J. Austral. Math. Soc. 22 (Series B) (1980), 98-103

# EXACT SOLUTIONS OF TWO NONLINEAR EQUATIONS AND HYPERCIRCLE ESTIMATES

V. G. HART

(Received 25 October 1979)

#### Abstract

In a recent paper estimates of the solutions of two nonlinear differential equations were made by use of the hypercircle method. Here exact solutions are given which are compared with those estimates.

## 1. Introduction

In a recent paper [1] estimates for the solution of certain nonlinear differential equations were found by use of the hypercircle method. In this note it is pointed out that both examples considered in [1] admit exact solutions and comparison is made with the trial functions previously used. Of course, the general method described in [1] is still available for problems in which the exact solution is not known.

## 2. Solution for example 1

The first example concerned the nonlinear ordinary differential equation problem

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dx^2} = \phi + \phi^2/4, \quad -1 < x < 1,$$
  

$$\phi(-1) = \phi(1) = 1.$$
(1)

The solution of this problem is given in terms of Jacobian elliptic functions as follows. Multiplication of the equation in (1) by  $d\phi/dx$  and integration leads to

$$\frac{d\phi}{dx} = \left[\phi^2 - \phi_0^2 + (1/6)(\phi^3 - \phi_0^3)\right],\tag{2}$$

on using the evenness of the solution in x, and setting  $\phi(0) = \phi_0$ , as yet unknown. Previous results in [1] show that  $\phi'$  vanishes only at the origin in [0, 1], and that  $\phi$  is monotonic increasing in this interval.

Separation of variables in (2) and integration leads to the solution

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0 - u_1 \, sc^2 (\lambda^{-1} \, 6^{-\frac{1}{2}} x \, | \, m) \tag{3}$$

with the notation of [3], and where

$$u_{1,2} = -3(1+\phi_0/2) \pm \frac{1}{2} [3(2-\phi_0)(6+\phi_0)]^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

$$\lambda^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} (-u_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and } m = (u_1-u_2)/(-u_2).$$
(4)

In order to satisfy the boundary conditions in (1), we require that  $\phi_0$  satisfies

$$\phi_0 = 1 + u_1 \operatorname{sc}^2(\lambda^{-1} 6^{-\frac{1}{2}} | m) \equiv f(\phi_0), \tag{5}$$

and  $\phi_0$  must be found by numerical iteration. This can be a tedious process unless a good initial estimate of  $\phi_0$  is available; happily this is provided by the previous estimate 0.6100 in [1]. Since  $|f'(0.6100)| \simeq 0.07$ , the iteration  $\phi_{r+1} = f(\phi_r)$  converges reasonably quickly to the value  $\phi_0 = 0.60850$ , and the comparison in Table 1 of the exact solution  $\phi$  of (1) with our trial function  $\phi_1$  of [1] can be made.

TABLE 1 Comparison of exact solution  $\phi$  and trial function  $\phi_1$  of example 1 х 0.0  $\pm 0.2$  $\pm 0.4$  $\pm 0.6$  $\pm 0.8$  $\pm 1.0$ 0.60850 0.62258 0.73977 0.84918 φ 0.66557 1.0 0.60100 0.61696 0.66484 0.74464 0.85636 1.0  $\phi_1$ 

The mean-square error is found by numerical integration (Simpson's rule with h = 0.05):

$$\langle \phi - \phi_1, \phi - \phi_1 \rangle = \int_{-1}^{1} (\phi - \phi_1)^2 dx = 5.5 \times 10^{-5},$$
 (6)

so that the upper bound of [1],  $E(\phi_1) = 44 \times 10^{-5}$  is conservative, as could be expected with this simple function  $\phi_1$ .

Further, it can be remarked that the trial function  $\phi_1$  of [1], found by minimizing the hypersphere radius,

$$\phi_1 = 0.601 + 0.399x^2,\tag{7}$$

is a close pointwise approximation in [0, 1] to the expansion of the exact solution (3) to the same order :

$$\phi \simeq 0.6085 + 0.35053x^2. \tag{8}$$

### V. G. Hart

## 3. Solution for example 2

The second example in [1] was the nonlinear boundary-value problem in the plane

$$\left. \begin{array}{c} \nabla^2 \phi = k \, e^{\phi} \quad \text{in} \quad V, \\ \phi = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad B, \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(9)$$

where V is the disc r < 1, so that B is given by r = 1. Here k is a positive real constant. There is a general solution available for the differential equation in (9) (with k positive or negative) due to Liouville [2], and an alternative derivation of this appears in the appendix. It would clearly be of use if the domain V were other than the unit disc, and a conformal map on the unit disc were employed.

However, since in (9) radial symmetry is assumed, there is merely an ordinary differential equation to be solved,

$$(r\phi')' = kr e^{\phi},\tag{10}$$

where a dash denotes differentiation with respect to r. If we differentiate (10) again we get

$$(r\phi')'' = k e^{\phi} (1 + r\phi').$$
 (11)

Setting

$$v = 1 + r\phi' \tag{12}$$

and eliminating the exponential by means of (10), we find from (11) that

$$rv'' = vv'. \tag{13}$$

Next, using v'' = v' dv'/dv, and noting that

$$\frac{d}{dv}(rv')=1+r\frac{dv'}{dv},$$

we obtain from (13) that

$$\frac{d}{dv}(rv') = v+1,\tag{14}$$

after division by v'. Integration then gives

$$rv' = \frac{v^2}{2} + v + C,$$

and the condition v = 1 at r = 0 gives C = -3/2 since we assume  $\phi'$  finite in [0, 1]. Separation of variables and integration gives v as a rational function of  $r^2$ ; then, returning to  $\phi'$  by (12), another integration gives Exact and hypercircle solutions

$$\phi = \ln \{A(a^2 - r^2)^{-2}\},\tag{15}$$

where A and a are arbitrary constants.

Satisfaction of the differential equation and boundary condition in (9) requires that the constants satisfy the conditions

$$A = 8a^2/k$$
 and  $e(1-a^2)^2 = A$ .

Solving the resulting quadratic equation for  $a^2$  and selecting the root greater than unity, we then obtain the solution

$$\phi(r) = \ln\left[(8a^2/k)(a^2 - r^2)^{-2}\right],\tag{16}$$

with

$$a^{2} = 1 + 4/(ke) + \{(1 + 4/(ke))^{2} - 1\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(17)

Since  $a^2$  is greater than unity the solution is continuous in V. We now compare it with the hypercircle estimate. Using the exact solution (16) we calculate the mean-square error  $\langle \phi - \phi_1, \phi - \phi_1 \rangle$  of the trial function

$$\phi_1 = 1 + \beta (r^2 - 1)$$

used in [1]. A comparison with the upper bound  $E(\phi_1)$  for this error is given in Table 2, and again  $E(\phi_1)$  is conservative, but could of course be improved by using a more elaborate trial function.

TABLE 2 Mean-square errors and upper bounds,  $E(\phi_1)$ , example 2  $10^4 \langle \phi - \phi_1, \phi - \phi_1 \rangle$ k β  $10^4 E(\phi_1)$ 5 1.405 81.6 1641.5 2 0.8065 9.69 166.6 1 0.4926 1.49 22.17

The pointwise approximation of  $\phi_1$  to the power series approximation for

$$\phi \simeq a + br^2$$

is not quite as good as in the case of example 1, but improves as k decreases. For example, for k = 1 we have

$$\phi \simeq 0.5252 + 0.4227r^2$$
 and  $\phi_1 = 0.5074 + 0.4926r^2$ . (18)

## Acknowledgement

The author expresses his thanks to Professor A. F. Pillow for suggesting the possibility of obtaining exact solutions to these problems and also for helpful discussions.

## Appendix. Derivation of the solution to problem (9)

Liouville's general solution [2] of the differential equation in (9) can be written

$$\phi = \ln \left\{ AF'(z) \overline{F'(z)} / [F(z)\overline{F(z)} + c]^2 \right\},\tag{A1}$$

where A and c are arbitrary constants, z = x + iy, F(z) is an arbitrary analytic function of z, and the bar denotes the complex conjugate. Both positive and negative values of the parameter k in (9) are allowed.

The following derivation seems a little more direct than the original, and follows at the outset that of Weston [4]. The equation in (9) is

$$\phi_{z\bar{z}} = (k/4) e^{\phi},\tag{A2}$$

where subscripts denote partial derivatives. Differentiating this equation with respect to z we find

$$\phi_{zz\bar{z}} = \phi_{z\bar{z}} \phi_{z}, \tag{A3}$$

having eliminated the exponential. Integration gives

$$\phi_{zz} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_z^2 = B_1(z), \tag{A4}$$

where  $B_1$  is an analytic function of z. Next we differentiate (A2) with respect to  $\overline{z}$  obtaining similarly

$$\phi_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{2}\phi_{\bar{z}}^2 = B_2(\bar{z}), \tag{A5}$$

where  $B_2$  is an antianalytic function of  $\bar{z}$  (that is,  $\partial B_2/\partial z = 0$ ).

Now in (A4) set

$$\phi_{z} = \frac{F''(z)}{F'(z)} + G(z, \bar{z}), \tag{A6}$$

where F is analytic, the dash denoting the derivative, and F' is supposed nonzero in r < 1. We deduce that G satisfies a Riccati equation

$$G_z = \frac{F''}{F'}G + \frac{1}{2}G^2.$$
 (A7)

Setting, as usual,  $G = -2h_z/h$ , we solve (A7) for G and find that

$$\phi_z = F''(z)/F'(z) - 2F'(z)k(\bar{z})/[F(z)k(\bar{z}) + n(\bar{z})],$$
(A8)

with k and n arbitrary.

Similarly, from (A5) we may deduce that

$$\phi_{\overline{z}} = \overline{F''(z)}/\overline{F'(z)} - 2\overline{F'(z)}\,s(z)/[\overline{F(z)}\,s(z) + p(z)],\tag{A9}$$

with s and p arbitrary. Finally, using  $\phi_{z\bar{z}} = \phi_{\bar{z}z}$ , we find by comparison that s(z) = F(z),  $k(\bar{z}) = \overline{F(z)}$ , and  $n(\bar{z}) = p(z) = c$ , constant. The solution (A1) is thus established.

In the case of the problem in (9), we set F(z) = z in (A1), and the constants A and c  $(= -a^2)$  are determined as in Section 3.

## References

- A. M. Arthurs and V. G. Hart, "The method of the hypercircle for a class of nonlinear equations", J. Austral. Math. Soc. B 21 (1979), 75–83.
- [2]. J. Liouville, "Sur l'équation aux différences partielles [∂²/(∂u ∂v)] (log λ)±λ/2a² = 0", J. de Math. 18 (1853), 71-72.
- [3]. L. M. Milne-Thomson, Jacobian elliptic function tables (Dover, 1950).
- [4]. V. H. Weston, "On the asymptotic solution of a partial differential equation with an exponential nonlinearity", SIAM J. Math. Anal. 9 (1978), 1030–1053.

Department of Mathematics University of Queensland St. Lucia Queensland 4067