

An International journal of current research and theory with open peer commentary

Volume 36 | Issue 4 | August 2013 | ISSN: 0140-525X



Behavioral and Brain Sciences

Editors

Paul Bloom Barbara L. Finlay

URL: http://www.editorialmanager.com/bbs

E-mail: bbsjournal@cambridge.org

Behavioral and Brain Sciences Journals Department Cambridge University Press 32 Avenue of The Americas

New York, NY 10013-2473, U.S.A.

Managing Editor

Gennifer Levey

Chief Copy Editor

Sumitra Mukerji

Proofreaders

Sylvia Elvin Rashidah Ismaili AbuBakr

Editorial Board

Affective Neuroscience Stephanie D. Preston/U. of Michigan

Atypical Neurodevelopment

Simon Baron-Cohen/Cambridge U.

Behavioral Neurogenetics
Wim E. Crusio/CNRS UMR

Cognition and Artificial Intelligence

Zenon Pylyshyn/Rutgers U.

Cognitive and Decision Sciences

Nick Chater/University College London

Cognitive Development

Annette Karmiloff-Smith/Birbeck College

Cognitive Neuroscience

Moshe Bar/Harvard Medical School

Computational Neuroscience

Nestor A. Schmajuk/Duke U.

Evolution of Brain and Cognition

Dean Falk/Florida State U.

Evolution of Cognition

Celia Heyes/Oxford U.

Experimental Analysis of Behavior

A. Charles Catania/U. Maryland, Baltimore County

Language and Language Disorders

Max Coltheart/Macquarie U.

Linguistics

Robert A. Freidin/Princeton U.

Perception

Bruce Bridgeman/U. of California, Santa Cruz

Philosophy of Science

Massimo Piattelli-Palmarini/U. of Arizona

Primate Cognition

Laurie R. Santos/Yale U.

Social Cognition

Mahzarin R. Banaji/Harvard U.

Social Cognitive Neuroscience

Rebecca Saxe/MIT

Vision, Language and Computation

Shimon Edelman/Cornell U.

FOUNDING EDITOR (1978–2001)

Stevan Harnad

Editorial Policy Behavioral and Brain Sciences (BBS) is an international journal providing a special service called Open Peer Commentary* to researchers in any area of psychology, neuroscience, behavioral biology, or cognitive science who wish to solicit, from fellow specialists within and across these BBS disciplines, multiple responses to a particularly significant and controversial piece of work. (See Instructions for Authors and Commentators, inside back cover and also at http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst) The purpose of this service is to contribute to the communication, criticism, stimulation, and particularly the unification of research in the behavioral and brain sciences, from molecular neurobiology to artificial intelligence and the philosophy of mind.

Papers judged by the editors and referees to be appropriate for Commentary are circulated to a large number of commentators selected by the editors, referees, and author to provide substantive criticism, interpretation, elaboration, and pertinent complementary and supplementary material from a full cross-disciplinary perspective. The article, accepted commentaries, and the author's response then appear simultaneously in BBS.

Commentary on BBS articles may be provided by any qualified professional in the behavioral and brain sciences, but much of it is drawn from a large body of BBS Associates who have become formally affiliated with the project (see http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/BBSAssoc).

Qualified professionals are eligible to become BBS Associates if they have (1) been nominated by a current BBS Associate, (2) refereed for BBS, or (3) had a commentary or article accepted for publication. A special subscription rate is available to Associates. Individuals interested in serving as BBS Associates are asked to view the full instructions for joining at http://journals.cambridge.org/BBSJournal/Inst/Assoc and then email bbsjournal@cambridge.org.

© Cambridge University Press 2013. All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, in any form or by any means, electronic, photocopying, or otherwise, without permission in writing from Cambridge University Press. Policies, request forms, and contacts are available at: http://www.cambridge.org/rights/permissions/permission.htm.

Permission to copy (for users in the U.S.A.) is available from Copyright Clearance Center, http://www.copyright.com, email:info@copyright.com.

Subscriptions Behavioral and Brain Sciences (ISSN 0140-525X) is published bimonthly in February, April, June, August, October, and December. The subscription price of Volume 36 (2013) for institutions is US \$1288.00 for print and online and US \$1055.00 for online only in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £759.00 for print and online and UK £624.00 for online only elsewhere. The subscription price for individuals is US \$374.00 for print and online and UK \$219.00 for print and online and UK \$199 for online only elsewhere. For BBS Associates, with proof of eligibility with order, US \$139.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £719.00 for print and online and UK £199 for online only elsewhere. For BBS Associates, with proof of eligibility with order, \$116.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £87.00 elsewhere. For students, with proof of eligibility with order, \$116.00 in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico; and UK £124.00) plus postage. Institutional orders may be sent to a bookseller, or, in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico direct to: Cambridge University Press, 32 Avenue of The Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473 email: journals_subscriptions@cambridge.org; in the U.K. and rest of the world to: Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8RU, England, e-mail:journals_subscriptions@cambridge.cam.ac.uk. Individuals must order direct from the Press. You may also subscribe through the Cambridge Journals website, http://journals.cambridge.org/bbs.

Postmaster: Send address changes in the U.S.A., Canada, and Mexico to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, Journals Dept., 100 Brook Hill Drive, West Nyack, NY 10994-2133, U.S.A. Send address change elsewhere to *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, Cambridge University Press, The Edinburgh Building, Shaftesbury Road, Cambridge CB2 8RU, England.

Online availability Behavioral and Brain Sciences is part of the Cambridge Journals Online (CJO) service at http://journals.cambridge.org.

Institutional subscribers: Access to full-text articles online is currently included with the cost of the print subscription. Subscription must be activated; see http://cambridge.journals.org.

Advertising Inquiries about advertising should be sent to the Journals Advertising Department of the Cambridge or New York Office of Cambridge University Press.

*Modelled on the "CA Comment" service of the journal Current Anthropology.

Contents Volume 36:4 August 2013

| Pickering, M. J. & Garrod, S. An integrated theory of language production and comprehension | 329 |
|---|------------|
| Open Peer Commentary: Aitken, K. J. It ain't what you do (it's the way that you do it) Alario, FX. & Hamamé, C. M. Evidence for, and predictions from, forward modeling in Krishnan, S. A developmental perspective on the integration of language production and comprehension Laurent, R., Moulin-Frier, C., Bessière, P., Schwartz, JL. & Diard, J. Integrate, yes, but | 363 |
| language production Bowers, J. How do forward models work? And why would you want them? 348 what and how? A computational approach of sensorimotor fusion in speech Mani, N. & Huettig, F. Towards a complete | 364 |
| Chang, F., Kidd, E. & Rowland, C. F. Prediction in processing is a by-product of language learning multiple-mechanism account of predictive language processing McCauley, S. M. & Christiansen, M. H. | 365 |
| de Ruiter, J. P. & Cummins, C. Forward modelling requires intention recognition and non-impoverished predictions Toward a unified account of comprehension and production in language development Meyer, A. S. & Hagoort, P. What does it mean | 366 |
| Dell, G. S. Cascading and feedback in interactive models of production: A reflection of forward modeling? to predict one's own utterances? Mylopoulos, M. I. & Pereplyotchik, D. Is there any evidence for forward modeling in language | 367 |
| Dick, A. S. & Andric, M. The neurobiology of receptive-expressive language interdependence Dove, G. Intermediate representations exclude production? Oppenheim, G. M. Inner speech as a forward model? | 368 369 |
| embodiment 353 Pazzaglia, M. Does what you hear predict what you will do and say? Pezzulo, G. & Dindo, H. Intentional strategies | 370 |
| Festman, J. The complexity-cost factor in bilingualism that make co-actors more predictable: The case of signaling Rabagliati, H. & Bemis, D. K. Prediction is no | 371 |
| "sad response": Public language use transcends the boundaries of the skin Hartsuiker, R. J. Are forward models enough to panacea: The key to language is in the unexpected Slevc, L. R. & Novick, J. M. Memory and cognitive control in an integrated theory of | 372 |
| explain self-monitoring? Insights from patients and eye movements Hickok, G. Predictive coding? Yes, but from what language processing Strijkers, K., Runnqvist, E., Costa, A. & Holcomb, P. The poor helping the rich: How can | 373 |
| Source? 358 incomplete representations monitor complete ones? Trude, A. M. When to simulate and when to | 374 |
| parsing and production Jaeger, T. F. & Ferreira, V. Seeking predictions from a predictive framework 359 359 359 359 359 Yoon, S. O. & Brown-Schmidt, S. What is the | 375 |
| Johnson, M. A., Turk-Browne, N. B. & context of prediction? Goldberg, A. E. Prediction plays a key role in language development as well as processing 360 | 376 |
| Kashima, Y., Bekkering, H. & Kashima, E. S. Communicative intentions can modulate the linguistic perception-action link Kreysa, H. Preparing to be punched: Prediction may not always require inference of intentions Authors' Response: Pickering, M. J. & Garrod, S. Forward models and their implications for production, comprehension, and dialogue | 377 |

| Schlicht, T. & Vogeley, K. Toward | a seco | ond-person neuroscience | 393 |
|---|----------|---|------|
| Open Peer Commentary: | | Ltongo, M. R. & Tsakiris, M. Merging second- | |
| Chakrabarti, B. Parameterising ecological | | person and first-person neuroscience | 429 |
| validity and integrating individual differences | 414 | Moore, C. & Paulus, M. A second-person | |
| within second-person neuroscience Cummins, F. Social cognition is not a special | 414 | approach cannot explain intentionality in social understanding | 430 |
| case, and the dark matter is more extensive than | | Moore, K. Second-person neuroscience: | 400 |
| recognized | 415 | Implications for Wittgensteinian and Vygotskyan | |
| Cleret de Langavant, L., Jacquemot, C., | 110 | approaches to psychology | 431 |
| Bachoud-Lévi, AC. & Dupoux, E. The | | Moore, L. & Iacoboni, M. The use of non- | |
| second person in "I"-"you"-"it" triadic interactions | 416 | interactive scenarios in social neuroscience | 432 |
| Dezecache, G., Conty, L. & Grèzes, J. Social | | Nephew , B. C. What we can learn from second | |
| affordances: Is the mirror neuron system | | animal neuroscience | 433 |
| involved? | 417 | Overgaard, S. & Krueger, J. Social perception | 40.4 |
| Dominey, P. F. Reciprocity between second- | 410 | and "Spectator Theories" of other minds | 434 |
| person neuroscience and cognitive robotics Evans, N. On projecting grammatical persons | 418 | Redcay, E., Rice, K. & Saxe, R. Interaction versus observation: A finer look at this distinction | |
| into social neurocognition: A view from linguistics | 419 | and its importance to autism | 435 |
| Froese, T., Iizuka, H. & Ikegami, T. From | 110 | Rietveld, E., de Haan, S. & Denys, D. Social | 100 |
| synthetic modeling of social interaction to | | affordances in context: What is it that we are | |
| dynamic theories of brain-body-environment- | | bodily responsive to? | 436 |
| body-brain systems | 420 | Sameen, N., Thompson, J. & Carpendale, J. I. | |
| Gallagher, S., Hutto, D. D., Slaby, J. & Cole, J. | | M. Further steps toward a second-person | |
| The brain as part of an enactive system | 421 | neuroscience | 437 |
| Gallotti, M. Why not the first-person plural in | 422 | Simpson, E. A. & Ferrari, P. F. Mirror neurons | |
| social cognition? | 422 | are central for a second-person neuroscience: | 438 |
| Gambi, C. & Pickering, M. J. Talking to each other and talking together: Joint language tasks | | Insights from developmental studies Swain, J. E., Konrath, S., Dayton, C. J., | 430 |
| and degrees of interactivity | 423 | Finegood, E. D. & Ho, S. S. Toward a | |
| Gariépy, JF., Chang, S. W. C. & Platt, M. L. | 120 | neuroscience of interactive parent-infant dyad | |
| Brain games: Toward a neuroecology of social | | empathy | 438 |
| behavior | 424 | Syal, S. & Anderson, A. K. It takes two to talk: | |
| Hamilton, A. F. de C. Second person | | A second-person neuroscience approach to | |
| neuroscience needs theories as well as methods | 425 | language learning | 439 |
| Hamon-Hill, C. & Gadbois, S. From the bottom | | Vermeulen, N., Pleyers, G. & Mermillod, M. | |
| up: The roots of social neuroscience at risk of | 106 | Second-person social neuroscience: Connections | 4.40 |
| running dry? Kroch S. Miller Bingler I. Westermann S. | 426 | to past and future theories, methods, and findings | 440 |
| Krach, S., Müller-Pinzler, L., Westermann, S. & Paulus, F. M. Advancing the neuroscience of | | | |
| social emotions with social immersion | 427 | Authors' Response: | |
| Lewis, C. & Stack, J. A mature second-person | 12. | Schilbach, L., Timmermans, B., Reddy, V., | |
| neuroscience needs a first-person (plural) | | Costall, A., Bente, G., Schlicht, T. & Vogeley, K. | |
| developmental foundation | 428 | A second-person neuroscience in interaction | 441 |
| Errata Marewski, J. N. & Hoffrage, U. Processes models Quo vadis quantum probability theory? – ERRATU | | nmental analyses, and cognitive architectures: | 463 |
| | | Dellis la mana de la la la la co | |
| Fowler, C. A. An ecological alternative to a "sad re the skin – ERRATUM | sponse : | rublic language use transcends the boundaries of | 464 |