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Is it possible for a finite p-group to have only one conjugacy class of maximal size? This question was opened to public consideration in a paper [2] of John Meldrum dealing with the breadth of the wreath product of finite $p$-groups. His Theorem 21 gives a formula for the breadth of $A \mathrm{wr} B$ in terms of various constants including the breadths of $A$ and $B$, a formula which differs according to whether or not $A$ has a unique largest class. Hence the question.
There are certainly p-groups (dihedral and such like) with just two maximal classes, but the general opinion seems to be that the answer to the question above is "no", i.e. that a finite $p$-group with more than one element must have more than one maximal class. In this connection, see Theorem 4 below.

Note that in a finite $p$-group containing the element $a \neq 1$, distinct conjugacy classes are represented by $a, a^{2}, \ldots, a^{p-1}$. (For if $a^{x}=a^{\alpha}$ with $1 \leqq \alpha<p$ then $\alpha=1$, because $x$ has $p$-power order.) This shows that a finite $p$-group with unique maximal class has $p=2$, and further suggests:

Problem. Is there a prime $p$ and a finite $p$-group $G$ which has precisely $p-1$ conjugacy classes of maximal size?

Theorem 1. If $p$ is a prime and $G$ is a finite $p$-group such that $G$ has breadth $n$ and has precisely $p-1$ conjugacy classes of size $p^{n}$ then $|G| \leqq p^{n^{2}+n}$.

Proof. Suppose that $a, a^{2}, \ldots, a^{p-1}$ represent the $p-1$ classes of size $p^{n}$. Then $G$ contains elements $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}$ such that $B=\left\langle b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\rangle$ and $G=C(a) B$ where $C(a)$ denotes the centraliser of $a$ in $G$. Consider $U=C(B)$. Since $\left|G: C\left(b_{i}\right)\right| \leqq p^{n}$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$ we have $|G: U| \leqq p^{n^{2}}$.

Take an arbitrary element $u \in U$, and consider $a u$. This element has breadth $n$, because $\left\{(a u)^{b}: b \in B\right\}$ contains precisely $p^{n}$ elements. So $a u$ is conjugate to one of $a, a^{2}, \ldots$, $a^{p-1}$, i.e. equals one of $(p-1) p^{n}$ elements. That is, $u$ has at most $(p-1) p^{n}$ values; $|U| \leqq(p-1) p^{n}<p^{n+1}$.
It follows that $|G|=|G: U||U| \leqq p^{n^{2}+n}$.
Theorem 1 gives an upper bound of roughly $n^{2}$ on the nilpotency class of the group $G$ which features in it, whereas the next result shows that the class must exceed 2. (A group $G$ is said to have class 2 iff the commutator subgroup $\delta(G)$ lies in the centre $\zeta(G)$.)

Theorem 2. If $p$ is a prime and $G$ is a non-trivial finite $p$-group of class 2 and breadth $n$ then $G$ has at least $p$ conjugacy classes of size $p^{n}$.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that $G$ is a finite $p$-group, for some prime $p$, with class 2 and breadth $n$, containing an element $a \neq 1$ such that every element of breadth $n$ is conjugate to one of $\left\{a^{i}: 1 \leqq i<p\right\}$. As in Theorem $1, G=C(a) B$ and $B=\left\langle b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\rangle$. Without loss of generality we suppose that $G=\left\langle a, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\rangle$.

Put $c_{i}=\left[a, b_{i}\right]$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$. Observe that if $z \in \zeta(G)$ then $a z$ has breadth $n$ and $z=[a, x]$ for some $x \in G$. But $\delta(G) \leqq \zeta(G)$. Therefore $\delta(G) \leqq\langle[a, x]: x \in G\rangle=\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\rangle$. Indeed

$$
\delta(G)=\left\langle c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right\rangle
$$

Next we remark that $a$ and $a^{1+p}$ are elements of the same breadth. It follows that $a^{1+p}$ is conjugate in $G$ to one of $\left\{a^{i}: 1 \leqq i<p\right\}$. This suffices to show that $a^{p} \in \delta(G)$. Therefore $a^{p} \in \zeta(G)$. We infer that $c_{i}^{p}=1$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$, and that $G / \zeta(G)$ has exponent $p$.

Let $g$ be a general element of $G$ :

$$
g=a^{\lambda} b_{1}^{\phi_{1}} \ldots b_{n}^{\phi_{n}} z
$$

where $0 \leqq \lambda<p, 0 \leqq \phi_{i}<p$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$, and $z \in \zeta(G)$. The hypothesis on $G$ is that $g$ has breadth $n$ if $\lambda \neq 0$ and $\Phi=0$, and breadth $<n$ otherwise; here

$$
\Phi^{t}=\left(\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{n}\right)
$$

To assist in calculation of the conjugates of $g$ we put

$$
\left[b_{i}, b_{j}\right]=c_{1}^{\alpha_{1 i j}} c_{2}^{\alpha_{2 i j}} \ldots c_{n}^{\alpha_{n i j}}
$$

where $0 \leqq \alpha_{k i j}<p$ for $1 \leqq k, i, j \leqq n$.
We have, for $1 \leqq i \leqq n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[g, b_{i}\right] } & =\left[a, b_{i}\right]^{\lambda}\left[b_{1}, b_{i}\right]^{\phi_{1}} \ldots\left[b_{n}, b_{i}\right]^{\phi_{n}} \\
& =c_{i}^{\lambda}\left(c_{1}^{\alpha_{11}} \ldots c_{n}^{\alpha_{n i 1}}\right)^{\phi_{1}} \ldots\left(c_{1}^{\alpha_{1 n i}} \ldots c_{n}^{\alpha_{n i}}\right)^{\phi_{n}} \\
& =c_{i}^{\lambda} c_{1}^{\beta_{1} i} c_{2}^{\beta_{2 i}} \ldots c_{n}^{\beta_{n i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we put

$$
\beta_{j i}=\alpha_{j 1 i} \phi_{1}+\alpha_{j 2 i} \phi_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{j n i} \phi_{n} .
$$

If $g$ has breadth $<n$ then the matrix $\lambda I+B$ has rank $<n$, where $B=\left[\beta_{j i}\right]$. Note that

$$
B=\left[A_{1} \Phi, A_{2} \Phi, \ldots, A_{n} \Phi\right]
$$

with $A_{k}=\left[\alpha_{k j i}\right]$ an $n \times n$ skew-symmetric matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ (with 0 's on the diagonal).
Consider $g$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. Let $\Phi \neq 0$. Then $\lambda I+B$ has rank $<n$. That is to say, if $\lambda \neq 0$ then $-\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $B$, for all $\Phi \neq 0$. (Observe that if $p-1>n$ then we have already reached the required contradiction.) In particular $B$ has eigenvalue 1 , for all $\Phi \neq 0$.

We now derive a contradiction in view of the following result.

Lemma. Let $p$ be a prime and $n$ a positive integer. If $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are $n \times n$ skewsymmetric matrices over $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ (with 0 's on the diagonal), if $\Phi$ is an $n \times 1$ vector and if $B=\left[A_{1} \Phi, A_{2} \Phi, \ldots, A_{n} \Phi\right]$ then there is a non-zero value of $\Phi$ for which 1 is not an eigenvalue of $B$.

Proof. Fix $\Phi \neq 0$. Let $q(\lambda)$ be the characteristic polynomial of $B$.

$$
q(\lambda)=\lambda^{n}+c_{1} \lambda^{n-1}+\cdots+c_{n-1} \lambda+c_{n}
$$

Because each $A_{k}$ is skew-symmetric $\Phi^{t} A_{k} \Phi=0$ and so $\Phi^{t} B=0$, i.e. $B$ is singular. Hence $c_{n}=0$.

By well-known properties of the characteristic equation, each $c_{i}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $\leqq i$ in $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$. It follows that $c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots+c_{n-1}$ is a polynomial of degree $\leqq n-1$ in $\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \ldots, \phi_{n}$, with constant term 0 . So by the Theorem of Chevalley and Warning the equation

$$
c_{1}+c_{2}+\cdots+c_{n-1}=0
$$

in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ has at least $p$ solutions $\Phi$, and in particular has a non-zero solution. With this $\Phi$ we have $q(1)=1$. That is to say, 1 is not an eigenvalue of $B$ with this non-zero value of Ф.

This completes the proof of the Lemma and of Theorem 2.

Corollary. If $p$ is a prime and $G$ is a finite p-group of breadth 2 then $G$ has at least $p$ conjugacy classes of size $p^{2}$.

Proof. Suppose otherwise. Then there is a prime $p$ and a finite $p$-group $G$ with an element $a$ of breadth 2, and every element of $G$ is either conjugate to $a, a^{2}, \ldots$ or $a^{p-1}$ or has breadth $<2$. If $x \in G$ has breadth 1 then $|G: C(x)|=p$. So $C(x)$ is normal in $G, G / C(x)$ is abelian, and $C(x)$ contains $\delta(G)$. But $G$ is generated by all its elements of breadth 1 . It follows that $\delta(G)$ is central in $G$, and application of Theorem 2 concludes the proof.

The next result, though its proof is far from elegant, justifies its inclusion in view of Theorem 4.

Theorem 3. If $p$ is a prime and $G$ is a finite p-group of breadth 3 then $G$ has at least $p$ conjugacy classes of size $p^{3}$.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that $G$ contains an element $a$ such that every element of breadth 3 is conjugate to one of $\left\{a^{i}: 1 \leqq i<p\right\}$. Clearly $G$ is generated by the (non-empty) set of those elements outside the normal closure $\langle a\rangle^{G}$ of $\langle a\rangle$, and such elements have breadth $\leqq 2$. By Satz 2 of Knoche [1] the class of $G$ is $\leqq 3$. In view of Theorem 2 we take the class to be precisely 3.

Let $\left\{b_{1}^{i} b_{2}^{j} b_{3}^{k}: 0 \leqq i, j, k<p\right\}$ be a right transversal of $C(a)$ in $G$. We lose no generality in supposing that $G=\left\langle a, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right\rangle$. Put $c_{i}=\left[a, b_{i}\right]$ for $1 \leqq i \leqq 3$. Since $\zeta(G) \leqq\{[a, x]: x \in G\}$ we have $|\zeta(G)| \leqq p^{3}$. We distinguish three cases.

Case 1: $|\zeta(G)|=p$.
Let $\zeta(G)=\left\langle c_{3}\right\rangle$ and note that $c_{3}^{p}=1$. We have $\gamma_{3}(G)=\left\langle c_{3}\right\rangle$, and in particular $\left[c_{i}, a\right] \in\left\langle c_{3}\right\rangle$. We choose $b_{1}, b_{2}$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{2}, a\right]=1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{2}, b_{i}\right]=c_{3}^{\omega_{i}}\left(0 \leqq \omega_{i}<p\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leqq i \leqq 2$.
Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists $j(1 \leqq j \leqq 2)$ with $\omega_{j} \neq 0$. Then $b_{j}$ cannot be conjugate to $a^{\lambda}(1 \leqq \lambda<p)$ for otherwise

$$
c_{3}^{\omega_{j}}=\left[c_{2}, b_{j}\right]=\left[c_{2}, a^{i}\right]=1 .
$$

Similarly $a b_{j}$ is not conjugate to $a^{\lambda}$; so both $b_{j}$ and $a b_{j}$ have breadth $\leqq 2$.
We shall need the well-known commutator identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& {[x, y z]=[x, z][x, y]^{z},}  \tag{3}\\
& {[x y, z]=[x, z]^{y}[y, z] .} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Consider $C\left(b_{j}\right)$. We assert that $\left\{c_{2}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}: 0 \leqq \alpha, \beta<p\right\}$ is a right transversal of $C\left(b_{j}\right)$ in $G$. This may be seen directly or with the help of the following calculation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[b_{j}, c_{2}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}\right]=\left[a^{\beta}, b_{j}\right]^{-1} c_{3}^{-\alpha \omega_{j}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $c_{j}^{p} \in\left\langle c_{3}\right\rangle$. Similarly we have $\left\{c_{2}^{\alpha} b_{j}^{\beta}: 0 \leqq \alpha, \beta<p\right\}$ for a right transversal of $C\left(a b_{j}\right)$ in $G$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a b_{j}, c_{2}^{\alpha} b_{j}^{\beta}\right]=\left[a, b_{j}^{\beta}\right]^{b_{j}} c_{3}^{-\alpha \omega_{j}} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now (5) and (6) show that both $\left[b_{j}, x\right]$ and $\left[a b_{j}, x\right]$ lie in $\left\langle c_{j}, c_{3}\right\rangle$ for all $x$ in $G$. By (4) $[a, x] \in\left\langle c_{j}, c_{3}\right\rangle$, and so $\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle c_{j}, c_{3}\right\rangle$ of order $p^{2}$. This is a contradiction.

Case 2: $|\zeta(G)|=p^{2}$ and $\langle a\rangle^{G}$ is non-abelian.
We put $\zeta(G)=\left\langle c_{2}, c_{3}\right\rangle$. Then $\left[c_{1}, a\right] \neq 1$, and we lose no generality in supposing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{1}, a\right]=c_{3} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $b_{2}$ were conjugate to $a^{\lambda}(1 \leqq \lambda<p)$ then $b_{2} \equiv a^{2} c_{1}^{\mu}$ modulo $\zeta(G)$ with $0 \leqq \mu<p$, and

$$
c_{2}=\left[a, b_{2}\right]=\left[a, c_{1}^{\mu}\right]=c_{3}^{-\mu},
$$

a contradiction. So $b_{2}$ is not conjugate to $a^{\lambda}$. Neither is $a b_{2}$, by a very similar proof.

We shall need the Jacobi-Witt identity, which in groups of class 3 takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y, z][y, z, x][z, x, y]=1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $[x, y, z]=[[x, y], z]$. If we put $x=a, y=b_{1}, z=b_{2}$ then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{1}, b_{2}\right]=\left[b_{1}, b_{2}, a\right]^{-1} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allows us to put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{1}, b_{2}\right]=c_{2}^{\theta} c_{3}^{\phi} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0 \leqq \theta, \phi<p$.
Consider $C\left(b_{2}\right)$. We have $\left\{c_{1}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}: 0 \leqq \alpha, \beta<p\right\}$ for a right transversal of $C\left(b_{2}\right)$ in $G$ if $\phi \neq 0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[b_{2}, c_{1}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}\right]=c_{2}^{-\alpha \theta-\beta} c_{3}^{-\alpha \phi} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have $\left[b_{2}, b_{1}\right] \in\left\langle c_{2}, c_{3}\right\rangle$ if $\phi \neq 0$, and then by (9) $\left[c_{1}, b_{2}\right]=1$, so $\phi=0$. Thus (10) becomes

$$
\left[c_{1}, b_{2}\right]=c_{2}^{\theta} .
$$

Consider $C\left(a b_{2}\right)$. We have $\left\{c_{1}^{\alpha} b_{2}^{\beta}: 0 \leqq \alpha, \beta<p\right\}$ for a right transversal of $C\left(a b_{2}\right)$ in $G$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a b_{2}, c_{1}^{\alpha} b_{2}^{\beta}\right]=c_{2}^{-\alpha \theta+\beta} c_{3}^{-\alpha} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $\left[a b_{2}, b_{1}\right] \in\left\langle c_{2}, c_{3}\right\rangle$. By (4)

$$
c_{1} \equiv\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right] \text { modulo } \zeta(G),
$$

and (9) gives

$$
\left[c_{1}, b_{2}\right]=\left[a, c_{1}\right]^{-1}=c_{3}^{-1},
$$

which contradicts ( $10^{\prime}$ ).

Case 3: $|\zeta(G)|=p^{2}$ or $p^{3}$ and $\langle a\rangle^{G}$ is abelian.
Since $C(a)$ does not contain $b_{i}$ or $a b_{i}$ for any $i$, these elements cannot be conjugate to $a^{\lambda}(1 \leqq \lambda<p)$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right] \notin\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right\rangle$. Consider $C\left(b_{2}\right)$. We have $\left\{a^{\alpha} b_{1}^{\beta}: 0 \leqq \alpha, \beta<p\right\}$ as a right transversal of $C\left(b_{2}\right)$ in $G$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[b_{2}, a^{\alpha} b_{1}^{\beta}\right]=c_{2}^{-\alpha}\left[b_{1}^{\beta}, b_{2}\right]^{-1} . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $C\left(a b_{2}\right)$. We have $\left\{a^{\alpha} b_{1}^{\beta}: 0 \leqq \alpha, \beta<p\right\}$ as a right transversal of $C\left(a b_{2}\right)$ in $G$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a b_{2}, a^{\alpha} b_{1}^{\beta}\right]=c_{1}^{\beta b_{2}} c_{2}^{-\alpha}\left[b_{1}^{\beta}, b_{2}\right]^{-1} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use (13) and (14) to calculate $[a, x]$ for arbitrary $x$ in $G$, obtaining

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[a, x]^{b_{2}} } & =\left[a b_{2}, x\right]\left[b_{2}, x\right]^{-1} \\
& =c_{1}^{\delta b_{2}} c_{2}^{-\gamma+\alpha}\left[b_{1}^{\beta}, b_{2}\right]\left[b_{1}^{\delta}, b_{2}\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for certain $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ such that $0 \leqq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta<p$. Clearly $\beta=\delta$. If we take $x=b_{3}$ then we obtain $c_{3}=c_{2}^{-\alpha+\gamma}$, a contradiction. This shows that $\left[b_{1}, b_{2}\right] \in\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right\rangle$.

If $\zeta(G)=\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}\right\rangle$ then we conclude that $G$ must have class 2 , a contradiction.
In the final case $\zeta(G)=\left\langle c_{2}, c_{3}\right\rangle$ of order $p^{2}$ and $\left[c_{1}, a\right]=1$. We still have (9). Therefore $\left[c_{1}, b_{2}\right]=1$. Similarly $\left[c_{1}, b_{3}\right]=1$. But since $c_{1} \notin \zeta(G)$ we must have $\left[c_{1}, b_{1}\right] \neq 1$, and we might as well suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[c_{1}, b_{1}\right]=c_{3} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $C\left(b_{1}\right)$. We have $\left\{c_{1}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}: 0 \leqq \alpha, \beta<p\right\}$ for a right transversal of $C\left(b_{1}\right)$ in $G$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[b_{1}, c_{1}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}\right]=c_{1}^{-\beta} c_{3}^{-\alpha} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider $C\left(a b_{1}\right)$. We have $\left\{c_{1}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}: 0 \leqq \alpha, \beta<p\right\}$ for a right transversal of $C\left(a b_{1}\right)$ in $G$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a b_{1}, c_{1}^{\alpha} a^{\beta}\right]=c_{1}^{-\beta} c_{3}^{-\alpha} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As usual we can now calculate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a, x]^{b_{2}}=c_{1}^{\beta-\delta} c_{3}^{\alpha-\gamma} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for certain $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ such that $0 \leqq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta<p$. Taking $x=b_{2}$ gives a final contradiction.
This completes the proof of Theorem 3.
In contrast to Theorems 2 and 3 we have:
Theorem 4. There is a group of order $2^{7}$ and breadth 4 which has one and only one conjugacy class of $2^{4}$ elements.

Proof. Consider the group $G=\left\langle a, b_{1}, b_{2}\right\rangle$ with power relations

$$
a^{2}=b_{1}^{2}=b_{2}^{2}=1, c_{1}^{4}=c_{2}^{4}=1,
$$

where the $c_{i}$ are defined as part of the commutator relations:

|  | $b_{1}$ | $b_{2}$ | $c_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $c_{1}$ | $c_{2}$ | $c_{1}^{2}$ | $c_{2}^{2}$ |
| $b_{1}$ | $\cdot$ | 1 | $c_{1}^{2}$ | $c_{1}^{2}$ |
| $b_{2}$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $c_{1}^{2}$ | $c_{2}^{2}$ |

In this table the entry in row $x$ column $y$ is $[x, y]=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y$.

The first part of the proof is to show that $G$ has order $2^{7}$. This may be done by one of the machine processes. Alternatively $G$ can be constructed as an extension of $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ (corresponding to $\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle$ ) by $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (corresponding to $G /\left\langle c_{1}, c_{2}\right\rangle$ ). The details are left for the reader to supply.

The second thing is to establish the breadth properties of G. It is clear that the breadth of $a$ and of $G$ is 4. It remains to prove that every class not containing $a$ has size $\leqq 2^{3}$. This follows if every $x \delta(G)$ except $a \delta(G)$ splits into at least 2 classes, which is true if $|C(x)| \geqq 2^{4}$ for every $x$ of the form $a^{\lambda} b_{1}^{\phi_{1}} b_{2}^{\phi_{2}}$ with $\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)$. Note that $C(x) \geqq\left\langle x, c_{1}^{2}, c_{2}^{2}\right\rangle$, and that

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1} \in C\left(a b_{1}\right) \cap C\left(a b_{2}\right), \\
c_{1} c_{2} \in C\left(b_{1}\right) \cap C\left(a b_{1} b_{2}\right), \\
b_{1} \in C\left(b_{2}\right) \cap C\left(b_{1} b_{2}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This essentially completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## Open questions.

1. Is there a finite $p$-group, for every odd prime $p$, which has precisely $p-1$ maximal classes?
2. Is there a finite $p$-group, for every prime $p$, which has precisely $p-1$ classes of size $p^{\alpha_{1}}$ and $p^{\alpha_{2}}$ respectively, where $\alpha_{1}$ is the largest element breadth and $\alpha_{2}$ the second largest?
3. Is it true that if $G$ is a finite $p$-group with precisely $p-1$ maximal classes, and if $a$ lies in one such class, then $\delta(G)=\langle[a, x]: x \in G\rangle$ ?
4. Is it true that every finite $p$-group is isomorphic to a factor group of a finite $p$-group with precisely $p-1$ maximal classes?
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