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1. Guinand (2) has obtained finite identities of the type

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{n} \sum_{r=1}^{n N} f\left(\frac{m r}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{n N} f\left(\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t \\
&=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=1}^{m N} g\left(\frac{n r}{m}\right)-\frac{1}{m} \int_{0}^{m \mathrm{M}} g\left(\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m, n, N$ are positive integers and either

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=g(x)=\psi(1+x)-\log x=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(1+x)}{\Gamma(1+x)}-\log x \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(x)=\frac{1}{x}\left\{\sum_{1 \leqq r \leqq x}^{\prime} 1-x\right\}  \tag{1.3}\\
g(x)=\gamma+\log x-\sum_{1 \leqq r \leqq x}^{\prime} \frac{1}{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\gamma$ is Euler's constant and the notation $\Sigma^{\prime}$ indicates that when $x$ is integral the term $r=x$ is multiplied by $\frac{1}{2}$. Clearly there is no loss of generality in taking $N=1$ in (1.1).

We should like to point out that identities of the form (1.1) can be obtained very easily in the following way. Following Mordell (3), let $f(x)$ be a function of $x$ that satisfies the multiplication formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s-0}^{n-1} f\left(x+\frac{s}{n}\right)=C_{n} f(n x) \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}$ is independent of $x$ but may depend upon the function $f$. Also it follows from (1.4) that $C_{m n}=C_{m} C_{n}$ for all integral $m, n \geqq 1$. In a recent paper (1), the writer has shown that (1.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} f\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)=C_{m} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the paper cited above, Mordell has noted that if $\{x\}=x-[x]$, the fractional part of the real variable $x$, then the function $f(\{x\})$ also satisfies (1.4). Thus if we define $\bar{f}(x)$ by means of $\bar{f}(x)=f(x) \quad(0 \leqq x<1), \quad \vec{f}(x-1)=\bar{f}(x)$,
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then $\vec{f}(x)$ satisfies (1.4). We may accordingly assume that $f(x)$ in (1.4) has the period 1 .

Now consider the sum

$$
C_{n} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} f\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m N}\right)
$$

where $N$ is an arbitrary positive integer. Using (1.2) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{m N-1} f\left(x+\frac{s}{n}+\frac{t}{m N}\right) . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now assume that $m$ and $N$ are relatively prime and that $f(x)$ has the period 1 . Then if $r$ runs through a complete residue system $(\bmod m)$ while $u$ runs through a complete residue system $(\bmod N)$ it follows that $t=r N+u m$ runs through a complete residue system $(\bmod m N)$. Consequently the expression (1.6) is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=0}^{N-1}\left(x+\frac{r}{m}+\frac{s}{n}+\frac{u}{N}\right) . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we prefer, each summation in (1.7) may be extended over a complete residue system modulo $m, n$ or $N$, respectively. We have thus proved the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} f\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m N}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=0}^{N-1} f\left(x+\frac{r}{m}+\frac{s}{n}+\frac{u}{N}\right) . \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we assume that $n$ and $N$ are relatively prime we get similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{m} \sum_{t=0}^{n N-1} f\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n N}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=0}^{N-1} f\left(x+\frac{r}{m}+\frac{s}{n}+\frac{u}{N}\right) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (1.9) with (1.8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n} \sum_{t=0}^{m N-1} f\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m N}\right)=C_{m} \sum_{t=0}^{n N-1} f\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n N}\right), \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $(N, m n)=1$ and $f(x)$ is of period 1 .
Combining (1.5) and (1.10) we state
Theorem 1. Let $f(x)$ satisfy (1.4) and have period 1. Then if $m, n, N$ are positive integers such that $(N, m n)=1$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} f\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)\right. & \left.-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{m N-1} f\left(n x-\frac{n t}{m N}\right)\right\} \\
& =C_{m}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{n N-1} f\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n N}\right)\right\} \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

If in (1.11) we let $N \rightarrow \infty$ then, provided the integrals exist, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{N=\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{m N-1} f\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m N}\right) & =\int_{0}^{m} f\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t, \\
\lim _{N=\infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{n N-1} f\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n N}\right) & =\int_{0}^{n} f\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus Theorem 1 yields

Theorem 2. Let $f(x)$ satisfy (1.4) and have period 1. Then if $m, n$ are arbitrary positive integers, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{n}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} f\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)-\right. & \left.\int_{0}^{m} f\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t\right\} \\
& =C_{m}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} f\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{n} f\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t\right\} \tag{1.12}
\end{align*}
$$

2. As a simple application of Theorems 1 and 2 we may take $f(x)=\bar{B}_{k}(x)$, where $B_{k}(x)$ is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree $k$ defined by

$$
\frac{t e^{x t}}{e^{t}-1}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{k}(x) \frac{t^{k}}{k!}
$$

and

$$
\bar{B}_{k}(x)=B_{k}(x)(0 \leqq x<1), \quad \bar{B}_{k}(x+1)=\bar{B}_{k}(x) .
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} B_{k}\left(x+\frac{s}{n}\right)=n^{1-k} B_{k}(n x)
$$

we have in this instance $C_{n}=n^{1-k}$.
In the second place, if we put

$$
\zeta(\sigma, x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n)} \sigma \quad(x>0, R(\sigma)>1)
$$

then $\zeta(\sigma, x)$ satisfies (1.4) with $C_{n}=n^{\sigma}$. Thus in (1.11) and (1.12) we may take $f(x)=\zeta(\sigma,\{x\})$.

If $\left.F^{\prime} x\right)=f(x)$, then (1.2) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F\left(x+\frac{s}{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n} C_{n} F(n x)+C_{n}^{\prime} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{n}^{\prime}$ is also independent of $x$. For example we have the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Psi\left(x+\frac{s}{n}\right)=n \Psi(n x)-n \log n \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified that the function $\bar{F}(x)=F(\{x\})$ also satisfies (2.1).
It follows from (2.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m} C_{m} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} F\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)+n C_{m}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{n} C_{n} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} F\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)+m C_{n}^{\prime} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, exactly as in proving (1.10), we find that

$$
\frac{1}{m} C_{m} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \bar{F}\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \sum_{u=0}^{N-1} \bar{F}\left(x+\frac{r}{m}+\frac{s}{n}+\frac{u}{N}\right)-n N C_{m}^{\prime},
$$

so that
$\frac{1}{m N} C_{m} \sum_{t=0}^{m N-1} F\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right)+n C_{m}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{n N} C_{n} \sum_{t=0}^{m N} F\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right)+m C_{n}^{\prime}$
provided $(N, m n)=1$.
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We may therefore state the following theorems.
Theorem 3. Let $F^{\prime}(x)=f(x)$, where $f(x)$ satisfies (1.4), and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}(x)=F(\{x\})=F(x-[x]) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then if $(N, m n)=1$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{n} C_{n}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \bar{F}\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \bar{F}\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right)\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{m} C_{m}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \bar{F}\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{n N-1} \bar{F}\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover in the sums over $r$ and $s$ in (2.6), $\bar{F}$ may be replaced by $F$.
Theorem 4. Let $F^{\prime}(x)=f(x)$, where $f(x)$ satisfies (1.4) and define $\bar{F}(x)$ by means of (2.5). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{n} C_{n}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} F\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)-\int_{0}^{m} \bar{F}\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t\right\} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{m} C_{m}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \bar{F}\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{n} \bar{F}\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t\right\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover in each sum in (2.7) $\bar{F}$ may be replaced by $F$.
3. Since $\psi(x)$ satisfies (2.2) it is evident that (2.6) and (2.7) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \Psi\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right) & -\frac{1}{m N} \sum_{t=0}^{m N-1} \bar{\psi}\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \Psi\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n N} \sum_{t=0}^{n N-1} \bar{\psi}\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \Psi\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)-\frac{1}{m} \int_{0}^{m} \psi\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \bar{\psi}\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\frac{1}{n} \int_{0}^{n} \bar{\psi}\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi(x)=\psi(x-[x])$.
To get a result like (3.2) involving $\psi(x)$ we note first that by (2.2) and (2.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \psi\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)+\log n=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \psi\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)+\log m \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{m} \int_{0}^{m} \psi\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t & =\int_{0}^{1} \psi(n x+n t) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{\Gamma(n x+n)}{\Gamma(n x)}=\log n+\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \log \left(x+\frac{s}{n}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=\psi(x)-\log x \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and make use of (3.3) and (3.4), we find after a little computation that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{m}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} G\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)\right. & \left.-\int_{0}^{m} G\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{n}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} G\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{n} G\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t\right\} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be verified that (3.6) is equivalent to Theorem 2 of Guinand's paper. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=G(x)-\Psi(x)=\psi(x)-\Psi(x)-\log x, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which evidently implies that, for $x>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x)=\sum_{1 \leq k<x} \frac{1}{x-k}-\log x \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (3.6) with (3.2), we have at once that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{m}\left\{\sum _ { r = 0 } ^ { m - 1 } H \left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right.\right. & )-\int_{0}^{m} H\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{n}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} H\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{n} H\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t\right\} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $H(x)$ may be compared with $g(x)$ as defined in (1.3).
We have noted above that in Theorem 2 we may take $f(x)=\zeta(\sigma,\{x\})$.
Now for the function $\zeta(\sigma, x)$ we have first by (1.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\sigma} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \zeta\left(\sigma, n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)=m^{\sigma} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \zeta\left(\sigma, m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Secondly we have, for $\sigma \neq 1$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{\sigma} \int_{0}^{m} \zeta\left(\sigma, n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t=\frac{1}{\sigma-1} \sum_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)^{1-\sigma} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus if we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\sigma}(x)=\zeta(\sigma, x)-\frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma}, . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

it follows from (3.10) and 3.11) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& n^{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} G_{\sigma}\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)-\int_{0}^{m} G_{\sigma}\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t\right\} \\
&=m^{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} G_{\sigma}\left(m x+\frac{m r}{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{n} G_{\sigma}\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t\right\} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

## We may state

Theorem 5. If

$$
\zeta(\sigma, x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+k)^{\sigma}} \quad(R(\sigma)>1)
$$

and $G_{o}(x)$ is defined by (3.12), then (3.13) holds for arbitrary positive integers $m, n$.
By analytic continuation (3.13) holds for all $\sigma \neq 1$.
Since, by (1.12),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} \zeta\left(\sigma,\left\{n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right\}\right)-\int_{0}^{m} \zeta\left(\sigma,\left\{n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right\}\right) d t\right\} \\
&=m^{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \zeta\left(\sigma,\left\{m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right\}\right)-\int_{0}^{n} \zeta\left(\sigma,\left\{m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right\}\right) d t\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

comparison with (3.13) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
n^{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{r=0}^{m-1} H_{\sigma}\left(n x+\frac{n r}{m}\right)-\int_{0}^{m} H_{\sigma}\left(n x+\frac{n t}{m}\right) d t\right\} \\
\\
=m^{\sigma}\left\{\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} H_{\sigma}\left(m x+\frac{m s}{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{n} H_{\sigma}\left(m x+\frac{m t}{n}\right) d t\right\}
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{\sigma}(x) & =\zeta(\sigma,\{x\})-G_{\sigma}(x) \\
& =\zeta(\sigma,\{x\})-\zeta(\sigma, x)+\frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \\
& =\sum_{1 \leqq k<x} \frac{1}{(x-k)^{\sigma}}+\frac{x^{1-\sigma}}{1-\sigma} \cdots \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

The formula (3.14) may be compared with Theorem 5 of Guinand's paper.
We remark that since

$$
\zeta(1-k, x)=-\frac{1}{k} B_{k}(x) \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots)
$$

(3.13) can be expressed in terms of Bernoulli polynomials.
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