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#### Abstract

A filling of a closed hyperbolic surface is a set of simple closed geodesics whose complement is a disjoint union of hyperbolic polygons. The systolic length is the length of a shortest essential closed geodesic on the surface. A geodesic is called systolic, if the systolic length is realised by its length. For every $g \geq 2$, we construct closed hyperbolic surfaces of genus $g$ whose systolic geodesics fill the surfaces with complements consisting of only two components. Finally, we remark that one can deform the surfaces obtained to increase the systole.
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## 1. Introduction

Fillings of surfaces have become increasingly important in the study of the mapping class groups, Teichmüller spaces and moduli spaces of surfaces which have their origin in the work of Thurston [5]. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ denote the moduli space of oriented closed hyperbolic surfaces of genus $g$. It is a very well known and difficult problem to construct a spine of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. Thurston has proposed the set $\chi_{g}$ (so-called Thurston set) of all closed surfaces $F_{g}$ of genus $g$, whose systolic geodesics fill the surface, as a candidate spine of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ and has provided a sketch of a proof [5]. But the proof is difficult to complete. Moreover, many things about the set $\chi_{g}$ are unknown, for example connectivity, dimension and contractibility.

Fillings of surfaces have been studied extensively by Alexander, Parlier and Pettet [1, 2], Aougab and Huang [3] and others. In [2], the authors bound the cardinality of a filling set of systolic geodesics from below by $\pi \sqrt{g(g-1)} / \log (4 g-2)$ [2, Theorem 3]. Anderson, Parlier and Pettet have constructed a sequence of surfaces $S_{g_{k}}$ in the Thurston set $\chi_{g_{k}}$ with large Bers constant, where $g_{k}$ is large enough [1, Theorem 1.1]. Furthermore, they have studied the shape of $\chi_{g}$, comparing it with the set $\mathcal{Y}_{g}$ of trivalent surfaces, by giving a lower bound on the Hausdorff distance between $\chi_{g}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_{g}$ (see [1, Section 4]).

More recently, Fanoni and Parlier have studied fillings of punctured surfaces [4]. They have constructed hyperbolic surfaces of signature $(0, n)$ for $n \geq 4$, with a filling

[^0]set of systolic geodesics of cardinality $n$ [4, Proposition 5.3]. Furthermore, they have shown that the cardinality of a filling set of systoles of a surface $S_{g, n}$ is at least $\pi(4 g-4+n) / 4 l$, where $l$ is the systolic length [4, Theorem 4].

In this article, we construct closed hyperbolic surfaces with systolic fillings. More precisely, for genus $g=2$, we construct a hyperbolic surface $S_{2}$ (the so-called Bolza surface), where the set of all systolic geodesics has cardinality 12 and provides a triangulation of the surface. In [2], Anderson, Parlier and Pettet have already constructed hyperbolic surfaces with $2 g+2$ systolic geodesics filling the surface and, furthermore, there are subsets with cardinality $2 g$ of these $2 g+2$ systolic geodesics filling the surface. What is new here is that, for each $g \geq 3$, we construct a hyperbolic surface $S_{g}$ of genus $g$, whose set of systolic geodesics has exactly $2 g$ curves and fills the surface (see Theorem 4.1). Furthermore, for $g \geq 3$, these are the surfaces with the minimum number of systolic geodesics among such surfaces in $\chi_{g}$ that are known so far. Our construction is combinatorial and uses decorated fat graphs. Finally, we remark that one can deform these surfaces $S_{g}, g \geq 3$, continuously in the Thurston set to increase the systolic lengths.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some notions on fat graphs and systoles (the shortest length essential geodesics) of surfaces and discuss the connection between them. We conclude the section with a proof of Proposition 2.1 on hyperbolic polygons, which will be used in the subsequent sections. The idea behind the proof of this proposition is similar to the proof of [4, Proposition 5.3].

A fat graph is a graph equipped with a cyclic order on the set of edges emanating from each vertex. If the degree of each vertex of a fat graph is even and at least four, then we call it a decorated fat graph. A cycle in a decorated fat graph is called a standard cycle if every two consecutive edges in the cycle are opposite each other with respect to the cyclic order on the set of edges emanating from their common vertex. For more details on fat graphs, we refer the reader to [7, Section 2].

A surface $S$ will always be a closed Riemann surface with constant curvature -1 ; such a surface is called a hyperbolic surface. A filling of $S$ is a set of simple closed geodesics whose complement is a disjoint union of polygonal regions. For a nonnegative integer $k$, the $k$ th complexity $\mathcal{T}_{k}(\Omega)$ of a system of curves (in particular, a filling system) $\Omega$ on a surface $S$ is defined as the number of elements in

$$
\left\{\gamma \in C(S) \backslash \Omega\left|\sum_{\delta \in \Omega} i(\gamma, \delta)\right|=k\right\},
$$

where $\mathcal{C}(S)$ denotes the set of all simple closed geodesics on $S$ and $i(\gamma, \delta)$ denotes the geometric intersection number between $\gamma$ and $\delta$ on $S$.

The systolic length $\operatorname{sys}(S)$ of a hyperbolic surface $S$ is the length of a shortest essential geodesic on the surface. A simple closed geodesic on $S$ realising $\operatorname{sys}(S)$ is called a systolic geodesic or, simply, a systole. The set of all systolic geodesics of $S$ is denoted by $\operatorname{SLG}(S)$. We are interested in the surfaces $S$, where $\operatorname{SLG}(S)$ is a filling.


Figure 1. The polygon $\mathcal{P}_{n}$.

Given a filling $\Omega$ of a surface $S$, it naturally corresponds to a decorated fat graph $\Gamma(\Omega)$, where the vertices are the intersection points of the curves in $\Omega$, the edges are the subarcs of the curves in $\Omega$ between the vertices, and the fat graph structure is provided by the orientation of the surface. The standard cycles of $\Gamma(\Omega)$ correspond to the curves in $\Omega$ as the curves in a filling are pairwise in minimal position and, in particular, intersect transversally.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ be a right-angled regular hyperbolic $n$-sided polygon, where $n \geq 5$. If $x$ and $y$ are two nonconsecutive sides of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) \geq t_{n}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{n}$ is the length of a side of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ and $d_{\mathbb{H}}$ is the distance function on the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$. Furthermore, the inequality in (2.1) is strict if and only if the minimum number of sides between $x$ and $y$ in $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ is greater than one.

Proof. First, we compute the length $t_{n}$. Any two consecutive vertices and the centre of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ form a hyperbolic triangle with the interior angles $\pi / 4, \pi / 4$ and $2 \pi / n$. The side opposite the vertex with interior angle $2 \pi / n$ is the side of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ in the triangle. Thus, using the hyperbolic cosine rule II (see [6, Section 7.12]) on this triangle,

$$
t_{n}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos ^{2}(\pi / 4)+\cos (2 \pi / n)}{\sin ^{2}(\pi / 4)}\right)=\cosh ^{-1}\left(1+2 \cos \left(\frac{2 \pi}{n}\right)\right)
$$

Let $d_{n}$ be the length of the perpendicular from the centre to a side of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ (see Figure 1 ). From [6, Theorem 7.11.3],

$$
d_{n}=\cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \sin (2 \pi / n)}\right)
$$

Now consider two nonconsecutive sides $x$ and $y$ of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$. Let $k$ be the minimum number of edges in $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ between $x$ and $y$. If $k=1$, then the distance $d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)$ is realised by the length of the side between them which is the common perpendicular of $x$ and $y$ and,
therefore, equality holds in (2.1). Next we assume that $k \geq 2$. Let $l_{k}$ be the common perpendicular of $x$ and $y$. The perpendiculars from the centre of $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ to $x, y$, together with the arcs of $x, y$ and $l_{k}$, form a pentagon in which all the angles are right angles except the interior angle at the centre which is equal to $2(k+1) \pi / n$. The perpendicular from the centre to $l_{k}$ divides the pentagon into two congruent sharp corners (Lambert quadrilateral) with the only non right angle equal to $(k+1) \pi / n$ (see Figure 1). Now, using [6, Theorem 7.17.1, formula (ii)] on the sharp corner,

$$
\cosh \left(l_{k} / 2\right)=\cosh d_{n} \sin ((k+1) \pi / n),
$$

which implies that $l_{k}>l_{k^{\prime}}$, if $k>k^{\prime}$. In particular, $l_{k}>l_{1}=t_{n}$ for all $k>1$, which completes the proof.

## 3. Genus two

In this section, we construct a hyperbolic surface of genus two (which is the socalled Bolza surface) in $\chi_{2}$. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. There exists a closed hyperbolic surface $S_{2}$ of genus two such that:
(1) $\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{2}\right)$ provides a triangulation of $S_{2}$ and, in particular, $S_{2} \in \chi_{2}$;
(2) $\left|\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{2}\right)\right|=12$;
(3) $\operatorname{sys}\left(S_{2}\right)=2 \cosh ^{-1}(1+\sqrt{2})$; and
(4) $\mathcal{T}_{i}\left(\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{2}\right)\right)=0$ for $0 \leq i \leq 5$.

Proof. Let us consider a decorated fat graph $\Gamma_{2}$ with four standard cycles, as given in Figure 2. A simple Euler characteristic argument implies that the genus of the fat graph is two.

The graph $\Gamma_{2}$ has two boundary components, which are given by

$$
\partial_{1}=a_{1} d_{2} \bar{c}_{2} \bar{b}_{2} \bar{a}_{2} \bar{d}_{1} c_{1} b_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \partial_{2}=\bar{a}_{1} b_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \bar{d}_{2} a_{2} \bar{b}_{1} c_{2} d_{1} .
$$

Now, consider two labelled right-angled regular hyperbolic polygons $P_{i}=P_{i}\left(\partial_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2$, as shown in Figure 3, which correspond to the boundaries of $\Gamma_{2}$. Note that the boundary words of the polygons provide a side pairing.

The polygons $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, with the labellings as indicated in Figure 3, project onto a closed hyperbolic surface of genus two when we glue the edges labelled by the same letter with the same subscript by hyperbolic isometries. We denote the resulting surface by $S_{2}$.

The sides $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\},\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\},\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{d_{1}, d_{2}\right\}$ project onto simple closed geodesics on $S_{2}$. We denote these geodesics by $a, b, c$ and $d$, respectively, and define

$$
\Omega_{2}=\{a, b, c, d\} .
$$

Let $\tilde{\gamma}_{j}^{i}$ be the geodesic segments on the polygon $P_{i}$ joining two diagonally opposite vertices labelled by $v_{j}$, where $i=1,2$ and $j=1, \ldots, 4$ (see Figure 3). The geodesic


Figure 2. The graph $\Gamma_{2}$.


Figure 3. Labelled polygons $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$.
segments $\tilde{\gamma}_{j}^{i}$ project onto simple closed geodesics on $S_{2}$; we denote these geodesics by $\gamma_{j}^{i}$. Now we define

$$
X_{2}:=\Omega_{2} \cup\left\{\gamma_{j}^{i} \mid i=1,2 \text { and } j=1, \ldots, 4\right\} .
$$

Next, we prove two lemmas.
Lemma 3.2. If tis the hyperbolic length of each side of $P_{i}$ and $d$ is the distance between two diagonally opposite vertices, then

$$
\cosh t=\cosh (d / 2)=1+\sqrt{2}
$$

Proof. Consider the hyperbolic triangle $T$ with vertices at any two consecutive vertices and the centre of the polygon $P_{i}$. Then $T$ is an equilateral triangle with each interior angle $\pi / 4$. Using the hyperbolic cosine rule II (see [6, Section 7.12]),

$$
\cosh t=\cosh (d / 2)=\frac{\cos ^{2}(\pi / 4)+\cos (\pi / 4)}{\sin ^{2}(\pi / 4)}=1+\sqrt{2} .
$$

Lemma 3.3. Length $(\alpha)=2 \cosh ^{-1}(1+\sqrt{2})$ for all $\alpha \in X_{2}$.
Proof. Suppose $\alpha \in X_{2}$. Then its length is either $d$, if $\alpha=\gamma_{j}^{i}$, or $2 t$, if $\alpha \in \Omega_{2}$. In both of these cases, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that length $(\alpha)=2 \cosh ^{-1}(1+\sqrt{2})$.

The set $X_{2}$ is a filling of $S_{2}$ with $\left|X_{2}\right|=12$ and it provides a triangulation of $S_{2}$. The proof of (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 3.1 will be completed once we prove the following claim.

Claim 3.4. $\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{2}\right)=X_{2}$.
Proof of Claim 3.4. The subset $\Omega_{2} \subset X_{2}$ is a filling of $S_{2}$ with complement $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. It is straightforward to see in Figure 3 that each pair of edges labelled by the same letter with the same subscript is in a different polygon, which implies that, if $\gamma$ is a simple closed geodesic, then it intersects the union of the curves in $\Omega_{2}$ at least twice, that is, $\mathcal{T}_{k}\left(\Omega_{2}\right)=0$, if $k \leq 1$.

Let $\gamma \in C\left(S_{2}\right) \backslash X_{2}$. Then $\gamma$ cannot cross only two consecutive sides of $P_{i}$, otherwise it would be null homotopic. Hence, it crosses two nonconsecutive sides $x, y$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1, we have length $(\gamma) \geq 2 d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)$, which implies that length $(\gamma)>2 t_{1}=$ $2 \cosh ^{-1}(1+\sqrt{2})$. Therefore Lemma 3.3 yields the claim.

Now we focus on the proof of (4) of Theorem 3.1. Let $\gamma$ be a simple closed geodesic on $S_{2}$ which is not in $\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{2}\right)$. Then $\gamma$ intersects two nonconsecutive sides of $P_{i}$, which implies that $\sum_{\alpha \in \Omega_{2}} i(\gamma, \alpha) \geq 2$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in \operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{2}\right) \backslash \Omega_{2}} i(\gamma, \alpha) \geq 4$. Therefore we have $\sum_{\alpha \in \operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{2}\right)} i(\gamma, \alpha) \geq 6$ and $\mathcal{T}_{i}\left(\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{2}\right)\right)=0$, for $i=0,1, \ldots, 5$.

## 4. Higher genus

In this section, we consider the closed surfaces of genus $g \geq 3$. We prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. Let $g \geq 3$ be any integer. There exists a closed hyperbolic surface $S_{g}$ of genus $g$, such that:
(1) $\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{g}\right)$ fills $S_{g}$, and, in particular, $S_{g} \in \chi_{g}$;
(2) $\left|\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{g}\right)\right|=2 g$;
(3) the complement of $\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{g}\right)$ in $S_{g}$ is the disjoint union of two right-angled hyperbolic regular polygons;
(4) $\operatorname{sys}\left(S_{g}\right)=2 t_{4 g}$, where $t_{4 g}$ is given in Proposition 2.1; and
(5) $\quad \mathcal{T}_{i}\left(\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{g}\right)\right)=0$, if $i<2$.

The proof of Theorem 4.1, depends on the following essential proposition.
Proposition 4.2. There exists a filling $\Omega_{g}$ of the closed topological surface $\Sigma_{g}$ of genus $g$ such that:
(1) $\left|\Omega_{g}\right|=2 g$;
(2) $T_{k}\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=0$, if $k \leq 1$; and
(3) the number of connected components in $\Sigma_{g} \backslash \Omega_{g}$ is two.

Proof. Consider the decorated 4-regular graph $\Gamma_{g}=\left(E, \sim, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{0}\right)$ given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=\left\{a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{i}^{\prime}, \bar{a}_{i}^{\prime \prime} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g\right\}  \tag{1}\\
& V=E / \sim=\left\{v_{i} \mid i=0,1, \ldots, 2 g-1\right\}, \text { where }
\end{align*}
$$

$$
v_{i}= \begin{cases}\left\{\bar{a}_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime}\right\} & \text { for } i=0, \\ \left\{a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right\} & \text { for } i=1, \ldots, 2 g-2, \\ \left\{a_{2 g-1}^{\prime}, \bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{2 g-1}^{\prime \prime}, a_{2 g}^{\prime}\right\} & \text { for } i=2 g-1\end{cases}
$$

(3) $\sigma_{1}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\bar{a}_{i}$ and $\sigma_{1}\left(\bar{a}_{i}^{\prime}\right)=a_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{1}$ is similarly defined on $\left\{a_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right\}, i=1, \ldots 2 g$;
(4) $\sigma_{0}=\prod_{i=0}^{2 g-1} \sigma_{v_{i}}$, where $\sigma_{v_{0}}=\left(\bar{a}_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}, a_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime}\right), \sigma_{v_{2 g-1}}=\left(a_{2 g-1}^{\prime}, \bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{2 g-1}^{\prime \prime}, a_{2 g}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\sigma_{v_{i}}=\left(a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)$, for $2 \leq i \leq 2 g-2$.
Note that, for a formal definition of a fat graph and examples with such descriptions of fat graphs, we refer the reader to [7, Section 2].

The fat graph $\Gamma_{g}$ has $2 g$ standard cycles and the set of standard cycles is given by

$$
\mathrm{SC}\left(\Gamma_{g}\right)=\left\{a_{i}=\left[\left(a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right], i=1, \ldots, 2 g\right\} .
$$

The fat graph has two boundary components $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}$ given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta_{1}=\underbrace{a_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}}_{1}, \underbrace{a_{2 g-1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{3}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{1}^{\prime \prime}}_{2 g-1}, \underbrace{\bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime},}_{1} \underbrace{a_{2 g-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{3}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime}}_{2 g-1} \text { and }  \tag{4.1}\\
\delta_{2}=\underbrace{\bar{a}_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{3}^{\prime}, a_{4}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, a_{2 g-2}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{2 g-1}^{\prime}}_{2 g-1}, \underbrace{\bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime \prime},}_{1} \underbrace{a_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{2}^{\prime}, a_{3}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{4}^{\prime}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{2 g-2}^{\prime}, a_{2 g-1}^{\prime \prime}}_{2 g-1}, \underbrace{a_{2 g}^{\prime}}_{1} . \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

A simple Euler characteristic argument implies that the genus of $\Gamma_{g}$ is $g$. Let $\Sigma_{g}$ be the oriented closed topological surface obtained by attaching a topological disc to each boundary component of the fat graph. The set of standard cycles of $\Gamma_{g}$ provides the required filling set $\Omega_{g}$ of $\Sigma_{g}$, where the boundary components $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ correspond to the components in the complement of the filling.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let us consider two regular right-angled hyperbolic $4 g$-sided polygons $P_{g}$ and $Q_{g}$ equipped with a side pairing given by the boundary words

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega\left(\delta_{1}\right)=\underbrace{a_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}}_{1} \underbrace{a_{2 g-1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots \bar{a}_{3}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{2}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{1}^{\prime \prime}}_{2 g-1} \underbrace{\bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime}}_{1} \underbrace{a_{2 g-1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{3}^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime}}_{2 g-1} \text { and } \\
& \omega\left(\delta_{2}\right)=\underbrace{\bar{a}_{1}^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{3}^{\prime} a_{4}^{\prime \prime} \ldots a_{2 g-2}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{2 g-1}^{\prime}}_{2 g-1} \underbrace{\bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}}_{1} \underbrace{a_{1}^{\prime \prime \bar{a}_{2}^{\prime} a_{3}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{4}^{\prime} \ldots \bar{a}_{2 g-2}^{\prime} a_{2 g-1}^{\prime \prime}} \underbrace{a_{2 g}^{\prime}}_{1}}_{2 g-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

of the polygons $P_{g}$ and $Q_{g}$, respectively, which are the same as the boundaries given in equations (4.1) and (4.2) in the proof of Proposition 4.2. We obtain the closed hyperbolic surface $S_{g}$ of genus $g$ by gluing the side pairing of the polygons $P_{g}$ and $Q_{g}$ using hyperbolic isometries. The sides of $P_{g}, Q_{g}$ labelled by $a_{i}^{\prime}, a_{i}^{\prime \prime}, \bar{a}_{i}^{\prime}, \bar{a}_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ project to simple closed geodesics $a_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g$, on $S_{g}$. The length of $a_{i}$ is twice the length of a side of $P_{g}$, which is equal to $2 t_{4 g}$ by Proposition 2.1. We define $\Omega_{g}=\left\{a_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g\right\}$. Now we claim that $\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{g}\right)=\Omega_{g}$. The rest of the proof follows from the next lemma.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\gamma$ be an essential simple closed geodesic on $S_{g}$ with the property that $\gamma \notin\left\{a_{i} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g\right\}$. Then

$$
\text { length }(\gamma)>2 t_{4 g}
$$

Proof. It is easy to see in the boundary words $\omega\left(\delta_{1}\right)$ and $\omega\left(\delta_{2}\right)$ that each pair of edges with identical labelling is in a different polygon, which implies that $T_{k}\left(\Omega_{g}\right)=0$ for $k \leq 1$. Therefore $\gamma$ intersects the union of curves in $\Omega_{g}$ at least twice.

If $\gamma$ is the projection of a geodesic arc joining two opposite vertices in the polygons, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { length }(\gamma) & =2 \cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1+\cos (\pi / 2 g)}{\sin (\pi / 2 g)}\right) \\
& >2 \cosh ^{-1}\left(2+2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2 g}\right) \quad(\text { since } g \geq 3) \\
& >2 \cosh ^{-1}\left(1+2 \cos \frac{\pi}{2 g}\right)=2 t_{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\gamma$ intersects only two consecutive sides of the polygons, then it will be homotopically trivial. In the remaining cases, $\gamma$ intersects two nonconsecutive sides $x, y$, say. Let $n(x, y)$ be the minimum number of sides of the polygon between $x$ and $y$. We choose $x$ and $y$ so that $n(x, y)$ is maximum. For such a choice, we have $n(x, y)>1$; otherwise $\gamma$ will be one of the curves in $\Omega_{g}$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.1,

$$
\text { length }(\gamma) \geq 2 d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)>2 t_{g}
$$

Remark 4.4. Let $P_{g}(\epsilon)$ and $Q_{g}(\epsilon)$ be two hyperbolic $4 g$-gons, $g \geq 3$, with alternative angles $\pi / 2+\epsilon$ and $\pi / 2-\epsilon$ and side length $t_{g}(\epsilon)$, where

$$
t_{g}(\epsilon)=\cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{\cos \epsilon+2 \cos (\pi / 2 g)}{\cos \epsilon}\right) .
$$



Figure 4. The polygons $P_{g}(\epsilon), Q_{g}(\epsilon)$ and a local picture at the vertex $v_{1}$ on $S_{g}(\epsilon)$.

Such polygons can be obtained by attaching together $4 g$ copies of hyperbolic triangles with interior angles $\pi / 4+\epsilon / 2, \pi / 4-\epsilon / 2$ and $\pi / 2 g$ in an appropriate way. Note that it is straightforward to see that $t_{g}(\epsilon)$ is a monotonically increasing function in $\epsilon$. We consider the side pairing given by the boundary words

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega\left(\delta_{1}\right)=\underbrace{a_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}}_{1} \underbrace{\bar{a}_{2 g-1}^{\prime \prime} \ldots \bar{a}_{3}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{2}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{1}^{\prime \prime}}_{2 g-1} \underbrace{\bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime}}_{1} \underbrace{a_{2 g-1}^{\prime} \ldots a_{3}^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime}}_{2 g-1} \text { and } \\
& \omega\left(\delta_{2}\right)=\underbrace{\bar{a}_{1}^{\prime} a_{2}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{3}^{\prime} a_{4}^{\prime \prime} \ldots a_{2 g-2}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{2 g-1}^{\prime}}_{2 g-1} \underbrace{\bar{a}_{2 g}^{\prime \prime}}_{1} \underbrace{a_{1}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{2}^{\prime} a_{3}^{\prime \prime} \bar{a}_{4}^{\prime} \ldots \bar{a}_{2 g-2}^{\prime} a_{2 g-1}^{\prime \prime}}_{2 g-1} \underbrace{a_{2 g}^{\prime}}_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 (see Figure 4 for the case when $g=3$ ).
We denote the surface provided by the configuration above by $S_{g}(\epsilon)$, and we denote the set of simple closed geodesics which are the projections of the boundary sides of these polygons by $\Omega_{g}(\epsilon)=\left\{a_{i}(\epsilon) \mid i=1,2, \ldots, 2 g\right\}$. By arguments similar to those in the proof of Theorem 4.1, $\operatorname{SLG}\left(S_{g}(\epsilon)\right)=\Omega_{g}(\epsilon)$ for $0 \leq \epsilon<\pi(g-2) / 2 g$, and $\operatorname{sys}\left(S_{g}(\epsilon)\right)=$ $2 t_{g}(\epsilon)$. Thus we have a continuous family of surfaces $\left\{S_{g}(\epsilon) \mid 0 \leq \epsilon<\pi(g-2) / 2 g\right\}$ in the Thurston set $\chi_{g}$ with the property

$$
\operatorname{sys}\left(S_{g}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)<\operatorname{sys}\left(S_{g}\left(t_{2}\right)\right) \quad \text { when } 0 \leq t_{1}<t_{2}<\frac{\pi(g-2)}{2 g}
$$

and hence a deformation in the Thurston set which increases the systolic length.
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