## SOME FINITE ANALOGUES OF THE POISSON SUMMATION FORMULA<sup>†</sup>

## by L. CARLITZ

(Received 8th December, 1960)

1. Guinand (2) has obtained finite identities of the type

where m, n, N are positive integers and either

or

$$\begin{cases} f(x) = \frac{1}{x} \left\{ \sum_{1 \le r \le x}' 1 - x \right\}, \\ g(x) = \gamma + \log x - \sum_{1 \le r \le x}' \frac{1}{n}, \end{cases}$$
(1.3)

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant and the notation  $\Sigma'$  indicates that when x is integral the term r = x is multiplied by  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Clearly there is no loss of generality in taking N = 1 in (1.1).

We should like to point out that identities of the form (1.1) can be obtained very easily in the following way. Following Mordell (3), let f(x) be a function of x that satisfies the multiplication formula

where  $C_n$  is independent of x but may depend upon the function f. Also it follows from (1.4) that  $C_{mn} = C_m C_n$  for all integral  $m, n \ge 1$ . In a recent paper (1), the writer has shown that (1.4) implies

In the paper cited above, Mordell has noted that if  $\{x\} = x - [x]$ , the fractional part of the real variable x, then the function  $f(\{x\})$  also satisfies (1.4). Thus if we define  $\tilde{f}(x)$  by means of  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x)$   $(0 \le x < 1)$ ,  $\tilde{f}(x-1) = \tilde{f}(x)$ , + Supported in part by National Science Foundation grant G-9425. then f(x) satisfies (1.4). We may accordingly assume that f(x) in (1.4) has the period 1.

Now consider the sum

$$C_n \sum_{t=0}^{mN-1} f\left(nx + \frac{nt}{mN}\right),$$

where N is an arbitrary positive integer. Using (1.2) we obtain

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{t=0}^{mN-1} f\left(x + \frac{s}{n} + \frac{t}{mN}\right).$$
(1.6)

We now assume that m and N are relatively prime and that f(x) has the period 1. Then if r runs through a complete residue system (mod m) while u runs through a complete residue system (mod N) it follows that t = rN+um runs through a complete residue system (mod mN). Consequently the expression (1.6) is equal to

If we prefer, each summation in (1.7) may be extended over a complete residue system modulo m, n or N, respectively. We have thus proved the formula

$$C_n \sum_{t=0}^{mN-1} f\left(nx + \frac{nt}{mN}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=0}^{N-1} f\left(x + \frac{r}{m} + \frac{s}{n} + \frac{u}{N}\right). \quad \dots \dots (1.8)$$

If we assume that n and N are relatively prime we get similarly

$$C_m \sum_{t=0}^{nN-1} f\left(mx + \frac{mt}{nN}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=0}^{N-1} f\left(x + \frac{r}{m} + \frac{s}{n} + \frac{u}{N}\right). \quad \dots \dots (1.9)$$

Comparing (1.9) with (1.8) we have

provided (N, mn) = 1 and f(x) is of period 1.

Combining (1.5) and (1.10) we state

**Theorem 1.** Let f(x) satisfy (1.4) and have period 1. Then if m, n, N are positive integers such that (N, mn) = 1, it follows that

$$C_{n}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} f\left(nx + \frac{nr}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{mN-1} f\left(nx - \frac{nt}{mN}\right)\right\}$$
$$= C_{m}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f\left(mx + \frac{ms}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{nN-1} f\left(mx + \frac{mt}{nN}\right)\right\}. \quad \dots \dots (1.11)$$

If in (1.11) we let  $N \rightarrow \infty$  then, provided the integrals exist, we get

$$\lim_{N = \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{mN-1} f\left(nx + \frac{nt}{mN}\right) = \int_0^m f\left(nx + \frac{nt}{m}\right) dt,$$
$$\lim_{N = \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{nN-1} f\left(mx + \frac{mt}{nN}\right) = \int_0^n f\left(mx + \frac{mt}{n}\right) dt.$$

Thus Theorem 1 yields

134

**Theorem 2.** Let f(x) satisfy (1.4) and have period 1. Then if m, n are arbitrary positive integers, we have

$$C_n \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} f\left(nx + \frac{nr}{m}\right) - \int_0^m f\left(nx + \frac{nt}{m}\right) dt \right\}$$
$$= C_m \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f\left(mx + \frac{ms}{n}\right) - \int_0^n f\left(mx + \frac{mt}{n}\right) dt \right\}. \quad \dots (1.12)$$

2. As a simple application of Theorems 1 and 2 we may take  $f(x) = \overline{B}_k(x)$ , where  $B_k(x)$  is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree k defined by

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k(x) \frac{t^k}{k!}$$

and

$$\bar{B}_k(x) = B_k(x) (0 \le x < 1), \quad \bar{B}_k(x+1) = \bar{B}_k(x).$$

Since

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} B_k\left(x+\frac{s}{n}\right) = n^{1-k} B_k(nx),$$

we have in this instance  $C_n = n^{1-k}$ .

In the second place, if we put

$$\zeta(\sigma, x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n)} \sigma \quad (x>0, R(\sigma)>1),$$

then  $\zeta(\sigma, x)$  satisfies (1.4) with  $C_n = n^{\sigma}$ . Thus in (1.11) and (1.12) we may take  $f(x) = \zeta(\sigma, \{x\})$ .

If F'x = f(x), then (1.2) implies

where  $C'_n$  is also independent of x. For example we have the well-known formula

$$\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Psi\left(x + \frac{s}{n}\right) = n\Psi(nx) - n \log n.$$
 (2.2)

It is easily verified that the function  $\overline{F}(x) = F({x})$  also satisfies (2.1).

It follows from (2.1) that

$$\frac{1}{m}C_m \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F\left(mx + \frac{ms}{n}\right) + nC'_m = \frac{1}{n}C_n \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} F\left(nx + \frac{nr}{m}\right) + mC'_n. \quad \dots (2.3)$$

Also, exactly as in proving (1.10), we find that

$$\frac{1}{m}C_m\sum_{i=0}^{mN-1}\overline{F}\left(mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right) = \sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\sum_{u=0}^{N-1}\overline{F}\left(x+\frac{r}{m}+\frac{s}{n}+\frac{u}{N}\right) - nNC'_m,$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{mN}C_{m}\sum_{t=0}^{mN-1}F\left(mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right)+nC_{m}'=\frac{1}{nN}C_{n}\sum_{t=0}^{mN}F\left(nx+\frac{nt}{m}\right)+mC_{n}'$$
provided (N, mn) = 1.

## L. CARLITZ

We may therefore state the following theorems.

**Theorem 3.** Let 
$$F'(x) = f(x)$$
, where  $f(x)$  satisfies (1.4), and put  
 $\overline{F}(x) = F(\{x\}) = F(x-[x])$ . ....(2.5)

Then if (N, mn) = 1 it follows that

$$\frac{1}{n}C_{n}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\overline{F}\left(nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right)-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=0}^{mN-1}\overline{F}\left(nx+\frac{nt}{m}\right)\right\}$$
$$=\frac{1}{m}C_{m}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\overline{F}\left(mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N}\sum_{t=0}^{nN-1}\overline{F}\left(mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right)\right\}.$$
 (2.6)

Moreover in the sums over r and s in (2.6),  $\overline{F}$  may be replaced by F.

**Theorem 4.** Let F'(x) = f(x), where f(x) satisfies (1.4) and define  $\overline{F}(x)$  by means of (2.5). Then

$$\frac{1}{n}C_n\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}F\left(nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right)-\int_0^m\overline{F}\left(nx+\frac{nt}{m}\right)dt\right\}$$
$$=\frac{1}{m}C_m\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\overline{F}\left(mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right)-\int_0^n\overline{F}\left(mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right)dt\right\}.$$
 (2.7)

Moreover in each sum in (2.7)  $\overline{F}$  may be replaced by F.

3. Since  $\psi(x)$  satisfies (2.2) it is evident that (2.6) and (2.7) yield

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\overline{\psi}\left(nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{mN}\sum_{t=0}^{mN-1}\overline{\psi}\left(nx+\frac{nt}{m}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\overline{\psi}\left(mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{nN}\sum_{t=0}^{nN-1}\overline{\psi}\left(mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right), \quad \dots \dots (3.1)$$
$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\overline{\psi}\left(nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{m}\int_{0}^{m}\overline{\psi}\left(nx+\frac{nt}{m}\right)dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{m}\sum_{r=0}^{n-1}\overline{\psi}\left(mx+\frac{ms}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{m}\int_{0}^{n}\overline{\psi}\left(mx+\frac{ms}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{m}\int_{0}^{n}\overline{\psi}\left(mx+\frac{ms}{m}\right)dt, \quad \dots \dots (3.2)$$

$$=\frac{1}{n}\sum_{s=0}^{n}\overline{\psi}\left(mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n}\int_{0}^{n}\overline{\psi}\left(mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right)dt, \quad \dots \dots (3)$$

where  $\overline{\psi}(x) = \psi(x - [x])$ .

To get a result like (3.2) involving  $\psi(x)$  we note first that by (2.2) and (2.3)

$$\frac{1}{m}\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\psi\left(nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right) + \log n = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\psi\left(mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right) + \log m.....(3.3)$$

Secondly we have

https://doi.org/10.1017/S0013091500002765 Published online by Cambridge University Press

136

If we put

,

$$G(x) = \psi(x) - \log x$$
 .....(3.5)

and make use of (3.3) and (3.4), we find after a little computation that

$$\frac{1}{m}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}G\left(nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right)-\int_{0}^{m}G\left(nx+\frac{nt}{m}\right)dt\right\}$$
$$=\frac{1}{n}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}G\left(mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{n}G\left(mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right)dt\right\}.$$
(3.6)

It can be verified that (3.6) is equivalent to Theorem 2 of Guinand's paper. Put

$$H(x) = G(x) - \bar{\psi}(x) = \psi(x) - \bar{\psi}(x) - \log x, \qquad .....(3.7)$$

which evidently implies that, for x > 0,

Comparing (3.6) with (3.2), we have at once that

$$\frac{1}{m}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}H\left(nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right)-\int_{0}^{m}H\left(nx+\frac{nt}{m}\right)dt\right\}$$
$$=\frac{1}{n}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}H\left(mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{n}H\left(mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right)dt\right\}....(3.9)$$

The function H(x) may be compared with g(x) as defined in (1.3). We have noted above that in Theorem 2 we may take  $f(x) = \zeta(\sigma, \{x\})$ . Now for the function  $\zeta(\sigma, x)$  we have first by (1.5)

$$n^{\sigma}\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\zeta\left(\sigma,\ nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right)=m^{\sigma}\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\zeta\left(\sigma,\ mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right).$$
 (3.10)

Secondly we have, for  $\sigma \neq 1$ , that

$$n^{\sigma} \int_{0}^{m} \zeta\left(\sigma, nx + \frac{nt}{m}\right) dt = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \left(mx + \frac{ms}{n}\right)^{1-\sigma}.$$
 (3.11)

Thus if we put

$$G_{\sigma}(x) = \zeta(\sigma, x) - \frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}, \qquad (3.12)$$

it follows from (3.10) and 3.11) that

$$n^{\sigma} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} G_{\sigma} \left( nx + \frac{nr}{m} \right) - \int_{0}^{m} G_{\sigma} \left( nx + \frac{nt}{m} \right) dt \right\}$$
$$= m^{\sigma} \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} G_{\sigma} \left( mx + \frac{mr}{n} \right) - \int_{0}^{n} G_{\sigma} \left( mx + \frac{mt}{n} \right) dt \right\}. \dots (3.13)$$

We may state

Theorem 5. If

$$\zeta(\sigma, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^{\sigma}} \quad (R(\sigma) > 1)$$

and  $G_{\sigma}(x)$  is defined by (3.12), then (3.13) holds for arbitrary positive integers m, n.

By analytic continuation (3.13) holds for all  $\sigma \neq 1$ . Since by (1.12)

Since, by (1.12),

$$n^{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1}\zeta\left(\sigma,\left\{nx+\frac{nr}{m}\right\}\right)-\int_{0}^{m}\zeta\left(\sigma,\left\{nx+\frac{nt}{m}\right\}\right)dt\right\}$$
$$=m^{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\zeta\left(\sigma,\left\{mx+\frac{ms}{n}\right\}\right)-\int_{0}^{n}\zeta\left(\sigma,\left\{mx+\frac{mt}{n}\right\}\right)dt\right\},$$

comparison with (3.13) yields

$$n^{\sigma} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} H_{\sigma} \left( nx + \frac{nr}{m} \right) - \int_{0}^{m} H_{\sigma} \left( nx + \frac{nt}{m} \right) dt \right\}$$
$$= m^{\sigma} \left\{ \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} H_{\sigma} \left( mx + \frac{ms}{n} \right) - \int_{0}^{n} H_{\sigma} \left( mx + \frac{mt}{n} \right) dt \right\}, \dots \dots (3.14)$$
where

$$H_{\sigma}(x) = \zeta(\sigma, \{x\}) - G_{\sigma}(x)$$
  
=  $\zeta(\sigma, \{x\}) - \zeta(\sigma, x) + \frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$   
=  $\sum_{1 \le k < x} \frac{1}{(x-k)^{\sigma}} + \frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}$ . (3.15)

The formula (3.14) may be compared with Theorem 5 of Guinand's paper. We remark that since

$$\zeta(1-k, x) = -\frac{1}{k}B_k(x) \quad (k = 1, 2, 3, ...),$$

(3.13) can be expressed in terms of Bernoulli polynomials.

## REFERENCES

(1) L. CARLITZ, Some generalized multiplication formulas for Bernoulli polynomials and related functions, *Monatshefte fur Mathematik*, 65 (to appear).

(2) A. P. GUINAND, Some finite identities connected with Poisson's summation formula, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society*, **12** (1960), 17-25.

(3) L. J. MORDELL, Integral formulas of arithmetic character, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 33 (1957), 371-375.

DUKE UNIVERSITY U.S.A.

138