

## LOCAL-GLOBAL PRINCIPLE FOR THE FINITENESS AND ARTINIANNES OF GENERALISED LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

ALI FATHI

(Received 14 May 2012; accepted 22 June 2012; first published online 20 August 2012)

### Abstract

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules, where  $R$  is a commutative Noetherian ring. Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  be ideals of  $R$  and let  $M$  and  $N$  be finite  $R$ -modules. We prove that if  $N$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}$  for all  $i < n$  and if  $n \leq \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N)$ , then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)) \in \mathcal{S}$ . We deduce that if either  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is finite or  $\text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is finite for all  $i < n$ , then  $\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)$  is finite. Next we give an affirmative answer, in certain cases, to the following question. If, for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ , there exists an integer  $n_{\mathfrak{p}}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}^{n_{\mathfrak{p}}} H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$  for every  $i$  less than a fixed integer  $t$ , then does there exist an integer  $n$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}^n H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = 0$  for all  $i < t$ ? A formulation of this question is referred to as the local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules. Finally, we prove that there are local-global principles for the finiteness and Artinianness of generalised local cohomology modules.

2010 Mathematics subject classification: primary 13D45; secondary 13E05, 13E10.

Keywords and phrases: (generalised) local cohomology module, finiteness, Artinianness, local-global principle, filter regular sequence.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper  $R$  denotes a commutative Noetherian ring with identity and  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$  are ideals of  $R$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{N}$  and  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the set of positive and nonnegative integers, respectively. The notion of generalised local cohomology functors was introduced by Herzog, in [9], over a local ring and then continued by Suzuki in [18]. Later this concept was studied by Bijan-Zadeh, in [1], over any commutative Noetherian ring. For each integer  $i$ , the  $i$ th generalised local cohomology functor  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(\cdot, \cdot)$  is defined by

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}^n M, N)$$

for all  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ . Clearly, this notion is a generalisation of the usual local cohomology functor [4]. On the other hand, the concept of a filter regular sequence

has been studied in [12, 15, 17, 21] and has led to some interesting results. We denote the common length of all maximal  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter regular  $M$ -sequences contained in  $\mathfrak{b}$  by  $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M)$  and call it the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter grade of  $\mathfrak{b}$  on  $M$ . We briefly recall, in Section 2, the concept of a filter regular sequence and basic properties of  $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M)$ , but refer the reader to [8, 19] for more details. It is clear that an  $R$ -filter regular  $M$ -sequence is just a weak  $M$ -sequence [2] and  $f\text{-grad}(R, \mathfrak{b}, M) = \text{grad}(\mathfrak{b}, M)$ . If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring, then  $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{b}, M)$  is just the well-known notion  $f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{b}, M)$ ; see [11] for some characterisations of  $f\text{-depth}(\mathfrak{b}, M)$ . Filter regular sequences were employed in [19] to establish some finiteness results on usual local cohomology modules. In this paper we use those sequences to obtain some finiteness and Artinianness results on generalised local cohomology modules.

Recall that a class  $\mathcal{S}$  of  $R$ -modules is a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules if it is closed under taking submodules, quotients and extensions. In Theorem 2.2, for finite  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ , we prove that if  $N$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}$  for all  $i < n$  and  $n \leq f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N)$ , then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)) \in \mathcal{S}$ . We deduce that if either  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is finite or  $\text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is finite for all  $i < n$ , then  $\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)$  is finite. In a certain case, when  $M = R$ , this is the main result of [13]. Therefore Theorem 2.2 provides a generalisation of the main result of [13]. Notice that  $\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)$  is not finite in general; see, for example, [10, 16].

Let  $M, N$  be finite  $R$ -modules. As a generalisation of the  $\mathfrak{b}$ -finiteness dimension  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(N)$  of  $N$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{a}$ , we define

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathfrak{b} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N))}\}$$

and denote  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$  by  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$ . In fact, by Proposition 3.1,

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not finite}\}.$$

In Section 3 we give some properties of  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N)$ . In particular, we prove that  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(N) \leq f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N)$ . We present an example to show that the above inequality may be strict (Example 3.6). Thus the result [5, Proposition 2.10] of Chu is not correct. Moreover, Example 3.6 shows that the result [5, Lemma 2.9] is no longer true.

The local-global principle for the finiteness of local cohomology modules, investigated by Faltings in [6, 7], states that, for all nonnegative integers  $r$ ,  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) > r$  if and only if  $f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) > r$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Also we say that Faltings' local-global principle for the annihilation of local cohomology modules holds at level  $r$  if

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(N) > r \Leftrightarrow f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) > r \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$$

is true for all finite  $R$ -modules  $N$  and all ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ . Raghavan proved, in [14], that the local-global principle for the annihilation of local cohomology modules holds at level 1, while Brodmann *et al.* proved it is true at level 2 [3, Theorem 2.6]. As a generalisation of this, we say that Faltings' local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules holds at level  $r$  if

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) > r \Leftrightarrow f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) > r \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \quad (\dagger)$$

is true for all finite  $R$ -modules  $M, N$  and all ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ . We show, in Proposition 4.2, that the local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules holds at levels 0, 1, 2. Now let  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ . Then we prove the following statements, in Theorem 4.4.

- (i)  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \geq \inf\{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N), \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) + 1\}$ . In particular,  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N)$  whenever  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) \leq \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) + 1$ .
- (ii) Assume that  $r \leq \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) + 1$ . Then

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) > r \Leftrightarrow f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) > r \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R).$$

- (iii) If  $\text{Supp } N/\mathfrak{b}N \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ , then the statement

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) > r \Leftrightarrow f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) > r \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$$

holds for all  $r$ .

- (iv) Faltings' local-global principle for the finiteness of generalised local cohomology modules holds. In other words, for any positive integer  $r$ ,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is finite for all  $i \leq r$  and for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$  if and only if  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is finite for all  $i \leq r$ .

Finally, in Theorem 5.3, for finite  $R$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$  and for a positive integer  $n$ , we prove that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is Artinian for all  $i < r$  if and only if  $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is Artinian for all  $i < r$  and for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R$ . We observe that this result improves the main result of [20].

### 2. Preliminary results

We first recall some basic properties of filter regular sequences. The reader is referred to [8] for more details. Assume that  $M$  and  $N$  are finite  $R$ -modules. We say that a sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  of elements of  $R$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter regular  $M$ -sequence if  $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$  for all

$$\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1})M) \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$$

and for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . If, in addition,  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{b}$ , then we say that  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter regular  $M$ -sequence in  $\mathfrak{b}$ . There exists an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter regular  $M$ -sequence in  $\mathfrak{b}$  of infinite length if and only if  $\text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{b}M \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ . Now assume that  $\text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{b}M \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ . Then we denote the common length of all maximal  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter regular  $M$ -sequences contained in  $\mathfrak{b}$  by  $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M)$  and we call it the  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter grade of  $\mathfrak{b}$  on  $M$ . We set  $\text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M) = \infty$  whenever  $\text{Supp } M/\mathfrak{b}M \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ . Also, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}, \\ \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \text{Ann } N, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp Ext}_R^i(N, M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}, \\ \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} + \text{Ann } N, M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(N, M) \not\subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $f\text{-grad}(R, \mathfrak{b}, M) = \text{grad}(\mathfrak{b}, M)$ , we have the following well-known properties ([1, Proposition 5.5], [4, Theorem 6.2.7]):

$$\text{grad}(\mathfrak{b}, M) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{b}, M) \neq 0\} = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(M) \neq 0\}$$

and

$$\text{grad}(\mathfrak{b} + \text{Ann } N, M) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{b}}^i(N, M) \neq 0\}.$$

If  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a local ring, then  $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{b}, M)$  is just the well-known notion  $f$ -depth  $(\mathfrak{b}, M)$ ; see [11] for some properties of  $f$ -depth  $(\mathfrak{b}, M)$ . The following lemma is of assistance in the proof of the next theorem.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules,  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $N \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N) \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$  is a subquotient of  $N^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the result is clear.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $M$  and  $N$  be finite  $R$ -modules such that  $N$  and  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  belong to  $\mathcal{S}$  for all  $i < n$ . If  $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) \geq n$ , then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)) \in \mathcal{S}$ . In particular,  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)) \in \mathcal{S}$  whenever  $\text{Supp } N/\mathfrak{b}N \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ .*

**PROOF.** We prove the assertion by induction on  $n$ . Since  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ , the result is clear for  $n = 0$  by Lemma 2.1. Assume that  $n > 0$  and that the result has been proved for  $n - 1$ . Let  $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) \geq n$  and suppose that  $x \in \mathfrak{b}$  is an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter regular  $N$ -sequence. The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \rightarrow 0$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \xrightarrow{f^i} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since, by [23, Lemma 1.1],

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

we use Lemma 2.1 and the above long exact sequence to see that  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \in \mathcal{S}$  if and only if  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Also  $N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) = f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))$ . On the other hand, since  $\text{im } f^n \in \mathcal{S}$ , the induced exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, \text{im } f^n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)))$$

yields  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N)) \in \mathcal{S}$  whenever  $\text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N))) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Thus we can replace  $N$  by  $N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N)$  and, without loss of generality, assume that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(N) = 0$ ; and hence  $x$  is a nonzero divisor on  $N$ . Next, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{x} N \rightarrow N/xN \rightarrow 0$$

which induces the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_a^i(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} H_a^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_a^i(M, N/xN) \rightarrow H_a^{i+1}(M, N) \xrightarrow{x} \dots$$

Now we may use the above sequence in conjunction with the hypothesis to deduce that  $H_a^i(M, N/xN) \in \mathcal{S}$  for all  $i < n - 1$ . Also it is easy to see that  $f\text{-grad}(a, b, N/xN) = f\text{-grad}(a, b, N) - 1$ . Therefore, by induction,  $\text{Hom}_R(R/b, H_a^{n-1}(M, N/xN)) \in \mathcal{S}$ . Next, we use the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_a^{n-1}(M, N)/xH_a^{n-1}(M, N) \rightarrow H_a^{n-1}(M, N/xN) \rightarrow 0 :_{H_a^n(M, N)} x \rightarrow 0,$$

to obtain the exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(R/b, H_a^{n-1}(M, N/xN)) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/b, H_a^n(M, N)) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/b, H_a^{n-1}(M, N)/xH_a^{n-1}(M, N)) \end{aligned}$$

which in turn, by Lemma 2.1, yields  $\text{Hom}_R(R/b, H_a^n(M, N)) \in \mathcal{S}$ . This completes the inductive step. Finally, since the hypothesis  $\text{Supp } N/bN \subseteq V(a)$  implies  $f\text{-grad}(a, b, N) = \infty$ , the last assertion follows immediately from the first one.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module.  $M$  is called an FSF module if there is a finite submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that the support of the quotient module  $M/N$  is finite. If  $M$  is an FSF module, then  $\text{Ass } M$  is finite and the category of FSF  $R$ -modules is a Serre subcategory of the category of  $R$ -modules [13, Proposition 2.2].

By applying the above theorem to the category of FSF  $R$ -modules we have the following corollary which recovers the main result of [13] which has been proved for ordinary local cohomology modules.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Let  $M, N$  be finite  $R$ -modules and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be such that either  $H_a^i(M, N)$  is finite or  $\text{Supp } H_a^i(M, N)$  is finite for all  $i < n$ . Then  $\text{Ass } H_a^n(M, N)$  is finite.*

### 3. Finiteness properties of generalised local cohomology modules

Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module. Following [4, Proposition 9.1.2] and [6, Lemma 3], the finiteness dimension  $f_a(M)$  of  $M$  relative to  $a$  is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} f_a(M) &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_a^i(M) \text{ is not finite}\} \\ &= \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid a \not\subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_a^i(M))}\}. \end{aligned}$$

As a generalisation, the  $b$ -finiteness dimension  $f_a^b(M)$  of  $M$  relative to  $a$  is defined by

$$f_a^b(M) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid b \not\subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_a^i(M))}\}.$$

We now extend this definition to generalised local cohomology modules.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *Let  $M, N$  be finite  $R$ -modules and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $H_a^i(M, N)$  is finite for all  $i < n$ ;
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_a^i(M, N))}$  for all  $i < n$ .

**PROOF.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious. For (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), we use induction on  $n$ . When  $n = 1$ , there is nothing to prove. Now let  $n > 1$  and suppose that the result has been proved for smaller values of  $n$ . By the inductive assumption,  $H_a^i(M, N)$  is finite for  $i = 0, \dots, n - 2$ . Also, by hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{a}^r H_a^{n-1}(M, N) = 0$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , so that, in view of Theorem 2.2,  $0 :_{H_a^{n-1}(M, N)} \mathfrak{a}^r = H_a^{n-1}(M, N)$  is finite. This completes the induction.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.2.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be finite  $R$ -modules. We define the  $\mathfrak{b}$ -finiteness dimension  $f_a^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N)$  of  $M, N$  relative to  $\mathfrak{a}$  by

$$f_a^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \mathfrak{b} \not\subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_a^i(M, N))}\}.$$

Notice that, by Proposition 3.1,

$$f_a^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid H_a^i(M, N) \text{ is not finite}\}.$$

We denote  $f_a^{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N)$  by  $f_a(M, N)$ .

For  $y \in R$ , set  $S = \{y^n : n \geq 0\}$ . In the next lemma, for an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we denote  $S^{-1}M$  by  $M_y$ . The following two lemmas are needed in the proof of the next proposition.

**LEMMA 3.3.** Let  $M, N$  be finite  $R$ -modules and  $x \in R$ . Then we have the following long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_x}^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_a^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}R_x}^i(M_x, N_x) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_x}^{i+1}(M, N) \rightarrow \dots$$

**PROOF.** Let  $E^\bullet$  be an injective resolution of  $N$ . Then  $E_x^\bullet$  is an injective resolution of  $R_x$ -module  $N_x$ . The split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}+R_x}(E^\bullet) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E^\bullet) \rightarrow \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E_x^\bullet) \rightarrow 0$$

of complexes [4, Lemma 8.1.1] induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}+R_x}(E^\bullet)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E^\bullet)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E_x^\bullet)) \rightarrow 0$$

of complexes. On the other hand, we have the following natural isomorphism of complexes:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E_x^\bullet)) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(M, \text{Hom}_{R_x}(R_x, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}R_x}(E_x^\bullet))) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_{R_x}(M \otimes_R R_x, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}R_x}(E_x^\bullet)) \\ &\cong H_{\mathfrak{a}R_x}^0(M_x, E_x^\bullet). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the above exact sequence of complexes induces the following long exact sequence of homology modules:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_x}^0(M, E^\bullet)) &\rightarrow H^i(H_a^0(M, E^\bullet)) \rightarrow H^i(H_{\mathfrak{a}R_x}^0(M_x, E_x^\bullet)) \\ &\rightarrow H^{i+1}(H_{\mathfrak{a}+R_x}^0(M, E^\bullet)) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.4** (see [4, Lemma 9.1.1]). *Let  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow L$  be an exact sequence of  $R$ -modules such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R M)}$  and  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R L)}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R N)}$ .*

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** *Let  $M, N, L, K$  be finite  $R$ -modules.*

(i) *Let  $R'$  be a second commutative ring and let  $f : R \rightarrow R'$  be a flat homomorphism of rings. Then*

$$f_a^b(M, N) \leq f_{aR'}^{bR'}(M \otimes_R R', N \otimes_R R').$$

*In particular, if  $S$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of  $R$ , then*

$$f_a^b(M, N) \leq f_{S^{-1}a}^{S^{-1}b}(S^{-1}M, S^{-1}N).$$

(ii)  $f_a^b(M, N) = f_a^{\sqrt{b}}(M, N) = f_{\sqrt{a}}^b(M, N) = f_{\sqrt{a}}^{\sqrt{b}}(M, N)$ .

(iii) *Let  $x \in R$ . Then*

$$f_a^b(M, N) = \inf\{f_{a+Rx}^b(M, N), f_{aR_x}^{bR_x}(M_x, N_x)\}.$$

(iv) *Let  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ . Then*

$$f_a^b(M, N) \leq f_c^b(M, N) \quad \text{and} \quad f_b^c(M, N) \leq f_b^a(M, N).$$

(v) *Let  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c}$ . Then*

$$f_a^b(M, N) \cong f_a^b(M, N/\Gamma_c(N)).$$

*In particular,*

$$f_a^b(M, N) \cong f_a^b(M, N/\Gamma_b(N)).$$

(vi) *Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f_a^b(K, L) &\geq \inf\{f_a^b(K, M), f_a^b(K, N) + 1\}, \\ f_a^b(K, M) &\geq \inf\{f_a^b(K, L), f_a^b(K, N)\}, \\ f_a^b(K, N) &\geq \inf\{f_a^b(K, L) - 1, f_a^b(K, M)\} \end{aligned}$$

*and*

$$\begin{aligned} f_a^b(L, K) &\geq \inf\{f_a^b(M, K), f_a^b(N, K) - 1\}, \\ f_a^b(M, K) &\geq \inf\{f_a^b(L, K), f_a^b(N, K)\}, \\ f_a^b(N, K) &\geq \inf\{f_a^b(L, K) + 1, f_a^b(M, K)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(vii) *Let  $\text{Supp } M \subseteq \text{Supp } N$ . Then*

$$f_a^b(M, K) \geq f_a^b(N, K).$$

*In particular,*

$$f_a^b(M, K) = f_a^b(N, K)$$

*whenever  $\text{Supp } M = \text{Supp } N$ .*

(viii)  $f_a^b(M, N) \geq f_a^b(N)$ .

(ix) Let  $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence. Then

$$f_a^b(M, K) = \inf\{f_a^b(L, K), f_a^b(N, K)\}.$$

(x) There exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $\text{Min Supp } M$  such that  $f_a^b(M, N) = f_a^b(R/\mathfrak{p}, N)$ , and hence

$$f_a^b(M, N) = \inf\{f_a^b(R/\mathfrak{p}, N) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp } M\}.$$

**PROOF.** (i) If  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_a^i(M, N))}$ , then  $\mathfrak{b}^r H_a^i(M, N) = 0$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore

$$\mathfrak{b}^r R' H_{aR'}^i(M \otimes_R R', N \otimes_R R') \cong \mathfrak{b}^r H_a^i(M, N) \otimes_R R' = 0.$$

(ii) Let  $E^\bullet$  be an injective resolution of  $N$ . Then, in view of [18, Proposition 2.1],

$$H_a^i(M, N) = H^i(\text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_a(E^\bullet))) = H^i(\text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\sqrt{a}}(E^\bullet))) = H_{\sqrt{a}}^i(M, N).$$

(iii) This follows from Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and (i).

(iv) It follows from the definition that  $f_b^c(M, N) \leq f_b^a(M, N)$ . Also, since  $R$  is Noetherian, we can use (iii) to obtain  $f_a^b(M, N) \leq f_c^b(M, N)$ .

(v) Since  $c^n \Gamma_c(N) = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have  $c^n H_a^i(M, \Gamma_c(N)) = 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{c} \subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_a^i(M, \Gamma_c(N)))}$  for all  $i$ . Now the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Gamma_c(N) \rightarrow N \rightarrow N/\Gamma_c(N) \rightarrow 0$$

induces the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_a^i(M, \Gamma_c(N)) \rightarrow H_a^i(M, N) \rightarrow H_a^i(M, N/\Gamma_c(N)) \rightarrow H_a^{i+1}(M, \Gamma_c(N)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.4,  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_a^i(M, N))}$  if and only if

$$\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \sqrt{(0 :_R H_a^i(M, N/\Gamma_c(N)))}.$$

(vi) We may consider the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_a^i(N, K) \rightarrow H_a^i(M, K) \rightarrow H_a^i(L, K) \rightarrow H_a^{i+1}(N, K) \rightarrow \dots,$$

which is obtained in [5, Lemma 2.4], and the long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H_a^i(K, L) \rightarrow H_a^i(K, M) \rightarrow H_a^i(K, N) \rightarrow H_a^{i+1}(K, L) \rightarrow \dots$$

and apply Lemma 3.4 to establish the assertion.

(vii) We prove, by induction on  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , that, for any finite  $R$ -module  $M$ , if  $\text{Supp } M \subseteq \text{Supp } N$  and  $r \leq f_a^b(N, K)$ , then  $r \leq f_a^b(M, K)$ . If  $r = 0$  there is nothing to prove. Now suppose that  $r > 0$  and assume that the assertion holds for smaller values of  $r$ . Suppose that  $\text{Supp } M \subseteq \text{Supp } N$  and  $r \leq f_a^b(N, K)$ . By Gruson's theorem [22, Theorem 4.1], there exists a chain

$$0 = M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_n = M$$



of submodules of  $M$  such that  $M_i/M_{i-1}$  is a homomorphic image of a direct sum of finitely many copies of  $N$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . On the other hand, by (vi),

$$f_a^b(M, K) \geq \inf\{f_a^b(M_1/M_0, K), \dots, f_a^b(M_n/M_{n-1}, K)\}.$$

Therefore it is enough to prove that  $r \leq f_a^b(M, K)$  in the case where  $n = 1$ . Now there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow N^\alpha \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\text{Supp } L \subseteq \text{Supp } N$ , the induction hypothesis implies that  $r - 1 \leq f_a^b(L, K)$ . Therefore, by (vi),

$$r \leq \inf\{f_a^b(L, K) + 1, f_a^b(N, K)\} \leq f_a^b(M, K).$$

(viii), (ix) and (x) are immediate by (vii). □

Next, we provide an example to show that the inequality in (vii) and (viii) may be strict.

**EXAMPLE 3.6.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Gorenstein local ring with dimension  $d > 0$  and  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module. Then  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$  if  $i = d$  and 0 otherwise. Further,  $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^d(R) = E(R/\mathfrak{m})$  is not finite [4, Corollary 7.3.3], so  $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R) = d$ . Now let  $E^\bullet$  be a minimal injective resolution of  $R$ . Then

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(M, R) = H^i(\text{Hom}_R(M, \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(E^\bullet))) = \begin{cases} \text{Hom}_R(M, E(R/\mathfrak{m})) & \text{if } i = d, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq d. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) = \begin{cases} R/\mathfrak{m} & \text{if } i = d, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq d, \end{cases}$$

and  $f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R/\mathfrak{m}, R) = \infty > f_{\mathfrak{m}}(R)$ . Moreover, this example shows that the following statements of Chu are not true.

- (i) [5, Lemma 2.9]. Let  $N$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and  $M$  a nonzero cyclic  $R$ -module. Let  $t$  be a positive integer and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . If  $H_I^i(N)$  is finitely generated for all  $i < t$ , then  $H_I^t(N)$  is finitely generated if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(M, H_I^t(N))$  is finitely generated.
- (ii) [5, Proposition 2.10]. Let the situation be as in (i). Then  $H_I^t(N)$  is finitely generated for all  $i < t$  if and only if  $H_I^t(M, N)$  is finitely generated for all  $i < t$ .

#### 4. Faltings’ local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules

We say that the local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules holds at level  $r$  if the statement

$$f_a^b(M, N) > r \Leftrightarrow f_{aR_p}^{bR_p}(M_p, N_p) > r \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{Spec}(R)$$

is true for every choice of ideals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  and every choice of finite  $R$ -modules  $M, N$ . Since  $(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N))_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ , the above statement is equivalent to the statement

$$f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) > r \iff f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) > r \quad \text{for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R).$$

We say that the local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules holds (over the ring  $R$ ) if the local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules holds at level  $r$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The following lemma is needed in the proof of the next proposition.

**LEMMA 4.1** (see [3, Lemma 2.1] or [19, Lemma 3.1]). *Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that the set  $\Delta$  of all maximal members of  $\text{Ass } M$  is finite. Suppose that there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $(\mathfrak{a}^n M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \Delta$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}^n M = 0$ .*

**PROPOSITION 4.2.** *The local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules holds at levels 0, 1, 2.*

**PROOF.** Let  $0 \leq i \leq 1$ . Assume that  $M$  and  $N$  are finite  $R$ -modules and that  $f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) > i$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . Since  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M, N)$  is finite, by Corollary 2.3, we see that  $\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is finite. Therefore there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}})^n H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{b}^n H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = 0$  by Lemma 4.1; so the local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules holds at levels 0, 1.

Now let  $f_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{\mathfrak{b}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) > 2$  for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . The above argument shows that there exists  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\mathfrak{b}^r H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . Since  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(N))$ , we can assume without loss of generality that  $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{b}}(N) = 0$ ; and so  $f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) \geq 1$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.2,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(M, N) = \text{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{b}^r, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^1(M, N))$  is finite. Now, we can use Corollary 2.3 and Lemma 4.1 to obtain that  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) > 2$ .  $\square$

The next theorem is concerned with the local-global principle for the annihilation of generalised local cohomology modules. The following lemma is of assistance in the proof of that theorem.

**LEMMA 4.3** [8, Theorem 3.1]. *Let  $M, N$  be finite  $R$ -modules and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be an  $\mathfrak{a}$ -filter regular  $N$ -sequence in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then the following statements hold.*

- (i)  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \cong H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^i(M, N)$  for all  $i < n$ .
- (ii) If  $\text{proj dim}_R(M) = d < \infty$  and  $L$  is projective, then

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+n}(M \otimes_R L, N) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, H_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}^n(L, N))$$

for all  $i \geq d$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be finite  $R$ -modules and let  $\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ .*

- (i)  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) \geq \inf\{f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N), f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) + 1\}$ . In particular,  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}(M, N) = f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N)$  whenever  $f_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{b}}(M, N) \leq f\text{-grad}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, N) + 1$ .

(ii) Assume that  $r \leq \text{f-grad}(a, b, N) + 1$ . Then

$$f_a^b(M, N) > r \Leftrightarrow f_{aR_p}^{bR_p}(M_p, N_p) > r \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{Spec}(R).$$

(iii) If  $\text{Supp } N/bN \subseteq V(a)$ , then, for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$f_a^b(M, N) > r \Leftrightarrow f_{aR_p}^{bR_p}(M_p, N_p) > r \quad \text{for all } p \in \text{Spec}(R).$$

(iv) Faltings' local-global principle for the finiteness of generalised local cohomology modules holds, that is, for any positive integer  $r$ ,  $H_{aR_p}^i(M_p, N_p)$  is finite for all  $i \leq r$  and for all  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$  if and only if  $H_a^i(M, N)$  is finite for all  $i \leq r$ .

**PROOF.** (i) Set  $g = \text{f-grad}(a, b, N)$ . If  $f_a^b(M, N) \leq g + 1$ , then, for any  $i < f_a^b(M, N)$ , we have  $H_a^i(M, N) = H_b^i(M, N)$  by Lemma 4.3(i); and hence  $b \subseteq \sqrt{(0 : H_b^i(M, N))}$ . Then by Proposition 3.1,  $H_a^i(M, N)$  is finite for all  $i < f_a^b(M, N)$  and hence, by Proposition 3.5(iv),  $f_a^b(M, N) = f_a(M, N)$ .

Therefore we may assume that  $f_a^b(M, N) > g + 1$ . Using the same argument as above, we see that  $H_a^i(M, N)$  is finite for all  $i < g$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.2,  $\text{Hom}_R(R/b^\alpha, H_a^g(M, N))$  is finite for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, by hypothesis  $b^\alpha H_a^g(M, N) = 0$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus  $H_a^g(M, N)$  is finite and  $f_a(M, N) \geq g + 1$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $r \leq \text{f-grad}(a, b, N) + 1$  and  $f_{aR_p}^{bR_p}(M_p, N_p) > r$  for all  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . If  $f_a^b(M, N) \leq r$ , then by (i),  $f_a(M, N) = f_a^b(M, N)$ . So by Corollary 2.3, Ass  $H_a^{f_a^b(M, N)}(M, N)$  is finite. This is a contradiction in view of Lemma 4.1. Hence  $f_a^b(M, N) > r$ .

(iii) Suppose that  $\text{Supp } N/bN \subseteq V(a)$ . Then  $\text{f-grad}(a, b, N) = \infty$ . Thus (iii) is an immediate consequence of (ii).

(iv) This is immediate by (iii) and Proposition 3.1. □

### 5. Local-global principle for the Artinianness of generalised local cohomology modules

Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module. In [20], Tang proved that, for any integer  $n$ ,  $H_a^i(M)$  is Artinian for all  $i < n$  if and only if  $H_a^i(M)_p$  is Artinian for all  $i < n$  and for all  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ . In Theorem 5.3, we establish the above result for generalised local cohomology modules. The corollary to the following theorem is needed in the proof of Theorem 5.3.

**THEOREM 5.1** [8, Theorem 4.2]. *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the set of all finite subsets of  $\text{max}(R)$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{A \in \mathcal{M}} \text{f-grad} \left( \bigcap_{m \in A} m, a + \text{Ann } M, N \right) \\ &= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_a^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian} \} \\ &= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_a^i(M, N) \not\subseteq \text{max}(R) \} \\ &= \inf \{ i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \text{Supp } H_a^i(M, N) \not\subseteq A \text{ for all } A \in \mathcal{M} \}. \end{aligned}$$

**COROLLARY 5.2.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be finite  $R$ -modules. Then*

$$\inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N) \text{ is not Artinian}\} = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \dim \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N) > 0\}.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . By Theorem 5.1,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is an Artinian  $R$ -module for all  $i \leq n$  if and only if  $n < \text{f-grad}(\mathfrak{m}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{m}_t, \mathfrak{a} + \text{Ann } M, N)$  for some maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_t$  of  $R$ . Also, by the facts mentioned at the beginning of Section 2, this is equivalent to  $\text{Supp } \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N) \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_t\}$  for some maximal ideals  $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_t$  of  $R$  and for all  $i \leq n$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Let  $M, N$  be finite  $R$ -modules and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  is Artinian for all  $i < n$ .
- (ii)  $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$  is Artinian for all  $i < n$  and for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .

**PROOF.** It is clear that  $\dim \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N) = 0$  for all  $i < n$  if and only if  $\dim \text{Ext}_R^i(M/\mathfrak{a}M, N)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$  for all  $i < n$  and for all prime ideals  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ . Therefore the assertion follows from Corollary 5.2.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.4.** *Let  $M, N$  be finite  $R$ -modules and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (i)  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M, N)$  has finite length for all  $i < n$ .
- (ii)  $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$  has finite length for all  $i < n$  and for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ .

**PROOF.** This is immediate by Theorems 5.3 and 2.2.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank professor Hossein Zakeri for his useful suggestions and many helpful discussions during the preparation of this paper. Also I would like to thank the referee for his helpful comments.

## References

- [1] M. H. Bijan-Zadeh, 'A common generalization of local cohomology theories', *Glasg. Math. J.* **21**(2) (1980), 173–181.
- [2] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen–Macaulay Rings* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [3] M. P. Brodmann, C. Rotthaus and R. Y. Sharp, 'On annihilators and associated primes of local cohomology modules', *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **153** (2000), 197–227.
- [4] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local Cohomology: An Algebraic Introduction with Geometric Applications* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998).
- [5] L. Chu, 'Cofiniteness and finiteness of generalized local cohomology modules', *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **80**(2) (2009), 244–250.
- [6] G. Faltings, 'Über die Annulatoren lokaler Kohomologiegruppen', *Arch. Math.* **30** (1978), 473–476.
- [7] G. Faltings, 'Der Endlichkeitssatz in der lokalen Kohomologie', *Math. Ann.* **255** (1981), 45–56.
- [8] A. Fathi, A. Tehranian and H. Zakeri, 'Filter regular sequences and generalized local cohomology modules', arXiv:1207.1296v1.

- [9] J. Herzog, 'Komplexe Auflösungen und Dualität in der lokalen Algebra', Habilitationsschrift, Universität Regensburg, 1970.
- [10] M. Katzman, 'An example of an infinite set of associated primes of a local cohomology module', *J. Algebra* (1) **252** (2002), 161–166.
- [11] R. Lü and Z. Tang, 'The  $f$ -depth of an ideal on a module', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130**(7) (2002), 1905–1912.
- [12] N. Nagel and P. Schenzel, 'Cohomological annihilators and Castelnuovo–Mamford regularity', in: *Commutative Algebra: Syzygies, Multiplicities, and Bi-rational Algebra* (South Hadley, MA, 1992) (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994), pp. 307–328.
- [13] P. H. Quy, 'On the finiteness of associated primes of local cohomology modules', *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **138**(6) (2010), 1965–1968.
- [14] K. N. Raghavan, 'Local-global principle for annihilation of local cohomology', *Contemp. Math.* **159** (1994), 329–331.
- [15] P. Schenzel, N. V. Trung and N. T. Cuong, 'Verallgemeinerte Cohen–Macaulay-Moduln', *Math. Nachr.* **85** (1978), 57–73.
- [16] A. Singh, ' $p$ -torsion elements in local cohomology modules', *Math. Res. Lett.* **7**(2–3) (2000), 165–176.
- [17] J. Stückrad and W. Vogel, *Buchsbaum Rings and Applications* (VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1986).
- [18] N. Suzuki, 'On the generalized local cohomology and its duality', *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **18** (1978), 71–85.
- [19] R. Tajarod and H. Zakeri, 'On the local-global principle and the finiteness of associated primes of local cohomology modules', *Math. J. Toyama Univ.* **23** (2000), 29–40.
- [20] Z. Tang, 'Local-global principle for the Artinianness of local cohomology modules', *Comm. Algebra* **40**(1) (2012), 58–63.
- [21] N. V. Trung, 'Absolutely superficial sequences', *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **93** (1983), 35–47.
- [22] W. V. Vasconcelos, *Divisor Theory in Module Categories* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974).
- [23] S. Yassemi, L. Khatami and T. Sharif, 'Associated primes of generalized local cohomology modules', *Comm. Algebra* **30**(1) (2002), 327–330.

ALI FATHI, Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch,  
Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran  
e-mail: [alif1387@gmail.com](mailto:alif1387@gmail.com)