

Animal Research Paper

Cite this article: Ren Q C, Xuan J J, Yan X C, Hu Z Z, Wang F (2018). Effects of dietary supplementation of guanidino acetic acid on growth performance, thigh meat quality and development of small intestine in Partridge-Shank broilers. *The Journal of Agricultural Science* **156**, 1130–1137. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859618001156>

Received: 6 August 2018
Revised: 28 November 2018
Accepted: 17 December 2018
First published online: 15 January 2019

Keywords:

Broilers; growth performance; guanidino acetic acid; meat quality; small intestine

Author for correspondence:

Z. Z. Hu, E-mail: hzhongz@126.com and
F. Wang, E-mail: 549652782@qq.com

Effects of dietary supplementation of guanidino acetic acid on growth performance, thigh meat quality and development of small intestine in Partridge-Shank broilers

Q. C. Ren¹, J. J. Xuan¹, X. C. Yan¹, Z. Z. Hu^{1*} and F. Wang^{2*}

¹College of Animal Science, Anhui Science and Technology University, Fengyang 233100, P. R. China and ²Huoshan County Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Bureau, Huoshan 237200, Anhui Province, P. R. China

Abstract

The current experiment aimed at assessing the effects of dietary supplementation of guanidino acetic acid (GAA) on growth performance, thigh meat quality and development of small intestine in broilers. A total of 360 1-day-old female broiler chicks were distributed randomly to four groups of 90 birds each, and each group received GAA dosages of 0, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 g/kg of feed dry matter. During the whole experiment of 60 days, broilers had *ad libitum* access to water and feed and the feed intake was recorded daily. All broilers were weighed before and after the experiment, and 30 broilers of each group were selected randomly to slaughter at the end. Increasing dietary supplementation of GAA increased final live weight and daily body weight gain, gain-to-feed ratio, thigh muscle pH value and fibre diameter of broilers, but decreased daily feed intake, drip loss, cooking loss, shear force value, hardness, gumminess and chewiness of thigh meat. In addition, increasing supplementation of GAA quadratically increased duodenal, jejunal and ileal villus height and width and ratio of villus height to crypt depth, but decreased crypt depth. The results indicated that GAA as a feed additive may support better development of small intestine, thereby resulting in improvement of growth performance and meat quality of broilers.

Introduction

Guanidino acetic acid (GAA), also referred to as glycoamine, guanidino acetic acid or guanidinoacetate, is an important metabolic intermediary product in vertebrate animal tissues that plays a key role in energy metabolism as a precursor of creatine (Michiels *et al.*, 2012; Ostojic *et al.*, 2013). GAA is formed from arginine and glycine catalysed by the enzyme L-arginine: glycine amidinotransferase and this reaction takes place mainly in the kidney, from where GAA is transported to the liver through blood circulation (Dilger *et al.*, 2013). In the liver, GAA receives a methyl group from S-adenosylmethionine and is catalysed by N-guanidino acetate methyltransferase to form creatine (Wyss and Kaddurah-Daouk, 2000; Komoto *et al.*, 2003). Creatine is an important energy buffer in growing animals *v.* adults, serving as an energy shuttle where high-energy phosphates are brought to sites of rapid adenosine triphosphate (ATP) utilization in cells with high energy demands (Brosnan *et al.*, 2009). However, *de novo* synthesis of creatine accounts for only about two-thirds of the requirement for optimal energy metabolism while the remainder must come from exogenous sources. It seems that a lack of creatine would reduce cell performance and finally productive performance in animals, since creatine plays a key role in energy metabolism (Delanghe *et al.*, 1989; Khakran *et al.*, 2018).

While the exogenous creatine was added to broilers' feed in the form of creatine monohydrate, the source was not considered stable during feed manufacturing processes (Baker, 2009; Zhang *et al.*, 2014). In contrast, GAA is a more suitable feed additive compared with creatine because it is less expensive and more chemically stable than creatine. Recently, the use of GAA as a feed additive in animal diets has gained much interest due to its improvement of meat quality. Wang *et al.* (2012) reported that increasing dietary supplementation of GAA could increase the muscle pH value and water holding capacity in growing–finishing pigs. Liu *et al.* (2015) reported that dietary supplementation with 1.0 g/kg GAA could improve meat quality of finishing pigs via delaying muscle glycolysis. In addition, Dilger *et al.* (2013) reported that GAA was also able to be used as an efficacious replacement for dietary arginine for young chicks. Arginine is the fifth limiting amino acid in maize-soybean diets for poultry (Waguespack *et al.*, 2009), which plays a key role in nitric oxide and protein synthesis, growth and development in vertebrates (Wu and Morris, 1998). Ringel *et al.* (2008) proposed that GAA may improve growth and feed efficiency when it was added into maize-soybean meal diets in broilers, and similar positive effects of supplemented GAA have also been confirmed in broiler

performance (Hess *et al.*, 2008; Michiels *et al.*, 2012; Heger *et al.*, 2014). Previous studies suggest that GAA addition to broiler diets are beneficial because it has large amounts of arginine which may be spared (Baker, 2009; Waguespack *et al.*, 2009). However, GAA exerted its positive effects on growth performance not only in arginine-deficient diets but also in arginine-sufficient diets (Michiels *et al.*, 2012). So far, the mechanism of action of GAA supplementation in broilers is still not well known.

The small intestine is an important site of nutrient absorption in animals, and better development of this organ may be beneficial for nutrient absorption (Mekbungwan *et al.*, 2002) and better animal performance (Swiatkiewicz and Hanczakowska, 2006). After formation or absorption from the small intestine, GAA is converted into creatine via guanidinoacetic methyltransferase and then phosphorylated to phosphocreatine, which is necessary to maintain energy homeostasis in cells (Wyss and Kaddurah-Daouk, 2000; Ostojic *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, it was hypothesized that GAA supplementation would be responsible for beneficial effects on small intestine development in arginine-sufficient diet, thereby resulting in better performance of broilers. Thus, the current study aimed at assessing the effects of dietary supplementation of GAA on growth performance, thigh meat quality and development of small intestine in broilers.

Materials and methods

Broilers and management

Before onset of the trial, birds were individually wing-tagged, then distributed randomly to experimental cages. The experimental cages were floored with stretch mesh and were provided with nipple drinkers and trough feeders. The lighting programme was set at natural light. Temperature, relative humidity and other experimental parameters were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Anhui Science and Technology University (Fengyang, China). Meanwhile, broilers were vaccinated routinely against infectious bronchitis and Newcastle disease, but no type of medication was administered during the entire experimental period.

Experimental design

In this trial, a total of 360 1-day-old female Partridge-Shank broiler chicks were distributed randomly to four treatment groups of 90 birds each. Partridge-Shank is a breed with relatively smaller live weight, which has a unique taste and is popular among consumers. For detailed information see <https://baike.so.com/doc/6305673-6519201.html>. For each treatment, six replicates were conducted and 15 broilers were assigned to each experimental pen. During the experiment, broilers had *ad libitum* access to feed and water, and the feed was offered three times daily at 06.00, 12.00 and 18.00 h, respectively. The nutrient levels of the diet (maize-soybean meal diet) corresponded to the NRC (1994) recommended requirements for broilers (Table 1). Based on dry matter (DM) of the formulated diet, each group received GAA (Guangzhou Rongmu Biotechnology Co., Guangzhou, China) dosages of 0, 0.4, 0.8 and 1.2 g/kg in the diet. The experiment lasted 60 days, and feed intake was recorded daily by weighing feed offered and refused based on the pen level. Before and after the experiment, live weight of all broilers was recorded to calculate the daily body gain weight and feed efficiency. Thirty broilers of each group were selected randomly and slaughtered to determine the thigh meat quality and development of the small intestine of broilers.

Table 1. Ingredient and chemical composition of the basal diet fed to broilers

Item	g/kg DM of feed
Ingredient	
Maize meal	586.6
Soybean meal	353.5
Soybean oil	20.0
Calcium phosphate	17.5
Limestone	13.5
Salt	3.0
DL-Methionine	1.5
Choline chloride	2.0
Multi-vitamins ^a	0.4
Micronutrients ^b	2.0
Nutrient levels of broiler feed	
Metabolizable energy ^c (MJ/kg DM)	12.1
Crude protein (g/kg DM)	205.0
Calcium (g/kg DM)	10.0
Available phosphorus (g/kg DM)	4.5
Arginine (g/kg DM)	14.2
Lysine (g/kg DM)	10.5
Methionine (g/kg DM)	4.6

^aMultivitamins, providing vitamin A 52 000 IU, vitamin B₁ 1.8 mg, vitamin B₂ 18.0 mg, vitamin B₁₂ 25.0 mg, vitamin D₃ 10 600 IU, vitamin E 2.0 mg, vitamin K₃ 6.0 mg, nicotinic acid 50.0 mg, folic acid 400.0 mg and D-pantothenic acid 35.0 mg per kg.

^bMicronutrients, providing Cu 1.0 g, Fe 3.0 g, Se 0.2 g, Zn 3.4 g, I 0.2 g and Mn 13.4 g per kg.

^cMetabolizable energy was estimated according to NRC (1994).

Chemical analysis

The composition and chemical analysis of the diet are presented in Table 1. According to AOAC (2007), diet samples were analysed for DM, crude protein, calcium and phosphorus. Metabolizable energy was estimated according to NRC (1994), while dietary amino acids were analysed according to the method of Llamas and Fontaine (1994).

Determination of muscle pH value and colour

Thigh muscles (consisting of the biceps femoris, semitendinosus, semimembranosus and tensor fasciae latae) for analysis of meat quality were excised immediately after slaughter and stored at 4 °C. The muscle pH values of the thigh meat were measured after 45 min by inserting the electrodes of a portable meter (HI8424, Beijing Hanna Instruments Science & Technology Co. Ltd, Beijing, China) into multiple thigh muscles. A colorimeter (Spectrophotometer, CR-10, Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) was used to measure meat colour, in terms of its lightness (L^*), redness (a^*) and yellowness (b^*), perpendicular to the muscle surface.

Determination of water holding capacity

The water holding capacity of the thigh muscles was estimated using drip loss and cooking loss, and drip loss was determined as described by An *et al.* (2017). Thigh muscles were trimmed to 5 × 3 × 1 cm and blotted to remove the surface water. They

were placed in air-filled plastic bags, which were fastened to avoid evaporation and hung vertically in a refrigerator at 4 °C for 24 h. Thigh samples were weighed before and after this procedure, and the drip loss was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Drip loss (g/100 g)} = 100 \times \frac{\text{initial thigh muscle weight (g)} - \text{final muscle fillet weight (g)}}{\text{initial thigh muscle weight (g)}} \quad (1)$$

Cooking loss was measured following the method described by Liu *et al.* (2015). Samples from thigh muscles were vacuum-packed in polyethylene vacuum bags then cooked by immersion in a water bath at 70 °C for 45 min, followed by cooling in cold-running tap water to room temperature and then patted dry. The differences between initial and final weights were calculated as cooking loss (g/100 g).

Texture profile analysis

The texture of the cooked thigh muscle was determined according to both the recommended Texture Profile Analysis tests guidelines (Overview of Texture Profile Analysis, <http://texturetechnologies.com/resources/texture-profile-analysis>) and the method described by Naji *et al.* (2013). Meat samples were homogenized through 6 mm plates, then chicken thigh meat patties (5 cm diameter, 2 cm thickness and 30 g weight) were prepared. Half of each thigh patty was cooked to an internal temperature of 75 °C to prepare the cooked meat samples. The centres of the meat samples were compressed twice to 0.90 of their original height with a texture analyser (Model A-XT2, Stable Micro Systems, Surrey, UK) attached to a needle (15 mm external diameter) at a test speed of 1.00 mm/s and a trigger force of 0.001 kg, and the holding time between compressions was 5 s.

In the present trial, hardness was expressed as the peak force of the first compression, cohesiveness was expressed as the area of work during the second compression divided by the area of work during the first compression, and springiness was measured by the distance of the detected height during the second compression divided by the original compression distance. Gumminess and chewiness were calculated as follows:

$$\text{Gumminess (g)} = \text{Hardness (g)} \times \text{Cohesiveness} \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Chewiness (g)} = \text{Gumminess (g)} \times \text{Springiness} \quad (3)$$

Estimation of tenderness

In the current trial, tenderness of the thigh muscles was estimated using shear force value and was determined as described by An *et al.* (2017). Before measurement, the thigh meat was trimmed to 3.0 × 1.0 × 0.5 cm, parallel to the muscle fibre. Then the thigh meat was sheared perpendicularly to the muscle fibre at a head speed of 200 mm/min with a digital muscle tenderness meter (C-LM3B, Northeast Agricultural University, Haerbin, China), and the maximal force required to shear the cores was recorded in Newton (N).

Intestine histological analysis

After determination of weight and length, small intestine samples were immediately spread as follows from: (1) the apex of the

duodenum, (2) midway between the point of entry of the bile ducts and Meckel's diverticulum (jejunum) and (3) 10 cm proximal to the caecal junction (ileum). The removed tissues were fixed with 10% buffered formalin, then stained with haematoxylin and eosin and embedded in paraffin. The villus height (from the tip of the villi to the villus crypt junction) and crypt depth (defined as the depth of the invagination between adjacent villi) were evaluated under a light microscope. Data were acquired with a Carl Zeiss Axioskop microscope (Carl Zeiss GmbH, Jena, Germany) and a ZVS-47DE CDD camera (Optronics Inc., Goleta, USA) connected by an RGB line to a GraBIT PCI graphic card (Soft Imaging System GmbH, Munster, Germany) installed in a standard PC computer.

For determination of thigh muscle fibre diameter, the thigh muscles were cut into 5-µm thick sections using a Leica microtome (Nussloch, Germany), and stained with haematoxylin and eosin. The fibre diameter of thigh muscle was assessed under a light microscope (BX51, Olympus Japan Inc., Tokyo, Japan) using computer-based Image Pro Plus 6.0 software (Media Cybernetic, Silver Springs, MD, USA).

Statistical analysis

At the conclusion of each growth assay, broilers and feeders were weighed, and live weight gain, feed intake and feed efficiency (i.e. gain-to-feed ratio) were calculated based on each replicate pen of chicks.

Other data of the current experiment were also analysed with PROC MIXED statement of SAS 9.4 (Statistical Analysis for Windows, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Linear and quadratic effects of treatments indicated by orthogonal contrasts were used to evaluate effects of GAA supplementation. Duncan's multiple range test was conducted to determine the significance level of comparison between treatment means, and difference was considered significant at $P \leq 0.05$. The model including random and fixed effects was as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + B_i + G_j + \epsilon_{ij} \quad (4)$$

where Y_{ij} is the dependent variable, μ is the overall mean, B_i is the random effect of broilers ($i = 30$), G_j is the fixed effect of the GAA supplementation ($j = 0, 0.4, 0.8$ and 1.2 g/kg) and ϵ_{ij} is the error term.

Results

Effects of GAA on growth performance of broilers

Increasing dietary supplementation of GAA quadratically increased final live weight ($P = 0.006$) and daily body weight gain ($P = 0.006$) and linearly increased gain-to-feed ratio ($P < 0.001$) of broilers, but linearly decreased daily feed intake of broilers ($P = 0.003$) (Table 2).

Effects of GAA on thigh meat quality of broilers

Increasing dietary supplementation of GAA quadratically increased muscle pH values ($P = 0.012$) and fibre diameter ($P = 0.036$), but decreased drip loss ($P = 0.045$) and cooking loss ($P = 0.002$) as well as shear force value ($P = 0.027$) of thigh muscles (Table 3). Supplementation of GAA in broilers diet did not improve thigh muscle colour including brightness, redness and yellowness.

Table 2. Effects of dietary supplementation of GAA on growth performance of 60-day-old broilers

Item	Dietary supplementation of GAA (g/kg)				SEM	Contrast	P-value ^a	
	0	0.4	0.8	1.2			Linear	Quadratic
Live body weight (g)	1430	1519	1578	1538	40.5	0.039	0.149	0.006
Daily weight gain (g)	23.8	25.3	26.2	25.6	0.67	0.039	0.149	0.006
Daily feed intake (g)	58.8	55.6	55.4	55.3	0.57	0.010	0.003	0.026
Gain-to-feed ratio	0.41	0.46	0.47	0.46	0.007	<0.001	<0.001	0.004

Results are means of six pens corresponding to 90 broilers for each treatment.

^aContrast, supplemental effect of GAA; linear, linear effect of GAA; quadratic, quadratic effect of GAA.

Table 3. Effects of dietary supplementation of GAA on thigh meat quality of 60-day-old broilers

Item	Dietary supplementation of GAA (g/kg)				SEM	Contrast	P-value ^a	
	0	0.4	0.8	1.2			Linear	Quadratic
Muscle pH _{45 min}	6.1	6.2	6.5	6.3	0.12	0.092	0.176	0.012
L* (lightness)	46	45	43	44	2.4	0.525	0.478	0.767
a* (redness)	17	17	18	17	1.8	0.670	0.799	0.632
b* (yellowness)	13	14	15	14	1.6	0.491	0.540	0.605
Drip loss (g/100 g)	3.9	3.6	1.6	2.7	0.61	0.117	0.263	0.045
Cooking loss (g/100 g)	23	20	16	17	1.2	0.003	0.089	0.002
Shear force value (N)	50	43	31	35	4.5	0.037	0.265	0.027
Muscle fibre (µm)	47	49	56	49	2.1	0.016	0.106	0.036

Results are means of six pens corresponding to 30 broilers for each treatment.

^aContrast, supplemental effect of GAA; linear, linear effect of GAA; quadratic, quadratic effect of GAA.

Increasing dietary supplementation of GAA quadratically decreased hardness ($P = 0.002$), gumminess ($P = 0.039$) and chewiness ($P < 0.001$) of thigh meat but did not influence neither cohesiveness nor springiness (Table 4).

Effects of GAA on development of small intestine of broilers

Increasing dietary supplementation of GAA (Table 5) quadratically increased duodenal weight index ($P = 0.019$), villus height ($P = 0.039$) and width ($P = 0.010$) and ratio of duodenal villus height to crypt depth ($P < 0.001$) but decreased duodenal crypt depth ($P < 0.001$).

Increasing dietary supplementation of GAA (Tables 6 and 7) quadratically increased both jejunal ($P = 0.042$) and ileal ($P = 0.020$) villus height, jejunal ($P = 0.032$) and ileal ($P = 0.024$) villus width and ratio of villus height to crypt depth ($P < 0.001$), but decreased jejunal and ileal crypt depth ($P < 0.001$).

Discussion

GAA is a more suitable feed additive compared with creatine and arginine because it is less expensive than either of those compounds and is more chemically stable than creatine (Dilger *et al.*, 2013). In addition, evidence suggests that GAA may have growth and feed efficiency promoting properties when added to practical maize-soybean meal diets (Ringel *et al.*, 2008). In the current study, growth performance and development of the small intestine were used as sensitive indicators of nutritional absorption and utilization

efficiency to demonstrate the improving effects of GAA in maize-soybean meal diet for broilers, which may extend understanding of the possible reason of dietary supplementation of GAA for feed efficiency *v.* weight gain of broilers.

Growth performance of broiler response to dietary supplementation of GAA

The current study showed that GAA-supplemented broilers refused greater amounts of rations than GAA un-supplemented broilers, and this was in agreement with the previous research of Mousavi *et al.* (2013), who reported that GAA reduced feed intake of broilers from 23 to 40 days of age. Similar reduction of feed intake was also observed in turkeys over the entire 21-week experimental period with increasing GAA inclusion levels (Lemme *et al.*, 2010). In the current study, decreased feed intake in GAA-supplemented broilers may be explained in two ways: firstly, since the GAA was added to the feed, it may have modified the taste and thus might have resulted in greater refusals for the GAA-supplemented broilers. Another reason could be that GAA is an energy source and may increase dietary energy concentration. Lopez and Leeson (2008) reported that broilers display an exceptional ability to control their energy intake by regulating their feed intake when diet energy concentration changes. Thus, increasing dietary supplementation of GAA would result in reduced feed intake of broilers.

The small intestine is one of the most important sites of nutrient absorption and better development of this organ may be

Table 4. Effects of dietary supplementation of GAA on texture of cooked thigh meat of 60-day-old broilers

Item	Dietary supplementation of GAA (g/kg)				SEM	P-value ^a		
	0	0.4	0.8	1.2		Contrast	Linear	Quadratic
Hardness (g)	163	153	102	115	12.5	0.010	0.372	0.002
Cohesiveness	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.03	0.450	0.565	0.230
Springiness	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.01	0.678	0.771	0.469
Gumminess (g)	39	37	18	29	5.3	0.071	0.222	0.039
Chewiness (g)	5.3	5.0	1.7	3.1	0.54	0.002	0.117	<0.001

Results are means of six pens corresponding to 30 broilers for each treatment.

^aContrast, supplemental effect of GAA; linear, linear effect of GAA; quadratic, quadratic effect of GAA.

Table 5. Effects of dietary supplementation of GAA on development of duodenum of 60-day-old broilers

Item	Dietary supplementation of GAA (g/kg)				SEM	P-value ^a		
	0	0.4	0.8	1.2		Contrast	Linear	Quadratic
Weight index ^b (g/kg)	6.3	7.1	9.2	8.1	0.71	0.043	0.728	0.019
Duodenal length (cm)	20	26	27	26	3.6	0.587	0.267	0.673
Villus height (µm)	733	795	837	825	28.7	0.031	0.232	0.039
Villus width (µm)	102	107	124	114	3.5	0.013	0.065	0.010
Crypt depth (µm)	219	219	170	172	8.0	0.007	0.886	<0.001
Ratio ^c	3.3	3.6	4.9	4.7	0.08	<0.001	0.031	<0.001

Results are means of six pens corresponding to 30 broilers for each treatment.

^aContrast, supplemental effect of GAA; linear, linear effect of GAA; quadratic, quadratic effect of GAA.

^bWeight index was calculated as: weight index (g/kg) = duodenal weight (g)/live weight (kg).

^cRatio of duodenal villus height to crypt depth.

Table 6. Effects of dietary supplementation of GAA on development of jejunum of 60-day-old broilers

Item	Dietary supplementation of GAA (g/kg)				SEM	P-value ^a		
	0	0.4	0.8	1.2		Contrast	Linear	Quadratic
Weight index ^b (g/kg)	8	9	10	9	1.8	0.352	0.438	0.524
Jejunal length (cm)	25	24	26	26	1.5	0.394	0.443	0.341
Villus height (µm)	747	768	895	751	40.3	0.252	0.461	0.042
Villus width (µm)	84	88	109	93	4.2	0.033	0.051	0.032
Crypt depth (µm)	210	198	149	167	7.5	0.002	0.076	<0.001
Ratio ^c	3.6	3.9	6.0	4.5	0.10	<0.001	0.041	<0.001

Results are means of six pens corresponding to 30 broilers for each treatment.

^aContrast, supplemental effect of GAA; linear, linear effect of GAA; quadratic, quadratic effect of GAA.

^bWeight index was calculated as: weight index (g/kg) = jejunal weight (g)/live weight (kg).

^cRatio of jejunal villus height to crypt depth.

beneficial for nutrient absorption (Mekbungwan *et al.*, 2002) as well as better performance in animals (Swiatkiewicz and Hanczakowska, 2006). In the present trial, both the height and width of intestinal villi in GAA-supplemented broilers were increased quadratically, which would be beneficial for nutrient absorption, thus resulting in greater growth performance of broilers. In agreement with Lemme *et al.* (2007a, 2007b) current results found that feeding GAA may improve the feed conversion ratio and weight gain of broilers. Despite this, inferior growth performance was still seen in the present trial compared with modern

chicks (i.e. commercial chickens with higher growth rates). Commonly, modern chickens will achieve weights of at least 2000 g by an age of 35 days or less. However, the present average live weights were less than 2000 g after 60 days' growth, and this may be related to the genetics of the broilers (female Partridge-Shank) used in the present trial.

Lemme *et al.* (2007a) reported that the optimum supplemental concentration of GAA ranged from 0.6 to 1.2 g/kg DM of feed, whereas 6.0 g/kg GAA would reduce feed consumption and consequently weight gain (Tossenberger *et al.*, 2016). These reasons

Table 7. Effects of dietary supplementation of GAA on development of ileum of 60-day-old broilers

Item	Dietary supplementation of GAA (g/kg)				SEM	Contrast	P-value ^a	
	0	0.4	0.8	1.2			Linear	Quadratic
Weight index ^b (g/kg)	31	33	33	32	2.6	0.266	0.947	0.108
Ileal length (cm)	34	38	37	35	1.7	0.185	0.644	0.249
Villus height (µm)	878	906	1091	927	33.5	0.037	0.058	0.020
Villus width (µm)	87	92	110	98	4.0	0.029	0.081	0.024
Crypt depth (µm)	343	268	207	218	9.1	<0.001	0.002	<0.001
Ratio ^c	2.6	3.3	5.2	4.2	0.02	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001

Results are means of six pens corresponding to 30 broilers for each treatment.

^aContrast, supplemental effect of GAA; linear, linear effect of GAA; quadratic, quadratic effect of GAA.

^bWeight index was calculated as: weight index (g/kg) = ileal weight (g)/live weight (kg).

^cRatio of ileal villus height to crypt depth.

may account for the quadratic effect of GAA supplementation, and the present trial indicated that the optimum supplemental concentration of GAA was 0.8 g/kg DM of feed for broilers.

Meat quality of broiler response to dietary supplementation of GAA

Meat quality is affected by a number of factors including genetic, environment, feed, slaughtering conditions, processing and storage of meat and feed additives have been reported as an important factor to influence physical and chemical characteristics as well as sensory and microbial quality of meat (Nasir and Grashorn, 2010). In the current study, GAA-supplemented broilers had greater thigh muscle pH values, and this may be due to inhibition effects of GAA on activity of hexokinase. It is well known that hexokinase is one of the rate-limiting enzymes in glycolysis reaction, which catalyses the conversion of glucose to glucose-6-phosphate and finally results in accumulation of lactic acid (Scheffler and Gerrard, 2007). After slaughter, as muscle is converted to meat, the oxygen in the muscles is gradually exhausted and anaerobic glycolysis occurs, which favours the production of lactic acid, resulting in declining tissue pH (Bertol *et al.*, 2005). However, dietary supplementation of GAA in the period pre-slaughter may reduce the activity of hexokinase and production of lactic acid (Liu *et al.*, 2015), therefore maintaining pH value of thigh muscles *post mortem* in GAA-supplemented broilers.

In the current study, GAA-supplemented broilers had greater water-holding capacity of thigh muscles, which may be associated with GAA-induced enhancement of muscle pH. The ability of fresh meat to retain moisture is arguably one of the most important quality characteristics of raw products, but this water-holding capacity of muscle is usually affected by alteration of cell structure and lowering of muscle pH (Offer and Knight, 1988). Huff-Lonergan and Lonergan (2005) noted that once the muscle pH has reached the isoelectric point of the major proteins, especially myosin, the net charge of the protein becomes zero, meaning the numbers of negative and positive charges on the proteins are essentially equal. These negative and positive groups within the protein are attracted to each other, resulting in a reduction in the amount of water that can be attracted and held by that protein. Additionally, pH-induced lateral shrinkage of the myofibril may also lead to expulsion of water from the myofibrillar structure into the extra-myofibrillar spaces and ultimately out of the muscle (Bendall and Swatland, 1988). The greater muscle pH value found in the current

work *v.* improved water-holding capacity was enhanced by GAA supplementation, which is consistent with the results of both Wang *et al.* (2012) and Liu *et al.* (2015), who reported that dietary supplementation of GAA for finishing pigs could increase meat pH value and improve muscle water-holding capacity.

Meat colour is an important parameter of meat quality characteristics, and it influences meat purchasing decisions more than any other quality factor because consumers use discolouration as an indicator of freshness and wholesomeness. In the present trial, dietary supplementation of GAA did not improve thigh muscle colour, which is in line with observations by Liu *et al.* (2015). As with meat colour, meat tenderness also has a large impact on consumers' satisfaction with chicken meat. In the current study, increasing dietary supplementation of GAA may reduce shear force value of thigh muscles, which may be due to improved cell energy metabolism since supplemental GAA could increase the content of ATP (Liu *et al.*, 2015). As muscle is converted to meat, the ATP in the muscles is gradually exhausted and irreversible cross-bridge formation between myosin heads and actin, as well as the occurrence of *rigor mortis* (Bowker *et al.*, 2000). In contrast, increased ATP provided indirectly by dietary supplementation of GAA may provide sufficient energy for muscle contraction, which could result in excessive insertion of thin myofilaments mainly consisting of actin (Michiels *et al.*, 2012). Ultimately, shear force value of thigh muscles would be reduced significantly.

Texture profile analysis uses a double compression cycle to simulate the first and second bites, similar to a human subject, may provide insights into how the meat behaves when chewed. The current study found that dietary supplementation of GAA may lead to a decline in hardness, gumminess and chewiness in cooked thigh meat of GAA-supplemented broilers, which may be associated with GAA-induced improvement of water-holding capacity in thigh muscles. Battula *et al.* (2008) reported that higher muscle water-holding capacity could reduce liquid outflow, loss of soluble nutrients and flavour, therefore the meat would not become hard and tasteless. In view of meat quality response to GAA, the present trial indicated that increasing dietary supplementation of GAA was of benefit for improvement of meat quality in broilers.

Small intestine development of broiler response to dietary supplementation of GAA

In the present trial, broilers fed a diet with higher GAA were observed to have better development of the small intestine,

particularly greater height and width of intestinal villi, and this may be due to the stimulating effects of GAA on the intestinal barrier function. Generally, intestinal villus cells are held together by tight and adherens junctions in the apical junctional complex, structures that are in close contact with the F-actin filaments of the cytoskeleton, and energy provision is very important for their maintenance (Ivanov *et al.*, 2010). It is well known that the gastrointestinal tract is a metabolically active system that requires a large amount of oxygen (Yen *et al.*, 1989), and the intestinal epithelial cells will be exposed to a hypoxic environment in inflammatory states (Brosnan and Brosnan, 2016). After absorption from the small intestine, GAA provided in the diet will be converted into creatine, which is important to promote intestinal epithelial restitution and ameliorate mucosal inflammation via enhanced cellular energetics of intestinal epithelial cells (Glover *et al.*, 2013). In addition, dietary supplementation of GAA may improve cell energy metabolism not only via increasing content of creatine but also phosphocreatine and ATP, and these increasing metabolites may improve energy utilization for cells accretion and thus protein yield (Lemme *et al.*, 2007b; Ringel *et al.*, 2008). The present results are consistent with the report of Kodambashi Emami *et al.* (2017), who reported that dietary supplementation of GAA for male broilers could improve jejunal villus surface area via increasing villus height and width under cold temperature.

Previous studies have suggested that GAA may spare arginine and help protein synthesis, which finally increases meat yield (Baker, 2009; Waguespack *et al.*, 2009). In the present trial, despite the basal diet meeting arginine requirements (12.5 g/kg) of broilers recommended by NRC (1994), increasing dietary supplementation of GAA may still improve feed efficiency versus weight gain of broilers. The present result, that GAA could also exert positive effects on growth performance of broilers in arginine-sufficient diets, is consistent with Michiels *et al.* (2012). Taking important absorption function of small intestine into consideration, the present results indicate that the positive effects of GAA supplementation on performance of broilers may be mainly derived from its stimulating function on better development of the small intestine.

Conclusions

The present experiment has shown that better development of the small intestine of broilers can be achieved by increasing dietary supplementation of GAA. Achieving this, increasing dietary supplementation of GAA may improve both growth performance and meat quality of broilers.

Author ORCIDs.  Q. C. Ren, 0000-0002-8885-286X

Acknowledgements. The authors appreciate the financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of Anhui Provincial Education Department (no. KJ2016SD15) and the Scientific Research Foundation of Anhui Science and Technology University (no. 1409).

Conflict of interest. There were no conflicts of interest in the current study.

Ethical standards. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Anhui Science and Technology University (ECASTU-2015-P08).

References

An RR, Leng L, Gong P, Wang L, Li H and Wang Y (2017) Comparison of meat quality between fat and lean line broilers. *Chinese Journal of Animal Nutrition* **29**, 2977–2987.

- AOAC International (2007) *Official Methods of Analysis*, 18th Edn. Gaithersburg, MD, USA: AOAC International.
- Baker DH (2009) Advances in protein-amino acid nutrition of poultry. *Amino Acids* **37**, 29–41.
- Battula V, Schilling MW, Vizzier-Thaxton Y, Behrends JM, Williams JB and Schmidt TB (2008) The effects of low atmosphere stunning and deboning time on broiler breast meat quality. *Poultry Science* **87**, 1202–1210.
- Bendall JR and Swatland HJ (1988) A review of the relationships of pH with physical aspects of pork quality. *Meat Science* **24**, 85–126.
- Bertol TM, Ellis M, Ritter MJ and McKeith FK (2005) Effect of feed withdrawal and handling intensity on longissimus muscle glycolytic potential and blood measurements in slaughter weight pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* **83**, 1536–1542.
- Bowker BC, Grant AL, Forrest JC and Gerrard DE (2000) Muscle metabolism and PSE pork. *Journal of Animal Science* **79**(E-suppl. 1), 1–8.
- Brosnan ME and Brosnan JT (2016) The role of dietary creatine. *Amino Acids* **48**, 1785–1791.
- Brosnan JT, Wijekoon EP, Warford-Woolgar L, Trottier NL, Brosnan ME, Brunton JA and Bertolo RF (2009) Creatine synthesis is a major metabolic process in neonatal piglets and has important implications for amino acid metabolism and methyl balance. *Journal of Nutrition* **139**, 1292–1297.
- Delanghe J, e Slypere JP, De Buyzere M, Robbrecht J, Wieme R and Vermeulen A (1989) Normal reference values for creatine, creatinine, and carnitine are lower in vegetarians. *Clinical Chemistry* **35**, 1802–1803.
- Dilger RN, Bryant-Angeloni K, Payne RL, Lemme A and Parsons CM (2013) Dietary guanidino acetic acid is an efficacious replacement for arginine for young chicks. *Poultry Science* **92**, 171–177.
- Glover LE, Bowers BE, Saeedi B, Ehrentraut SF, Campbell EL, Bayless AJ, Dobrinskikh E, Kendrick AA, Kelly CJ, Burgess A, Miller L, Kominsky DJ, Jedlicka P and Colgan SP (2013) Control of creatine metabolism by HIF is an endogenous mechanism of barrier regulation in colitis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* **110**, 19820–19825.
- Heger J, Zelenka J, Machander V, de la Cruz C, Lešták M and Hampel D (2014) Effects of guanidinoacetic acid supplementation to broiler diets with varying energy content. *Acta Universitatis Agriculturae et Silviculturae Mendelianae Brunensis* **62**, 477–485.
- Hess V, Ringel J, Lemme A and Araujo LF (2008) Effects of guanidino acetic acid supplementation in Brazilian type broiler diets at summer conditions. In Tserveni-Goussi A, Yannakopoulos A, Fortomaris P, Arsenos G and Sossidosu E (eds), *Advances and Challenges in Poultry Science (WPSA, Greek Branch): 1st Mediterranean Summit of WPSA*. Thessaloniki, Greece: University Studio Press, pp. 388–391.
- Huff-Lonergan E and Lonergan SM (2005) Mechanisms of water-holding capacity of meat: the role of postmortem biochemical and structural changes. *Meat Science* **71**, 194–204.
- Ivanov AI, Parkos CA and Nusrat A (2010) Cytoskeletal regulation of epithelial barrier function during inflammation. *American Journal of Pathology* **177**, 512–524.
- Khakran G, Chamani M, Foroudi F, Sadeghi AA and Afshar MA (2018) Effect of guanidine acetic acid addition to corn-soybean meal based diets on productive performance, blood biochemical parameters and reproductive hormones of laying hens. *Kafkas Universitesi Veteriner Fakultesi Dergisi* **24**, 99–105.
- Kodambashi Emami N, Golian A, Rhoads DD and Danesh Mesgaran M (2017) Interactive effects of temperature and dietary supplementation of arginine or guanidinoacetic acid on nutritional and physiological responses in male broiler chickens. *British Poultry Science* **58**, 87–94.
- Komoto J, Takata Y, Yamada T, Konishi K, Ogawa H, Gomi T, Fujioka M and Takusagawa F (2003) Monoclinic guanidinoacetate methyltransferase and gadolinium ion-binding characteristics. *Acta Crystallographica, Section D: Structural Biology* **59**, 1589–1596.
- Lemme A, Ringel J, Rostagno HS and Redshaw MS (2007a) Supplemental guanidino acetic acid improved feed conversion, weight gain, and breast meat yield in male and female broilers. In *Proceedings of the 16th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Strasbourg, France*. Beekbergen, the Netherlands: World's Poultry Science Association, pp. 335–338.
- Lemme A, Ringel J, Sterk A and Young JF (2007b) Supplemental guanidino acetic acid affects energy metabolism of broilers. In *Proceedings of the 16th*

- European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Strasbourg, France. Beekbergen, the Netherlands: World's Poultry Science Association, pp. 339–342.
- Lemme A, Gobbi R, Helmbrecht A, Van Der Klis JD, Firman J, Jankowski J and Kozlowski K** (2010) Use of guanidino acetic acid in all-vegetable diets for turkeys. In *Proceedings of the 4th Turkey Science Production Conference, Macclesfield, UK*. Tarporley, Cheshire, UK: Turkeytimes, pp. 57–61.
- Liu Y, Li JL, Li YJ, Gao T, Zhang L, Gao F and Zhou GH** (2015) Effects of dietary supplementation of guanidinoacetic acid and combination of guanidinoacetic acid and betaine on postmortem glycolysis and meat quality of finishing pigs. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* **205**, 82–89.
- Llames CR and Fontaine J** (1994) Determination of amino acids in feeds: collaborative study. *Journal of Association of Official Analytical Chemists* **77**, 1362–1402.
- Lopez G and Leeson S** (2008) Energy partitioning in broiler chickens. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* **88**, 205–212.
- Mekbungwan A, Yamauchi K-E and Thongwittaya N** (2002) Intestinal morphology and enteral nutrient absorption of pigeon pea seed meal in piglets. *Animal Science Journal* **73**, 509–516.
- Michiels J, Maertens L, Buysse J, Lemme A, Rademacher M, Dierick NA and De Smet S** (2012) Supplementation of guanidinoacetic acid to broiler diets: effects on performance, carcass characteristics, meat quality, and energy metabolism. *Poultry Science* **91**, 402–412.
- Mousavi SN, Afsar A and Lotfollahian H** (2013) Effects of guanidinoacetic acid supplementation to broiler diets with varying energy contents. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research* **22**, 47–54.
- Naji TA, Amadou I, Abbas S, Zhao RY, Shi YH and Le GW** (2013) Phytosterol supplementation improves antioxidant enzymes status and broiler meat quality. *Pakistan Journal of Food Sciences* **23**, 163–171.
- Nasir Z and Grashorn MA** (2010) Effects of intermittent application of different *Echinacea purpurea* juices on broiler performance and some blood parameters. *Archiv fur Geflugelkunde* **74**, 36–42.
- NRC** (1994) *Nutrient Requirements of Poultry*, 9th Edn. Washington, DC, USA: National Academies Press.
- Offer G and Knight P** (1988) The structural basis of water-holding capacity in meat. Part 2: drip losses. In Lawrie R (ed.) *Developments in Meat Science*, vol. 4. London: Elsevier Science Publications, pp. 173–243.
- Ostojic SM, Niess B, Stojanovic M and Obrenovic M** (2013) Creatine metabolism and safety profiles after six-week oral guanidinoacetic acid administration in healthy humans. *International Journal of Medical Sciences* **10**, 141–147.
- Ringel J, Lemme A and Araujo LF** (2008) The effect of supplemental guanidino acetic acid in Brazilian type broiler diets at summer conditions. *Poultry Science* **87**(suppl. 1), 154.
- Scheffler TL and Gerrard DE** (2007) Mechanisms controlling pork quality development: the biochemistry controlling postmortem energy metabolism. *Meat Science* **77**, 7–16.
- Swiatkiewicz M and Hanczakowska E** (2006) Effect of crude fiber concentrate supplementation on some characteristics of piglet intestine. *Polish Journal of Natural Sciences* **3**(suppl), 377–382.
- Tossenberger J, Rademacher M, Németh K, Halas V and Lemme A** (2016) Digestibility and metabolism of dietary guanidino acetic acid fed to broilers. *Poultry Science* **95**, 2058–2067.
- Waguespack AM, Powell S, Bidner TD, Payne RL and Southern LL** (2009) Effect of incremental levels of L-lysine and determination of the limiting amino acids in low crude protein corn-soybean meal diets for broilers. *Poultry Science* **88**, 1216–1226.
- Wang LS, Shi BM, Shan AS and Zhang YY** (2012) Effects of guanidinoacetic acid on growth performance, meat quality and antioxidation in growing-finishing pigs. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances* **11**, 631–636.
- Wu G and Morris SM** (1998) Arginine metabolism: Nitric oxide and beyond. *Biochemical Journal* **336**, 1–17.
- Wyss M and Kaddurah-Daouk R** (2000) Creatine and creatinine metabolism. *Physiological Reviews* **80**, 1107–1213.
- Yen JT, Nienaber JA, Hill DA and Pond WG** (1989) Oxygen consumption by portal vein-drained organs and by whole animal in conscious growing swine. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* **190**, 393–398.
- Zhang L, Li JL, Gao T, Lin M, Wang XF, Zhu XD, Gao F and Zhou GH** (2014) Effects of dietary supplementation with creatine monohydrate during the finishing period on growth performance, carcass traits, meat quality and muscle glycolytic potential of broilers subjected to transport stress. *Animal: An International Journal of Animal Bioscience* **8**, 1955–1962.