

ON CONTINUOUS LINEAR TRANSFORMATIONS
OF INTEGRAL TYPE

H. W. Ellis and T. E. Mott

(received April 27, 1959)

Let $(X \times Y, S \times T, \mu \times \nu)$ denote the completion of the Cartesian product of the σ -finite and complete measure spaces (X, S, μ) and (Y, T, ν) [3]. Let λ_x and λ_y denote arbitrary length functions defined on (X, S, μ) and (Y, T, ν) respectively, λ_x^* , λ_y^* the conjugate length functions [2]. We suppose that

$$(1) \quad \lambda_{xy}(f) = \lambda_{xy}(|f|) = \lambda_x[\lambda_y(f)]$$

is defined for every $f(x, y)$ measurable $(S \times T)$. The Fubini theorem implies that $f(x, y)$ is measurable (T) for almost all x . Thus $\lambda_{xy}(f)$ will be defined when $\lambda_y(f)$ is measurable (S) . If $L^{\lambda_y} = L^p$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, this is implied by the Fubini theorem. General conditions ensuring that $\lambda_y(f)$ is measurable (S) are given in [1, Theorem 3.2]. When $\lambda_{xy}(f)$ is defined for every $f(x, y)$ measurable $(S \times T)$, it is a length function and $L^{\lambda_{xy}}$ is a Banach space [1, Theorem 3.1].

We note that if $1 \leq p < \infty$ and

$$\lambda_x[g(x)] = \left(\int_x |g|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}, \quad \lambda_y[g(y)] = \left(\int_y |g|^p d\nu \right)^{1/p},$$

and $h(x, y)$ is measurable $(S \times T)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{xy}(h) &= \lambda_x[\lambda_y(h)] = \left(\int_x \left(\int_y |h|^p d\nu \right) d\mu \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left[\int_{x \times y} |h(x, y)|^p d(\mu \times \nu) \right]^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

by the Fubini theorem. Thus if λ_x and λ_y correspond to the p -norms in (X, S, μ) and (Y, T, ν) , λ_{xy} corresponds to the

p -norm in the product space. The theorem below is no doubt well known when L^{λ^x} and L^{λ^y} are L^p spaces for two independent values of p , $1 \leq p < \infty$. (For the case $L^{\lambda^x} = L^{\lambda^y} = L^2$, see [4].)

If $K(x, y)$ is measurable ($S \times T$) and $g(y) \in L^{\lambda^*y}$, $K(x, y)g(y)$ is measurable ($S \times T$) and, since X and Y are σ -finite, the Fubini theorem implies that

$$(2) \quad Kg(x) = \int_Y K(x, y)g(y)d\nu$$

is measurable (S).

THEOREM. (i) Each element $K(x, y)$ in $L^{\lambda^{xy}}$ is the kernel of a linear transformation K of L^{λ^*y} into L^{λ^x} defined by (2) with $\|K\| \leq \lambda_{xy}(K)$.

(ii) Suppose that $K_i(x, y) \in L^{\lambda^{xy}}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and that

$$(3) \quad \sum_1^\infty \lambda_{xy}(K_i) < \infty.$$

Then $\{\sum_1^n K_i(x, y)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{\lambda^{xy}}$ and, if $K(x, y)$ is a limit in norm of this sequence, the sequence of bounded linear transformations $\{\sum_1^n K_i\}$ converges in norm to the bounded linear transformation K . Thus, for every $g \in L^{\lambda^*y}$, $\sum_1^n K_i g(x)$ converges strongly to $Kg(x)$ in L^{λ^x} [5, p. 150]. Furthermore, given $g \in L^{\lambda^*y}$, there exists a set $X_0 \subset X$ with $\lambda_x(X - X_0) = 0$ such that $\sum_1^n K_i g(x)$ converges pointwise to $Kg(x)$ in X_0 . In particular if for $e \in S$, $\lambda_x(e) = 0$ implies that $\mu(e) = 0$, as is the case for the L^p spaces, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, then $\sum_1^n K_i g(x)$ converges pointwise to $Kg(x)$ almost everywhere.

Proof. (i) The assumption that $K(x, y) \in L^{\lambda^{xy}}$ implies that $K(x, y)$ is measurable ($S \times T$) and that $\lambda_{xy}(K) < \infty$. The definition of $\lambda_x[\lambda_y(K)]$ then implies that $\lambda_y(K) \in L^{\lambda^x}$. Thus the set $E = [x \in X: \lambda_y(K) = \infty]$ is λ_x -null (i.e. $\lambda_x(E) = 0$) [2, p. 579]. Thus $\lambda_y(K)$ is defined and finite in a set X_0 with $\lambda_x(X - X_0) = 0$.

If $g(y) \in L^{\lambda^*y}$, $K(x, y)g(y) \in L^1(Y)$ for $x \in X_0$ and

$$(4) \quad \int_Y |K(x, y)g(y)| d\nu \leq \lambda_y(K) \lambda_y^*(g).$$

Thus, using (L 2) and (L 4) for $\lambda_x[2]$, (1) and (4) above,

$$(5) \quad \lambda_x(Kg) \leq \lambda_x[\lambda_y(K) \lambda_y^*(g)] = \lambda_y^*(g) \lambda_{xy}(K) < \infty.$$

Thus $Kg(x) \in L^{\lambda_x}$ and (2) defines a transformation K of $L^{\lambda_y^*}$ into L^{λ_x} . That K is linear is easily verified and (4) implies that $\|K\| \leq \lambda_{xy}(K)$ so that K is bounded.

(ii) The part concerning pointwise convergence requires proof. We set

$$\bar{f}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^n |K_i g(x)|$$

where this limit is defined, and = 0 elsewhere. Then \bar{f} is measurable (S) and, using (5),

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_x(\bar{f}) &= \sup_n \lambda_x(\sum_1^n |K_i g|) \leq \sup_n \sum_1^n \lambda_x(K_i g) \\ &\leq \lambda_y^*(g) \sum_1^\infty \lambda_{xy}(K_i) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{f} \in L^{\lambda_x}$ and is finite in a set X_0 with $X - X_0$ λ_x -null. For $x \in X_0$, $\sum_1^n K_i g(x)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} and defines a limit

$$f(x) = \sum_1^\infty K_i g(x).$$

We define $f(x) = 0$ in $X - X_0$. If K corresponds to a kernel $K(x, y)$ which is a limit in norm of $\sum_1^n K_i(x, y)$,

$$\lambda_x(f - Kg) \leq \lambda_x(\sum_{n+1}^\infty |K_i g|) + \lambda_x(Kg - \sum_1^n K_i g),$$

and the second term on the right tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now $\sum_1^n |K_i g(x)|$ increases to $\sum_1^\infty |K_i g(x)|$ in X_0 whence, using (L 5) for λ_x ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_x[\sum_{n+1}^\infty |K_i g|] &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_x[\sum_{n+1}^m |K_i g|] \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n+1}^m \lambda_x(|K_i g|) \\ &= \sum_{n+1}^\infty \lambda_x(|K_i g|) \\ &\leq \lambda_y^*(g) \sum_{n+1}^\infty \lambda_{xy}(K_i) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lambda_x(f - Kg) = 0$, $f - Kg \neq 0$ in a λ_x -null set and $\sum_1^n K_i g(x)$ converges to $Kg(x)$ pointwise outside a λ_x -null set.

COROLLARY. If $g \in L^{\lambda^*}$ and $K_i(x, y)$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^{\lambda^{xy}}$ converging in norm to $K(x, y)$, if K_i, K are the bounded linear transformations with kernels $K_i(x, y), K(x, y)$ then there is a subsequence K_{i_j} with $K_{i_j}g(x)$ (defined by (2)) converging pointwise to $Kg(x)$ outside a λ_x -null set.

We choose a subsequence $\{K_{i_j}(x, y)\}$ with

$$\lambda_{xy}(K_{i_1}) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{xy}(K_{i_{j+1}} - K_{i_j}) < \infty.$$

REFERENCES

1. H.W. Ellis, A note on Banach function spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 75-81.
2. H.W. Ellis and Israel Halperin, Function spaces determined by a levelling length function, Can. J. Math. 5 (1953), 576-592.
3. P.R. Halmos, Measure Theory, (New York, 1955).
4. F. Riesz and B. Sz-Nagy, Functional Analysis, (New York, 1955).

Queen's University
 Pennsylvania State University