# INVOLUTION NEAR-RINGS 

by S. D. SCOTT<br>(Received 10th April 1978)

Throughout this paper all near-rings considered will be zero-symmetric and left distributive. All groups will be written additively, but this does not imply commutativity. The near-ring of all zero-fixing maps of a group $V$ into itself will be denoted by $M_{0}(V)$. If $N$ is a near-ring with an identity and $\alpha \neq 1$ is an element of $N$ such that $\alpha^{2}=1$, then $\alpha$ will be called an involution of $N$. Let $V$ be a group. An involution $\alpha$ of $M_{0}(V)$ will be called an involution on $V$.

If $S$ is a subset of a near-ring $N$, then $N(S)$ will denote the subnear-ring of $N$ generated by $S$. If $S$ consists of the single element $\gamma$, we write $N(\gamma)$ for $N(\{\gamma\})$. We shall call a near-ring $N$ with identity an involution near-ring, if $N$ contains an involution $\alpha$ such that $N(\alpha)=N$. We are now in a position to state our main theorem.

Theorem 1. If $V$ is a non-trivial finite group, then $M_{0}(V)$ is an involution near-ring if, and only if, $V$ is neither an elementary abelian 2-group nor a cyclic group of order three.

To prove this theorem we will require certain lemmas, propositions and definitions. Also we shall clarify and explain some notation.

If $S$ is a set, then $|S|$ will denote the cardinal of $S$. We shall, on the whole, be concerned only with finite sets. If $K$ is a subset of $S$ we write $S \backslash K$ for the complement of $K$ in $S$.

From now on all groups considered will be finite. Let $G$ be a group. As for sets, $|G|$ is the order of $G$. If $S$ is a subset of $G$, then $\langle S\rangle$ will denote the subgroup of $G$ generated by $S$ (if $S$ is empty, $\langle S\rangle$ is taken as $\{0\}$ and if $S$ consists of the single element $g$, we write $\langle g\rangle$ for $\langle\{g\}\rangle$ ). The order $|\langle g\rangle|$ of an element $g$ of $G$ will be denoted by $|g|$. The set of all $g$ in $G$ such that $|g|=2$ will be denoted by $\eta(G)$. To avoid confusion the elements of $\eta(G)$ will not be referred to as involutions. We denote the set $G \backslash\{0\}$ by $G^{*}$. A subgroup of $G$ that will play an important role in what follows is $\lambda(G)$, which is defined to be $\left\langle G^{*} \backslash \eta(G)\right\rangle$. Thus $\lambda(G)$ is the subgroup of $G$ generated by all elements $g$ of $G$ such $|g|>2$. We define the centraliser of a subgroup $H$ of $G$ in the normal manner. Thus $C_{G}(H)$ will denote the subgroup of $G$ consisting of all elements $b$ of $G$ such that $-b+h+b=h$ for all $h$ in $\boldsymbol{H}$.

Proposition 2. If $G$ is a non-trivial group and $\lambda(G)=\{0\}$, then $G$ is an elementary abelian 2-group.

Proposition 3. If $G$ is a group, then $\lambda(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $G$.
Proof. We shall in fact show that $\lambda(G)$ is characteristic in $G$. Assume $\lambda(G) \neq\{0\}$. Let $g$ in $G$ be such that $|g|>2$ and let $\mu$ be an automorphism of $G$. Then $|g \mu|=|g|>2$. So $\mu$ maps $G^{*} \backslash \eta(G)$ into $G^{*} \backslash \eta(G)$ and $\lambda(G)$ is characteristic in $G$.

Lemma 4. Let $G$ be a group and suppose $\{0\}<\lambda(G)<G$. The following hold:
(i) $G \backslash \lambda(G) \subseteq \eta(G)$;
(ii) if $b$ is in $G \backslash \lambda(G)$ and $g$ in $\lambda(G)$, then $-b+g+b=-g$;
(iii) $\lambda(G)$ is abelian;
(iv) $C_{G}(\lambda(G))=\lambda(G)$; and
(v) $|G / \lambda(G)|=2$.

Proof. (i) This is obvious.
(ii) Since $b$ is in $G \backslash \lambda(G)$ and $g$ in $\lambda(G), b+g$ is in $G \backslash \lambda(G)$. By (i) $b+g+b+g=0$. By (i) $b=-b$ and (ii) follows.
(iii) Let $b$ be in $G \backslash \lambda(G)$. By (ii) the inner automorphism induced by $b$ maps every element of $\lambda(G)$ to its inverse. This is an automorphism of $\lambda(G)$ only if $\lambda(G)$ is abelian.
(iv) Since $\lambda(G)$ is abelian, $C_{G}(\lambda(G)) \geqslant \lambda(G)$. Suppose $C_{G}(\lambda(G))>\lambda(G)$ and let $b$ be an element of $C_{G}(\lambda(G)) \backslash \lambda(G)$ and $g$ an element of $\lambda(G)$ such that $|g| \neq 2$. But, by (ii), it would then follow that $-b+g+b=-g \neq g$. Hence $C_{G}(\lambda(G))=\lambda(G)$.
(v) If $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ are in $G \backslash \lambda(G)$ and $g$ in $\lambda(G)$, then

$$
-b_{1}+g+b_{1}=-g
$$

and

$$
-b_{2}-b_{1}+g+b_{1}+b_{2}=g
$$

by (ii). Hence $b_{1}+b_{2}$ is in $C_{G}(\lambda(G))=\lambda(G)$. Thus $b_{1} \equiv-b_{2} \bmod \lambda(G)$ and (v)follows. The proof of the lemma is now complete.

Definition. Let $G$ be a group and $S$ a collection of subgroups of $G$. A bijection $\beta$ of $G$ onto $G$ will be said to confuse $S$, if for any $H$ in $S, H \beta \not \subset H$.

Lemma 5. Let G be a non-zero group which is neither an elementary abelian 2-group nor a group of order three. There exists an involution $\alpha$ on $G$ which confuses proper subgroups of $G$ and is such that
(i) if $|G|$ is even, then $\alpha$ has a unique fixed element $h \neq 0$.
(ii) if $|G|$ is odd, then $\alpha$ fixes only two non-zero elements $b_{1}, b_{2}$ and $b_{1}+b_{2} \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be the distinct non-zero cyclic subgroups of $G$ of order greater than two, and let $g_{i}$ generate $A_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Set $S=\left\{g_{1},-g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n},-g_{n}\right\}$. Clearly $g_{i} \neq-g_{i}$ as $\left|g_{i}\right|>2$. If $|G|$ is even, let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 k+1}$, be the elements of $\eta(G)$ (note that $|\boldsymbol{\eta}(G)|$, the number of subgroups of $G$ of order two, is odd by the Sylow Theorems). By (i) of Lemma 4 we may assume that if $\lambda(G)<G$, then $a_{2 k+1}$ is in $G \backslash \lambda(G)$.

Finally partition the elements of $G \backslash\{S \cup \eta(G)\}=T$ by $\{g,-g\}$. Define $\alpha$ by:
$\alpha$ interchanges $g_{i}$ and $-g_{i+1}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ (vacuous if $n=1$ ), and $\alpha$ interchanges $g$ and $-g$ for $g$ in $T$.
(a) if $|G|$ is odd an $n>1, \alpha$ fixes $-g_{1}$ and $g_{n}$,
(a)' if $|G|$ is odd and $n=1$, then $|G|$ is a prime $p$ greater than three and thus $G^{*}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p-1}\right\}$, where we may assume that $a_{p-1} \neq-a_{p-2}$. Let $\alpha$ fix $a_{p-1}$ and $a_{p-2}$ and interchange the rest in pairs.
(b) if $|G|$ is even, let $\alpha$ interchange $a_{2 k+1}$ and $-g_{1}, a_{2 i-1}$ and $a_{2 i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$ (vacuous if $k=0$ ), and fix $g_{n}$.

Then $\alpha \neq 1, \alpha^{2}=1$ and $\alpha$ is an involution. Let $H$ be a non-zero subgroup of $G$ such that $H \alpha \subseteq H$. If $H$ contains an element of order greater than two, then some $g_{i}$ is in $H$. Thus $-g_{i}$ is in $H$ and, by the definition of $\alpha, H \supseteq\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right\}$. If $|G|$ is odd and $n>1$, then $H=G$. If $|G|$ is odd and $n=1$, then $H=G$ anyway. If $|G|$ is even, then $\lambda(G) \leqslant H$ and by the definition of $\alpha, a_{2 k+1}$ is in $H$. Thus $H=G$ since, if $G>\lambda(G)$, then $\lambda(G)$ is a maximal subgroup of $G$ by Lemma 4.

We may therefore assume that $H \alpha \subseteq H$ and $H^{*} \subseteq \eta(G)$. Clearly $a_{2 k+1}$ is not in $H$, otherwise $g_{1}$ is in $H$. By the definition of $\alpha, a_{2 i}$ is in $H$ if, and only if, $a_{2 i-1}$ is in $H$. Thus $\left|H^{*}\right|$ is even. However, $H$ is an elementary abelian 2-group and $\left|H^{*}\right|=|H|-1$ is odd. This contradiction completes the proof.

The question remains as to whether or not elementary abelian 2-groups are a genuine exception to Lemma 5.

Proposition 6. If $A$ is an elementary abelian 2-group and $\alpha$ an involution on $A$, then there exists a proper subgroup $H$ of $A$ such that $H \alpha \subseteq H$.

Proof. As a cyclic group of order two has no involutions we may assume that $|A| \geqslant 4$. Let $S$ be the set of all $b$ in $A^{*}$ such that $b \alpha=b$. Since $A^{*} \backslash S$ is partitioned by two element subsets of the form $\{g, g \alpha\}$ where $G$ is in $A^{*} \backslash S$, it follows that $\left|A^{*} \backslash S\right|$ is even. Since $\left|A^{*}\right|$ is odd, $|S|$ is odd. Thus $S$ is non-empty. Let $h$ be in $S$. We have $h \alpha=h$ and $h \neq 0$. Let $H=\langle h\rangle$. Clearly $H$ is a proper subgroup of $A$ and $H \alpha \subseteq H$. The proposition is now proved.

There remains the case of a cyclic group of order three.
Proposition 7. If $G$ is a group of order three, then there exists a unique involution $\alpha$ on $G$ and $\alpha$ is an automorphism.

Proof. Let $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ be the non-zero elements of $G$. Since an involution $\alpha$ on $G$ is such that $0 \alpha=0$ and distinct from 1, it is clear that $h_{1} \alpha=h_{2}$ and $h_{2} \alpha=h_{1}$. Thus $\alpha$ is the unique involution on $G$. Also $h_{2}=-h_{1}$ and $h \alpha=-h$ for all $h$ in $G$. Thus $\alpha$ is an automorphism.

If $G$ is a non-trivial group which is not an elementary abelian 2-group, it follows from Lemma 5 that there exists an involution $\alpha$ on $G$ that confuses proper subgroups, provided $|G| \neq 3$. In the case where $|G|=3$ the involution $\alpha$ of Proposition 7 may be considered to confuse proper subgroups. Proposition 6 tells us that this is an "if, and only if" result. Thus we have:

Theorem 8. A non-zero group $G$ has an involution $\alpha$ on $G$ that confuses proper subgroups if, and only if, $G$ is not an elementary abelian 2-group.

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $V$ be a non-zero group and $\beta$ an involution of $M_{0}(V)$ that confuses proper subgroups of $V$. Set $N=M_{0}(V)$. We make three straightforward observations:
(a) $V$ is a unitary $N(\beta)$-group;
(b) $N(\beta)$ is 2-primitive on $V$ (see (2, 4.2, p. 103)); and
(c) if $\beta$ is distributive in $N(\beta)$, then $\beta$ is an automorphism of $V$.

Firstly, we prove these results. Clearly $N(\beta) \leqslant N$ and, since the identity of $N$ is in $N(\beta), V$ is a unitary $N(\beta)$-group. Thus (a) holds. If $H$ is an $N(\beta)$-subgroup of $V$, then $H N(\beta) \subseteq H$. However $\beta$ confuses proper subgroups of $V$ and thus $H=\{0\}$ or $H=V$. Hence (b) holds. If $v$ is a non-zero element of $V$, then $v N(\beta)$ is an $N(\beta)$-subgroup of $V$. Also $v N(\beta)$ is non-zero by (a) and $v N(\beta)=V$ by (b). Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be two elements of $V$. We have $v_{i}=v \gamma_{i}, i=1,2$, where $\gamma_{i}$ is in $N(\beta)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right) \beta & =v\left(\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2}\right) \beta \\
& =v \gamma_{1} \beta+v \gamma_{2} \beta \\
& =v_{1} \beta+v_{2} \beta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\beta$ is a bijection on $V$, it is an automorphism of $V$ and (c) holds.
We now assume that $V$ is not an elementary abelian 2-group and $|V|$ is even. By Lemma 5 there exists an involution $\alpha$ on $V$, that conf uses proper subgroups of $V$ and is such that $h \alpha=h$ for some unique non-zero element $\boldsymbol{h}$ of $\boldsymbol{V}$. By (b) $N(\alpha)$ is 2-primitive on $V$. If $N(\alpha)$ is a ring, then $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $V$ by (c), and all $v$ in $V$ such that $v \alpha=v$ form a subgroup of $V$. Thus $\{0, h\}$ is a subgroup of $V$ such that $\{0, h\} \alpha=\{0, h\}$. Hence $\{0, h\}=V$. But, since $V$ is not an elementary abelian 2-group, this cannot happen. Hence $N(\alpha)$ is not a ring. Let $\mu$ be an $N(\alpha)$-automorphism of $V$. By $(2,4.61$, p. 132) we need only show that $\mu$ is the identity. If $\mu \neq 1$, it acts fixed point freely on $V$. Since $h \alpha=h$, it follows that $h \mu \alpha=h \mu$ and, by the uniqueness of $h, h \mu=h$. Thus $\mu=1$ and $N(\alpha)=N$ in this case.

Assume $|V|$ is odd and $|V|>3$. By Lemma 5 there exists an involution $\alpha$ on $V$, that confuses proper subgroups of $V$ and is such that $b_{1} \alpha=b_{1}$ and $b_{2} \alpha=b_{2}$ for a unique non-zero pair of elements $b_{1}$ and $b_{2}$ of $V$. Furthermore we may assume that $b_{1} \neq-b_{2}$. Now $N(\alpha)$ is 2-primitive on $V$ by (b). If $N(\alpha)$ is a ring, then by (c) we have the set of all $v$ in $V$ such that $v \alpha=v$ is a subgroup of $V$. It would then follow that $\left\{0, b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ is a subgroup of $V$ fixed by $\alpha$ and this in turn implies that $\left\{0, b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}=V$. Since $|V| \neq 3$, we conclude that $N(\alpha)$ is not a ring. Let $\mu$ be an $N(\alpha)$-automorphism of $V$. Again by (2, 4.61, p. 132) we need only show that $\mu$ is the identity. Now $b_{1} \alpha=b_{1}$ and thus $b_{1} \mu \alpha=b_{1} \mu$. If $\mu \neq 1$, then it is fixed point free on $V$ and $b_{1} \mu=b_{2}$. Similarly $b_{2} \mu=b_{1}$. Thus $b_{1} \mu^{2}=b_{1}$ and it follows that $\mu^{2}=1$. By (1, $\left.1.4, \mathrm{p} .336\right) b_{1} \mu=-b_{1} \neq b_{2}$. This contradiction establishes that $N(\alpha)=N$.

Conversely, if $V$ is an elementary abelian 2-group and $\alpha$ an involution of $M_{0}(V)(=N)$, then by Proposition $6 H \alpha \subseteq H$ for some proper subgroup $H$ of $V$. Thus $H N(\alpha) \subseteq H$ and $N(\alpha)$ is not 2-primitive on $V$ as is $N$. Hence $N(\alpha) \neq N$. Finally, if $V$ is a cyclic group of order three and $\alpha$ an involution of $M_{0}(V)$, then by Proposition 7, $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $V$. Since $V$ is abelian, $N(\alpha)$ is a ring. However, $N=M_{0}(V)$ is a non-ring. The proof is complete and Theorem 1 is established.

Corollary. A finite non trivial near-ring $N$ may be embedded in the involution near-ring $M_{0}\left((N,+) \oplus C_{3}\left(=N^{\prime}\right)\right.$ where $C_{3}$ is a cyclic group of order three.

Proof. By $\left(2,1.86\right.$, p. 33) $N$ can be embedded in $N^{\prime}$. By Theorem $1 N^{\prime}$ is an involution near-ring.

Let $V$ be a group satisf ying the conditions of Theorem 1. It is natural to ask how many involutions $\alpha$ in $M_{0}(V)(=N)$ exist such that $N(\alpha)=N$ ? It is not difficult to show that if $\alpha$ is such an involution and $\mu$ an automorphism of $V$, then $\beta=\mu^{-1} \alpha \mu$ is an involution of $N$ distinct from $\alpha$ and such that $N(\beta)=N$. From this we conclude that the number of such involutions is at least $|A|$, where $A$ is the automorphism group of $V$.

Another question is whether or not the above corollary holds for infinite near-rings. In fact it does not hold. Indeed, let $N_{1}$ be the near-ring with identity generated by a single element $\alpha$ and where the only defining relationship is $\alpha^{2}=1$. Let $N$ be any near-ring, such that $|N|>\left|N_{1}\right|$. The near-ring $N$ cannot be embedded in an involution near-ring.

Also, what can be said about a near-ring generated by an involution which is distributive? Such near-rings may have a surprisingly complex structure. There are, for example, an infinite number of such near-rings which are finite, 0 -primitive but not 2-primitive (3). In particular such a finite near-ring $N$ may have a non-nilpotent radical ( $J_{2}(N)$ ).

Yet another question that arises naturally from Theorem 1 is the following:
If $n$ is a fixed integer, then which of the near-rings $M_{0}(V)$ ( $V$ a finite group) are generated by a single element $\alpha$ such that $\alpha^{n}=1$ ? Theorem 1 answers this question for $n=2$. Even for $n=3$, this question seems difficult. If, for example, $V$ is the symmetric group on three letters, then $M_{0}(V)$ is not generated by such an $\alpha$ as, in this case, $V$ has four proper subgroups intersecting in zero and $\beta$ can permute at most three elements of $V$.

The author wishes to thank the referee for his comments which helped condense the proof of Theorem 1.
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