

Correspondence

Cite this article: Ng J-H, Tan E-K (2023). COVID-19 vaccination and cultural tightness. *Psychological Medicine* **53**, 1124–1125. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291721001823>

Received: 14 April 2021
Revised: 21 April 2021
Accepted: 22 April 2021
First published online: 27 April 2021

Author for correspondence:

Eng-King Tan,
E-mail: gnrtek@sgh.com.sg

COVID-19 vaccination has emerged as a key strategy to combat the global pandemic and vaccine acceptance is integral in this process (Laine, Cotton, & Moyer, 2020). It is thus important to study the different factors influencing health behaviors and attitudes, to combat vaccine hesitancy effectively. In a study published in *Psychological Medicine*, Freeman et al. (2020) showed that vaccine acceptance was related to the ‘recognition of collective importance’. Cultural tightness is an important factor that influences human behavior and the way different societies cope with collective threats (Gelfand et al., 2021). At present, it is unclear if cultural tightness influenced COVID-19 vaccine acceptance. To address this gap in knowledge, we examined publicly available data on the global attitude toward COVID-19 vaccination, from Imperial College London YouGov COVID-19 Behavior Tracker Data Hub (Jones, 2021). Respondents from 15 countries were surveyed between 30 December 2020 and 11 January 2021 on their willingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccine (Jones, 2021). We then analyzed each country’s vaccination willingness with their corresponding level of cultural tightness as defined by Gelfand et al. (2021) (12 out of 15 of the surveyed countries had a cultural tightness score).

Interestingly, we found that cultural tightness was negatively related to the willingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccine ($r = -0.65$, $p = 0.02$) (see Fig. 1). This finding seemed counter-intuitive as the theory of cultural tightness and looseness suggested that societies with tighter cultures had greater self-regulation, which compelled individuals to be more cooperative in the face of crisis situations such as natural disasters and epidemics (Gelfand et al., 2021). To understand our finding better, we further mined and analyzed data (Roser, Ritchie, Ortiz-Ospina, & Hasell, 2020) of the average number of COVID-19 cases per million people per day in the 12 countries included in the Imperial College London YouGov survey (during the period of survey). Our analysis showed that the willingness to receive the COVID-19 vaccine was positively related to the prevailing degree of community transmission ($r = 0.61$, $p = 0.015$). With common concerns for the potential side effects and safety profile of a novel vaccine (Dodd et al., 2021), a low risk for contracting COVID-19 as perceived by people in countries where COVID-19 is well-controlled may have prompted them to decline and delay vaccination. It seemed possible that the success of cultural tightness in controlling




Fig. 1. Association of cultural tightness and willingness to receive vaccination.

COVID-19 cases and fatalities may be a confounding factor in COVID-19 vaccination willingness and acceptance.

Cultural tightness has been advantageous in helping communities overcome collective threats (Gelfand et al., 2021). It is crucial for culturally-tight countries who have done well in the COVID-19 pandemic to avoid complacency and remain vigilant as this collective threat still exists. Public administrators and healthcare professionals in culturally-tight countries should tap on their intrinsic strengths of societal cooperation and coordination to portray COVID-19 vaccination as an important part of civic duty to improve vaccination willingness and acceptance. As societal cultures are multidimensional and increasingly dynamic and fluid (Kashima, 2014), it will be prudent to conduct further studies on the ways cultural tightness affects individual vaccine decisions and how cultural dynamics may be modified to allow societies and countries to better cope with the global pandemic.

Acknowledgements. We like to thank the National Medical Research Council, Singapore, for their support.

Financial support. This research received no specific grant from any funding agency, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest. None.

References

- Dodd, R. H., Pickles, K., Nickel, B., Cvejic, E., Ayre, J., Batcup, C., ... McCaffery, K. J. (2021). Concerns and motivations about COVID-19 vaccination. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 21(2), 161–163. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30926-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30926-9).
- Freeman, D., Loe, B. S., Chadwick, A., Vaccari, C., Waite, F., Rosebrock, L., ... Lambe, S. (2020). COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy in the UK: The Oxford coronavirus explanations, attitudes, and narratives survey (Oceans) II. *Psychological Medicine*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291720005188>.
- Gelfand, M. J., Jackson, J. C., Pan, X., Nau, D., Pieper, D., Denison, E., ... Wang, M. (2021). The relationship between cultural tightness-looseness and COVID-19 cases and deaths: A global analysis. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 5(3), e135–e144. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(20\)30301-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30301-6).
- Jones, S. (2021). Workbook: YouGov & ICL COVID-19 Behaviour Tracker. Retrieved from <https://ichpanalytics.imperialcollegehealthpartners.com/t/BDAU/views/YouGovICLCOVID-19BehaviourTracker/4Allbehaviorvertime?iid=1&isGuestRedirectFromVizportal=y&embed=y>.
- Kashima, Y. (2014). How can you capture cultural dynamics? *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5, 995. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.00995>.
- Laine, C., Cotton, D., & Moyer, D. V. (2020). COVID-19 vaccine: Promoting vaccine acceptance. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 174(2), 252–253. <https://doi.org/10.7326/m20-8008>.
- Roser, M., Ritchie, H., Ortiz-Ospina, E., & Hasell, J. (2020). Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19) Our World in Data. Retrieved from <https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus>.