Estimation of glacier thicknesses and basal properties using the horizontal-to-vertical component spectral ratio (HVSR) technique from passive seismic data
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ABSTRACT. Microtremor measurements and the horizontal-to-vertical spectral ratio (HVSR) technique, generally used for site effect studies as well as to determine the thickness of soft sedimentary layers, can effectively be applied to map the thickness of glaciers. In this work the radio-echo sounding, geoelectric and active seismic methods, widely employed to image the earth interior, are applied to verify the reliability of the HVSR technique in Alpine and Antarctic glacial environments. The technique has been used to analyze passive seismic data from glaciers of the Adamello and Ortles-Cevedale massifs (Italy), the Bernese Oberland Alps (Switzerland) and from the Whillans Ice Stream (West Antarctica). Comparing with the results obtained from the different geophysical imaging methods, we show that the resonance frequency in the HVSR spectra correlates well with the ice thickness at the site, in a wide range from a few tens of meters to more than 800 m. The reliability of the method mainly depends on the coupling of sensors at the glacier surface and on the basal impedance contrast. This passive seismic technique offers a logistically efficient and cost effective method to map glacier and ice-sheet thicknesses. Moreover, under certain conditions, it allows reliable estimations of the basal seismic properties.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The application of geophysical techniques in glaciology provides useful insights into the physics of glaciers (Petrenko and Whitworth, 2002) and a valuable contribution to the study of the evolution of the climate in the past, as well as the overall impact of present climate change on the terrestrial cryosphere (Seppi and others, 2015). The active seismic and radio-echo sounding (RES) methods are the most popular and widely used geophysical imaging techniques in determining glacier properties (Christianson and others, 2012; Horgan and others, 2012; Carturan and others, 2013), while the application of geoelectric methods is still very limited (Bentley, 1977; De Pascale and others, 2008) and mostly orientated towards the study of permafrost (Pant and Reynolds, 2000; Hilbich and others, 2009). Besides enabling the calculation of glacier thickness and ice mass balance, these methods can provide useful information on the physical properties of the ice (e.g. Matsuoka and others, 2003; Picotti and others, 2015) and the subglacial environments (e.g. Blankenship and others, 1986; Zirizzotti and others, 2012). In any case, these methods require considerable economic and operational efforts and can be used only where the logistic difficulties are easily overcome.

On the other hand, passive seismic methods are typically applied to icequake location and characterization (e.g. Jones and others, 2013). These observations, however, could provide useful information on the physical properties of ice and bedrock. Anandakrishnan and Bentley (1993) used microtremor measurements to provide evidence for highly deformable till and water layers located beneath two fast flowing ice streams in West Antarctica. In a recent work, Diez and others (2016) estimated the S-wave velocity structure of the Ross Ice Shelf in West Antarctica, by inverting the surface-wave dispersion curves from ambient noise measurements. A similar approach has been adopted by Picotti and others (2015) to determine the firm and ice S-wave velocity structure of a West Antarctic ice stream, where in this case the surface (Rayleigh and Love) waves were artificially generated.

Passive seismic can help to mitigate the logistic problems inherent in the geophysical imaging methods, by using portable broadband seismometers and the horizontal-to-vertical component spectral ratio (HVSR) technique. The great advantage of this approach, in addition to the reduced size and weight of passive seismic equipment, is that there is no need to generate elastic waves artificially. In fact, seismic noise measurements do not require the use of active seismic sources such as guns, vibrators or explosives detonated in boreholes.

The aim of this work is to verify the reliability of the HVSR technique in determining glacier thicknesses and basal properties from ambient seismic noise observations. Microtremor measurements and the HVSR technique are generally used for site-effect studies, related to the amplification mechanisms of seismic waves in shallow geological layers (e.g. Bonnefoy-Claudet and others, 2006, and references therein), as well as to determine the thickness of soft sediment layers (e.g. Bard and Bouchon, 1985; Barnaba and others, 1995) and the subglacial environments.
2. THE HVSR METHODOLOGY

2.1. Theory overview

The HVSR method is based on the frequency H/V spectrum obtained by dividing the horizontal component by the vertical component. Either displacement, particle velocity or acceleration can be used in the spectrum computation, since the results are equivalent. The source can be ambient noise, earthquakes or active sources of a different nature, for example, explosives or vibroseis. It has been shown that for Rayleigh waves propagating in a layer over a half space, the method yields the fundamental S-wave resonance frequency and the related amplitude (Lermo and Chávez García, 1993). In general, the 2-D resonance is caused by the trapping of seismic waves in soft layered media overlying a solid bedrock, and the spectral peaks correspond to a superposition of Rayleigh-wave, Love-wave and/or body S-wave resonance modes (Bonnefoy-Claudet and others, 2008). Bard and Bouchon (1985) investigated the response of sediment-filled valleys to incoming plane SH, SV and P waves, showing that nonplanar interfaces cause the generation of surface waves at the valley edges, which remain trapped within the sediments. An incident SH wave results in the generation of Love waves, while incident SV or P waves generate Rayleigh surface waves. In both cases, when the wavelengths of the incident wave are comparable with the thickness of the sedimentary layer, resonance occurs and the resulting surface waves may have much larger amplitude than the direct incident signal. Using numerical simulations of wave propagation in a soil layer lying over a rigid bedrock, Lachet and Bard (1994) showed that there is a good agreement between the H/V peak positions derived for ambient noise and those obtained for SV waves. This shows that the H/V ratio gives a reliable indication of the S-wave resonance frequency of a horizontally layered structure, notwithstanding that the shape of the H/V spectra is primarily determined by the fundamental-mode Rayleigh waves.

Let us consider a hardrock basement covered by a soft layer composed by sediments or, as in our case, by ice. This waveguide structure, very effective in trapping the energy carried by elastic waves, is typical of a glacier. Ignoring wave attenuation and bedrock deformability (lossless-rigid approximation) the fundamental (body) S-wave resonance frequencies corresponding to a 2-D basin of half-width \(w\) and thickness \(h\) are

\[ f_{SH} = f_0 \sqrt{1 + (h/w)^2} \]  
\[ f_{SV} = f_0 \sqrt{1 + 2.9(h/w)^2} \]  

(Bard and Bouchon, 1985), where \(f_0 = v_s/4h\) and \(v_s\) is the low-frequency S-wave velocity. The half-width \(w\) is defined as the length over which the local soft layer thickness is greater than half the maximum thickness. If the horizontal...
extent of the basin is much larger than the layer thickness, we are in the 1-D approximation and the equations simplify as follows: \( f_{SH} = f_{SV} = f_0 \). In case of several soft layers over bedrock, the S-wave velocity is averaged using the time-average equation. A particular case consists of an extensive 2-D basin (1-D approximation), where the medium is laterally homogeneous and the seismic velocity continuously increases with depth, reaching its maximum value at depth \( h \). Representing this S-wave vertical velocity profile by a generalized nonlinear gradient \( v_s(z) \), the corresponding fundamental resonant frequency \( f_0 \) can be computed as

\[
 f_0 = \frac{1}{4T_0}, \quad T_0 = \int_0^h \frac{dz}{v_s(z)},
\]  

(lbs-von Seht and Wohlenberg, 1999), where \( T_0 \) is the S-wave traveltime along a vertical path between the bottom of the layer and the surface.

The H/V spectra may exhibit not only a peak at frequency \( f_0 \), but also a trough (minimum) at a higher frequency \( f_1 \). Konno and Ohmachi (1998) found that H/V spectra tend to show the peak/trough structure when a site has soft surface soils and they investigated the variability of the ratio \( f_1/f_0 \) reporting a value of \( \sim 2 \). Recent studies (e.g. Tuan and others, 2011) concluded that the peak/trough structure is located near the fundamental frequency \( f_0 \) that the ellipticity ratio of the fundamental mode.

Intrinsic attenuation and bedrock deformability affect the amplitude and frequency of the resonance peaks. Carcione and others (2017) studied the effects of anelasticity on the S-wave amplification function and found that while attenuation (quantified by the Q factor) controls the damping of the higher modes, it does not affect significantly the peak locations. On the other hand, the impedance contrast \( \alpha \) between the layer and the bedrock strongly affects the resonance frequencies. In particular, when \( \alpha \leq 1 \) (soft over stiff medium) the maximum of the S-wave amplification function is located at the fundamental frequency \( f_0 \). Conversely, \( \alpha \geq 1 \) (stiff over soft medium) gives a minimum at \( f_0 \) and the first maximum is located at \( f_1 = 2f_0 \). Therefore, bedrock elasticity (i.e. deformation) must be considered to obtain reliable estimations of the layer thickness.

### 2.2. H/V spectra computation

In the present work, the H/V spectra are obtained using the free software GEOPSY (http://www.geopsy.org – SESAME Project). The software performs a statistical analysis of the recorded waveform in the frequency domain, by computing the amplitude spectra of the three components in a number of selectable time windows. The width of these windows depends on the target frequency band and on the record length. For the computation of the H/V ratio, the amplitude spectra of the horizontal components are combined using vector summation. An anti-triggering algorithm (Withers and others, 1998) was applied to the time series in order to include in the computation only signals with quasi-stationary amplitudes. For all the considered cases the time windows can overlap by 5%. The selection criteria are based on the comparison between the short term (STA) and long term (LTA) average amplitudes. The algorithm selects only the parts of the signal for which the STA/LTA ratio stays within a limited range of values \( \sim 1 \). The upper bound of this ratio aims at excluding spikes produced for example by operators walking close to the sensors. The typical values for STA, LTA, minimum STA/LTA and maximum STA/LTA are 1, 30, 0.2 and 2.5 s, respectively. A smoothing function has been applied in the frequency analysis (Konno and Ohmachi, 1998) to ensure a constant number of points at low and high frequencies. In this work we applied a smoothing function with a cosine taper of 5% width and a smoothing constant of 25.

### 2.3. Rayleigh-wave ellipticity inversion

In 1-D structures, if the shape of the H/V spectra is primarily controlled by the propagation of Rayleigh waves, the P- and S-wave vertical velocity profiles of the subsurface can be determined by inverting the ellipticity of the fundamental-mode Rayleigh wave. GEOPSY includes a package (Dinver) for ellipticity inversion, based on the continuous wavelet transform (Fäh and others, 2001). The mother wavelet used in the transform is defined as the Morlet wavelet, consisting in a complex exponential modulated by a Gaussian envelope (Coupilaud and others, 1984). This is characterized by a well-defined central frequency and allows for the extraction of phase information. The algorithm performs a frequency-time analysis on each of the three components of ambient vibrations to reconstruct the P-SV particle motion. To this aim, it is necessary to compensate for the energy of body and Love waves present in the ambient vibration recordings. This correction is done by assuming that, in laterally homogeneous structures, the vertical component close to the H/V fundamental peak is dominated by Rayleigh waves, and that the SH propagation phenomena (both body and surface waves) contribute only to the horizontal component of motion. Under this condition, and other assumptions concerning the spectral content of SH-waves (e.g. Fäh and others, 2001), the algorithm tries to minimize the SH-wave content by identifying the P-SV wavelets along the signal and by computing the spectral ratio from these wavelets only. Since the method does not separate the contributions of the different modes, it is necessary to manually select the most energetic peak on the H/V spectrogram. However, the above assumptions and the condition of strongly excited fundamental-mode Rayleigh waves are often incorrect (e.g. presence of energetic higher modes). For this reason, this ellipticity-based method applies only to sites presenting a strong S-wave impedance contrast between the uppermost layer and the underlying bedrock.

Dinner is a general environment for ellipticity and surface-wave dispersion curves inversion based on a hybrid Monte Carlo optimization scheme. Currently it implements a modified version of the neighborhood algorithm (Wathelet, 2008) to drive the inversion to converge to a minimum misfit solution. For each generated model, the algorithm computes the misfit between the theoretical fundamental-mode Rayleigh wave ellipticity and the ellipticity curve obtained from the time-frequency analysis of the H/V spectrograms (Wathelet and others, 2004). The models consist of horizontal and homogeneous flat layers, in which the P- and S-wave velocity, Poisson ratio and density values are defined. Because of the multi-parametric and nonlinear character of the
ellipticity inversion problem, to obtain reliable models it is convenient to constrain the parameters defined in each layer by using information from other geophysical or geological investigations.

The ellipticity inversion technique is only valid for a relatively minor influence of Love and body waves on the recorded wavefield. In other words, it is feasible only if the H/V spectrum is representative of the fundamental-mode Rayleigh wave ellipticity. If this condition is not satisfied, the velocity profiles obtained by inverting ellipticity can be quite different than the real profiles. This approach has been applied to deep sedimentary basins and for shallow sites (e.g., Fäh and others, 2001) but, to our knowledge, it has never been used in glacial environments.

3. CASE STUDIES

In order to verify the reliability of the HVSR technique in different glacier settings we selected the following five target Alpine chain glaciers (Fig. 1): the Pian di Neve and Lobbia glaciers in the Adamello massif (Italy), the Forni and La Mare glaciers in the Ortles-Cevedale massif (Italy) and the Aletschgletscher in the Bernese Oberland Alps (Switzerland). We also analyzed passive seismic data previously acquired on the Whillans Ice Stream (WIS), a fast flowing ice stream in West Antarctica (see details in Horgan and others, 2012; Picotti and others, 2015).

Four geophysical methodologies have been employed in this work, i.e., RES, geoelectric, active multichannel and passive seismic methods. Several exploration campaigns were conducted on the five target glaciers between April 2013 and October 2015 (Fig. 1 and Tables 1 and 2). In August 2013, October 2013, 2014 and 2015, passive and active seismic data were acquired on the Pian di Neve and Lobbia glaciers (Fig. 1d). In November 2013, passive seismic measurements and two mutually orthogonal RES surveys were conducted on the Forni glacier (Fig. 1b). In order to test the reliability of the HVSR method on deep and shallow glaciers, RES surveys and passive seismic measurements on the Aletsch glacier (April 2013 and May 2014; Fig. 1a) and on the La Mare glacier (April 2015 and May 2015; Fig. 1c) were carried out. The passive seismic measurements were conducted using different broadband seismometers, a Guralp, a Lennartz and a Nanometrics Trillium, whose corner periods are 30, 5 and 20 s, respectively. We also tested a Tromino sensor, whose corner period is not declared by the manufacturer. The Guralp and Lennartz sensors were connected to a Reftek data acquisition system, while the Trillium sensor was coupled to a Centaur data system. The two systems include an external GPS for positioning and timing purposes. In order to optimize the coupling, the sensors were protected using insulating covers and placed on a plexiglas or metal base directly in contact (when possible) with the ice, at the bottom of deep holes dug or excavated right into the snow and firn. The hole depth ranged from half a meter to \( \sim 4 \) m depending on the snow coverage. This particular coupling greatly improved data quality, by reducing the noise generated by the wind and by protecting the sensor from the sun, which can lead to thermal drifts. The sensors were always oriented parallel to the ice flow direction.

Data acquisition details and results obtained at each study site are described below, while the analytical techniques adopted for the geophysical imaging methods (i.e. RES, geoelectric and active seismic methods) are described in the Appendix. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the results and the

![Fig. 1](image-url). Location of the five study sites in the Alpine chain (upper central panel); survey map of Aletsch glacier (a), Forni glacier (b), La Mare glacier (c) and Pian di Neve and Lobbia glaciers (d). The survey locations are listed in Table 1.
main characteristics of the data collected at each site using the passive seismic method and the geophysical imaging techniques, respectively.

3.1. Pian di Neve glacier

3.1.1. The study site

The Pian di Neve is a high altitude glacier in the Adamello massif (Fig. 1d). It occupies an 8 km² plateau at an altitude between 3100 and 3400 m a.s.l. among the main summits of the Lombardy side, i.e., the Adamello, Corno Bianco and Monte Fumo summits. Together with the adjacent Mandrone glacier, it is the largest glacier in the Italian Alps. The Pian di Neve glacier was previously investigated by Carabelli (1962) using a single-fold seismic survey (i.e. there is a single recorded trace per sub-surface point).

3.1.2. Data acquisition

Active multichannel (P and S) seismic data were acquired on the Pian di Neve glacier (Fig. 1d). Two types of active seismic surveys were undertaken using a Geometrics GEODE system: the first was devoted to assessing the firn properties while the second mostly focused on the imaging of the glacier in depth. Moreover, real-time kinematic GPS measurements were conducted to define the topography, using geodetic receivers. A shallow refraction survey, using both P and S waves, provided insight into the velocity field within the firn layer. Elastic energy was propagated in the subsurface by hammering on a wooden plate embedded in the snow. Data were recorded using a 24-channel seismic amplifier equipped with 10 Hz vertical and horizontal geophones regularly spaced at 5 m. A few hammer blows were required to obtain a satisfying signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio at larger offsets. The longitudinal and transverse components in the horizontal plane were set parallel and perpendicular to the seismic line to acquire SV and SH diving waves, respectively. The first channel offset ranged from 1 to 5 m, to gain better resolution in the shallow part of the firn, which exhibits the strongest velocity gradient. Traces collected with different offsets were then gathered onto a combined shot ensemble with irregular trace spacing (Fig. 2a). The primary seismic line, aimed at obtaining a detailed depth section of the glacier, basal moraine and bedrock, was laid out on the

### Table 1. Data characteristics and computed thicknesses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Location*</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Record length</th>
<th>Windows width†</th>
<th>Resonance frequency</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pian di Neve</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Guralp 30s</td>
<td>August 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100–120</td>
<td>1.81 ± 0.12</td>
<td>257 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>Guralp 5s</td>
<td>August 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100–120</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.12</td>
<td>253 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P3</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>October 2013</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>200–220</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.12</td>
<td>249 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P4</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>October 2014</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>100–120</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.30</td>
<td>251 ± 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>P5</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>October 2015</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>100–120</td>
<td>2.68 ± 0.15</td>
<td>174 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbia</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Guralp 30s</td>
<td>August 2013</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>200–220</td>
<td>3.22 ± 0.11</td>
<td>144 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forni</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>November 2013</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>50–80</td>
<td>5.95 ± 0.88</td>
<td>78 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Tromino</td>
<td>November 2013</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>50–80</td>
<td>6.3 ± 1</td>
<td>74 ± 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Mare</td>
<td>LM1</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15–30</td>
<td>28 ± 4</td>
<td>17 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LM2</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>April 2015</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15–30</td>
<td>30.9 ± 3.4</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aletsch</td>
<td>A1</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100–120</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>563 ± 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>200–220</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.05</td>
<td>748 ± 105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200–220</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>766 ± 130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A5</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>May 2014</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>200–220</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.06</td>
<td>828 ± 158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B90</td>
<td>Trillium 20s</td>
<td>May 2015</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>200–220</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.06</td>
<td>934 ± 216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WIS</td>
<td>SLW</td>
<td>Guralp 40s</td>
<td>December 2010</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>80–100</td>
<td>5.05 ± 0.67†</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SLW</td>
<td>Guralp 40s</td>
<td>December 2010</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>80–100</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.19</td>
<td>763 ± 122</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Locations A3, P1 and LM3 (see Fig. 1) are omitted because these surveys provided poor data.
† Used in the statistical analysis for the H/V spectra computation.
‡ Average resonance frequency related to firn.

### Table 2. Glacier thicknesses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Min thickness</th>
<th>Max thickness</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pian di Neve</td>
<td>Active seismic</td>
<td>165 ± 5</td>
<td>268 ± 5</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbia</td>
<td>Active seismic</td>
<td>177 ± 5</td>
<td>186 ± 5</td>
<td>Levato and others (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forni</td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>70 ± 2</td>
<td>80 ± 2</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Mare</td>
<td>RES and Geoelectric</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>30 ± 2</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aletsch</td>
<td>Active seismic*</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>Thyssen and Ahmad (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active seismic†</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>Thyssen and Ahmad (1969)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glaciological drilling</td>
<td>726</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hock and others (1999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLW</td>
<td>Active seismic†</td>
<td>60 ± 5†</td>
<td>60 ± 5†</td>
<td>Picotti and others (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RES</td>
<td>750 ± 8</td>
<td>810 ± 8</td>
<td>Christiansen and others (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Outside Konkordiaplatz.
† Inside Konkordiaplatz.
‡ Firn thickness.
upper part of the almost flat surface of the glacier, at about 3120 m a.s.l. It is 1 km long and oriented in the N-S direction, which is almost perpendicular to the ice flow direction (Fig. 1d). Data were recorded with a 96-channel seismic amplifier equipped with 10 Hz vertical (1C) geophones spaced apart by 10 m. Elastic wave energy was generated using a Minibang Isotta and firing a projectile in shallow holes (0.2 m of depth). The shot interval was 20 m resulting in a maximum of 50-fold coverage right in the middle of the seismic line. Sampling interval was 0.25 ms for both surveys.

Passive seismic measurements were carried out at the locations P2 and P3 (Fig. 1d) in different periods and using three different broadband seismometers (Guralp, Lennartz and Nanometrics Trillium), in order to evaluate the repeatability of the experiment. Moreover, other two passive seismic measurements were carried out along the seismic line at locations P4 and P5 (Fig. 1d) using Trillium sensors.

3.1.3. Results
The active multichannel seismic data were analyzed as described in the Appendix. We picked the P and SH diving-wave first breaks (Fig. 2a), and then inverted the travel times to infer the shallow firn velocity gradient, which is displayed in Figure 2b. Due to the snow densification, wave velocities show a rapid increase in the first 4 m and then slowly stabilize within ~12 m depth. The transition from firn to ice occurs at the pore close-off density, which is strongly site dependent and typically lies in an interval from ~800–830 kg m$^{-3}$ (Petrenko and Whitworth, 2002). Using the empirical relationship of Kohnen (1972) these densities correspond to a P-wave velocity ($v_P$) interval of ~3400–3500 m s$^{-1}$. Thus, in our case the transition from firn to ice occurs between 1.8 and 3.5 m, where the slopes of the two curves show a rapid change. The shape of these gradients is quite different than those observed in other regions, as for example in the Antarctic continent, where the snow densification is more gradual (e.g. Kirchner and Bentley, 1979; King and Jarvis, 2007; Picotti and others, 2015). The maximum P- and SH-wave velocities are 3740 ± 15 and 1860 ± 20 m s$^{-1}$ at 12 ± 3 m, where the medium is pure ice. These values were computed by averaging the maximum velocity values obtained over a large number of shot ensembles. The resulting Poisson’s ratio in ice is 0.336, which is similar to that obtained by King and Jarvis (2007) on Adelaide Island (West Antarctica). SV diving waves recorded on the horizontal longitudinal component are contaminated by P diving waves, and for this reason first breaks were picked at offsets >50 m. However, the analysis of the first breaks picked at medium and large offsets indicates that the SV- and SH-wave velocities in ice are the same within the experimental error.

Figure 3 shows the P-wave pre-stack depth migration of the seismic data obtained using the imaging procedure described in the Appendix. The resulting average tomographic P-wave velocity of the glacier, 3702 ± 15 m s$^{-1}$, is slightly lower than the maximum ice velocity obtained using the first breaks. The imaging of the Pian di Neve glacier shows a smooth basement and the presence of a
layer in the northern part at the glacier bottom, whose average thickness is $\sim 18 \pm 8$ m. Seismic tomography indicates that the most probable hypothesis about this layer is the presence of sediments lying between the ice body and the bedrock. The average $V_P$ of the bedrock, $\sim 4500$ m s$^{-1}$, is consistent with the far-offset slope of the diving-wave first breaks at several common-midpoint locations along the seismic line. This value allows an optimal focusing of the seismic energy at the ice-bedrock and sediments/bedrock interface, and also allows to image some geological features in the bedrock (e.g. a probable fault, as indicated in Fig. 3). Moreover, it is consistent with the in-situ type of rocks. In fact, the central summits of the Adamello Massif consist mainly of granitoid plutons compatible with the quartz-dioritic composition (Blundy and Sparks, 1992). While preserved laboratory specimens show $V_P$ of more than 6 km s$^{-1}$, in-situ typical values for fractured crystalline rocks are $\sim 4.5$–5 km s$^{-1}$, with a ratio $V_P/V_S \approx 1.6$ (e.g. Moos and Zoback, 1983; Levato and others, 1999).

Bedrock morphology exhibits a slight asymmetry; it appears gently concave northwards while it is interrupted by a slope on the southern side. Moreover, on the north the sediment layer progressively thins, while on the south it abruptly stops in correspondence to the slope. The average and maximum ice thickness along the whole section is $235 \pm 5$ and $268 \pm 5$ m, respectively, in good accordance with the previous study of Carabelli (1962). Using the bedrock morphology and some SH-wave reflected events from the glacier bottom, we verified the SH-wave velocity in ice computed using the traveltime inversion of diving waves.

The details about the passive seismic records are listed in Table 1. The distance of P2 and P3 from the seismic line is $\sim 20$ and 30 m, respectively, and their projections are indicated in Figure 3. Figures 4a, c, e display the obtained H/V spectra, showing how the resonance frequencies measured by the three seismometers are identical considering the experimental errors. Because the lateral extension of the Pian di Neve glacier is much larger than the ice thickness, in this case the 1-D approximation holds. Therefore, using the average measured fundamental resonance frequency $f_0 = 1.84 \pm 0.13$ Hz, the average ice thickness obtained from the HVSR method in the lossless-rigid approximation is $h = 253 \pm 20$ m. This value represents the average ice thickness in a circular area with center on the seismometer.
and radius $\lambda_0/4$, where $\lambda_0$ is the resonant wavelength. The average ice thickness computed from the seismic section of Figure 3, within a distance of $\lambda_0/4$ from P2 and P3 is $h = 250 \pm 5$ m. Notwithstanding that calculation should be done in 3-D, Carabelli (1962) shows that the bedrock morphology in this area is almost invariant in the E-W direction, confirming the high reliability of this value. This example shows an excellent agreement between the results obtained from the passive and active seismic methods, confirming that in this case the lossless-rigid approximation is valid (Carcione and others, 2017). Considering that the passive seismic measurements were carried out in different periods, the good agreement of the peak frequencies confirms the stationarity of the H/V signals and the invariance of the S-wave velocity in the ice. Furthermore, since the distance between P2 and P3 is far lower than $\lambda_0/4$, we conclude that the repeatability of the experiment has also been verified.

Figures 4b, d, f show the directional H/V spectra, as a function of frequency and azimuth, corresponding to the three sensors. The $0^\circ$ and $90^\circ$ directions indicate the North and the East, respectively. These plots clearly indicate that the resonance frequency is almost azimuth independent, denoting the absence of 2-D effects. The peak amplitude shows a maximum at angles ranging from $0^\circ$ to $30^\circ$, highlighting a longitudinal polarization of the recorded wavefield. Moreover, the minimum is located at $\sim 120^\circ$ in (b) and (d), while in (b) it is less evident and located at $\sim 90^\circ$.

The H/V spectra of the passive seismic records at locations P4 and P5 are displayed in Figure 5. The measured resonance frequencies are 1.85 $\pm$ 0.3 and 2.68 $\pm$ 0.15 Hz, respectively. The resonance frequency is azimuth independent, with a maximum of the peak frequency showing a resonance frequency of $f_0 = 2.68$ Hz. As in the ice, and from 3540 to 3800 m s$^{-1}$ in the underlying bedrock. Inverting the spectra of Figures 4b, c we obtained similar values. These results, showing bedrock velocities higher than those obtained from the imaging procedure, confirm the presence of a rigid bedrock. Moreover, the variability of the ice seismic velocities is well included inside the adopted constraints, in agreement with the ice velocities obtained from the active seismic method.

3.2. Lobbia glacier

3.2.1. The study site

The Lobbia glacier extends for $\sim 6$ km$^2$ on a plateau between the Cresta Croce and Lares summits in the Adamello massif (Fig. 1d), with elevations ranging from 2800 to 3000 m a.s.l. The glacier is bounded by rock crests on the eastern and western flanks and it opens on steep valleys northwards and on the southern side. Several geophysical surveys were carried out on the Lobbia glacier, including RES (Tabacco and others, 1995), gravity measurements (Rosselli and others, 1997) and active seismic reflection and refraction surveys (Tabacco and others, 1995; Levato and others, 1999).

3.2.2. Data acquisition and results

Passive seismic data were acquired using a Guralp sensor at location L as shown on the map of Figure 1d. This area was previously investigated by Levato and others (1999) using the active multichannel seismic method, estimating an ice thickness of $177 \pm 5$ m. Although the lateral extension of the Lobbia glacier is smaller than that of the Pian di Neve glacier, the 1-D approximation is still valid. Figure 7a displays the H/V spectrum obtained from the passive seismic measurement, showing a resonance frequency of $f_0 = 3.22 \pm 0.11$ Hz. As in the Pian di Neve case, Figure 7b shows that the resonance frequency is azimuth independent, with a maximum of the peak amplitude at $\sim 40^\circ$ and a minimum at $\sim 130^\circ$. 
The average \( v_s \) value in ice, resulting from the active seismic survey on the Pian di Neve glacier, was used at the Lobbia site and in all the other considered glaciers, to estimate ice thicknesses from the H/V peak frequencies. This assumption is justified from the following considerations: the ice seismic velocities are weakly dependent on temperature and water content (Thyssen, 1968; Kohnen, 1974); in general, the S-wave velocity in a medium is more sensitive to the presence of fractures than to fluid content, while P-waves show the opposite behavior (Carcione and others, 2013); passive seismic measurements were conducted on flat and barely fractured glaciers during cold periods to minimize the effect of varying temperature and water content. Thus, the measured resonance frequency yields a thickness \( h = 144 \pm 7 \text{ m} \) in the 1-D lossless-rigid approximation.

The difference between the above two thickness values is considerably higher than the experimental error, but the Lobbia glacier, as well as the adjacent Mandrone glacier, suffered a noticeable ice mass reduction in the last 15 years (Grossi and others, 2013). The accelerated retreat of the Mandrone and Lobbia glaciers’ surface at this location is underlined also by the position of the nearby ‘Caduti dell’Adamello’ hut located on the southern slope of the Lobbia Alta summit. The glacier surface in 1916 was at the hut level while presently it is located \( \sim 70 \text{ m} \) below that position.

In the ellipticity inversion we used the same constraints adopted in the previous case, except for the glacier thickness. Figures 7c–e show the inverted P- (c) and S-wave (d) vertical velocity profiles, and the theoretical ellipticity curves (e) of the fundamental-mode Rayleigh wave. Considering only misfit values lower than 0.95, the glacier thickness ranges from 140 to 155 m. The ice P- and S-wave velocities, as well as the S-wave velocity of the bedrock, are very similar to those obtained on the Pian di Neve glacier, while the bedrock P-wave velocity, ranging from \( \sim 6500–7100 \text{ m} \text{s}^{-1} \), shows higher values.

### 3.3. Forni glacier

#### 3.3.1. The study site

Forni glacier is located in the Stelvio National Park, on the Lombardy side of the Ortles-Cevedale massif (Fig. 1b), and it occupies one of the largest Italian glacial valleys. Glaciers in this massif cover an area of \( \sim 40 \text{ km}^2 \) and the...
Forni glacier alone covers \( \sim 12 \text{ km}^2 \), with elevations ranging from 2600 to 3670 m a.s.l. Forni glacier is one of the most studied glaciers in the Italian Alps (Senese and others, 2012; Azzoni and others, 2014), and it has been systematically monitored by the Italian Glaciologic Commission (IGC) over the last 30 years. These periodical observations show that after a slight advance in the period 1970–1987, from 1988 until today the glacier has suffered a persistent retreat, for a total of several hundreds of meters at the front.

### 3.3.2. Data acquisition

We carried out two mutually perpendicular RES surveys on the Forni glacier and performed passive seismic measurements at the intersection of the two lines (location F in Fig. 1b), using both a Trillium and a Tromino sensor. RES data were collected using a GSSI SIR-2000 system equipped with an unshielded 70 MHz antenna. The antenna was placed on a plastic sledge, with its dipole kept \( \sim 0.2 \text{ m} \) above the snow surface, in order to achieve consistent coupling. The long axis of the transmitting-receiving dipole was oriented parallel to the scanning direction. Data were recorded in the time domain and in continuous mode setting up a scanning rate of 32 sweeps per second. The signal bandwidth is \( \sim 140 \text{ MHz} \) delivering a maximum vertical resolution (according to the \( \lambda/4 \) criterion) of \( \sim 0.5 \text{ m} \). However, as for the active seismic method, this is a theoretical value and the resolution strongly depends on the S/N ratio. Reference points were located at every 5 m along each profile and these points were later surveyed using a geodetic GPS system.

### 3.3.3. Results

Both the RES profiles, processed using the procedure described in the Appendix, have substantial S/N ratios. The imaged ice/bedrock interface, displayed in Figure 8, is rather sharp although its signature is space-dependent. The estimated experimental error is about one wavelength. In the WE profile (transverse to the ice flow) the bedrock is almost flat and continuous and its top is located at a depth of \( 77 \pm 2 \text{ m} \) below the surface. In the SN profile (parallel to the ice flow) the bedrock appears to be dipping southwards. The top reflection ranges from a depth of \( 70 \pm 2 \text{ m} \) at the northern edge (upstream) to a depth of \( 80 \pm 2 \text{ m} \) at the intersection with the WE profile (downstream). The bedrock response in this last profile is not as clear as in the WE profile, because its signature is unclear and poorly coherent and it is marked by a sudden change in the reflectivity. This change is probably caused by the downward pattern of the antenna that radiates energy on a 90° fan inline and on a 30° fan crossline. The backscattered signal from a deep hummocky target is then averaged over a large subelliptical footprint. In general the amount of energy received at the surface is maximum when the major axis of the footprint is elongated transversely to the slope. The reflectivity within the bedrock is poor, because of the low S/N ratio at this depth.
The H/V spectra at this site (Fig. 9) shows those obtained with the Trillium sensor) are not as sharp as in the previous sites. However, as in the Pian di Neve case, results from different instruments guarantee the reliability of the resonance frequency. The processed H/V spectra show that the resonance frequencies measured by the two adopted sensors are identical considering the experimental errors (see Table 1). The average resonance frequency is here considerably higher than in the previous cases, $f_0 = 6.1 \pm 1$ Hz, resulting in $76 \pm 13$ m of ice thickness in the 1-D lossless-rigid approximation. This value is in good accordance with RES profiling. The directional H/V spectrum displayed in Figure 9b shows that the resonance frequency exhibits a slight variation with azimuth, probably due to 2-D effects related to the underlying dipping ice/bedrock interface, with a maximum of the peak amplitude at $\sim 30^\circ$ and a minimum at $\sim 120^\circ$.

In the ellipticity inversion we used the same constraints adopted in the previous cases, except for the glacier thickness. Figures 9c–e show the inverted P- (c) and S-wave (d) vertical velocity profiles and the theoretical ellipticity curves (e) of the fundamental-mode Rayleigh wave. Considering only misfit values lower than 0.5, the glacier thickness ranges from 54 to 73 m. These values are slightly lower than those of the RES profiles, probably because of the data quality and 2-D effects. The seismic velocities in the bedrock range from 4100 to 5200 m s$^{-1}$ for the

---

**Fig. 8.** 3-D representation of the RES depth sections of the Forni glacier (red dotted lines in Fig. 1b). The passive seismic station (F) was located at the intersection of the two RES lines. The X marks scattering from a hidden crevasse.

**Fig. 9.** H/V spectrum (a) obtained from the passive seismic measurements at the intersection of the two RES lines (see Fig. 8) on the Forni glacier (location F in Fig. 1b), by using a Trillium sensor. Corresponding directional H/V spectrum (b), as a function of frequency and azimuth. The resonance frequency exhibits a slight variation with azimuth, probably due to 2-D effects related to the underlying dipping ice/bedrock interface. P-wave (c) and S-wave (d) vertical velocity profiles resulting from the ellipticity inversion of the H/V spectrum shown in (a). Theoretical ellipticity curves (e) of the fundamental-mode Rayleigh wave, superimposed on the H/V spectrum (black line).
P-waves and from 2700 to 3100 m s\(^{-1}\) for the S-waves, confirming again the presence of a rigid bedrock. On the other hand, the seismic velocities in ice are invariant, showing values similar to those obtained in the previous cases.

### 3.4. La Mare glacier

#### 3.4.1. The study site

The La Mare glacier (Fig. 1c), covering an area of \(~3.55 \text{ km}^2\) at elevations ranging from 2650 to 3770 m a.s.l., is the largest glacier on the Trentino side of the Ortles-Cevedale massif. It consists essentially of two basins merging downstream into a unique tongue. Between the 1970s and 1980s the front of this glacier advanced by more than 300 m (Carturan and others, 2014), resulting in one of the most significant advances recorded in the Italian Alps in that period. Since then, it has continuously withdrawn, retreating by at least 250 m, with annual values even \(>40\) m. Because of its high altitude, however, in recent years the La Mare glacier has shown a good recovery potential, mainly related to abundant snowfalls above 3200 m.

#### 3.4.2. Data acquisition

We collected two coincident RES and geoelectrical lines (Fig. 1c) and we also carried out two passive seismic measurements along the survey line (locations LM1 and LM2 in Fig. 1c). The RES acquisition system is the same adopted on the Forni glacier. Passive seismic data were recorded using Trillium sensors coupled with the ice at the bottom of 4 m deep holes dug in the snow cover. Geoelectric data were collected using a new generation Multi-Source (MS) geo-resistivimeter (http://www.mpt3d.com – Multi-Phase Technology). The MS system (LaBreque and others, 2013) comprises several stand-alone transceivers synchronized via GPS timing and controlled via a 900 MHz radio signal. Each transceiver is capable of handling a maximum of three electrodes. The peculiar and innovative feature of this system, beyond the wireless communication between units, is its capability of transmitting the current simultaneously with multiple dipoles, each one connected to a different transceiver. Field layout was comprised of 12 electrodes spaced 25 m apart. Galvanic contact was achieved by pushing brine-dripping stainless cylinders right into the snow and firm layers. Additional inline dipoles along with longer and external transmitting dipoles were used both to improve the spatial resolution and the depth of penetration of the electric field.

#### 3.4.3. Results

The RES profile, shown in Figure 10a, was collected transversely to the ice flow (Fig. 1c) with its northern edge close to the outcropping rocks. The ice/bedrock interface is concave with a maximum ice thickness in the mid portion of the profile. The ice thickness is 20 ± 2 m at the northern edge while it increases to nearly 30 ± 2 m at a distance of \(~310\) m. The bedrock signature is homogeneous in the northern and mid portion of the RES scan and it is marked by a sudden change in the reflectivity. In the southern portion of the RES scan the bedrock response appears to be more complex, the ice/bedrock interface gets shallower and the ice-thickness is reduced to 15 ± 2 m at the scan end. A strong reflector, located at a distance of \(~400\) m (marked with the question mark in Fig. 10a), is probably caused by a stiffer segment of the bedrock or by the presence of a water lens at the bottom of the ice body.

The MS data were processed and inverted using Electrical Resistivity Tomography (ERT), as described in the Appendix. The ERT section is displayed in Figure 10a, superimposed on the RES scan. These data show a good correlation within the experimental errors, which are estimated to be \(~2\) and \(~3\) m for the RES and geoelectric methods, respectively. The ice body is almost homogeneous and exhibits very high resistivity values in the order of several \(10^9 \Omega \text{ m}\). Some areas of the MS profile show slightly lower resistivity values, probably because of a higher melting water content. The ice/bedrock interface is clearly visible and it is marked by a sudden drop of the resistivity down to 40 k\(\Omega \text{ m}\). This resistivity value is typical of crystalline rocks or permafrost (Palacky, 1987). Thus it is consistent with the in-situ type of rocks, because the analyzed area is characterized by a prevalence of quartz-phyllices and retrogressed parachists (Dal Piaz and others, 1988).

Figures 10b, c display the directional H/V spectra at locations LM1 and LM2, indicated in Figure 1c. The measured resonance frequencies show slightly different values, \(f_0 = 28 ± 4\) Hz at LM1 and \(f_0 = 30.9 ± 3.4\) Hz at LM2. Using the 1-D lossless-rigid approximation, justified by the above considerations, these resonance frequencies give ice thicknesses of \(17 ± 3\) m and \(15 ± 2\) m, respectively. Ignoring the 4 m thick snow cover and considering the S/N ratio, the average glacier thicknesses computed from the RES and ERT sections within a distance of \(A_L/4\) from LM1 and LM2 are \(21 ± 2\) m and \(14 ± 1\) m, respectively. Results from the different methods are thus fully comparable. Moreover, as in the Pian di Neve case, these results show that the HVSR technique allows the mapping of thickness variations along a glacier. The directional spectra show that the resonance frequency is azimuth independent for LM1 (Fig. 10b), while it shows slight variations for LM2 (Fig. 10c), probably due to 2-D effects caused by the dipping ice/bedrock interface. The peak amplitude exhibits a maximum at \(0°\) and a minimum at \(90°\) for both LM1 and LM2.

In the ellipticity inversion we used the same constraints adopted in the previous cases, except for the glacier thickness. Figures 10d–f display the results obtained by inverting the H/V spectrum at LM1, where (a) and (b) show the inverted P- and S-wave vertical velocity profiles, respectively. Figure 10e shows the H/V spectrum at LM1 superimposed on the theoretical ellipticity curves of the fundamental-mode Rayleigh wave. Considering only misfit values lower than 0.15, the glacier thickness ranges from 13 to 16 m (in agreement with the RES and ERT sections), while the seismic velocities of ice and bedrock are similar to those obtained for the Pian di Neve glacier.

### 3.5. Aletsch glacier

#### 3.5.1. The study site

Aletschgletscher is located in the Bernese Oberland Alps (Fig. 1a), and represents the largest glacier in Europe, covering an area of 86.7 km\(^2\). It is 24.7 km long and its elevation ranges from 1555 to 4158 m a.s.l.. In the upper part of the glacier, three major tributaries converge in a 3 km\(^2\) plateau named Konkordiaplatz, to form the 20 km-long tongue of Grosser Aletschgletscher. Previous active seismic experiments (Thyssen and Ahmad, 1969), and drillings in the late 1990s (Hock and others, 1999), revealed an overdeepening zone in the Konkordiaplatz with ice thicknesses exceeding
800 m (B90 well, visible in Fig. 1a, reached a maximum depth of 904 m).

### 3.5.2. Data acquisition and results

RES data were acquired on the Aletsch glacier using an IDS Stream X system equipped with a 25 MHz antenna consisting of separate transmitting and receiving dipoles. Unfortunately, the central frequency is too high and the signal has not enough energy to reach the glacier bottom. The passive seismic measurements were carried out using Trillium sensors and provided lower resonance frequencies than in all the previous cases. Figures 11a, c, e display the H/V spectra obtained, respectively, at the locations A1, A4 and A2, indicated in Figure 1a. Table 1 summarizes the details about all the records. The peak frequencies range from $1.06 \pm 0.04$ Hz outside the Konkordiaplatz (location A1), to an average frequency of $0.88 \pm 0.06$ Hz in the Konkordiaplatz. The peak amplitude shows a maximum at $20^\circ$ and $0^\circ$ and a minimum at $120^\circ$ and $90^\circ$, respectively in (b) and (d), implying a longitudinal polarization of the recorded wavefield. Moreover, in (f) the maximum and the minimum are located at $\sim 120^\circ$ and $40^\circ$, respectively. At this site, the glacier thickness is comparable with the valley half-width $w \approx 700$ m, and the 1-D approximation is no longer valid. Using Eqn (1), under the hypothesis of a rigid bedrock we obtain a thickness of $563 \pm 45$ m outside the Konkordiaplatz (location A1), and an average thickness of $820 \pm 150$ m in the Konkordiaplatz. These results agree with previous investigations of Thyssen and Ahmad (1969) and Hock and others (1999), while Eqn (2) gives completely different values. Hence, in this case the resonances seem to be mainly due to Love waves or body SH waves. Other reasons are the very large ice thickness and the fact that the 1-D approximation is no longer valid.

### 3.6. Whillans Ice Stream

#### 3.6.1. The study site

The WIS, previously known as Ice Stream B, is one of the main ice drainage conduits of the Siple Coast, feeding the
Ross Ice Shelf from the interior of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS). The ice flow, exceeding 300 m a$^{-1}$, is characterized by a tidally controlled stick-slip motion, in which long periods of quiescence are followed by short bursts (Bindschadler and others, 2003). Active seismic and RES surface observations (Christianson and others, 2012; Horgan and others, 2012) and subsequent drilling operations (Tulaczyk and others, 2014) confirmed the presence of a shallow active water reservoir beneath the WIS, called Subglacial Lake Whillans (SLW), previously identified by satellite surface elevation observations (Fricker and others, 2007). Other active and passive seismic experiments (Blankenship and others, 1986; Anandakrishnan and Bentley, 1993), as well as glaciological drilling and instrumentation in the late 1980s and 1990s (Engelhardt and Kamb, 1997) showed the presence of highly deformable till and water beneath the WIS.

In a recent work, Picotti and others (2015) inferred the anisotropic and crystal fabric properties of the WIS, by analyzing the active three-component seismic data recorded at the SLW location. They showed that while the shallow firn is isotropic, the underlying ice is weakly anisotropic. In particular, they found that anisotropy is mainly due to crystal orientation fabric, and that the ice is transversely isotropic with a vertical axis of symmetry. This suggests that the principal component of the ice stream movement is basal sliding or deformation in the basal sedimentary layer, rather than deformation in the ice, in agreement with the previous experimental findings evidencing that the subglacial sediments below the WIS are very weak. The average thickness of WIS at the survey location is $h = 780$ m (Christianson and others, 2012; Horgan and others, 2012), while the shallow firn layer is $\sim 60$ m thick (Picotti and others, 2015), implying that the average ice thickness below the firn is $\sim 720$ m.

3.6.2. Data acquisition

In this final example we analyzed the H/V spectra obtained from the three-component seismic data recorded on the WIS during the seismic experiment described in Horgan and others (2012) and Picotti and others (2015). This experiment, conducted during the 2010–11 Antarctic campaign, involved also the acquisition of RES data, described and analyzed in detail by Christianson and others (2012). The passive seismic measurements were carried out using 10 Guralp 40-T broadband seismometers with a 40 s corner period, connected to a ReTek station. The sensors were first placed along a longitudinal (along flow) profile, and then arranged in three transverse profiles, as described in Figure 1 of Picotti and others (2015).
3.6.3. Results
The results obtained from the passive seismic data analysis show two types of H/V spectra. For the first type, the average resonance frequencies are 4.94 ± 0.4 and 5.15 ± 0.93 Hz in the longitudinal and transverse direction, respectively. For the second type, the average resonance frequencies are 1.30 ± 0.17 and 1.23 ± 0.22 Hz in the longitudinal and transverse direction, respectively. For each type of spectrum, considering the experimental errors, the average frequency values are almost identical in the two directions. Figure 12 shows two examples of H/V spectra of the first (a) and second (c) type, obtained along the transverse and longitudinal directions, respectively. The resonance peaks are very sharp, and the corresponding H/V directional spectra (Figs 12b, d) identify that both resonance frequencies and peak amplitudes are azimuth independent. This is in agreement with the results of Picotti and others (2015), who showed the isotropic character of the firm and excluded the presence of azimuthal anisotropy in the ice due to transversely compressive flow or fractures aligned along a preferential direction.

The measured resonance frequencies are too high and not compatible with the average ice thickness at the SLW site. However, the resonance peak in the first type of spectrum can be related to the thickness of the firm layer. Picotti and others (2015) computed the P- and S-wave velocity gradients of the firm using the same methodology described in the present work. Figure 13 shows the S-wave velocity gradient $v_S(z)$ and the traveltimes $T_0$, computed using Eqn (3) along a vertical path through the entire firm layer. There is a noticeable difference between this gradient and those characterizing Alpine glaciers, such as the one displayed in Figure 2b. The computed traveltimes between the surface and the base of the firm is $T_0 = 52.8 ± 1.8$ ms, giving a resonance frequency $f_0 = 5.18 ± 0.27$ Hz, which is in good agreement with the average experimental value (Table 1).

The average resonance frequency of the second type of spectra (see Table 1) is lower but not enough to be compatible with the ice thickness at the site, under the hypothesis of a rigid bedrock. In fact, considering that the average S-wave velocity of the whole ice column is $v_S = 1940 ± 20$ ms$^{-1}$ (Picotti and others, 2015) and that the lateral extension of the WIS is much larger than the ice thickness, a 720 m thick ice stream should have a fundamental resonance frequency of ~0.67 Hz, while the average experimental resonance frequency is $1.27 ± 0.19$ Hz. This discrepancy is due to the fact that the lossless-rigid approximation is not valid in this case. As explained earlier, several authors suggest that the subglacial material beneath WIS consists of highly dilated and deforming sediments. In particular Blankenship and others (1986), using the active seismic method, estimated that the S-wave velocity and density of the basal sediments are $v_S = 150 ± 10$ ms$^{-1}$ and $\rho_S = 2016$ kg m$^{-3}$, respectively. The density of pure ice being $\rho_I = 917$ kg m$^{-3}$, it follows that the impedance contrast at the bed is $\alpha \geq 1$. As shown by Carcione and others (2017), in the case of stiff over soft medium, the fundamental frequency coincides with a minimum of the S-wave transfer function, and it is $f_0 = f_1/2$, where $f_1$ is the experimental resonance frequency. Hence, using the 1-D approximation we obtain a thickness of $764 ± 122$ m, which is in accordance with previous investigations of Horgan and others (2012) and Christianson and others (2012). This final example shows that bedrock deformability must be taken into account to obtain a reliable estimate of the glacier thickness.

Elliptic inversion is not feasible in this case because of the remarkable ice thickness and the strong velocity inversion at the bed. However, Picotti and others (2015) determined the firm and ice S-wave velocity structure down to a depth of ~300 m by inverting the Love and Rayleigh wave dispersion curves from active seismic data.

![Fig. 12. H/V spectra (a and c) obtained from the passive seismic measurements on the WIS (West Antarctica) by using Guralp sensors. Corresponding directional H/V spectra (b and d), as a function of frequency and azimuth, evidencing that both the resonance frequency and the peak amplitude are azimuth independent.](https://www.cambridge.org/core)
coupled and insulated sensor minimizes misalignments and changes. These two examples show how a properly started to affect the recorded data after \( \sim 7 \) h, while in the second case the sensor was more protected from daily temperature and weather might be due to the fact that in the second case the sensor was placed right on the glacier top, on the Aletsch glacier. The abundance of snow allowed us to protect the sensor (location A4) at the bottom of a deep hole dug in the firn. In the first case we verified that misalignments were more evident in presence of a rigid bedrock, while the basal impedance contrast can be ignored in presence of a rigid bedrock, and the basement. Moreover, while the basal impedance contrast can be ignored in presence of a rigid bedrock, the subglacial material consists of highly dilated and deforming sediments this results in an incorrect estimate of the glacier thickness. In other words, the lossless-rigid approximation is no longer valid and bedrock deformability must be taken into account. The second limit is related to how the seismometer is coupled with the glacier surface. When the firn layer is very thick, it represents a waveguide which traps most of the energy carried by ambient noise waves. This effect is also the cause of the resonance frequency in the firm.

Another limitation of this technique is that often the seismometers might be strongly misaligned while recording, which could lead to large errors in HVSR estimations. Several long recordings (see Table 1) provided sufficient insight to investigate such potential misalignments in the seismic sensors. For example, while on the Lobbia glacier there was no snow and the sensor was placed right on the glacier top, on the Aletsch glacier the abundance of snow allowed us to protect the sensor (location A4) at the bottom of a deep hole dug in the firm. In the first case we verified that misalignments started to affect the recorded data after \( \sim 7 \) h, while in the second case there is no evidence of misalignments in the whole record. This different behavior of the two instruments might be due to the fact that in the second case the sensor was more protected from daily temperature and weather changes. These two examples show how a properly coupled and insulated sensor minimizes misalignments and results in high quality HV spectra.

In general, we observed that the HVSR data quality is better for thick glaciers than for thin glaciers. In particular, the HVSR curves of thick glaciers (e.g. Pian di Neve, Lobbia, Aletsch and WIS) exhibit a better stability in time, while for thin glaciers (e.g. Forni and La Mare) we observe a higher time-dependent variability. This is primarily due to the fact that thin glaciers are excited by high-frequency waves, which are produced by a number of sources of many different natures, including changeable weather conditions (e.g. the wind), human activities, etc. On the other hand, the fundamental resonances of thick glaciers involve low-frequency waves, which are produced by almost time-invariant broad sources (e.g. the oceans).

4. DISCUSSION

The examples presented in this work clearly show that ambient noise measurements and the HVSR technique can effectively be applied to map the thickness of glaciers, in a wide range from a few tens of meters to over 800 m. Even if the experimental error for thicknesses exceeding 700–800 m becomes large, this methodology provides a rough but reliable estimation of the average thickness within \( \lambda_0/4 \).

4.1. Limits of applicability

The limits of this technique in glacial environments are evident in the last example. The first limit regards the S-wave impedance contrast at the glacier bottom. As pointed out by different authors (e.g. Bonnefoy and others, 2008), a reliable estimate of the fundamental resonant frequency from the H/V spectra strongly depends on the magnitude of the S-wave impedance contrast between the shallow layer and the basement. Moreover, while the basal impedance contrast can be ignored in presence of a rigid bedrock, the subglacial material consists of highly dilated and deforming sediments this results in an incorrect estimate of the glacier thickness. In other words, the lossless-rigid approximation is no longer valid and bedrock deformability must be taken into account. The second limit is related to how the seismometer is coupled with the glacier surface. When the firn layer is very thick, it represents a waveguide which traps most of the energy carried by ambient noise waves. This effect is also the cause of the resonance frequency in the firm.

Another limitation of this technique is that often the seismometers might be strongly misaligned while recording, which could lead to large errors in HVSR estimations. Several long recordings (see Table 1) provided sufficient insight to investigate such potential misalignments in the seismic sensors. For example, while on the Lobbia glacier there was no snow and the sensor was placed right on the glacier top, on the Aletsch glacier the abundance of snow allowed us to protect the sensor (location A4) at the bottom of a deep hole dug in the firm. In the first case we verified that misalignments started to affect the recorded data after \( \sim 7 \) h, while in the second case there is no evidence of misalignments in the whole record. This different behavior of the two instruments might be due to the fact that in the second case the sensor was more protected from daily temperature and weather changes. These two examples show how a properly coupled and insulated sensor minimizes misalignments and results in high quality HV spectra.

In general, we observed that the HVSR data quality is better for thick glaciers than for thin glaciers. In particular, the HVSR curves of thick glaciers (e.g. Pian di Neve, Lobbia, Aletsch and WIS) exhibit a better stability in time, while for thin glaciers (e.g. Forni and La Mare) we observe a higher time-dependent variability. This is primarily due to the fact that thin glaciers are excited by high-frequency waves, which are produced by a number of sources of many different natures, including changeable weather conditions (e.g. the wind), human activities, etc. On the other hand, the fundamental resonances of thick glaciers involve low-frequency waves, which are produced by almost time-invariant broad sources (e.g. the oceans).

4.2. H/V directional spectra and crevasse patterns

The directional H/V spectra shown in the various examples exhibit some interesting characteristics, which can be related to typical glacier features. The motion of Alpine glaciers is generally characterized by strong transverse and longitudinal velocity gradients, causing cracks and the development of different types of crevasses (Hambrey, 1994). Extensive or compressive flow within the ice generates transverse and longitudinal crevasses, respectively, while shear at the valley walls generate marginal crevasses, forming herring-bone patterns bending up-glacier in the flow direction (see Fig. 14).

A fractured medium behaves as an anisotropic medium when the wavelength is much larger than the distance between fractures (e.g. Santos and others, 2012, and references therein). Due to the large wavelengths of ambient noise, this condition is generally valid for passive seismic measurements on Alpine glaciers, which should be sensitive to the presence of crevasses. Carcione and others (2012) considered both dry and wet fractures in a viscoelastic medium, showing that the attenuation of P waves is maximum in the azimuthal direction normal to the fracture system (while S waves show a different behavior), where the H/V spectra should exhibit a maximum of the peak amplitude. On the other hand, the attenuation of P-waves is minimum in the direction parallel to the fracture system, where the H/V spectra should exhibit a minimum of the peak amplitude. These results suggest a physical interpretation of the directional H/V spectra obtained in this work. Let us consider a sensor.
planted towards the middle of a glacier. If the sensor is oriented in the direction of the flow, a maximum of the H/V spectral ratio is expected around that direction, when in presence of transverse crevasses. However, because of the large wavelengths involved in these kind of experiments, the recorded microtremors might be sensitive also to the presence of marginal crevasses towards the sides, and for this reason a minimum of the H/V spectral ratio is expected between 90° and 150° (and a maximum between 0° and 60°). The results shown in this work clearly exhibit these two features. In fact, almost all the directional H/V spectra show a maximum located between 0° and ~30°–40°. Considering possible asymmetries in the crevasse patterns present on the two sides of a glacier, this means that the recorded wavefield is polarized in the flow direction. The minima are instead located between ~90° and 140°, denoting the presence of transverse and/or herring-bone crevasse patterns. For example, the minimum at ~90° in the directional spectra of Figures 10b, c denotes the presence of transverse crevasses on the La Mare glacier, which is plausible because this glacier is located in an ice accumulation area. The Pian di Neve glacier shows similar characteristics, but the spectra of Figures 4b, d indicate also the presence of marginal crevasses. In the Aletsch glacier case, outside the Konkordiaplatz, the spectrum of Figure 11b denotes the presence of marked marginal crevasses. Similar features are observed for the Lobbia and Forni glaciers. In all the considered cases, the directional spectra highlight a longitudinal polarization of the recorded wavefield. Inside the Konkordiaplatz the situation is more complex because of the convergence of three large glacier tributary tongues.

4.3. Seismic properties of bedrock

The HVSR technique can be used to infer the seismic properties of the bedrock by considering different features of the H/V spectra, namely, the shape, amplitude and frequency of the resonance peaks and the frequency of the troughs.

When the ice thickness can be determined using other methods, the technique allows to easily distinguish between a rigid bedrock or a deformable basement (or water) underneath the ice body. For example, in the WIS case a minimum is located at the fundamental resonance frequency $f_0$, confirming that the basement consists of highly deformable sediments and water. On the other hand, in the Pian di Neve case the peak amplitude is located at $f_0$, confirming the presence of a rigid bedrock and supporting the results of active seismic about the nature of the layer at the glacier bottom. Moreover, in the case of rigid bedrock the S-wave impedance of the basement can be obtained from the ellipticity inversion. If the ice thickness is unknown, however, we showed that the peak frequency provides a reliable estimation of the ice thickness (in the lossless-rigid approximation), which can be used to constrain and stabilize the inversion procedure. Nevertheless, the results obtained using ellipticity inversion have to be carefully evaluated.

Several authors (e.g., Bonnefoy and others, 2008) analyzed the propagation of surface and body waves at different sites consisting in sedimentary basins overlying bedrock, indicating a considerable variability of the peak amplitudes regardless of the acoustic impedance contrast at the base of the sediments. They concluded that there should be a relationship between the peak amplitude and the impedance contrast, because if the contrast is strong, surface waves are better confined within the shallow soft layer (the ice, in our case). However, to our knowledge there is no validated formula that uniquely correlates the spectral H/V peak amplitude with the basal impedance contrast.

Additional information about the seismic properties of the bedrock can be obtained by analyzing not only the peaks but also the troughs in the H/V spectra. Let us consider a soft soil layer lying upon a hard rock half-space. Tuan and others (2011), assuming that the propagation of Rayleigh surface waves is dominant, derived a relationship between the peak ($f_p$) and trough ($f_t$) frequency ratio $f_p/f_t$ and the key parameters of the model, i.e., the Poisson’s ratio of the soil and the S-wave impedance contrast between the soil and the rock. For example, in the Pian di Neve case we have a marked peak/trough structure with $f_p/f_t \approx 1.6$. The average Poisson’s ratio of the ice resulting from the active seismic experiment is 0.331, while the average $v_s$ of the crystalline bedrock is ~4500 m s$^{-1}$. As explained before, assuming a ratio $v_p/v_s \approx 1.6$ (Moos and Zoback, 1983), the average $v_s$ of the crystalline bedrock is ~2812 m s$^{-1}$. Moreover, assuming an average density of ~917 and 2700 kg m$^{-3}$ for the ice (Picotti and others, 2015) and for the fractured quartz-diorite (Hughes, 1982), respectively, we obtain an S-wave impedance ratio $\alpha_1/\alpha_2$ of ~0.22 between the ice ($\alpha_1$) and the bedrock ($\alpha_2$). In this computation we ignore the presence of the thin sedimentary layer at the glacier base, its thickness being much smaller than $\lambda_0$. This value of $\alpha_1/\alpha_2$ is in good agreement with the value provided by the map displayed in Figure 2 of Tuan and others (2011).

Since the H/V spectra presented in this study often exhibit the peak/trough structure (e.g. the Pian di Neve, Lobbia and Forni glaciers), we suggest that a typical mechanism influencing the HVSR method on glaciers is the propagation of Rayleigh waves. However, in many cases (e.g. Aletsch glacier, La Mare glacier, and the WIS), the troughs are less prominent or totally absent (e.g. Fig. 11) suggesting that the resonances are probably due to the propagation of Love waves or body SH waves.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The HVSR technique has been tested on passive seismic data from several Alpine glaciers in the Adamello and Ortles-Cevedale massifs (Italy) and in the Bernese Oberland Alps (Switzerland), and from the WIS (West Antarctic). Results were validated using active seismic, RES and geoelectric methods, meeting modern standards and widely used in exploration geophysics. The resonance frequency in the H/V spectra correlates well with the ice thickness at each considered site, in a wide range from a few tens of meters to over 800 m. In almost all the examined cases, the directional H/V spectra show that the resonance frequency is nearly azimuth independent while the maximum peak amplitude lies at low azimuths, denoting a longitudinal polarization of the recorded wavefield. Moreover, the peak amplitude exhibits a minimum that correlates well with the orientation of typical crevasse patterns in a glacier. In addition, the H/V curves often exhibit the peak/trough structure (e.g. the Pian di Neve, Lobbia and Forni glaciers), suggesting that the propagation of Rayleigh waves drives the shape of the H/V spectra. However, in some cases the peak/trough structure is less evident (e.g. the Aletsch glacier), indicating a predominance of Love waves, which should be taken into account when width and depth of the investigated
glacier are comparable. The analysis of three-component seismic data acquired on the WIS (West Antarctic ice stream) showed that the results of this technique have to be carefully evaluated when the seismometers are coupled with a thick firn layer at the surface, or when highly deformable sediments are present underneath the ice body. In case the propagation of Rayleigh surface waves is dominant and the glacier thickness can be constrained, ellipticity inversion of the H/V spectra allows us to infer the seismic properties of the basement in the presence of 1-D structures and a rigid bedrock.

This work shows that the HVSR technique provides a cost-effective alternative to active-source geophysical methods, that have been used as the standard for several years to map glacier thicknesses and to estimate bedrock properties. The minimal logistics involved in the HVSR measurements allow for mass-balance studies also in extreme environments (e.g. Himalaya and Karakoram glaciers), where the common methods are generally impractical. A single operator in a working day could easily carry console and seismometer and sample glacier geometry at several locations. In addition seamless, geoelectric and RES methods often generate ambiguous or unsatisfactory results for different reasons, for example, coupling problems, wave scattering, high amplitude attenuation in the shallow layers and when the target depth is beyond the imaging capability. In these cases, the HVSR methodology may provide reliable thickness estimates and resolve ambiguities, notwithstanding that the experimental error could become large for thicknesses exceeding 700–800 m. Finally, when the ice thickness is known from other sources, the method allows easy discrimination between a rigid bedrock and a deformable basement (or water) beneath the ice body.
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APPENDIX A

Here we summarize the analytical techniques adopted for the geophysical imaging methods, i.e., active seismic, RES and geoelectric methods.

ACTIVE SEISMIC

The active seismic data were analyzed employing the following computational sequence: seismic data processing, traveltime tomography and imaging. The adopted seismic data processing was aimed at increasing the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio by reducing the coherent noise (i.e. surface waves, etc.) and to improve the lateral coherency of the reflectors of interest (Yilmaz, 2001). The processing included: band-pass filtering and Trimmed-mean dynamic dip filtering (e.g. Giustiniani and others, 2008) to eliminate the random and coherent noise (ground-roll and direct waves); predictive deconvolution for the wavelet compression; amplitude recovery, to compensate for geometrical spreading and adsorption; stacking velocity analysis and stacking. Two types of traveltime tomography techniques were employed to invert the diving-wave first breaks of the shallow refraction dataset and the reflected arrivals from the glacier bottom of the primary line. The first technique performs a traveltime inversion of P and S diving waves in the firm, by using the Herglotz-Wiechert inversion method (e.g. King and Jarvis, 2007; Picotti and others, 2015), applied for the first time on ice to data from Antarctica by Kirchner and Bentley (1979). This procedure allowed us to determine the vertical velocity gradient down to ~12 m depth, where the medium is pure ice. Below the firm, an iterative imaging technique involving P-wave residual move-out analysis, tomography and pre-stack depth migration (Yilmaz, 2001; Giustiniani and others, 2009) was used to generate a vertical seismic section of the glacier, basal sediments and underlying bedrock.

RADIO-ECHO SOUNDING

RES data were entirely processed in the open-source environment of the CWP Seismic Unix package (Stockwell, 1999). The base processing flow included: zero time correction, to align the ground impact (air/snow interface reflection) of each trace at zero time; bandpass filtering, to improve the S/N ratio; background removal, to remove the low frequency envelope, the so-called ‘wow’, a typical low frequency noise caused by the direct-wave arrival; and amplitude recovery. In some cases the typical banding of RES scanlines overpowered the reflected signal. This ringing appeared to be coupling-dependent (Carturan and others, 2013; Seppi and others, 2015) and different segments of the same profile showed a different ringy character. The standard running-average filter, generally very effective in removing the bands (e.g. Francese and others, 2004), was successful only in case of very narrow trace windows. The drawback in computing the mean with just few traces was the severe attenuation of both noise bands and horizontal reflectors (Forte and others, 2015). A different approach was then required to filter out the ringing. An automatic routine was coded for this specific purpose. The scanline was split in subscans with homogeneous ringing and each subscan was processed separately before merging the data onto the original scanline. The approach proved to be successful and the S/N ratio was definitely improved (Francese and others, 2015).

GEOELECTRIC

Apparent resistivity data were analyzed and found to be of good quality. The reciprocal measurements exhibited a minor deviation, which confirmed the reliability of the dataset. Few points were removed from the dataset prior to inverting from apparent to true resistivity model. The mesh consists of a tetrahedral grid with a cell size of 10 m and the model was initiated assigning a constant resistivity value to each cell. The adopted ERT algorithm uses a smoothness-constrained approach under the Occam assumptions (e.g. Cardarelli and Fischanger, 2006) with a careful re-weighting of the inversion parameters (Morelli and LaBrecque, 1996). The resulting inversion misfit was <5% for the majority of the data points, providing fully-interpretable results.