

## FINITE DINILPOTENT GROUPS OF SMALL DERIVED LENGTH

JOHN COSSEY and YANMING WANG

*Dedicated to Mike (M. F.) Newman on the occasion of his 65th birthday*

(Received 16 October 1998; revised 30 August 1999)

Communicated by R. B. Howlett

### Abstract

A finite dinilpotent group  $G$  is one that can be written as the product of two finite nilpotent groups,  $A$  and  $B$  say. A finite dinilpotent group is always soluble. If  $A$  is abelian and  $B$  is metabelian, with  $|A|$  and  $|B|$  coprime, we show that a bound on the derived length given by Kazarin can be improved. We show that  $G$  has derived length at most 3 unless  $G$  contains a section with a well defined structure; in particular if  $G$  is of odd order,  $G$  has derived length at most 3.

1991 *Mathematics subject classification (Amer. Math. Soc.)*: primary 20D60.

*Keywords and phrases*: finite soluble groups, dinilpotent groups, derived length.

### 1. Introduction

If a finite group  $G$  can be written as the product  $AB$  of two nilpotent subgroups,  $A$  and  $B$ , we will call  $G$  a *dinilpotent* group. If  $A$  and  $B$  are of coprime order and  $G$  is soluble, Hall and Higman proved that the derived length of  $G$  is at most the sum of the nilpotency classes of  $A$  and  $B$  (as a special case of [3, Theorem 1.2.4]). Wielandt proved that a dinilpotent group  $G$  must indeed be soluble if the factors are of coprime order([9]) and Kegel then proved that a dinilpotent group is always soluble ([8]). However a bound for the derived length of dinilpotent groups has proved elusive.

When  $A$  and  $B$  are coprime, the bound of Hall and Higman is best possible for small values of the nilpotency classes of  $A$  and  $B$ . However it seemed likely that for larger values of the nilpotency classes this bound is too large and should be replaced by a function of the derived lengths of  $A$  and  $B$ . Such a bound has recently been

provided by Kazarin [7] in a more general setting. We denote by  $d(H)$  the derived length of a soluble group  $H$ . For a dinilpotent group  $G$  with  $A$  and  $B$  of coprime order he establishes that  $d(G) \leq 2d(A)d(B) + d(A) + d(B)$  and if  $G$  is of odd order then  $d(G) \leq d(A)d(B) + \max\{d(A), d(B)\}$  ([7, Theorem 3]) and (in the proof of [7, Corollary]) he observes that if  $A$  is abelian then  $d(G) \leq 2d(B) + 1$  and if further  $G$  is of odd order then  $d(G) \leq 2d(B)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to give more precise information about the derived length of the dinilpotent group  $G$  in the case when  $A$  and  $B$  are of coprime orders and  $A$  is abelian,  $B$  metabelian. In this case, Kazarin's bounds give  $G$  of derived length at most 5 and, if  $G$  is of odd order, of derived length at most 4. We will show that the bounds can be improved to 4 and 3, respectively and that these bounds are best possible. Our main result is however rather more technical and shows that in most situations the bound will be 3 and that the groups with derived length 4 have a well defined structure. In particular, we obtain that the derived length is at most 3 if  $G$  has odd order.

If  $A$  is abelian and  $B$  is metabelian then  $A \wr B$ , the wreath product of  $A$  and  $B$  has derived length 3 and so the bound of 3 can not be improved. If we take  $G = GL(2, 3)$ , then  $G = AB$ , where  $A$  is a Sylow 3-subgroup and  $B$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup. We then have  $G$  of derived length 4,  $A$  abelian and  $B$  metabelian, so that the bound of 4 for dinilpotent groups of even order can not be improved. This group is typical of the groups of derived length 4. We say that a group  $G$  is of type  $(E)$  if it has the following structure:  $F(G)$  is an extraspecial 2-group,  $G/F(G)$  is dihedral of order  $2q$  for some odd prime  $q$  and  $F(G)/\Phi(F(G))$  is either a minimal normal subgroup of  $G/\Phi(F(G))$  or the product of 2 minimal normal subgroups of  $G/\Phi(F(G))$ . We give examples to show that for any odd prime  $q$  both these possibilities occur.

Our main result is then the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group and  $G = AB$  with  $A$  abelian and  $B$  metabelian and nilpotent. Suppose further that the order of  $A$  and the order of  $B$  are coprime. Then  $G$  is soluble of derived length at most 4. Further, the derived length is at most 3 unless  $G$  has a section of type  $(E)$ ; in which case it has derived length 4.*

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with the observation that groups of type  $(E)$  are easy to find and it is probably not difficult to classify them completely. For a given odd prime  $q$  we show that we can construct groups of type  $(E)$ . Let  $D$  denote the dihedral group of order  $2q$  and let  $U$  be a faithful irreducible module for  $D$  over the field of 2 elements. Then  $|U| = 2^r$ , where  $r$  is the order of 2 modulo  $q$  if this order is even and twice the order of 2 modulo  $q$  if this order is odd. It is not difficult to see that  $U$  is isomorphic to

its dual  $V$  (see Doerk and Hawkes [2, Definition B.6.6] for the definition of duality). It then follows that the trivial module is a quotient of  $U \otimes V$  and we can use the construction of Huppert [5, Hilfssatz 6.7.22] to give an extraspecial group  $F$  of order  $p^{2r+1}$  on which  $D$  acts so that  $F'$  is trivial and  $F/F' \cong U \oplus V$  as  $D$ -modules. Put  $G = FD$ . Then  $G$  is clearly a group of type (E). For another example, we note that there exists a non-singular  $D$ -invariant quadratic form on  $U$  (Huppert and Blackburn [6, Theorem 7.8.13 and Theorem 7.8.30]). Thus  $D$  may be regarded as a subgroup of one of the two orthogonal groups  $GO_r^\epsilon(2)$  ( $\epsilon = +1$  or  $-1$ ; see [1, page (xii)]). It then follows follows from Huppert [5, Satz 3.13.8 and Bemerkung 3.13.9(b)] that there is an extraspecial group  $F$  of order  $2^{r+1}$  whose automorphism group contains a subgroup  $D_0 \cong D$  for which the action of  $D_0$  on  $F/F'$  is the same as that of  $D$  on  $U$ . We set  $G = FD$  and again  $G$  is clearly a group of type (E). We can vary these examples to produce non-splitting examples of a similar structure.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime and  $K$  a field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -nilpotent group,  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and  $Q = O_{p'}(G)$  a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Suppose that  $U$  is a faithful irreducible  $KG$ -module. Then if  $Q$  is abelian and  $P$  is nonabelian, the semidirect product of  $U$  and  $P$  has derived length at least 3.*

**PROOF.** Note that for  $p$  an odd prime, the result is an immediate corollary of Kazarin [7, Lemma 9]. A direct proof is easy however and we include it here.

We assume that the result is false and  $G$  has been chosen to have order as small as possible with  $UP$  metabelian. Thus if  $P_0$  is a nonabelian maximal subgroup of  $P$  and  $U_0$  is an irreducible submodule of  $U_{QP_0}$  then it is an easy consequence of Clifford's Theorem (Huppert [5, Hauptsatz V.17.3]) that  $U_0$  and  $QP_0/C_{QP_0}(U_0)$  satisfy the hypotheses of the lemma and hence  $U_0P_0 \leq UP$  has derived length at least 3, a contradiction. It follows that  $P_0$  is abelian and so every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is abelian. We then have that  $P$  is generated by two elements,  $x$  and  $y$  say,  $\Phi(P)$ , the Frattini subgroup of  $P$ , is central (and so  $\Phi(P) = \zeta(P)$ , the centre of  $P$ ), and  $P'$  is central of order  $p$ . For a maximal subgroup  $P_0$  of  $P$  and an irreducible submodule  $U_0$  of  $U_{QP_0}$ , it is again an easy consequence of Clifford's Theorem that, if any element of  $\zeta(P)$  centralises  $U_0$ , it will centralise all irreducible components of  $U_{QP_0}$  and hence  $U$ , a contradiction. Set  $G_1 = (QP_0)/C_{QP_0}(U_0)$  and let  $Q_1$  and  $P_1$  denote the images of  $Q$  and  $P_0$  in  $G_1$ . We now claim that  $U_0$ , regarded as a  $KG_1$ -module by deflation contains a submodule isomorphic to  $KP_1$  when restricted to  $P_1$ . To see this note first that we may assume that  $K$  is algebraically closed. Then  $(U_0)_{Q_1}$  can be written as a direct sum of homogeneous components by Clifford's Theorem. Since  $Q_1$  is abelian and  $K$  is algebraically closed we have that the homogeneous components are one dimensional and moreover no element of  $P_1$  can fix every homogeneous component. It now follows that  $P_1$  acts faithfully and transitively as permutation group on the

homogeneous components. But then  $P_1$  acts regularly as permutation group on the homogeneous components (Wielandt [10, Proposition 4.4]). It is then clear that  $U_0$  is isomorphic to  $KP_1$  (as  $KP_1$ -module).

Suppose now that  $|\zeta(P)| > 2$ . We have shown above that  $\zeta(P) \cap C_{QP_0}(U_0) = 1$  and hence we have  $(U_0)_{\zeta(P)}$  contains a submodule  $V$  say isomorphic to  $K\zeta(P)$ . If now  $c$  is an element of order  $p$  in  $P'$  and  $C = \langle c \rangle$  and  $W$  is the unique maximal submodule of  $V$  then  $W_C$  contains a submodule isomorphic to  $KC$  unless  $C = \zeta(P)$ , in which case we must have  $p \geq 3$  and  $W$  uniserial of length  $p - 1$ . In either case we have that for some element  $w \in W$ ,  $wc \neq w$ . Next suppose that  $|\zeta(P)| = 2$ . Then  $P$  contains a cyclic subgroup of order 4; we may assume that  $P_0$  has been chosen to be cyclic (of order 4). In this case we have  $C_{P_0}(U_0) = 1$  and so  $(U_0)_{P_0}$  contains a submodule  $V$  isomorphic to  $KP_0$ . If  $W$  is the unique maximal submodule of  $V$ , then  $W_{\zeta(P)}$  contains a submodule isomorphic to  $K\zeta(P)$ . Again if  $1 \neq c \in P'$  there is an element  $w \in W$  such that  $wc \neq w$ .

We now translate the claims above in the semidirect product  $PU$ . We have an element  $1 \neq c \in P'$  and  $w$  in the radical of  $U$  such that  $wc - w \neq 0$ . In the semidirect product,  $w \in [U, P]$  and  $wc - w$  may be written  $[w, c]$ . But both  $w$  and  $c$  are in  $(UP)'$  and so  $(UP)'' \neq 1$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

The next lemma generalises a result from modular representation theory in a form we need.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime,  $G$  a group with  $U$  an abelian normal  $p$ -subgroup and  $G/U$  a  $p$ -nilpotent group. Then  $U = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_t$  where each  $U_i$  is normal in  $G$ , all chief factors of  $G$  contained in  $U_i$  are isomorphic as  $G$ -modules and if  $i \neq j$  no chief factor of  $U_i$  is isomorphic to a chief factor of  $U_j$ .*

**PROOF.** When  $U$  is elementary abelian, we can regard  $U$  as a  $G/U$ -module and the result is then essentially a restatement of a theorem of Srinivasan (Huppert and Blackburn [6, Theorem 7.16.10]). We proceed by induction on the length of a  $G$  chief series from  $U$  to 1; the result is clearly true for 1. By our observation we can assume that  $U$  is not elementary abelian. If  $U$  has exponent  $p^a$  then  $U^{p^{a-1}}$  is elementary abelian and moreover isomorphic (as an  $G$ -module) to a quotient of  $U/\Phi(U)$ . Since  $U^{p^{a-1}} \leq \Phi(U)$ , we have that for some minimal normal subgroup  $V$  of  $G$  contained in  $U$   $U/V$  contains a  $G$ -chief factor isomorphic to  $V$  (as  $G$ -modules). Now by our inductive hypothesis  $U/V$  can be written as a direct product  $U/V = (U_1^*/V) \times (U_2^*/V) \times \cdots \times (U_t^*/V)$ , where the  $U_j^*/V$  satisfy the requirements of the lemma and  $U_1^*/V$  has been chosen so that each chief factor of  $G$  contained in  $U_1^*/V$  is isomorphic to  $V$ . For  $i > 1$  we have the length of  $U_i^*$  is less than the length of  $U$  and so  $U_i^* = V \times U_i$ , since no chief factor of  $U_i^*/V$  is isomorphic to  $V$ . Set

$U_1 = U_1^*$ . Then it is easy to see that  $U = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_t$  and that the  $U_i$  satisfy the requirements of the lemma.  $\square$

The next result is a technical one we need in the proof of the main theorem.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $p, q$  be distinct primes and suppose  $G = AB$ , where  $A$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and is of  $q$ -power order and  $B$  is cyclic of order  $p^i$ . Let  $U$  be a faithful irreducible  $FG$ -module, where  $F$  is a finite field of characteristic  $p$ . Let  $V$  be the radical of  $U_B$ . Then  $U_B$  is a free  $FB$ -module (of rank  $t$  say) and for any element  $1 \neq a \in A$  we have  $V + Va = U$ . Further,  $U/(V \cap Va)$  has dimension at most  $2t$ .*

**PROOF.** Recall that the radical of a module is the smallest submodule with completely reducible quotient (Doerk and Hawkes [2, Definition B.3.7] and remarks following). If  $F$  is a splitting field for  $G$ , then  $U$  is induced from a 1-dimensional irreducible for  $A$  (by Clifford's Theorem) and so by the Mackey Subgroup Theorem (Huppert [5, Satz V.16.9])  $U_B$  is a free  $FB$ -module. It then follows easily that  $U_B$  is free for any field  $F$  of characteristic  $p$ . If the dimension of  $U$  over  $F$  is  $t$ , then  $t = p^i r$  and  $U_B$  is free of rank  $r$ . Note that if  $a \in A$ , then  $Va$  is the radical of  $U_{Ba}$ . There are now two cases to consider.

Suppose first that  $U_A$  is reducible, so that  $U_A = U_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{p^s-1}$ , where  $s \leq t$  and each  $U_j$  is a distinct irreducible  $FA$ -module of dimension  $p^{r-s}r$ . If  $B = \langle b \rangle$ , then  $B$  permutes the  $U_j$ , say  $U_0b^j = U_j$ , with  $0 \leq j \leq p^s - 1$ . We then have that  $Y = \{u - ub : u \in U_0\}$  is a subspace of  $U$  contained in  $V$ . Now suppose  $1 \neq a \in A$ . Then  $[b, a] \neq 1$  and so  $[b, a]$  does not act trivially on some  $U_j$ ; we may suppose that  $U_0$  has been chosen so that  $[b, a]$  does not act trivially on  $U_0$ . Let  $W = \{u - ub^a : u \in U_0\}$ . Suppose now that  $W \cap V \neq 0$ . Since  $U = U_0 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_{p^s-1}$ , we have  $u - ub^a = x + y$ , with  $x \in U_0$  and  $y \in U_1$ . Since  $U_0b^a = U_1$  we then have  $x = u$  and since  $x - xb \in V$  we also have  $y + xb \in U_1 \cap V = 0$ , giving  $y = -xb$ . Thus we now have  $ub^a = ub$  and so  $u[b, a] = u$ . But then  $[b, a]$  acts trivially on  $U_0$ , contradicting the choice of  $a$ . We thus have  $W \cap V = 0$ . Since  $W$  has dimension  $r$  and  $V$  has dimension  $(p-1)r$ , we have  $W + V$  has dimension  $pr$  and so  $U = W + V$ . Then we have  $U = V + Va$  since  $W \leq Va$ .

Next we suppose that  $U_A$  is irreducible. It follows that  $A$  is cyclic of order  $q$  and  $p|q-1$ . Let  $E$  be the field of order  $|F|^{p^r}$ . Then we can regard  $U$  as the additive group of  $E$ ,  $A$  as a subgroup of the multiplicative group of  $E$  and  $B$  as a subgroup of the Galois group of  $E$  over  $F$ . Note that  $q$  divides  $|F|^{p^r}-1$  but not  $|F|^s-1$  for any  $s < pr$ . If  $D$  denotes the subfield of  $E$  fixed element-wise by  $B$ , then  $E$  has dimension  $p$  as a vector space over  $D$ . We now regard  $E$  as a  $DG$ -module and we then have  $E_B$  is isomorphic to  $DB$  as  $DB$ -module. The radical  $W$  of  $E_B$  then has dimension  $p-1$  (over  $D$ ). Since the radical of  $E_{Ba}$  is  $Wa$  for any  $a \in A$  and  $Wa \neq W$

if  $a \neq 1$  (otherwise  $W$  would be  $G$ -invariant, a contradiction) we have  $W + Wa = E$ . Since  $W$  regarded as an  $FB$ -module has dimension  $r(p - 1)$  and  $E/W$  is trivial as  $FB$ -module,  $W$  is the radical of  $E$  as  $FB$ -module. But  $U$  is isomorphic to  $E$  as  $FG$ -module and the result follows.

The final statement of Lemma 3 comes immediately from the fact that the free  $FB$ -module of rank  $t$  modulo its radical has dimension  $t$ .  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

We suppose that  $G$  satisfies the following hypothesis:

(\*)  $G = AB$  with  $A$  abelian,  $B$  metabelian and nilpotent and  $A$  and  $B$  of coprime orders. Further,  $G$  has no section isomorphic to one of the groups  $P(p, i)$ .

We want to show that if  $G$  satisfies (\*) then  $G$  has derived length at most 3. So we suppose that  $G$  has been chosen to have order as small as possible with derived length greater than 3 and satisfying (\*). We begin with some standard reductions.

Since any quotient of a group satisfying (\*) also satisfies (\*), it follows quickly that  $G$  has a unique minimal normal subgroup  $N$  whose quotient  $G/N$  has derived length 3. We also have that  $F(G)$  is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$ . Further if  $\pi(A)$  is the set of primes dividing  $|A|$ , then  $G$  has  $\pi(A)$ -length 1. If  $p \in \pi(A)$  then  $A$  centralises  $F(G)$  and so is contained in  $F(G)$  (Huppert [5, Satz 3.4.2]). Thus  $A = F(G)$ ,  $G/F(G) \cong B$  and  $G$  clearly has derived length at most 3, a contradiction. Hence we must have  $p \in \pi(B)$ . If  $H$  is the Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $B$  then centralises  $F(G)$  and so  $H \leq F(G)$ , giving  $H = 1$ . Thus  $B$  is a  $p$ -group. If  $B = F(G)$  then  $G/B \cong A$  and again  $G$  has derived length at most 3, a contradiction.

We now have  $M = F(G)A$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  with  $G/M$  a nontrivial  $p$ -group. We suppose first that  $G/M$  is nonabelian, so that there are elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $B$  with  $[x, y] \notin M$ . It then follows from Huppert [5, Satz 3.4.2] that there is a chief factor  $F(G)/K$  of  $G$  with  $[x, y] \notin C_G(F(G)/K)$ . Let  $H/K$  be a complement for  $F(G)/K$  in  $G/K$ . Then the semidirect product  $(F(G)/K)(H/C_H(F(G)/K)) \cong G/C_H(F(G)/K)$  satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 1 and so has Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of derived length at least 3, a contradiction. Thus we must have  $G/M$  abelian. Note that this immediately gives a bound of 4 for the derived length, since  $G/M$  and  $M/F(G)$  are abelian and  $F(G)$  is metabelian. Our aim now is to show that  $F(G)$  must be abelian unless  $G$  has a section isomorphic to some  $P_i$ .

Since we have assumed that  $G$  has derived length greater than 3 and is minimal, we have  $N = G''' < G'' \leq F(G)$ . Let  $L$  denote the smallest normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $F(G)$  for which every chief factor  $X/Y$  of  $G$  with  $L \leq X < Y \leq F(G)$  satisfies  $G/C_G(X/Y)$  abelian. Note that  $L \leq G''$ . Also we have that  $G/L$  is nilpotent-by-abelian and so since any nilpotent subgroup of  $G$  is metabelian we have  $G/L$  of

derived length at most 3. In particular  $1 \neq L$  and so  $N \leq L$ . Since  $G''$  is not abelian and  $G''' = N$  we have  $N \leq \zeta(G'')$  and so  $G''$  has nilpotency class 2. Suppose that  $F(G) \neq L$ .

Suppose that  $L$  is abelian and let  $D$  be a maximal abelian normal subgroup containing  $L$ . Then  $D \leq F(G)$  and we must have  $F(G)/D$  nonabelian. Let  $E/D = (F(G)/D)'$  and suppose that  $L$  is not contained in  $\zeta(E)$ . We choose  $L/K$  to be a chief factor of  $G$  with  $\zeta(E) \cap L \leq K$ . If  $x$  is a  $p$ -power element not in  $F(G)$  we have  $L/K$  as an  $\langle x \rangle$ -module is nontrivial and so for some element  $yK \in L/K$  we have  $[x, y] \notin K$ . If  $c \in F(G)'$ , then if  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $x, c$  and  $[x, y]$  are both in  $P'$ . Thus  $[c, [x, y]] = 1$ . Since  $E$  is generated by  $F(G)'$  and  $D$  we have  $[x, y] \in \zeta(E)$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $L$  is not abelian.

Now let  $F(G)/K$  be a chief factor with  $L \leq K$ . Then  $F(G)/K$  is complemented in  $G$ , by  $H$  say. We then have that  $H$  satisfies (\*). Moreover  $L \leq H''$ , since if not there is a chief factor  $L/J$  of  $G$  with  $L$  not contained in  $H''J$ . But then  $L/J$  is a chief factor of  $H$  with  $H'' \cap L \leq J$  and  $H/C_H(L/J)$  abelian. But then we have  $G/C_G(L/J)$  abelian, a contradiction. It follows that  $L \leq H''$  and then  $H$  has derived length 4, a contradiction. Thus we must have  $F(G) = L$ .

Since  $L \leq G'' \leq F(G)$  we have  $G'' = F(G)$ . Then we have  $N = G''' \leq \zeta(F(G))$  and so  $F(G)$  is of nilpotency class 2. Moreover, since  $F(G)'$  is elementary abelian,  $p^{\text{th}}$  powers are central in  $F(G)$ , giving  $\Phi(F(G))$  central in  $F(G)$ . We have  $G/F(G)$   $p$ -nilpotent and so by Lemma 2 we can write  $F(G)/N = (U_1/N) \times \cdots \times (U_n/N)$ , where all chief factors between  $U_i$  and  $N$  are isomorphic and if  $i \neq j$  no chief factor between  $U_i$  and  $N$  is isomorphic to a chief factor between  $U_j$  and  $N$ . Note now that no chief factor  $F(G)/K$  can have  $G/C_G(F(G)/K)$  nilpotent, for we would then have  $G/C_G(F(G)/K)$  abelian. It follows that  $F(G)/N$  is the metanilpotent residual of  $G/N$  and so is complemented, by  $H/N$  say (Huppert [5, Satz 6.7.15]). If  $F(G)/K$  is a chief factor of  $G$  we put  $E = KH$ . If  $K$  is nonabelian then  $E$  satisfies (\*) and has derived length 4, a contradiction. Hence  $K$  must be abelian. Suppose that  $F(G)/\Phi(G) = (V_1/\Phi(G)) \times \cdots \times (V_m/\Phi(G))$  with  $V_i/\Phi(G)$  a chief factor of  $G$ . If  $m > 2$  then the product of any  $m - 1$  of the  $V_i$  is abelian and so in particular  $[V_i, V_j] = 1$  and then since  $F(G)$  is generated by the  $V_i$  we have  $F(G)$  abelian, a contradiction. Thus  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is either a minimal normal subgroup or the product of two minimal normal subgroups of  $G/\Phi(G)$ .

We now consider the structure of  $G/F(G)$ . We have  $B$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  and we let  $K$  be a maximal subgroup of  $B$  containing  $F(G)$ . Then  $KA$  is a normal subgroup of index  $p$  in  $G$  and also satisfies (\*). It follows that  $KA$  must have derived length 3 and hence that  $(KA)''$  must be properly contained in  $F(G)$ . Regarded as a  $\mathbb{Z}_p(KA)$ -module,  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is completely reducible by Clifford's Theorem and so if  $F(G)/L$  is a chief factor of  $G$  with  $(KA)'' \leq L$  we have that  $KA$  acts on each composition factor of  $F(G)/L$  as an abelian group. It follows that  $K$

centralises  $F(G)/L$ . If  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is irreducible or the direct sum of two isomorphic irreducibles, then we must have  $K \leq F(G)$  and hence  $K = F(G)$ . Now suppose that  $F(G)/\Phi(G) = (U/\Phi(G)) \times (V/\Phi(G))$ , with  $U/\Phi(G)$ ,  $V/\Phi(G)$  irreducible. If  $B$  has two distinct maximal subgroups containing  $F(G)$ , it has at least  $p + 1$  maximal subgroups containing  $F(G)$ . Thus we can find distinct maximal subgroups  $K_1$ ,  $K_2$ ,  $K_3$  each containing  $F(G)$ . We can not have both  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  centralising  $U/\Phi(G)$ , for then we would have  $B$  centralising  $U/\Phi(G)$  and  $G$  acting on  $U/\Phi(G)$  as an abelian group, a contradiction; suppose  $K_1$  centralises  $U/\Phi(G)$ . On the other hand,  $K_3$  must centralise one of  $U/\Phi(G)$ ,  $V/\Phi(G)$ ,  $U/\Phi(G)$  say. We then have  $B$  centralises  $U/\Phi(G)$ , a contradiction. Thus we may assume that  $B$  has a unique maximal subgroup containing  $F(G)$ . It now follows that  $B/F(G)$  is cyclic and moreover that  $B/F(G)$  acts faithfully on one of  $U/\Phi(G)$  and  $V/\Phi(G)$ ,  $U/\Phi(G)$  say, and then  $(B/F(G))^p$  centralises  $V/\Phi(G)$ .

Note that  $F(G)A$  is normal in  $G$ ; we choose  $F(G)A_0$  normal in  $G$  and so that  $(F(G)A)/(F(G)A_0)$  is a chief factor. We then have  $BA_0$  satisfies (\*) and so has derived length at most 3. Thus we must have that  $BA_0$  acts as an abelian group on some chief factor  $F(G)/W$ . Since  $BA_0$  cannot act as an abelian group on  $F(G)/W$ , we must have  $B$  centralises  $(F(G)A_0)/F(G)$  but not  $(F(G)A)/(F(G)A_0)$ . It now follows from Higman's Lemma [3] that  $A = A_0 \times A_1$  with  $(F(G)A_1)/F(G)$  a chief factor of  $G$ . If  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is irreducible, we have  $BA_1$  of derived length 4 and so  $G = BA_1$ , giving  $A = A_1$ . Hence suppose that  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is reducible, so that  $F(G)/\Phi(G) = (U/\Phi(G)) \times (V/\Phi(H))$ , with  $U/\Phi(G)$  and  $V/\Phi(H)$  chief factors of  $G$ . If  $A_1$  does not centralise either of  $U/\Phi(G)$  and  $V/\Phi(H)$  then again  $BA_1$  has derived length 4 and  $A_1 = A$ . If  $A_1$  centralises  $U/\Phi(G)$  then it cannot centralise  $V/\Phi(H)$  also. Moreover we must have  $A_0$  centralises  $V/\Phi(H)$ , since it must centralise one of  $U/\Phi(G)$  and  $V/\Phi(H)$  and if it centralised  $U/\Phi(G)$   $A$  would centralise  $U/\Phi(G)$ , a contradiction. Now choose  $F(G)A_2$  so that  $(F(G)A_0)/(F(G)A_2)$  is a chief factor of  $G$ . We have then that  $BA_1A_2$  has derived length at most 3 and so we must have  $BA_1A_2$  acts as an abelian group on  $U/\Phi(G)$ . Since  $BA_0$  does not act as an abelian group on  $U/\Phi(G)$ , we again see that  $A_0 = A_2 \times A_3$ . But then  $BA_1A_3$  has derived length 4 and so  $A_3 = A_1$ , giving  $(F(G)A_1)/F(G)$  a chief factor of  $G$  and  $A = A_0 \times A_1$ . Note that if  $A_0 \cong A_1$  as  $B$ -modules then we may take a diagonal submodule  $D$  and get  $BD$  of derived length 4, a contradiction. In particular if  $A = A_0 \times A_1$ , we must have  $|B/F(G)| > 2$ .

If  $F(G)/\Phi(G) = (U/\Phi(G)) \times (V/\Phi(G))$  is the direct product of two minimal normal subgroups, then  $U$  and  $V$  are abelian and so  $\Phi(G) = U \cap V$  is central in  $F(G)$ . If  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is a minimal normal subgroup then  $F(G)/\Phi(F(G))$  is indecomposable as  $G/F(G)$ -module. But  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is faithful and free as  $B/F(G)$ -module and so by Lemma 3 it is free as  $B/F(G)$ -module. But then it is projective as  $G/F(G)$ -module (Huppert and Blackburn [6, Theorem 7.7.14]) and hence  $\Phi(G) = \Phi(F(G))$ .

Since  $F(G)' = N$  is elementary abelian, we have  $p^{th}$  powers of elements of  $F(G)$  are central in  $F(G)$  and so again  $\Phi(G) \leq \zeta(G)$ . We also have  $B' \leq F(G)$  and hence  $B'\Phi(G)$  is an abelian normal subgroup of  $F(G)$ . Regarding  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  as a  $B/F(G)$ -module we have  $B'\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$  generated by the elements  $u^{-1}u^b\Phi(G)$ , with  $b \in B$ ,  $u \in F(G)$ , so that  $B'\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$  is just the radical of  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$ .

At this point it is convenient to break the proof into a number of different cases. We have  $F(G)A/F(G)$  can be a chief factor of  $G$  or the direct product of two chief factors of  $G$ ,  $B/F(G)$  is cyclic and can have order either 2 or greater than 2. These give rise to the following cases:  $F(G)A/F(G)$  the product of two chief factors with  $|B/F(G)| > 2$  and  $F(G)A/F(G)$  a chief factor with  $|B/F(G)| = p > 2$  or  $|B/F(G)| = 2$ . Using the Frattini argument we can choose  $b \in B$  so that  $\langle b \rangle$  normalises  $A$  and  $G = F(G)A\langle b \rangle$ .

Suppose first that  $A = A_0 \times A_1$  and  $F(G)/\Phi(G) = (U_0/\Phi(G)) \times (U_1/\Phi(G))$ , with  $[U_1, A_0] \leq \Phi(G)$  and  $[U_0, A_1] \leq \Phi(G)$  and let  $V_i/\Phi(G)$  denote the radical of  $U_i/\Phi(G)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . Suppose moreover that  $|B/F(G)| = p^r$  and  $\langle b \rangle/C_{\langle b \rangle}(A_0)$  has order greater than 2. Let  $|U_0/\Phi(G)| = p^{p^rt}$  and  $|U_1/\Phi(G)| = p^{pk}$ . We then have  $V/\Phi(G) = (V_0/\Phi(G)) \times (V_1/\Phi(G))$  is the radical of  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$ . By Lemma 3 we can find elements  $a_i$  such that  $V_i^{a_i}/\Phi(G)$  is the radical of  $U_i/\Phi(G)$  as  $\langle b \rangle^{a_i}$ -module and  $U_i/\Phi(G) = (V_i/\Phi(G))(V_i^{a_i}/\Phi(G))$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ . If  $a = a_0a_1$  then  $F(G) = V^aV$ . Since  $V$  and  $V^a$  are abelian normal subgroups of  $F(G)$ , we have  $V^a \cap V \leq \zeta(F(G))$ . But by Lemma 3, we have  $|F(G)/(V^a \cap V)| \leq p^{2r+2k} < |F(G)/\Phi(G)|$ , since  $2 < p^r$ . Thus  $\zeta(F(G)) > \Phi(G)$  and hence must contain either  $U_0$  or  $U_1$ . But then since both  $U_0$  and  $U_1$  are abelian, we must have  $F(G)$  abelian, a contradiction.

We now suppose that  $F(G)A/F(G)$  is a chief factor and hence  $|B/F(G)| = p$ . We consider the case  $p$  odd. Then if  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is an irreducible  $H$ -module, we let  $|F(G)/\Phi(G)| = p^{pk}$ . If  $V/\Phi(G)$  is the radical of  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  as a  $B$ -module, it follows from Lemma 3 that if  $1 \neq a \in A$  we have  $F(G) = V^aV$ . Moreover,  $V^a \cap V \leq \zeta(F(G))$  since  $V$ ,  $V^a$  are abelian. From Lemma 3 we have  $|F(G)/(V^a \cap V)| \leq p^{2k} < |F(G)/\Phi(G)|$ . But then  $\zeta(F(G)) = F(G)$ , a contradiction. Hence we suppose that  $F(G)/\Phi(G) = (U_0/\Phi(G)) \times (U_1/\Phi(G))$  with  $U_0/\Phi(G)$  and  $U_1/\Phi(G)$  chief factors of  $G$ . We let  $V_i/\Phi(G)$  be the radical of  $U_i/\Phi(G)$  (considered as a  $B$ -module) and take  $1 \neq a \in A$ . As above we see from Lemma 3 that  $(V_0V_1)^a \cap (V_0V_1)$  is central and properly contains  $\Phi(G)$ , giving a contradiction.

We are now left with the case  $F(G)A/F(G)$  a chief factor and  $|B/F(G)| = 2$ . We now have  $G/F(G)$  dihedral of order  $2q$ . Suppose first that  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is a chief factor and let  $V/\Phi(G)$  be the radical of  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  as  $\langle b \rangle$ -module. Again if  $1 \neq a \in A$ , we have  $V$ ,  $V^a$  both abelian,  $V^aV = F(G)$  and since  $p = 2$  we have  $V^a \cap V = \Phi(G)$ . Thus we may choose generators  $u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_k$  for  $F(G)$  with  $[u_i, u_j] = [v_i, v_j] = 1$  for all pairs  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . Thus  $F(G)'$  is generated by the commutators  $[u_i, v_j]$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . For a fixed  $u_i$  and  $x \in F(G)$  it is easy to

check that the map  $x\Phi(G) \rightarrow [u_i, x]$  is a  $\langle b \rangle$ -module homomorphism with  $V/\Phi(G)$  in its kernel. Thus the image is a completely reducible  $\langle b \rangle$ -submodule of  $F(G)'$ . It follows that  $F(G)'$  is a completely reducible  $\langle b \rangle$ -module. Since  $F(G)'$  is irreducible as  $(G/F(G))$ -module it cannot be faithful by Lemma 3 and hence it must be trivial. Thus we have  $F(G)'$  central in  $G$ . Now suppose that  $\Phi(G) \neq F(G)'$ . Since all chief factors of  $G$  in  $F(G)/F(G)'$  are noncentral by Lemma 2 and all chief factors of  $G$  in  $\zeta(F(G)) = \Phi(G)$  are central by Lemma 2, we have a contradiction. Thus  $F(G)' = \zeta(G) = \Phi(G) = \Phi(F(G))$  and so  $F(G)$  is extraspecial and  $G$  is of type  $(E)$ , a contradiction. A similar argument applies if  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  is the direct product of two minimal normal subgroups of  $G/\Phi(G)$ , again leading to  $G$  being of type  $(E)$ , a final contradiction.

We are now left with proving that if  $G = AB$ ,  $A$  abelian,  $B$  metabelian and nilpotent and  $A$  and  $B$  of coprime order and  $G$  has a section of type  $(E)$ , then  $G$  has derived length 4. It is enough to show that every group of type  $(E)$  satisfies these conditions and is of derived length 4. That a group of type  $(E)$  has derived length 4 is clear. If  $G$  is of type  $(E)$ , then we can write  $G = AB$  where  $A$  is a (cyclic) Sylow  $q$ -subgroup and  $B$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup. We need to show that  $B$  is metabelian to complete the proof. The proof is similar to the argument above. If  $b$  is chosen so that  $G = F(G)A\langle b \rangle$  we then let  $V/\Phi(G)$  be the radical of  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  as  $\langle b \rangle$ -module. If  $v \in V$  is fixed and  $x \in F(G)$  then the map  $x\Phi(G) \rightarrow [v, x]$  is a  $\langle b \rangle$ -module homomorphism from  $F(G)/\Phi(G)$  to  $\Phi(G)$ . Since the image is completely reducible we have  $V/\Phi(G)$  in the kernel, giving  $[v, x] = 1$  for all  $x \in V$ . Since this is true for any  $v \in V$ , we have  $V$  abelian. That  $B/V$  is abelian comes immediately from the definition of  $V$  and hence  $B$  is metabelian as required.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J. H. Conway, R. Curtis, S. Norton, R. Parker and R. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985).
- [2] K. Doerk and T. O. Hawkes, *Finite soluble groups*, Expositions in Mathematics 4 (de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992).
- [3] P. Hall and G. Higman, ‘The  $p$ -length of  $p$ -soluble groups and reduction theorems for Burnside’s problem’, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* 7 (1956), 1–42.
- [4] G. Higman, ‘Complementation of Abelian normal subgroups’, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 4 (1955–6), 455–458.
- [5] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen* (Springer, Berlin, 1967).
- [6] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn, *Finite groups II* (Springer, Berlin, 1982).
- [7] L. S. Kazarin, ‘Soluble products of groups’, in: *Infinite Groups ’94* (eds. F. de Giovanni and M. Newell) (de Gruyter, New York, 1995) pp. 111–123.
- [8] O. H. Kegel, ‘Produkte nilpotenter Gruppen’, *Arch. Math.* 12 (1961), 90–93.
- [9] H. Wielandt, ‘Über Produkte von nilpotenten Gruppen’, *Illinois J. Math.* 2 (1958), 611–618.
- [10] ———, *Finite permutation groups* (Academic Press, New York, 1964).

Mathematics Department  
School of Mathematical Sciences  
Australian National University  
Canberra 0200  
Australia  
e-mail: john.cossey@maths.anu.edu.au

Department of Mathematics  
Zhongshan University of Guangzhou  
510275 P. R. China  
e-mail: stswym@zsulink.zsu.edu.cn