

Target Symptoms and Outcome Measures: Cognition

Andrew Kirk

ABSTRACT: The Cognitive section of the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale (ADAS-Cog) remains the most widely used cognitive measure in dementia trials although it does not assess attention, executive function, or agnosia. Designed for use in Alzheimer's disease (AD), it may not be ideal in assessing patients with other diagnoses. The ADAS-Cog differentiates between AD patients, patients with Mild Cognitive Impairment, and normal controls. It has been used in trials of drugs for vascular and mixed dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. It is not clear that the ADAS-Cog is adequate for assessing cognition in frontotemporal dementia. Well-validated aphasia batteries, such as the Western Aphasia Battery, can be used to assess language. Brief tests of frontal function such as the Frontal Assessment Battery or the Executive Interview might be useful additions in frontotemporal dementia trials. The most widely used assessment tool for patients with advanced dementia is the Severe Impairment Battery. The domains tested are analogous to those assessed by the ADAS-Cog. The Mini-Mental State Exam and the Modified Mini-Mental State Examination are useful in stratifying patients for trial entry. Cognitive measures better tailored to the diseases in question are needed for non-Alzheimer dementias.

RÉSUMÉ: Symptômes cibles et critères d'évaluation de la cognition. La section sur la cognition de l'Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale (ADAS-Cog) demeure la mesure cognitive la plus utilisée dans les essais cliniques sur la démence, même si elle n'évalue pas l'attention, les fonctions exécutives ou l'agnosie. Cette échelle a été conçue pour être utilisée dans la maladie d'Alzheimer et n'est peut-être pas idéale pour évaluer les patients qui sont atteints d'autres pathologies. L'ADAS-Cog différencie les patients atteints de MA des patients ayant une atteinte cognitive légère et des témoins normaux. Elle a été utilisée dans les essais cliniques portant sur les démences vasculaires et mixtes et la démence à corps de Lewy. Il n'est pas certain que l'ADAS-Cog soit adéquat pour évaluer la cognition dans la démence fronto-temporale. Des batteries bien validées pour évaluer l'aphasie comme la Western Aphasia Battery peuvent être utilisées pour évaluer le langage. Des tests brefs de la fonction frontale comme la batterie d'évaluation frontale ou l'Executive Interview peuvent être ajoutés avec profit dans les études sur la démence fronto-temporale. L'outil le plus utilisé chez les patients qui ont une démence sévère est la Severe Impairment Battery. Les domaines évalués sont analogues à ceux qui sont évalués par l'ADAS-Cog. Le Mini-Mental State Exam et le Mini-Mental State Examination sont utiles pour stratifier les patients au début des études. Des mesures cognitives qui sont mieux adaptées aux maladies en question devront être développées pour les démences autres que la MA.

Can. J. Neurol. Sci. 2007; 34: Suppl. 1 - S42-26

Impairment of cognition is an important deficit in dementia. Although behavioral and other problems may dominate in later stages of the illness, cognitive symptoms predominate in most patients with early dementia. Thus, treatments that alleviate, retard, reverse, or prevent cognitive decline are very much needed. Regulatory guidelines in several countries mandate an objective assessment of cognition as a primary outcome measure in antidementia drug trials.^{1,2} Controversy over the utility of approved dementia therapies³⁻⁵ highlights the need for measures of cognition that: 1. Are ecologically valid and have high face validity. 2. Can distinguish affected patients from normal controls. 3. Can assess a range of clinically relevant cognitive abilities. 4. Are sensitive to clinically relevant changes in cognitive abilities due to disease progression or to drug-induced improvement across a spectrum of dementing illnesses and

disease severities. 5. Have high inter-rater and test-retest reliability. 6. Are convenient and timely to administer. 7. Can be used to assess patients from various linguistic and cultural backgrounds.

The Cognitive section of the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale (ADAS-Cog)^{6,7} remains the most widely used instrument for measuring cognitive deficits in dementia drug trials. Unlike

From the Division of Neurology, University of Saskatchewan, Royal University Hospital, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan, Canada.

RECEIVED OCTOBER 31, 2005. ACCEPTED IN FINAL FORM APRIL 22, 2006.

Reprint requests to: Andrew Kirk, University of Saskatchewan, Royal University Hospital, 103 Hospital Drive, Saskatoon, Saskatchewan S7N 0W8, Canada.

some scales that have been adapted to the task, the ADAS was originally conceived as a tool to measure treatment efficacy. The ADAS-Cog is an 11 item test scored out of 70, higher scores indicating more severe impairment. It takes 20 to 50 minutes to administer. Memory, orientation, language, construction, and praxis are assessed. Attention, executive function, and agnosia are not specifically addressed. Designed for use in Alzheimer's disease, the scale may not be ideal in assessing patients with other diagnoses such as frontotemporal dementia. The ADAS-Cog has been translated into several languages and appears to give quite comparable results in a number of languages.⁸

The ADAS-Cog has been shown to be useful in differentiating patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) from normal control subjects⁷ and in distinguishing between patients with different levels of dementia severity.^{9,10} Inter-rater reliability is good with reliability coefficients for individual items on the ADAS reported to range from 0.669 to 1.0.¹¹ On the ADAS-Cog portion of the battery, the inter-rater reliability coefficient was 0.989 and the test-retest reliability coefficient 0.915.¹¹ In the same report, a small number of patients with Alzheimer's disease scored significantly higher (i.e. worse) on the ADAS-Cog when re-tested at 12 and 18 months whereas the performance of normal controls did not change.

Doraiswamy et al^{12,13} found that baseline ADAS-Cog score was inversely correlated with level of education in Alzheimer's drug study participants. It has been estimated that patients with AD worsen by about eight points annually on the ADAS-Cog¹⁴ but the rate of decline is not linear across disease severity. Floor and ceiling effects are important. For example, in a study of 151 patients with Alzheimer's disease of varying severities, Schmeidler et al¹⁵ found that patients with moderate or severe AD deteriorated significantly more on the ADAS-Cog over 12 months than did patients with mild or very severe disease. Whether this phenomenon reflects the mode of progression of the disease itself or is a limitation of the ADAS-Cog, it needs to be taken into consideration in study design. Doraiswamy et al¹⁶ also found that in a study of 26 weeks duration, probably approaching the minimum study length expected to demonstrate significant worsening in ADAS-Cog scores,¹⁷ patients with moderate dementia had significantly greater ADAS-Cog deterioration than did those with mild disease. These investigators also pointed out that, in a study as short as six months, measurement error contributes substantially to the variance seen in ADAS-Cog scores. This makes the use of this tool problematic in brief trials.

Since several potentially relevant areas of cognition such as attention, concentration, working memory, executive function, and agnosia are not measured well by the ADAS-Cog, Mohs et al¹⁸ investigated some possible additions and found that a letter and digit cancellation task was sensitive across a wide range of dementia severities. A word learning task with delayed recall and a maze task were impaired even in those with mild AD and thus may be useful additions in the study of patients with early dementia or Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI).

The ADAS-Cog has also been used successfully to differentiate between patients with Alzheimer's disease, patients with MCI and normal aged controls.¹⁹ It was also used in a recent study of donepezil for MCI²⁰ although other instruments such as

the Montreal Cognitive Assessment²¹ have also been developed specifically for use in MCI and have been shown to have high specificity and sensitivity. Although the ADAS-Cog was developed to study patients with Alzheimer's disease, it has been used in trials of drugs for vascular dementia as well as mixed Alzheimer's/vascular dementia.²²⁻²⁴ As there are different subtypes of vascular dementia with different patterns of cognitive impairment,²⁵ a group of patients with vascular dementia is likely to be even more heterogeneous cognitively than a population with Alzheimer's disease. Vascular dementia is also apt to decline in a less linear fashion than Alzheimer's disease does. Since there are differences between the profile of cognitive deficits seen in AD and vascular dementia,^{26,27} instruments that test attention, concentration, working memory, and executive function might be added to the ADAS-Cog for use in vascular dementia.

Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) is clinically differentiated from AD more by history and general neurological examination than by cognitive differences. However, it has been reported that patients with DLB may perform more poorly on visual memory, attentional, visuo-perceptive and visuo-constructive tasks as well as on tests of reaction time.²⁸⁻³³ The ADAS-Cog has also been used to assess response to medication in patients with DLB³⁴ and in patients with Parkinson's disease and dementia.³⁵

The pattern of cognitive impairment seen in frontotemporal dementia (FTD) is quite distinct from that seen in Alzheimer's disease. Patients with FTD may have very prominent language impairment and difficulty with word list generation and tests of executive function while performing better than AD patients on tests of memory and visuospatial abilities.³⁵⁻³⁷ However, a recent study strongly suggests that behavioral tests are more useful than cognitive tests in distinguishing between FTD and AD.³⁸ It is not at all clear that the ADAS-Cog would be an adequate instrument to fully capture cognitive decline or improvement in an FTD drug study. There are a number of well-validated aphasia batteries that can be used to assess language impairment.³⁹ One of these, such as the Western Aphasia Battery,⁴⁰ might prove invaluable in following patients with progressive nonfluent aphasia or semantic dementia. Brief tests of frontal function such as the Frontal Assessment Battery^{41,42} or the Executive Interview (EXIT25)^{43,44} might be considered as additions to the ADAS-Cog in treatment efficacy trials in frontotemporal dementia. Each has been shown to be valid in differentiating between AD and FTD^{42,43,45-47} but this is certainly an area that requires further development.

The floor effect of the ADAS-Cog has resulted in adoption of other tests that can measure cognitive change in patients with more advanced dementia. The most widely used assessment tool for patients with advanced dementia is the Severe Impairment Battery (SIB).^{48,49} The SIB takes about 20 minutes to administer. It consists of 40 simple one-step commands with gestural cues (e.g., "Please sit here.") and provides a score out of 100. Subtests examine attention, orientation, language, memory, visuospatial ability, and construction. One advantage of the SIB in providing consistency in dementia studies across various disease severities is that the domains tested are analogous to those assessed by the ADAS-Cog. The SIB appears to be reliable and valid and it has been shown to be a useful tool in following deterioration in severe dementia.^{50,51} It has been used

successfully in drug studies for patients with moderate to severe dementia.⁵²⁻⁵⁴

The Mini-Mental State Exam (MMSE)⁵⁵ is a 5 to 15 minute screening test of cognition that briefly assesses orientation, memory, attention, naming, comprehension, and construction. It warrants mention in any discussion of cognitive assessment of dementia because of its ubiquity and familiarity as a clinical screening instrument for dementia. The MMSE provides a score out of 30 with lower scores indicating more severe impairment. Although it is often used to classify severity of dementia, a ceiling effect in very mildly impaired patients and a floor effect in those with severe dementia are limiting factors.^{56,57} A typical annual rate of change in Alzheimer's disease is about three points but this varies across the time course of the illness.⁵⁸⁻⁶⁰ Scores are affected by age and level of education.⁶¹ The Modified Mini-Mental State Examination (3MS),⁶² developed in an attempt to overcome some of the shortcomings of the MMSE, added four items and introduced a graded scoring system to give scores out of 100. The 3MS assesses word fluency, abstraction, simple naming, and the effect of cuing. Normative data are available.^{63,64} High inter-rater variability makes use of the 3MS as an outcome measure problematic in drug studies.⁶⁵ Although the MMSE has been included as an outcome measure in some dementia drug trials^{4,66,67} its main use in pharmaceutical trials is likely to continue to be as a criterion for patient inclusion in trials.

New tools such as computerized assessments hold promise for evaluation of patients with MCI⁶⁸ and dementia.⁶⁹

The ADAS-Cog remains the most widely-used cognitive outcome measure in dementia trials and it is likely to remain so, particularly for patients with Alzheimer's disease, largely due to long experience with its use for this purpose. The SIB will continue to prove useful in the study of patients with more advanced dementia. The MMSE and 3MS remain useful for stratification of patients for entry into trials. However, measures of cognition better tailored to the diseases in question will be required for the development of treatments for non-Alzheimer dementias. The ADAS-Cog with some added items would be useful in assessing patients with vascular disease. The ADAS-Cog seems a reasonable tool for measuring treatment efficacy in DLB but a tool better suited to the task will be needed to study patients with FTD.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

During the preparation of this manuscript, Andrew Kirk was supported by a New Emerging Team grant from CIHR (Canadian Institute of Health Research) and by a Saskatchewan Health Research Foundation Establishment grant. Within the past three years he has received research support from Myriad and Lundbeck and received honoraria as a speaker from Lundbeck and Novartis.

REFERENCES

1. Leber P. Guidelines for the clinical evaluation of antidementia drugs, first draft. Rockville, MD: US Food and Drug Administration; 1990.
2. Committee for Proprietary Medicine Products. Note for guidance on medicinal products in the treatment of Alzheimer's disease. London UK: The European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products, Human Medicines Evaluation Unit; 1997.
3. Feldman H, Gauthier S, Hecker J, Vellas B, Hux M, Xu Y, et al. Economic evaluation of donepezil in moderate to severe Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology*. 2004; 63(4):644-50.
4. Courtney C, Farrell D, Gray R, Hills R, Lynch L, Sellwood E, et al. Long-term donepezil treatment in 565 patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD2000): randomized double-blind trial. *Lancet* 2004; 363(9427):2105-15.
5. Rockwood K. Size of treatment effect on cognition of cholinesterase inhibition in Alzheimer's disease. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2004; 75(5):677-85.
6. Mohs RC, Rosen WG, Davis KL. The Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale: an instrument of assessing treatment efficacy. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 1983; 19:448-50.
7. Rosen WG, Mohs RC, Davis KL. A new rating scale for Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1984; 141:1356-64.
8. Verhey FR, Houx P, Van Lang N, Huppert F, Stoppe G, Saerens J, et al. Cross-national comparison and validation of the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale: results from the European Harmonization Project for Instruments in Dementia (EURO-HARPID). *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2004; 19(1):41-50.
9. Zee RF, Landreth ES, Vicari SK, Belman J, Feldman E, Andrise A, et al. Alzheimer disease assessment scale: a substudy analysis. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 1992; 6:164-81.
10. Doraiswamy PM, Bieber F, Kaiser L, Connors K, Krishnan KR, Reuning-Scherer J, et al. Memory, language and praxis in Alzheimer's disease: norms for outpatient clinical trial populations. *Psychopharmacol Bull*. 1997; 33:123-8.
11. Rosen WG, Mohs RC, Davis KL. Longitudinal changes: cognitive, behavioral, and affective patterns in Alzheimer's disease. In: Poon LW, editor. *Handbook for clinical memory assessment of older adults*. Washington DC: American Psychological Association; 1986. p. 294-301.
12. Doraiswamy PM, Krishen A, Stallone F, Martin WL, Potts NL, Metz A, et al. Cognitive performance on the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale: effect of education. *Neurology*. 1995; 45(11):1980-4.
13. Doraiswamy PM, Bieber F, Kaiser L, Krishnan KR, Reuning-Scherer J, Gulanski B. The Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale: patterns and predictors of baseline cognitive performance in multicenter Alzheimer's disease trials. *Neurology*. 1997; 48(6):1511-7.
14. Van Belle G, Uhlmann R, Hughes JP, Larson E. Reliability of estimates of change in mental status test performance in senile dementia of the Alzheimer type. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 1990; 43: 389-95.
15. Schmeidler J, Mohs RC, Aryan M. Relationship of disease severity to decline on specific cognitive and functional measures in Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 1998; 12(3):146-51.
16. Doraiswamy PM, Kaiser L, Beiber F, Garman RL. The Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale: evaluation of psychometric properties and patterns of cognitive decline in multicenter clinical trials of mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2001; 15(4):174-83.
17. Talwalker S, Overall JE, Sirama MK, Cracon SI. Cardinal features of cognitive dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol*. 1996; 9:39-46.
18. Mohs RC, Knopman D, Petersen RC, Ferris SH, Ernesto C, Grundman M, et al. Development of cognitive instruments for use in clinical trials of antidementia drugs: additions to the Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale that broaden its scope. The Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 1997; 11 Suppl 2:S13-S21.
19. Grundman M, Petersen RC, Ferris SH, Thomas RG, Aisen PS, Bennett DA, et al. Mild cognitive impairment can be distinguished from Alzheimer disease and normal aging for clinical trials. *Arch Neurol*. 2004; 61(1):59-66.
20. Salloway S, Ferris S, Kluger A, Goldman R, Griesing T, Kumar D, et al. Efficacy of donepezil in mild cognitive impairment: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Neurology*. 2004; 64(4):651-7.

21. Nasreddine ZS, Phillips NA, Bedirian V, Charbonneau S, Whitehead V, Collin I, et al. The Montreal Cognitive Assessment: a brief screening tool for mild cognitive impairment. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005; 53(3):695-9.
22. Bullock R, Erkinjuntti T, Lilienfeld S, GAL-INT-6 Study Group. Management of patients with Alzheimer's disease plus cerebrovascular disease: 12-month treatment with galantamine. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord.* 2004; 17(1-2):29-34.
23. Black S, Roman GC, Geldmacher DS, Salloway S, Hecker J, Burns A, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of donepezil in vascular dementia; positive results of a 24-week, multicenter, international, randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial. *Stroke.* 2003; 34(10):2323-30.
24. Wilcock G, Mobius HJ, Stoffler A; MMM 500 group. A double-blind, placebo-controlled multicenter study of memantine in mild to moderate vascular dementia (MMM500) *Int Clin Psychopharmacol.* 2002; 17(6):297-305.
25. Rockwood K, Bowler J, Erkinjuntti T, Hachinski V, Wallin A. Subtypes of vascular dementia. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord.* 1999; 13 Suppl 3:S59-63.
26. Groves WC, Brandt J, Steinberg M, Warren A, Rosenblatt A, Baker A, et al. Vascular dementia and Alzheimer's disease: is there a difference? A comparison of symptoms by disease duration. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2000; 12:305-15.
27. Kunik ME, Huffman JC, Bharani N, Hillman SL, Molinari VA, Orengo CA. Behavioural disturbances in geropsychiatric inpatients across dementia types. *J Geriatr Psychiatry Neurol.* 2000; 13:49-52.
28. Noe E, Marder K, Bell KL, Jacobs DM, Manly JJ, Stern Y. Comparisons of dementia with Lewy bodies to Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease with dementia. *Mov Disord.* 2004 Jan; 19(1):60-7.
29. Cormack F, Aarsland D, Ballard C, Tovee MJ. Pentagon drawing and neuropsychological performance in dementia with Lewy bodies, Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease with dementia. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2004; 19:371-7.
30. Mormont E, Laurier-Grymonprez L, Baisset-Mouly C, Pasquier F. The profile of memory disturbance in early Lewy body dementia differs from that in Alzheimer's disease. *Rev Neurol. (Paris)* 2003; 159:762-6.
31. Ala TA, Hughes LF, Kyrouac GA, Ghobrial MW, Elble RJ. The Mini-Mental State exam may help in the differentiation of dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2003; 18:855-6.
32. Ballard C, O'Brien J, Gray A, Cormack F, Ayre G, Rowan E, et al. Attention and fluctuating attention in patients with dementia with Lewy bodies and Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol.* 2001; 58: 977-82.
33. Mori E, Shimomura T, Fujimori M, Hirono N, Imamura T, Hashimoto M, et al. Visuo-perceptual impairment in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Arch Neurol.* 2000; 57:489-93.
34. Beversdorf DQ, Warner JL, Davis RA, Sharma UK, Nagaraja HN, Scharre DW. Donepezil in the treatment of dementia with lewy bodies. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2004; 12:542-4.
35. Werber EA, Rabey JM. The beneficial effect of cholinesterase inhibitors on patients suffering from Parkinson's disease and dementia. *J Neural Transm.* 2001; 108:1319-25.
36. Binetti G, Locascio JJ, Corkin S, Vonsattel JP, Growdon JH. Differences between Pick's disease and Alzheimer's disease in clinical appearance and rate of cognitive decline. *Arch Neurol.* 2000; 57:225-32.
37. Rascovsky K, Salmon DP, Ho GH, Galasko D, Peavy GM, Hansen LA, et al. Cognitive profiles differ in autopsy-confirmed frontotemporal dementia and AD. *Neurology.* 2002; 58:1801-8.
38. Kertesz A, Davidson W, McCabe P, Munoz D. Behavioral quantitation is more sensitive than cognitive testing in frontotemporal dementia. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord.* 2003; 17:223-9.
39. Kirk A, Kertesz A. Assessment of aphasia. In: Hanson S, Tucker DM, editors. *Neuropsychological assessment.* Philadelphia: Hanley & Belfus; 1992. p. 433-50.
40. Kertesz A. *The Western Aphasia Battery.* New York: Grune and Stratton; 1982.
41. Dubois B, Slachevsky A, Litvan I, Pillon B. The FAB: a Frontal Assessment Battery at bedside. *Neurology.* 2000; 55:1621-6.
42. Slachevsky A, Villalpando JM, Sarazin M, Hahn-Barma V, Pillon B, Dubois B. Frontal Assessment Battery and differential diagnosis of frontotemporal dementia and Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol.* 2004; 61:1104-7.
43. Royall D, Mahurin RK, Cornell J. Bedside assessment of frontal degeneration: distinguishing Alzheimer's disease from non-Alzheimer's cortical dementia. *Exp Aging Res.* 1994; 20:95-103.
44. Royall D, Mahurin RK, Gray KF. Bedside assessment of executive cognitive impairment: the executive interview. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 1992; 40:1221-6.
45. Lipton AM, Ohman KA, Womack KB, Hynan LS, Ninman ET, Lacritz LH. Subscores of the FAB differentiate frontotemporal lobar degeneration from AD. *Neurology.* 2005; 65(5):726-31.
46. Iavarone A, Ronga B, Pellegrino L, Lore E, Vitaliano S, Galeone F, et al. The Frontal Assessment Battery (FAB): normative data from an Italian sample and performances of patients with Alzheimer's disease and frontotemporal dementia. *Funct Neurol.* 2004; 19(3):191-5.
47. Stockholm J, Vogel A, Gade A, Waldemar G. The executive interview as a screening test for executive dysfunction in patients with mild dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2005; 53(9):1577-81.
48. Saxton J, Swihart AA. Neuropsychological assessment of the severely impaired elderly patient. *Clin Geriatr Med.* 1989; 5: 531-43.
49. Schmitt FA, Cragar D, Ashford JW, Resiberg B, Ferris S, Mobius HJ, et al. Measuring cognition in advanced Alzheimer's disease for clinical trials. *J Neural Transm Suppl.* 2002; 62: 135-48.
50. Schmitt FA, Ashford W, Ernesto C, Saxton J, Schneider LS, Clark CM, et al. The severe impairment battery: concurrent validity and assessment of longitudinal change in Alzheimer's disease. *Alzheimer Disease Assoc Disord.* 1997; 11 Suppl 2:S551-6.
51. Panisset M, Roudier M, Saxton J, Boller F. Severe impairment battery: a neuropsychological test for severely demented patients. *Arch Neurol.* 1994; 51:41-5.
52. Tariot PN, Farlow MR, Grossberg GT, Graham SM, McDonald S, Gergel I, et al. Memantine treatment in patients with moderate to severe Alzheimer's disease already receiving donepezil: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2004; 291(3):317-24.
53. Gauthier S, Feldman H, Hecker J, Vellas B, Ames D, Subbiah P, et al. Functional, cognitive and behavioral effects of donepezil in patients with moderate Alzheimer's disease. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2002; 18:347-54.
54. Prasher VP, Huxley A, Haque MS; Down Syndrome Aging Study Group. A 24-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of donepezil in patients with Down syndrome and Alzheimer's disease - pilot study. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry.* 2002; 17:270-8.
55. Folstein MF, Folstein SE, McHugh PR. Mini-mental state. A practical method for grading the cognitive state of patients for the clinician. *J Psychiatr Res.* 1975; 12:189-98.
56. Ihl R, Frolich L, Dierks T, Martin EA, Maurer K. Differential validity of psychometric tests in dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Psychiatry Res.* 1992; 44:93-106.
57. Reisberg B, Ferris SH, Franssen EH, Shulman E, Monteiro L, Sclan SG, et al. Mortality and temporal course of probable Alzheimer's disease: a 5-year prospective study. *Int Psychogeriatr.* 1996; 8:291-311.
58. Brooks J, Yesavage J, Taylor J, Friedman L, Tanks ED, Luby V, et al. Cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease: elaborating on the nature of the longitudinal factor structure of the mini-mental state examination. *Int Psychogeriatr.* 1993; 5:135-45.
59. Teri L, Hughes JP, Larson EB. Cognitive deterioration in Alzheimer's disease: behavioural and health factors. *J Gerontol.* 1993; 5:135-45.
60. Salmon DP, Thal LJ, Butters N, Heindel WC. Longitudinal evaluation of dementia of the Alzheimer type. *Neurology.* 1990; 40:1225-30.

61. Crum RM, Anthony JC, Bassett SS, Folstein MF. Population-based norms for the Mini Mental State Examination by age and educational level. *JAMA*. 1993; 18:2386-91.
62. Teng EL, Chui HC. The Modified Mini-Mental State (3MS) Examination. *J Clin Psychiatry*. 1987; 48:314-8.
63. Jones TG, Schinka JA, Vanderploeg RD, Small BJ, Graves AB, Mortimer JA. 3MS normative data for the elderly. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2002; 17:171-7.
64. Bassuk SS, Murphy JM. Characteristics of the Modified Mini-Mental State Exam among elderly persons. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2003; 56:622-8.
65. Correa JA, Perrault A, Wolfson C. Reliable individual change scores on the 3MS in older person with dementia: results from the Canadian Study of Health and Aging. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2001; 13 Supp 1:S71-8.
66. Jones RW, Soyninen H, Hager K, Aarsland D, Passmore P, Murthy A, et al. A multinational, randomized, 12-week study comparing the effects of donepezil and galantamine in patients with mild to moderate Alzheimer's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2004; 19:58-67.
67. Rogers SL, Farlow MR, Doody RS, Mohs R, Friedhoff LT. A 24-week, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of donepezil in patients with Alzheimer's disease. Donepezil Study Group. *Neurology*. 1998; 50:136-45.
68. Darby D, Maruff P, Collie A, McStephen M. Mild cognitive impairment can be detected by multiple assessments in a single day. *Neurology*. 2002; 59(7):1042-6.
69. Cysique LA, Maruff P, Darby D, Brew BJ. The assessment of cognitive advanced HIV-1 infection and AIDS dementia complex using a new computerized cognitive test battery. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2006; 21(2):185-94.