q} . Let M(K) be a set of symbols v such that with every $v \in M(K)$ an absolute value $||_v$ is associated. We denote by d_v the local degree of $||_v$. We define the *field height* of a point $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \ldots, x_n)$ in the projective *n*-space $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$ by

$$H_K(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{v \in \mathcal{M}(K)} \max\{|x_0|_v, \ldots, |x_n|_v\}^{d_v},$$

and the absolute height by $H(\mathbf{x}) = H_K(\mathbf{x})^{1/d}$. For $x \in K$ we define $H_K(x) = H_K((1:x))$

and H(x) = H((1:x)). Let G be a polynomial in one or several variables and with coefficients in K. We define the *field height* $H_{K}(G)$ and the *absolute height* H(G) of G, respectively, to be the field height and the absolute height of a point in a projective space having as coordinates the coefficients of G (in any order). For an account of the properties of heights see [9, Chapter VIII] and [3, Chapter 3]. Finally, for $z \in \mathbb{R}, z > 0$, we let $\log^{4} z = \max\{1, \log z\}$.

In [6] we have obtained the following improved upper bound on the size of integral solutions to the elliptic equation:

Theorem A. Suppose $f(X) = X^3 + aX^2 + bX + c$ has coefficients in O_K and discriminant $\Delta(f) \neq 0$. Then, all solutions $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ to the equation $Y^2 = f(X)$ satisfy

$$\max\{H_{K}(x), H_{K}(y)\} < \exp\{\Omega(d)|D_{K}|^{25}|N_{K}(\Delta(f))|^{27}\log^{*}H_{K}(f)\},\$$

where

$$\Omega(d) < 10^{740d+48} d^{312d+13}.$$

In this paper we generalize the above result and we obtain explicit upper bounds of the above type for the height of integral solutions to the equation (*) over K, improving on the estimates obtained by Voutier.

Let $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ be a solution of $y^m = f(x)$. Since we have

$$H_{\mathcal{K}}(y) \leq H_{\mathcal{K}}(y)^{m} = H_{\mathcal{K}}(y^{m}) \leq (\deg f + 1)^{d} H_{\mathcal{K}}(f) H_{\mathcal{K}}(x)^{\deg f},$$

it is sufficient to calculate an upper bound for $H_K(x)$. We obtain the following explicit estimates:

Theorem 1. Let $f(X) = (X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r}$ be a polynomial of degree ≥ 3 in $O_K[X]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise distinct elements in \overline{K} . Assume that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in K$ and e_1, e_2, e_3 are odd. Put $g(X) = (X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)(X - \alpha_3)$ and denote by $\Delta(g)$ the discriminant of g(X). Then, all solutions $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ to the equation $Y^2 = f(X)$ satisfy

$$H_{K}(x) < \exp\{\Phi_{1}(d)|D_{K}|^{50}|N_{K}(\Delta(g))|^{180}\log^{*}H_{K}(g)\},\$$

where

$$\Phi_1(d) < 10^{1700d+53} d^{624d+13}.$$

Corollary 1. Let $f(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r}$ be a polynomial of degree $n \ge 3$ in $O_K[X]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise distinct elements in \overline{K} and e_1, e_2, e_3 are odd. Put $G(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$ and denote by $\Delta(G)$ the discriminant of G(X). Then, all solutions $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ to the equation $Y^2 = f(X)$ satisfy

$$H_{K}(x) < \exp\{\Phi_{2}(d, r)(|D_{K}|^{10}|N_{K}(\Delta(G))|^{36}|N_{K}(a_{0})|^{36rn})^{10r^{5}}\log^{*}(H_{K}(a_{0})H_{K}(G))\},\$$

where

$$\Phi_2(d,r) < (10^{16} (dr^3)^5)^{250 dr^3}.$$

Theorem 2. Let p be a prime ≥ 3 and $f(X) = (X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r}$ a polynomial of degree ≥ 2 in $O_K[X]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise distinct elements in \overline{K} with $a_1, a_2 \in K$ and $(e_i, p) = 1$ (i = 1, 2). Assume that K contains a primitive pth root of 1. Put $g(X) = (X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)$ and denote by $\Delta(g)$ the discriminant of g(X). Then, all solutions $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ to the equation $Y^p = f(X)$ satisfy

$$H_{K}(x) < \exp\{\Psi_{1}(d, p)|D_{K}|^{50p^{2}}|N_{K}(\Delta(g))|^{510p^{2}}\log^{*}H_{K}(g)\},\$$

where

$$\Psi_1(d, p) < 10^{1700dp^2 + 53} d^{624dp^2 + 13} p^{1438dp^3 + 9}.$$

Corollary 2. Let p be a prime ≥ 3 and $f(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r}$ a polynomial of degree $n \geq 2$ in $O_K[X]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise distinct elements in \overline{K} with $(e_i, p) = 1$ (i = 1, 2). Put $G(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$ and denote by $\Delta(G)$ the discriminant of G(X). Then, all solutions $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ to the equation $Y^p = f(X)$ satisfy

$$H_{K}(x) < \exp\{\Psi_{2}(d, r, p)(|D_{K}|^{5}|N_{K}(\Delta(G))|^{51}|N_{K}(a_{0})|^{51nr})^{10r^{2}p^{*}}\log^{*}(H_{K}(a_{0})H_{K}(G))\},\$$

where

$$\Psi_2(d, r, p) < (10^3 (dr^2) p^{3p})^{625 dr^2 p^4}.$$

Theorem 3. Let $f(X) = (X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r}$ be a polynomial of degree ≥ 2 in $O_K[X]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise distinct elements in \overline{K} with $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in K$ and e_1, e_2 are odd. Assume that K contains a primitive 4th-root of 1. Denote by $\Delta(g)$ the discriminant of the polynomial $g(X) = (X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)$. Then, all solutions $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ to the equation $Y^4 = f(X)$ satisfy

$$H_{\mathcal{K}}(x) < \exp\{\Omega_1(d) | D_{\mathcal{K}}|^{800} | N_{\mathcal{K}}(\Delta(g))|^{4620} \log^* H_{\mathcal{K}}(g) \},$$

where

$$\Omega_1(d) < 10^{50597d+73} d^{9984d+13}.$$

Corollary 3. Let $f(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r}$ be a polynomial of degree $n \ge 2$ in $O_K^2[X]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise distinct elements in \overline{K} and e_1, e_2 are odd. Put $G(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$ and denote by $\Delta(G)$ the discriminant of G(X). Then, all solutions $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ to the equation $Y^4 = f(X)$ satisfy

$$H_{K}(x) < \exp\{\Omega_{2}(d, r)(|D_{K}|^{64}|N_{K}(\Delta(G))|^{370}|N_{K}(a_{0})|^{370nr})^{100r^{2}}\log^{*}(H_{K}(a)H_{K}(G))\},\$$

where

$$\Omega_2(d,r) < ((10^{49}(dr^2)^8)^{10^4dr^2}).$$

Assume that *m* is an integer ≥ 4 and f(X) a polynomial in $O_K[X]$ having at least two roots of order prime to *m*. Let $x, y \in O_K$ with $y^m = f(x)$. If *m* has a prime divisor $p \geq 3$, then $(x, y^{m/p})$ is an integral solution to the equation $Y^p = f(X)$. Hence Theorem 2 (or Corollary 2) implies an upper bound for $H_K(x)$. Similarly, if $m = 2^t, t \geq 2$, Theorem 3 (or Corollary 3) gives an upper bound for $H_K(x)$. Therefore, in all cases, Theorems 1, 2 and 3 (or Corollaries 1, 2 and 3) give a bound for the integral solutions to the equation (*).

Following Kubert and Lang [2, §1], we reduce the proofs of Theorems 1, 2 and 3, to our Theorem A. This reduction relies on the following result:

Proposition 1. Let $m = p^t$, where p is a prime and t is an integer ≥ 1 . Let $f(X) = (X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r}$ be a polynomial in $O_K[X]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise distinct elements in \overline{K} . Assume that K contains a primitive mth root of $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_s \in K$ $(s \leq r)$ and $(e_i, m) = 1$ $(i = 1, \dots, s)$. Put $g(X) = (X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$ and denote by $\Delta(g)$ the discriminant of g(X). Let $x, y \in O_K$ with $y^m = f(x)$. Then the algebraic number field L = K(w), where $w^m = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_s)$, has discriminant D_L satisfying

$$|D_L| < p^{(2p-1)dtp^{t-1}} |D_K|^{pt} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{(2p-1)tp^{t-1}}.$$

2. Auxiliary lemmas

For the proof of Proposition 1 and Theorems 1, 2 and 3 we shall need the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. Let K be a field of characteristic p and m an integer ≥ 2 not divisible by p. Denote by C the algebraic curve defined by the equation

$$Y^m = (X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r},$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise distinct elements in an algebraic closure \overline{K} of K and $(e_1, m) = 1$. Let V be a discrete valuation ring of $\overline{K}(C)$ above $X = \alpha_1$. Then, the function $t_V = (X - \alpha_1)^c Y^d$, where $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $mc + e_1d = 1$, is a local parameter at V.

Proof. For $h \in \overline{K}(C)$ we denote by $\operatorname{ord}_{V}(h)$ the order of h at V. The equation

$$Y^m = (X - \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \alpha_r)^{e_r}$$

yields

$$m \operatorname{ord}_{V}(Y) = e_1 \operatorname{ord}_{V}(X - \alpha_1).$$

Since $(e_1, m) = 1$, we get

$$\operatorname{ord}_{V}(X-\alpha_{1})=m$$
 and $\operatorname{ord}_{V}(Y)=e_{1}$.

Let $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mc + e_1d = 1$. Then the function $t_v = (X - \alpha_1)^c Y^d$ has

$$\operatorname{ord}_{V}(t_{V}) = mc + e_{1}d = 1.$$

Therefore t_{V} is a local parameter at V.

Lemma 2. Let K be an algebraic number field with ring of integers O_K . Let L be a cyclic extension of K of degree ℓ , where ℓ is a prime, and T a finite set of prime ideals in O_K such that the extension L/K is unramified outside T. Then the discriminant D_L of L satisfies

$$|D_L| < |D_K|^{\ell} \left| N_K \left(\prod_{P \in T} P \right) \right|^{2\ell - 1}.$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D}_{L/K}$ be the different of L over K. Then

$$\mathcal{D}_{L/K}=\mathcal{P}_1^{r_1}\ldots\mathcal{P}_k^{r_k},$$

where $\mathcal{P}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_k$ are prime ideals in L such that $\mathcal{P}_j \cap O_K \in T$ $(j = 1, \ldots, k)$. Let \hat{L}_j and \hat{K}_j be the completions of L and K with respect to the prime ideals \mathcal{P}_j and $P_j = \mathcal{P}_j \cap O_K$ $(j = 1, \ldots, k)$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{l_j/\hat{K}_j}$ the different of \hat{L}_j over \hat{K}_j and by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_j$ the prime ideal generated by \mathcal{P}_j in the ring of \mathcal{P}_j -adic integers in \hat{L}_j . By [8, Proposition 10, page 61] we have $\mathcal{D}_{L_j/\hat{K}_j} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_j'$. By [8, Corollary 4, page 41] \hat{L}_j is a finite Galois extension of \hat{K}_j and its Galois group is the group of decomposition of \mathcal{P}_j . Then [8, Lemma 3, page 91, and Exercise 3.c, page 79] give

$$r_j \leq 2\ell - 1 \quad (j = 1, \ldots, k).$$

Denote by $N_{L/K}$ and $D_{L/K}$ respectively the norm and the discriminant ideal of L over K. The prime ideals P_i (i = 1, ..., k) are the only prime ideals in O_K that are ramified in L. Since ℓ is a prime number, it follows that the ramification index of P_i is ℓ . Then $N_{L/K}(\mathcal{P}_i) = P_i$ (i = 1, ..., k). Further, we have $N_{L/K}(\mathcal{D}_{L/K}) = D_{L/K}$. Thus

$$|N_{K}(D_{L/K})| \leq |N_{K}(P_{1} \dots P_{k})|^{2\ell-1} \leq \left|N_{K}\left(\prod_{P \in T} P\right)\right|^{2\ell-1}.$$

Therefore

$$|D_L| = |D_K|^{\ell} |N_K(D_{L/K})| \le |D_K|^{\ell} \left| N_K \left(\prod_{P \in T} P \right) \right|^{2\ell-1}$$

Lemma 3. Let K be an algebraic number field with ring of integers O_K . Let $g(X) = (X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$ be a polynomial in $O_K[X]$, where $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ are pairwise

distinct elements in \overline{K} . Set $K_i = K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_i)$ and denote by D_{K_i} the discriminant of K_i (i = 1, ..., r). Then

$$|D_{K_i}| \le |D_K|^{r(r-1)\dots(r-i+1)} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{ir^{i-1}},$$

where $\Delta(g)$ is the discriminant of g(X).

Proof. Set $K_0 = K$ and denote by $D_{K_i/K_{i-1}}$ the discriminant ideal of the extension K_i/K_{i-1} (i = 1, ..., r). By [8, Proposition 8, page 60] we get

$$|D_{K_1}| \le |D_K|' N_K(D_{K_1/K})|.$$

Let G(X) be the irreducible polynomial of α_1 over K and deg $G = \zeta$. Since α_1 is an algebraic integer, the discriminant $D_{K_1/K}$ divides the discriminant of elements $1, \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_1^{\zeta-1}$, which is equal to the discriminant $\Delta(G)$ of G(X). The element α_1 is a root of g(X). Thus G(X) divides g(X) and we deduce that $\Delta(G)$ divides $\Delta(g)$. It follows that $D_{K_1/K}$ divides $\Delta(g)$. Then

$$|D_{K_1}| \le |D_K|' |N_K(\Delta(g))|.$$

Assume that Lemma holds for $i - 1 \ge 1$. Thus

$$|D_{K_{i-1}}| \leq |D_K|^{r(r-1)\dots(r-i+2)} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{(i-1)r^{i-2}}.$$

By the reasoning above, we get

$$|D_{K_i}| \leq |D_{K_{i-1}}|^{(r-i+1)} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{r(r-1)\dots(r-i+2)}.$$

Applying the inductive hypothesis, we obtain

$$|D_{K_i}| \le |D_K|^{r(r-1)\dots(r-i+1)} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{ir^{i-1}}.$$

Lemma 4. Let f and g be two polynomials in one variable with coefficients in \overline{K} and deg $f + \deg g < M$. Then

$$(1/4^M)H(fg) \le H(f)H(g) \le 4^M H(fg).$$

Proof. See [3, Proposition 2.4, page 57].

Lemma 5. Let $G(X) = (X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$ be a polynomial in K[X] and $a \in K$. Then, the height of the polynomial $E_s(X) = (X - a\alpha_1) \dots (X - a\alpha_s)$, $s \leq r$, satisfies

$$H(E_s) < 2^{s-1}(s+1)4^{r+1}H(a)^sH(G).$$

Proof. Set $G_s(X) = (X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_s)$. By [9, Lemma 5.9, page 211] and [7, Lemma 3] we obtain

$$H(E_s) \leq 2^{s-1}H(a)^s H(\alpha_1) \dots H(\alpha_s) \leq 2^{s-1}(s+1)H(a)^s H(G_s).$$

On the other hand, Lemma 4 gives

$$H(G_s) \leq 4^{r+1} H(G).$$

Hence

$$H(E_s) \leq 2^{s-1}(s+1)4^{r+1}H(a)^sH(G).$$

3. Proof of Proposition 1

Denote by S the set of prime ideals in O_K dividing p or $\Delta(g)$. Let $(x, y) \in O_K^2$ such that $y^m = f(x)$ with $x \neq \alpha_i$ (i = 1, ..., s). Put L = K(w), where w is an algebraic integer satisfying $w^m = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_s)$. Let \mathcal{P} be a prime ideal in O_K such that $\mathcal{P} \notin S$ and let $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$ be the local ring of O_K at \mathcal{P} . Denote by $\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{\alpha_1}, \dots, \overline{\alpha_r}$, respectively the reductions of $x, y, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ mod \mathcal{P} . Set $k = O_K/\mathcal{P}$ and denote by \overline{k} an algebraic closure of k.

Let \overline{C} be the curve over k defined by the equation

$$Y^m = (X - \overline{\alpha}_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - \overline{\alpha}_r)^{e_r}.$$

Since \mathcal{P} does not divide $\Delta(g)$, the elements $\overline{\alpha}_1, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_r$ are pairwise distinct in k. Put $[L:K] = \mu$. We have two cases:

First case $\overline{x} \neq \overline{\alpha}_i$ (i = 1, ..., s). Since w is an algebraic integer, the discriminant D_L of L divides the discriminant $D(1, w, ..., w^{\mu-1})$ of the elements $1, w, ..., w^{\mu-1}$. Further, $D(1, w, ..., w^{\mu-1})$ divides the discriminant $\Delta(R)$ of the polynomial

$$R(T) = T^m - (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_s).$$

Then D_L divides $\Delta(R)$. We have

$$\Delta(R) = (-1)^{m-1} m^m [(x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_s)]^{m-1}.$$

Since $\bar{x} \neq \bar{\alpha}_i$ (i = 1, ..., s), we deduce that $\Delta(R) \neq 0 \mod \mathcal{P}$. Thus \mathcal{P} does not divide D_L . Therefore \mathcal{P} is unramified in L.

Second case $\overline{x} = \overline{\alpha}_i$ $(1 \le i \le s)$. Let V be a discrete valuation ring of the function field $\overline{k}(\overline{C})$, above the local ring of \overline{C} at $(\overline{x}, \overline{y})$. By Lemma 1, the function $t_V = (X - \overline{\alpha}_i)^c Y^d$, where $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $mc + e_i d = 1$, is a local parameter at V. Then the function

$$\tau = (X - \overline{\alpha}_1) \dots (X - \overline{\alpha}_s) / t_v^m$$

is a unit in V. Thus $\tau(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \neq 0, \infty$. Consider the element

$$z = (x - \alpha_1) \dots (x - \alpha_s) / ((x - \alpha_i)^c y^d)^m.$$

Since $x \neq \alpha_i$ (i = 1, ..., s), we deduce that $z \neq 0$. Further, we have $z \equiv \tau(\overline{x}, \overline{y}) \neq 0$, $\infty \mod \mathcal{P}$. If z is not a unit in $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$, then z = 0 or $\infty \mod \mathcal{P}$ which is a contradiction. Thus z is a unit in $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$. Put $\omega = w/(x - \alpha_i)^c y^d$. Since $\omega^m = z$, we deduce that ω is a unit in L. Then the discriminant \mathbb{D} of the integral closure of $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$ in L divides the discriminant $D(1, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{\mu-1})$ of the elements $1, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{\mu-1}$ in $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$. Since ω is a root of the polynomial $Q(T) = T^m - z$, $D(1, \omega, \ldots, \omega^{\mu-1})$ divides the discriminant

$$\Delta(Q) = (-1)^{m-1} m^m z^{m-1}$$

of Q(T). It follows that \mathbb{D} divides $\Delta(Q)$ in $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$. The element z is a unit in $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$ and \mathcal{P} does not divide m. Thus $\Delta(Q)$ is a unit in $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$. It follows that \mathbb{D} is also a unit in $O_{K,\mathcal{P}}$. So we deduce that \mathcal{P} is unramified in L. Therefore, the ideals of O_K which do not lie above the elements of S are unramified in L.

Put $K_i = K(w^{p^{t-i}})$ (i = 0, ..., t). Then $K_0 = K$ and $K_i = L$. Denote by S_i the set of prime ideals of K_i (i = 1, ..., t) lying above the elements of S and by D_{K_i} the discriminant of K_i . The extension K_{i+1}/K_i is unramified outside S_i . By Lemma 2,

$$|D_{K_{i+1}}| < |D_{K_i}|^p \left| N_{K_i} \left(\prod_{\mathcal{P} \in S_i} \mathcal{P} \right) \right|^{2p-1} \quad (i = 0, ..., t-1).$$

Thus, we obtain by induction

$$|D_L| < |D_K|^{pt} \left| N_K \left(\prod_{\mathcal{P} \in S} \mathcal{P} \right) \right|^{(2p-1)tp^{t-1}}$$

Therefore

$$|D_L| < p^{(2p-1)dtp^{t-1}} |D_K|^{pt} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{(2p-1)tp^{t-1}}.$$

4. Proofs of Theorems 1, 2, 3 and Corollaries 1, 2, 3

Proof of Theorem 1. Let x, y be integers in K satisfying $y^2 = f(x)$. Set $g(X) = (X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)(X - \alpha_3)$ and denote by $\Delta(g)$ the discriminant of g(X). Let w be an algebraic integer such that $w^2 = g(x)$ and let L = K(w). Theorem A gives

$$\max\{H_L(x), H_L(w)\} < \exp\{\Omega(2d)|D_L|^{25}|N_L(\Delta(g))|^{27}\log^* H_L(g)\}.$$

By Proposition 1, the discriminant D_L of the number field L = K(w) satisfies

BOUNDS FOR THE SIZE OF INTEGRAL SOLUTIONS TO $Y^m = f(X)$ 135

 $|D_L| < 8^d |D_K|^2 |N_K(\Delta(g))|^3.$

Thus

$$\max\{H_L(x), H_L(w)\} < \exp\{\Phi_1(d)|D_K|^{50}|N_K(\Delta(g))|^{180}\log^* H_K(g)\},\$$

where

$$\Phi_1(d) < 10^{1700d+53} d^{624d+13}$$

Proof of Corollary 1. Let $f(X) = a_0 X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n$ and $x, y \in O_K$ satisfying $y^2 = f(x)$. Then $(a_0 x, a_0^{(n-1)/2} y)$ is an integral solution over $K(a_0^{(n-1)/2})$ to the equation $Y^2 = \tilde{f}(X)$, where

$$\tilde{f}(X) = X^n + a_1 X^{n-1} + a_2 a_0 X^{n-1} + \ldots + a_n a_0^{n-1} = (X - a_0 \alpha_1)^{e_1} \ldots (X - a_0 \alpha_r)^{e_r}.$$

Put $G(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$, $G_1(X) = (X - a_0\alpha_1) \dots (X - a_0\alpha_r)$ and denote by $\Delta(G), \Delta(G_1)$ respectively their discriminants. By Lemma 5, the height of the polynomial $h(X) = (X - a_0\alpha_1)(X - a_0\alpha_2)(X - a_0\alpha_3)$ is

$$H(h) \leq 4^{r+3}H(a_0)^3H(G).$$

Further, the discriminant $\Delta(h)$ of h satisfies

$$|N_{M}(\Delta(h))| \leq |N_{M}(\Delta(G_{1}))| \leq (|N_{K}(a_{0})|^{(r-1)(r-2)}|N_{K}(\Delta(G))|)^{2r(r-1)(r-2)}.$$

By Lemma 3, the discriminant D_L of $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ has

$$|D_L| \le |D_K|^{r(r-1)(r-2)} |N_K(\Delta(G_1))|^{3r^2}.$$

Since $|N_{K}(\Delta(G_{1}))| \leq |N_{K}(a_{0})|^{(r-1)(r-2)}|N_{K}(\Delta(G))|$, we get

$$|D_L| \leq (|D_K| |N_K(a_0)|^{3r})^{r(r-1)(r-2)} |N_K(\Delta(G))|^{3r^2}.$$

On the other hand the discriminant D_M of $M = L(a_0^{(n-1)/2})$ satisfies

$$|D_{\mathcal{M}}| \leq |D_{\mathcal{L}}|^{2} (4^{d} | N_{\mathcal{K}}(a_{0})|^{(n-1)})^{r(r-1)(r-2)}.$$

Thus

$$|D_M| \leq |N_K(\Delta(G))|^{6r^2} (4^d |D_K|^2 |N_K(a_0)|^{n+6r-1})^{r(r-1)(r-2)}.$$

Theorem 1 gives

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}(a_0 x) < \exp\{\Phi_1(2dr(r-1)(r-2))|D_{\mathcal{M}}|^{50}|N_{\mathcal{M}}(\Delta(h))|^{180}\log^* H_{\mathcal{M}}(h)\}.$$

Since

$$H_M(x) \le H_M(a_0 x) H_M(a_0^{-1}) = H_M(a_0 x) H_M(a_0),$$

combining the above estimates, we get

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}(x) < \exp\{\Phi_2(d, r)(|D_K|^{10}|N_K(\Delta(G))|^{36}|N_K(a_0)|^{36rn})^{10r^3}\log^*(H_K(a_0)H_K(G))\},\$$

where

$$\Phi_2(d, r) < (10^{16} (dr^3)^5)^{250 dr^3}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let x, y be integers in K satisfying $y^p = f(x)$. Set $g(X) = (X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)$ and denote by $\Delta(g)$ the discriminant of g(X). Let w be an algebraic integer such that $w^p = g(x)$. Thus

$$w^p - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = x^2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)x.$$

Multiplying by 4^{p} and adding the term $(2^{p-1}(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}))^{2}$ in the two members, we get

$$(4w)^{p} - 4^{p}(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{2}) + (2^{p-1}(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}))^{2} = (2^{p}x)^{2} - 2^{p}(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2})(2^{p}x) + (2^{p-1}(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}))^{2}.$$

Setting

$$t = 2^{p-1}(2x - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))$$
 and $u = 4w$,

we obtain

$$t^2 = u^p + 4^{p-1}\Delta(g).$$

Put L = K(w) and $R(X) = X^{p} + 4^{p-1}\Delta(g)$. Denote by D_{L} and $\Delta(R)$ respectively the discriminants of L and R(X). Let M = L(z), where z is a root of the polynomial R(X). By Lemma 3,

$$|D_{\mathcal{M}}| < |D_{\mathcal{L}}|^p |N_{\mathcal{L}}(\Delta(R))|.$$

It is well known that $\Delta(R) = p^{p}(4^{p-1}\Delta(g))^{p-1}$. Thus

$$|D_{M}| < (p4^{p})^{dp^{2}} |D_{L}|^{p} |N_{K}(\Delta(g))|^{p(p-1)}.$$

By Proposition 1,

 $|D_L| < p^{(2p-1)d} |D_K|^p |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{2p-1}.$

Therefore

$$|D_{\mathcal{M}}| < (p^{3}4^{p})^{dp^{2}} |D_{\mathcal{K}}|^{p^{2}} |N_{\mathcal{K}}(\Delta(g))|^{3p^{2}}.$$

Let ω be a *p*th primitive root of 1. According to our assumptions $\omega \in K$. Put $h(X) = (X - z)(X - z\omega)(X - z\omega^2)$. Applying Theorem 1, we get

$$H_{M}(u) < \exp\{\Phi_{1}(dp^{2})|D_{M}|^{50}|N_{M}(\Delta(h))|^{180}\log^{*}H_{M}(h)\}$$

We have

$$|N_{M}(\Delta(h))| \le |N_{M}(z)|^{6} p^{dp^{3}} \le |N_{K}(\Delta(g))|^{2p^{2}} p^{5dp^{3}}$$

and Lemma 4 gives

$$H_M(h) \leq H_M(z)^3 4^{d(p+1)p^2} \leq H_K(\Delta(g))^{p^2} 16^{dp^3} \leq H_K(g)^{2p^2} 2^{5dp^3}.$$

Therefore

$$H_{M}(u) < \exp\{\Phi_{1}(dp^{2})4^{52dp^{3}}p^{950dp^{3}}|D_{K}|^{50p^{2}}|N_{K}(\Delta(g))|^{510p^{2}}\log^{*}H_{K}(g)\}.$$

We have

$$H(t) \leq H(t)^{2} = H(t^{2}) \leq 2H(u^{p})H(4^{p-1}\Delta(g)) \leq 2^{2p-1}H(u^{p})H(\Delta(g)) \leq 2^{2p+2}H(u^{p})H(g)^{2}.$$

Then

$$H(x) \leq 2^{p+2}H(t)H(g) \leq 2^{3p+4}H(u^p)H(g)^3$$

Hence

$$H_{\mathcal{M}}(x) < \exp\{\Psi_1(d, p) | D_K|^{50p^2} | N_K(\Delta(g))|^{510p^2} \log^* H_K(g) \},$$

where

$$\Psi_1(d, p) < 10^{1700dp^2+53} d^{624dp^2+13} p^{1438dp^3+9}.$$

Proof of Corollary 2. Let $x, y \in O_K$ be a solution of $y^p = f(x)$. Then $(a_0 x, a_0^{(n-1)/p} y)$ is an integral solution over $K(a_0^{(n-1)/p})$ to the equation $Y^p = \tilde{f}(X)$, where

$$\tilde{f}(X) = (X - a_0 \alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - a_0 \alpha_r)^{e_r}.$$

Consider the polynomials $G(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$, $G_1(X) = (X - a_0\alpha_1) \dots (X - a_0\alpha_r)$ and denote by $\Delta(G)$, $\Delta(G_1)$ respectively their discriminants. Let ω be a *p*th primitive root of 1. By Lemma 3, the discriminant D_L of $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \omega)$ is

$$|D_L| \leq p^{dpr^2} |D_K|^{r^{2}(p-1)} |N_K(\Delta(G_1))|^{2r(p-1)}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$|D_L| \leq p^{dpr^2} |D_K|^{r^{2(p-1)}} (|N_K(a_0)|^{(r-1)(r-2)} |N_K(\Delta(G))|)^{2r(p-1)}.$$

Put $M = L(a_0^{(n-1)/p})$ and denote by D_M the discriminant of M. Since the discriminant of the polynomial $X^p - a_0^{n-1}$ is $(-1)^{p(p-1)/2} p^p a_0^{(n-1)(p-1)}$, Lemma 3 gives

$$|D_{M}| \leq |D_{L}|^{p} (p^{dp} | N_{K}(a_{0})|^{(n-1)(p-1)})^{(p-1)r(r-1)}.$$

It follows that

$$|D_{M}| < p^{2dp^{2}r^{2}} |D_{K}|^{r^{2(p-1)p}} |N_{K}(\Delta(G))|^{2r(p-1)p} |N_{K}(a_{0})|^{2r^{2}p^{2}(n-1)}$$

By Lemma 5, the height of the polynomial $h(X) = (X - a_0\alpha_1)(X - a_0\alpha_2)$ satisfies

$$H(h) < 4' 12 H(a_0)^2 H(G).$$

Furthermore, the discriminant $\Delta(h)$ of h satisfies

$$|N_{\mathcal{M}}(\Delta(h))| \le |N_{\mathcal{M}}(\Delta(G_1))| \le (|N_{\mathcal{K}}(a_0)|^{(r-1)(r-2)}|N_{\mathcal{K}}(\Delta(G))|)^{r(r-1)p(p-1)}.$$

Using Theorem 2 and the above estimates, we get

$$H_M(x) < \exp\{\Psi_2(d, r, p)(|D_K|^5 | N_K(\Delta(G))|^{51} | N_K(a_0)|^{51rn})^{10r^2p^4} \log^*(H_K(a_0)H_K(G))\}$$

where

$$\Psi_2(d, r, p) < (10^3 (dr^2) p^{3p})^{625 dr^2 p^4}.$$

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $x, y \in O_K$ be a solution of $y^4 = f(x)$. Consider the polynomial $g(X) = (X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)$ and denote by $\Delta(g)$ its discriminant. Let w be an algebraic integer such that $w^4 = g(x)$. Then

$$w^4 - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 = x^2 - (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)x.$$

Multiplying by 2⁴ and adding the term $(2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2))^2$ in the two members, we obtain

$$(2w)^{4} + 4\Delta(g) = [(4x) - 2(\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2})]^{2}$$

Setting t = 2w and $z = (4x) - 2(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$, we get

$$z^2 = t^4 + 4\Delta(g).$$

Put L = K(w) and $S(X) = X^4 + 4\Delta(g)$. Denote by D_L and $\Delta(S)$ respectively the discriminants of L and S(X). Let M = L(u), where u is a root of the polynomial S(X). By Lemma 3,

$$|D_{\mathcal{M}}| < |D_L|^4 |N_L(\Delta(S))|.$$

Since $\Delta(S) = 4^4 (4\Delta(g))^3$, we have

$$|N_L(\Delta(S))| \le 4^{28d} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{12}.$$

By Proposition 1,

$$|D_L| < 2^{12d} |D_K|^4 |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{12}$$

Therefore

$$|D_M| < 4^{52d} |D_K|^{16} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{60}.$$

Set $h(X) = (X - u)(X - u\omega)(X - u\omega^2)$, where ω is a 4th primitive root of 1. Then Theorem 1 gives

$$H_{M}(t) < \exp\{\Phi_{1}(16d)|D_{M}|^{50}|N_{M}(\Delta(h))|^{180}\log^{*}H_{M}(h)\}.$$

We deduce as in the proof of Theorem 2 that

$$|N_M(\Delta(h))| \le 4^{88d} |N_K(\Delta(g))|^{24}$$
 and $H_M(h) < 4^{148d} H_K(g)^{32}$.

Hence

$$H_{M}(t) < \exp\{\Phi_{1}(16d)4^{18440d+4}|D_{K}|^{800}|N_{K}(\Delta(g))|^{4620}\log^{\bullet}H_{K}(g)\}.$$

We have

$$H(z) \leq H(z)^{2} \leq 8H(t)^{4}H(\Delta(g)) \leq 40H(t)^{4}H(g)^{2}.$$

Hence

$$H(x) \le 8H(g)H(z) \le 320H(t)^4H(g)^3$$
.

Thus

$$H_{M}(x) < \exp\{\Omega_{1}(d)|D_{K}|^{800}|N_{K}(\Delta(g))|^{4620}\log^{*}H_{K}(g)\},$$

where

$$\Omega_1(d) < 10^{50597d+73} d^{9984d+13}.$$

Proof of Corollary 3. Consider the equation $Y^4 = \tilde{f}(X)$, where

$$\bar{f}(X) = (X - a_0\alpha_1)^{e_1} \dots (X - a_0\alpha_r)^{e_r}$$

If $x, y \in O_K$ is a solution of $y^4 = f(x)$, then $(a_0x, a_0^{(n-1)/4}y)$ is an integral solution over $K(a_0^{(n-1)/p})$ to the equation $Y^2 = \tilde{f}(X)$. We set $G(X) = a_0(X - \alpha_1) \dots (X - \alpha_r)$, $G_1(X) = (X - a_0\alpha_1) \dots (X - a_0\alpha_r)$, $h(X) = (X - a_0\alpha_1)(X - a_0\alpha_2)$ and we denote by $\Delta(G)$, $\Delta(G_1)$, $\Delta(h)$ respectively their discriminants. By Lemma 3, the discriminant D_L of $L = K(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \omega)$, where ω is a 4th primitive root of 1, satisfies

$$|D_L| \le 4^{dr^2} |D_K|^{2r^2} |N_K(\Delta(G_1))|^{4r} \le 4^{dr^2} |D_K|^{2r^2} (|N_K(a_0)|^{(r-1)(r-2)} |N_K(\Delta(G))|)^{4r}$$

Put $M = L(a_0^{(n-1)/4})$ and denote by D_M the discriminant of M. Then, Lemma 3 gives

$$|D_M| \leq 4^{32dr(r-1)} |D_L|^4 |N_K(a_0)|^{24(n-1)r(r-1)}$$

Thus,

$$|D_M| \le 4^{36dr^2} |D_K|^{8r^2} |N_K(\Delta(G))|^{16r} |N_K(a_0)|^{40(n-1)r(r-1)}$$

By Lemma 5, we get

$$H(h) < 4' 12H(a_0)^2 H(G).$$

Further, we deduce

$$|N_{\mathcal{M}}(\Delta(h))| \leq |N_{\mathcal{M}}(\Delta(G_{1}))| \leq (|N_{\mathcal{K}}(a_{0})|^{(r-1)(r-2)}|N_{\mathcal{K}}(\Delta(G))|)^{8r(r-1)}.$$

Theorem 3 and the above estimates give

$$H_M(x) < \exp\{\Omega_2(d, r)(|D_K|^{64}|N_K(\Delta(G))|^{370}|N_K(a_0)|^{370nr})^{100r^2}\log^*(H_K(a)H_K(G))\},\$$

where

$$\Omega_2(d,r) < ((10^{49}(dr^2)^8)^{10^4 dr^2}).$$

BOUNDS FOR THE SIZE OF INTEGRAL SOLUTIONS TO $Y^m = f(X)$ 141

REFERENCES

1. A. BAKER, Bounds for the solutions of the hyperelliptic equation, Proc. Cambr. Philos. Soc. 65 (1969), 439-444.

2. D. KUBERT and A. LANG, Units in modular function field I, Math. Ann. (1975), 67-96.

3. S. LANG, Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg-Tokyo: Springer-Verlag, 1983.

4. S. LANG, Introduction to algebraic and abelian Functions, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1982.

5. D. POULAKIS, Solutions entières de l'équation $f(X, Y)^{x} = h(X)g(X, Y)$, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris 315 (1992), 963-968.

6. D. POULAKIS, Integer points on algebraic curves with exceptional units, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A) 63 (1997), 145-164.

7. W. SCHMIDT, Eisenstein's theorem on power series expansions of algebraic functions, Acta Arith. LVI (1990), 161–179.

8. J. P. SERRE, Corps Locaux, Hermann, Paris, 1962.

9. J. H. SILVERMAN, The Arithmetic of elliptic curves, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1986.

10. P. VOUTIER, An Upper Bound for the Size of Integral Solutions to $Y^m = f(X)$, J. Number Theory 53 (1995), 247-271.

ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS 54006 THESSALONIKI GREECE *E-mail address:* poulakis@ccf.auth.gr