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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the Diophantine equations $$
F_{n}^{q} \pm F_{m}^{q}=y^{p}
$$ with positive integers $q, p \geq 2$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1$, where $F_{k}$ is a Fibonacci number. We obtain results for $q=2$ or $q$ an odd prime with $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4), 3<q<1087$, and complete solutions for $q=3$.
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## 1. Introduction

The Fibonacci numbers are the sequence of numbers $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ defined by the linear recurrence equation

$$
F_{n+1}=F_{n}+F_{n-1}, \quad F_{0}=0, \quad F_{1}=1 .
$$

The Lucas numbers are the sequence of numbers $\left(L_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ defined by the linear recurrence equation

$$
L_{n+1}=L_{n}+L_{n-1}, \quad L_{0}=2, \quad L_{1}=1 .
$$

Finding all perfect powers in the Fibonacci sequence was a fascinating longstanding conjecture. In 2006, this problem was completely solved by Bugeaud et al. [6], who innovatively combined the modular approach with linear forms in logarithms. Also, Bugeaud et al. [3] found all the integer solutions to $F_{n} \pm 1=y^{p}, p \geq 2$. Luca and Patel [11] consider the generalisation $F_{n} \pm F_{m}=y^{p}, p \geq 2$.

In this paper, we consider the Diophantine equation

$$
F_{n}^{q} \pm F_{m}^{q}=y^{p} \quad \text { with } \operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1 \text { and } q, p \geq 2
$$

We obtain the following results.
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Theorem 1.1. All solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{2}+F_{m}^{2}=y^{p} \quad \text { with } \operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1, p \geq 2, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in integers $(n, m, y, p)$ with $n \not \equiv m(\bmod 2), n>m \geq 0$ and $y>0$ are of the form $(1,0,1, k)$, with integer $k \geq 2$. The integer solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}^{2}-F_{m}^{2}=y^{p} \quad \text { with } \operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1, p \geq 2 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

in integers $(n, m, y, p)$ with $n \equiv m(\bmod 2)$ and $n>m \geq 0$ and $y>0$ are

$$
(n, m, y, p)=(2,0,1, k),(4,2,2,3),(7,5,12,2)
$$

with integer $k \geq 2$.
Theorem 1.2. Let $q$ be an odd prime. All solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$
F_{n}^{q} \pm F_{m}^{q}=y^{p} \quad \text { with } \operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1, p \geq 2
$$

in integers $(n, m, y, q, p)$ with $n \equiv m(\bmod 2), n>m \geq 0$ and $y>0$ are $(2,0,1, k, l)$, for $q<1087$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

## Theorem 1.3. The Diophantine equation

$$
F_{n}^{3} \pm F_{m}^{3}=y^{p} \quad \text { with } \operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1, p \geq 3
$$

has only the integer solutions ( $n, m, y, p)=(1,0,1, k),(2,0,1, k)$, with $n>m \geq 0$ and $y>0$.

We organise this paper as follows. In Section 2, we recall and prove some results that will be useful for the proofs of Theorems 1.1-1.3. These proofs follow in Section 3. Divisibility properties of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers play a key role in the proofs.

## 2. Preliminaries

The Binet formulas for $F_{n}$ and $L_{n}$ are

$$
F_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\alpha^{n}-\beta^{n}\right), \quad L_{n}=\alpha^{n}+\beta^{n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

where

$$
\alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}, \quad \beta=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} .
$$

From the Binet formulas, we can obtain the useful formulas

$$
F_{2 n}=F_{n} L_{n}, \quad L_{3 n}=L_{n}\left(L_{n}^{2}+3(-1)^{n+1}\right),
$$

and Catalan's identity

$$
F_{n}^{2}-F_{n+r} F_{n-r}=(-1)^{n-r} F_{r}^{2}
$$

The following result can be obtained from [5, 6].

Lemma 2.1. If $F_{n}=2^{s} y^{b}$, for some integers $n \geq 1, y \geq 1, b \geq 2$ and $s \geq 0$, then we have $n \in\{1,2,3,6,12\}$. The solutions of the similar equation with $F_{n}$ replaced by $L_{n}$ have $n \in\{1,3,6\}$.

The next result is well known and can also be proved using Binet's formulas (see also [11, Lemma 2.1]).
Lemma 2.2. Assume $n \equiv m(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
F_{n}+F_{m}= \begin{cases}F_{(n+m) / 2} L_{(n-m) / 2} & \text { if } n \equiv m(\bmod 4), \\ F_{(n-m) / 2} L_{(n+m) / 2} & \text { if } n \equiv m+2(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Similarly,

$$
F_{n}-F_{m}= \begin{cases}F_{(n-m) / 2} L_{(n+m) / 2} & \text { if } n \equiv m(\bmod 4), \\ F_{(n+m) / 2} L_{(n-m) / 2} & \text { if } n \equiv m+2(\bmod 4) .\end{cases}
$$

The following result can be found in [12].
Lemma 2.3. Let $n=2^{a} n_{1}$ and $m=2^{b} m_{1}$ be positive integers with $n_{1}$ and $m_{1}$ odd integers and $a$ and $b$ nonnegative integers. If $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=d$, then
(i) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=F_{d}$;
(ii) $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, L_{m}\right)=L_{d}$ if $a>b$ and 1 or 2 otherwise.

The following lemma is often set as an exercise in elementary number theory.
Lemma 2.4. Let $p$ be an odd prime, $a, b, c, k$ integers with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$ and $k \geq 2$. If

$$
a^{p}+b^{p}=c^{k}
$$

then $a+b=d^{k}$ or $p^{k-1} d^{k}$, for some integer $d$.
The following lemma can be obtained from [11].
Lemma 2.5. All solutions of the Diophantine equation

$$
F_{n} \pm F_{m}=y^{p}, \quad p \geq 2
$$

in integers $(n, m, y, p)$ with $n \equiv m(\bmod 2), \operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=1$ or 2 and $n>m$ are given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{2}+F_{0}=1, \quad F_{4}+F_{2}=2^{2}, \quad F_{6}+F_{2}=3^{2}, \\
F_{2}-F_{0}=1, \quad F_{3}-F_{1}=1, \quad F_{5}-F_{1}=2^{2}, \quad F_{7}-F_{5}=2^{3}, \quad F_{13}-F_{11}=12^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

When $p=3$, the following lemma is a classical result. When $p \geq 17$ is a prime, it can be obtained from [10]. When $p=4,5,7,11,13$, it can be obtained from the result of Bruin [2] and Dahmen [7].
Lemma 2.6. Let p be a prime. Suppose ( $a, b, c$ ) is an integer solution of the Diophantine equation

$$
x^{3}+y^{3}=z^{p}, \quad p \geq 3
$$

with $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1, a b c \neq 0$ and $2 \mid a c$. Then $3 \mid c$ and $2 \mid a$ but $4 \nmid a$.

The next lemma is proved by Darmon [8] and Darmon and Merel [9].
Lemma 2.7. Let $n \geq 4$ be an integer, and $p=2$ or 3 . Then there are no integer solutions of the equations

$$
x^{n}+y^{n}=z^{p}
$$

with $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$ and $x y \neq 0$.
One can obtain the next lemma from [4] and [5].
Lemma 2.8. Let $q$ be an odd prime, with $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then the only nonnegative integer solutions ( $n, y, p$ ) of the equations

$$
F_{n}=q^{a} y^{p}, \quad p \geq 2, a>0
$$

and

$$
L_{n}=q^{a} y^{p}, \quad p \geq 2, a>0
$$

with $q<1087$, are

$$
F_{0}=0, \quad F_{4}=3, \quad F_{12}=3^{2} \times 4^{2}=3^{2} \times 2^{4}
$$

and

$$
L_{2}=3, \quad L_{4}=7, \quad L_{5}=11, \quad L_{8}=47, \quad L_{9}=19 \times 2^{2}, \quad L_{11}=199
$$

The next lemma can easily be obtained from the definition of the Fibonacci and Lucas sequences.

Lemma 2.9. The Fibonacci and Lucas sequences have the following divisibility properties:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mid F_{n} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) ; \\
& 4 \mid F_{n} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0(\bmod 6) ; \\
& 3 \mid F_{n} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0(\bmod 4) ; \\
& 9 \mid F_{n} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0(\bmod 12) ; \\
& 2 \mid L_{n} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 0(\bmod 3) ; \\
& 4 \mid L_{n} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 3(\bmod 6) ; \\
& 3 \mid L_{n} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 2(\bmod 4) ; \\
& 9 \mid L_{n} \Leftrightarrow n \equiv 6(\bmod 12) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The residue of $F_{n}$ modulo 9 depends on the residue of $n$ modulo 12, as in the following table.

$$
\begin{array}{cllllllllllcc}
n(\bmod 12): & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
F_{n}(\bmod 9): & 0 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 4 & 3 & 7 & 1 & 8
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 2.10. Let $q>3$ be an odd prime and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then there are no positive integer solutions ( $n, y, p$ ) of the equations

$$
F_{n}=3^{a} q^{b} y^{p}, \quad p \geq 4, a \geq 2, b>0
$$

and

$$
L_{n}=3^{a} q^{b} y^{p}, \quad p \geq 4, a \geq 2, b>0
$$

with $q<1087$.
Proof. First, we consider the equation $L_{n}=3^{a} q^{b} y^{p}$. Since $a \geq 2$, Lemma 2.9 implies $n \equiv 6(\bmod 12)$. Let $n=6 k$. Then,

$$
3^{a} q^{b} y^{p}=L_{6 k}=L_{2 k}\left(L_{2 k}^{2}+3(-1)^{2 k+1}\right)=L_{2 k}\left(L_{2 k}^{2}-3\right) .
$$

As $3 \mid L_{n}$, we can see that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(L_{2 k}, L_{2 k}^{2}-3\right)=3$. We therefore consider two cases.
Case (i). $L_{2 k}=3^{a-1} z^{p}$. Here $2 k=2$ by Lemma 2.8, so $3^{a} q^{b} y^{p}=L_{6 k}=L_{6}=3^{2} \times 2$. Therefore, there are no integer solutions.

Case (ii). $L_{2 k}^{2}-3=3 z^{p}$. This gives the Diophantine equation $3 x^{2}-1=z^{p}$ with $L_{2 k}=3 x$, which has no integer solutions by [1, Theorem 1.1].

Next, we consider the equation $F_{n}=3^{a} q^{b} y^{p}$. By Lemma 2.9, we have $12 \mid n$ for $a \geq 2$. Put $n=12 k$. Then $3^{a} q^{b} y^{p}=F_{12 k}=F_{6 k} L_{6 k}$. By Lemma 2.9, $2\left|F_{6 k}, 2\right| L_{6 k}$ and by Lemma 2.3, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{6 k}, L_{6 k}\right)=2$. Now there are three possibilities.

Case (1). $F_{6 k}=2^{s} w^{p}$ or $L_{6 k}=2^{s} w^{p}$ with $s=1$ or $p-1$. By Lemma 2.1, $6 k=6$ or 12 for the first and $6 k=6$ for the second. There are no integer solutions in any of these cases.

Case (2). $F_{6 k}=2^{s} \times 3^{a} w^{p}$ with $s=1$ or $p-1$. Here, $2^{s} \times 3^{a} w^{p}=F_{6 k}=F_{3 k} L_{3 k}$. Using Lemmas 2.3 and 2.9, we see that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{3 k}, L_{3 k}\right)=2$. Thus, $F_{3 k}=2^{t} u^{p}$ or $L_{3 k}=2^{t} u^{p}$, for some integer $u$. We deduce that $3 k=3,6,12$ or 3,6 respectively, yielding no integer solutions.

Case (3). $L_{6 k}=2^{s} \times 3^{a} w^{p}$, with $s=1$ or $p-1$. By Lemma 2.8, we get $s=1$. Hence, from $2 \times 3^{a} w^{p}=L_{6 k}=L_{2 k}\left(L_{2 k}^{2}-3\right)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(L_{2 k}, L_{2 k}^{2}-3\right)=3$, we obtain either $L_{2 k}=3^{a-1} z^{p}$, or $L_{2 k}^{2}-3=3 z^{p}$. Neither possibility yields any integer solutions by an argument similar to that at the beginning of the proof.

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.10.

## 3. Proofs of the main theorems

Let $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=d$, so that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=F_{d}$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1$ means $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=1$ or 2 . We assume $y>0$ for the remainder of the proofs.
3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Under the congruence conditions on $n$ and $m$,

$$
y^{p}=F_{n}^{2} \pm F_{m}^{2}=F_{n+m} F_{n-m}
$$

by Catalan's identity. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1$, we get $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=1$ or 2 and then $\operatorname{gcd}(n+m, n-m)=1,2$ or 4. Hence, by Lemma 2.3(i), $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n+m}, F_{n-m}\right)=1$ or 3 since $F_{1}=F_{2}=1, F_{4}=3$. Therefore, we have one of the two following cases:
(i) $F_{n+m}=z^{p}, F_{n-m}=w^{p}, y=z w$;
(ii) $\quad F_{n+m}=3^{s} z^{p}, F_{n-m}=3^{p-s} w^{p}, y=3 z w, s=1$ or $p-1$.

By Lemma 2.1, $n+m=1,2,6$, or 12 in Case (i) and $(n, m, y, p)=(1,0,1, k)$ for Equation (1.1) and $(n, m, y, p)=(2,0,1, k),(4,2,2,3),(7,5,12,2)$ for Equation (1.2). By Lemma 2.8, $n+m=4$ or 12 in Case (ii), which yields no integer solutions. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 is proved.
3.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1$, Lemma 2.4 implies the two cases:
(1) $F_{n} \pm F_{m}=z^{p}$;
(2) $F_{n} \pm F_{m}=q^{p-1} z^{p}$.

Case (1). $F_{n} \pm F_{m}=z^{p}$. Recall the condition $n \equiv m(\bmod 2)$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{2}+F_{0}=1, \quad F_{4}+F_{2}=2^{2}, \quad F_{6}+F_{2}=3^{2}, \\
F_{2}-F_{0}=1, \quad F_{3}-F_{1}=1, \quad F_{5}-F_{1}=2^{2}, \quad F_{7}-F_{5}=2^{3}, \quad F_{13}-F_{11}=12^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

This gives the potential solutions

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F_{2}^{q}+F_{0}^{q}=y^{p}, \quad F_{4}^{q}+F_{2}^{q}=y^{p}, \quad F_{6}^{q}+F_{2}^{q}=y^{p}, \\
F_{2}^{q}-F_{0}^{q}=y^{p}, \quad F_{3}^{q}-F_{1}^{q}=y^{p}, \quad F_{5}^{q}-F_{1}^{q}=y^{p}, \quad F_{7}^{q}-F_{5}^{q}=y^{p}, \quad F_{13}^{q}-F_{11}^{q}=y^{p},
\end{array}
$$

that is

$$
\begin{gathered}
1^{q}+0^{q}=y^{p}, \quad 3^{q}+1^{q}=y^{p}, \quad 8^{q}+1^{q}=y^{p}, \\
1^{q}-0^{q}=y^{p}, \quad 2^{q}-1^{q}=y^{p}, \quad 5^{q}-1^{q}=y^{p}, \quad 13^{q}-5^{q}=y^{p}, \quad 233^{q}-89^{q}=y^{p} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From $1^{q} \pm 0^{q}=1^{p}$, we get the integer solutions $(n, m, y, q, p)=(2,0,1, k, l)$ for the two equations. By the well-known result on the Catalan equation (that the Catalan equation $x^{p}-y^{q}=1$ only has the solution $3^{2}-2^{3}=1$ ), the only equations we need to treat are the last two, that is $13^{q}-5^{q}=y^{p}$ and $233^{q}-89^{q}=y^{p}$.

For the equation $13^{q}-5^{q}=y^{p}$, because $13-5=F_{7}-F_{5}=2^{3}$, we obtain $p=3$. Then, $13^{q}-5^{q}=y^{3}$, that is $13^{q}+(-5)^{q}=y^{3}$ since $q$ is an odd prime. However, the equation $x^{3}+y^{3}=z^{3}$ has no integer solutions with $x y z \neq 0$, so $q \neq 3$ and then $q \geq 5$. This is impossible by Lemma 2.7.

For the equation $233^{q}-89^{q}=y^{p}$, because $233-89=F_{13}-F_{11}=12^{2}$, we obtain $p=2$. Thus, we consider the equation $233^{q}-89^{q}=y^{2}$, that is $233^{q}+(-89)^{q}=y^{2}$ as
$q$ is an odd prime. Since $3 \mid 12^{2}$, we get $q \neq 3$ and deduce $q \geq 5$. This is impossible by Lemma 2.7.
Case 2. $F_{n} \pm F_{m}=q^{p-1} z^{p}$. From Lemma 2.7 we can assume $p \geq 5$. By Lemma 2.2, $F_{n} \pm F_{m}=F_{N} L_{M}$, with $N=(n \pm m) / 2$ and $M=(n \mp m) / 2$. As $\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)=1$ or 2 , we $\operatorname{get} \operatorname{gcd}(N, M)=1$ or 2 . Since $L_{2}=3$, by Lemma $2.3, \operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{N}, L_{M}\right)=1,2$, or 3 .

First, consider $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{N}, L_{M}\right)=3$. We have $F_{N}=3^{t} w^{p}$ or $L_{M}=3^{t} w^{p}$ with $t=1$ or $t \geq p-2 \geq 3$. Hence, by Lemma 2.8, $N=4, t=1$ or $M=2, t=1$. If $N=4=(n+m) / 2$ or $M=2=(n+m) / 2$, it is easy to see that there are no solutions since $F_{n} \pm F_{m}=$ $q^{p-1} z^{p} \geq 3 \geq 3^{4}=81$. Thus, $N=4=(n-m) / 2$ or $M=2=(n-m) / 2$, that is $n=m+8$ or $n=m+4$, and so $(n+m) / 2=m+4$ or $m+2$. Therefore, we must consider the following two cases.
(i) $F_{m+2}=3^{p-2} z^{p}$ or $L_{m+4}=3^{p-2} z^{p}$, for $q=3$. Since $p-2 \geq 3$, there are no integer solutions by Lemma 2.8.
(ii) $F_{m+2}=3^{p-1} q^{p-1} z^{p}$ or $L_{m+4}=3^{p-1} q^{p-1} z^{p}$, for $q>3$. There are no integer solutions by Lemma 2.10.

Now, we consider $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{N}, L_{M}\right)=1$ or 2 . Then, $F_{N}=2^{s} w^{p}$ or $L_{M}=2^{s} w^{p}$ with $s=0,1$, or $p-1 \geq 4$. Using Lemma $2.1, N=1,2,3,6,12$, or $M=1,3,6$. Since $F_{6}=2^{3}, F_{12}=2^{2} \times 6^{2}=2^{4} \times 3^{2}$ and $L_{3}=2^{2}, L_{6}=2 \times 3^{2}$, we only need to consider $N=1,2,3$, or $M=1$. Similarly, $N=(n-m) / 2=1,2,3$, or $M=(n-m) / 2=1$. For $N=(n-m) / 2=1,2$, or $M=(n-m) / 2=1$, we have $F_{1}=F_{2}=L_{1}=1$, so $L_{m+1}=q^{p-1} z^{p}$, or $L_{m+2}=q^{p-1} z^{p}$, or $F_{m+1}=q^{p-1} z^{p}$, none of which yield any integer solutions by Lemma 2.8 as $p \geq 5$.

Finally, we only need to deal with the case $N=(n-m) / 2=3$, that is, $n=m+6$. From $F_{2}=2$, we have $s=1$ and then $L_{m+3}=2^{p-1} q^{p-1} z^{p}$. By Lemma 2.9, we have $m+3 \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$ since $4 \mid L_{m+3}$, so $2 \mid m$. Let $m+3=3 k, 2 \nmid k$. Then $L_{m+3}=L_{k}\left(L_{k}^{2}+3\right)$. If $3 \mid L_{m+3}$, then $m+3 \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and thus $2 \nmid m$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $3 \nmid L_{m+3}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(L_{k}, L_{k}^{2}+3\right)=1$. We deduce that $L_{k}=2^{p-1} u^{p}, q^{p-1} v^{p}$ or $L_{k}^{2}+3=w^{p}$. The first two equations have no integer solutions by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.8. The last equation also has no integer solution from Nagell [13] since $p \geq 5$. This proves Theorem 1.2.
3.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3. By Theorem 1.2, we only need to treat the case $n \not \equiv$ $m(\bmod 2)$ with $n>m$. If $m=0$, then $n=1 \operatorname{since} \operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1$. Therefore, we assume $m \geq 1$, which gives $y F_{n} F_{m} \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(F_{n}, F_{m}\right)=1$. By Lemma 2.6, we have $3 \mid y$ and, by Lemma 2.4, $F_{n} \pm F_{m}=3^{p-1} z^{p}$. We deduce that $9 \mid F_{n} \pm F_{m}= \pm\left(F_{n^{\prime}} \pm F_{m^{\prime}}\right)$ with $2 \mid n^{\prime}$ and $2 \nmid m^{\prime}$. On the other hand, $2 \mid F_{k}$ but $4 \nmid F_{k}$ if and only if $k \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$ by Lemma 2.9. Moreover, by Lemma 2.6, $m^{\prime} \equiv 3(\bmod 6)$. Put $n^{\prime}=2 s$ and $m^{\prime}=6 t+3$. Then, by Lemma 2.9 and $3 \nmid F_{n}, 3 \nmid F_{m}$, we see that $F_{n^{\prime}}=F_{2 s} \equiv 1,8(\bmod 9)$ and $F_{m^{\prime}}=F_{6 t+3} \equiv 2,7(\bmod 9)$. Thus, $F_{n^{\prime}} \pm F_{m^{\prime}} \equiv 0(\bmod 9)$. This is impossible. Therefore, Theorem 1.3 is proved.
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