# SOME GEOMETRIC PROPRTIES OF A SEQUENCE SPACE RELATED TO $\ell^{p}$ 

Mursaleen

The sequence space $m(\phi)$, introduced and studied by W.L.C. Sargent in 1960, is closely related to the space $\ell^{p}$. In this paper we obtain an explicit formula for the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of any bounded subset in $m(\phi)$. We also show that $m(\phi)$ enjoys the weak Banach-Saks property, while $C(m(\phi))=2$. This shows that the condition $C(X)<2$, known to be sufficient for the space $X$ to have the weak Banach-Saks property, is not a necessary one.

## 1. Preliminaries and Introduction

Let $X$ be a Banach space and $S(X)$ and $B(X)$ be the unit sphere and the unit ball of $X$, respectively. Let $\ell^{0}$ be the set of all real sequences.

A Banach space $X$ is said to have the Banach-Saks property if every bounded sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $X$ admits a subsequence $z=\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ such that the sequence $\left\{t_{k}(z)\right\}$. is convergent in norm in $X$ (see [4]), where

$$
t_{k}(z)=\frac{1}{k}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}+\cdots+z_{k}\right)
$$

A Banach space $X$ is said to have the weak Banach-Saks property whenever given any weakly null sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$ there exists a subsequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ such that the sequence $\left\{t_{k}(z)\right\}$ converges to zero strongly.

A new geometric constant $C(X)$ concerning the Banach-Saks property was introduced in [3]

$$
C(X)=\sup \left\{A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right):\left\{x_{n}\right\} \text { is a weakly null sequence in } S(X)\right\}
$$

where for a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset X$, we define [1]

$$
A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\{\left\|x_{i}+x_{j}\right\|: i, j \geqslant n, i \neq j\right\}
$$
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For any Köthe sequence space $X, C(X) \leqslant D(X) \leqslant 2$ (see [3, Theorem 2]), where

$$
D(X)=\sup \left\{\operatorname{sep}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right):\left\{x_{n}\right\} \subset S(X)\right\}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{sep}\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=\inf \left\{\left\|x_{n}-x_{m}\right\|: n \neq m\right\} .
$$

Let $Q$ be a bounded subset of $X$. Then the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness (see $[2,5]$ ) of the set $Q$ denoted by $\chi(Q)$ is defined as

$$
\chi(Q)=\inf \{\varepsilon>0: Q \text { has a finite } \varepsilon-\text { net in } X\}
$$

Let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the space whose elements are finite sets of distinct positive integers. Given any element $\sigma$ of $\mathcal{C}$, we denote by $c(\sigma)$ the sequence $\left\{c_{n}(\sigma)\right\}$ for which $c_{n}(\sigma)=1$ if $n \in \sigma$, and $c_{n}(\sigma)=0$ otherwise. Further, let

$$
\mathcal{C}_{s}=\left\{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}: \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n}(\sigma) \leqslant s\right\}
$$

the set of those $\sigma$ whose support has cardinality at most $s$, and let

$$
\Phi=\left\{\phi=\left\{\phi_{n}\right\} \in \ell^{0}: \phi_{1}>0, \Delta \phi_{k} \geqslant 0 \text { and } \Delta\left(\frac{\phi_{k}}{k}\right) \leqslant 0 \quad(k=1,2, \ldots)\right\}
$$

where $\Delta \phi_{n}=\phi_{n}-\phi_{n-1}$.
For $\phi \in \Phi$, we define the following sequence space, introduce in [7],

$$
m(\phi)=\left\{x=\left\{x_{n}\right\} \in \ell^{0}: \sup _{s \geqslant 1} \sup _{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_{s}}\left(\frac{1}{\phi_{s}} \sum_{n \in \sigma}\left|x_{n}\right|\right)<\infty\right\} .
$$

It is easy to see that the space $m(\phi)$ is a Köthe sequence space, indeed a $B K$-space with respect to its natural norm. Sargent [7] established the relationship of this space to the space $\ell^{p}(1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty)$ and characterised some matrix transformations. In [6] matrix classes ( $X, m(\phi)$ ) have been characterised, where $X$ is any FK-space.

In this paper we shall compute the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness in the space $m(\phi)$ and also study some geometric properties of $m(\phi)$.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 1. Let $Q$ be a bounded subset of $m(\phi)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(Q)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in Q}\left(\sup _{s>k} \sup _{\tau \in \mathcal{C}_{s}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s}} \sum_{n \in \tau}\left|x_{n}\right|\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof: Let us define the operator $P_{k}: m(\phi) \rightarrow m(\phi)$ by $P_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right)$ $=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}, 0,0, \ldots\right)$ for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots\right) \in m(\phi)$. Then clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \subset P_{k} Q+\left(I-P_{k}\right) Q \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1.2) and the basic properties of $\chi$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi(Q) & \leqslant \chi\left(P_{k} Q\right)+\chi\left(\left(I-P_{k}\right) Q\right)=\chi\left(\left(I-P_{k}\right) Q\right) \\
& \leqslant \operatorname{diam}\left(\left(I-P_{k}\right) Q\right)=\sup _{x \in Q}\left\|\left(I-P_{k}\right) x\right\| \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\left\|\left(I-P_{k}\right) x\right\|=\sup _{s>k} \sup _{\tau \in \mathcal{C}_{s}} \frac{1}{\phi_{s}} \sum_{n \in \tau}\left|x_{n}\right| .
$$

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(Q) \leqslant \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in Q}\left\|\left(I-P_{k}\right) x\right\| \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, let $\varepsilon>0$ and $\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{j}\right\}$ be a $[\chi(Q)+\varepsilon]$-net of $Q$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \subset\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{j}\right\}+[\chi(Q)+\varepsilon] B(m(\phi)) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x \in Q}\left\|\left(I-P_{k}\right) x\right\| \leqslant \sup _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant j}\left\|\left(I-P_{k}\right) z_{i}\right\|+[\chi(Q)+\varepsilon] \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, (1.6) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x \in Q}\left\|\left(I-P_{k}\right) x\right\| \leqslant \chi(Q)+\varepsilon \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon$ is arbitrary, (1.4) and (1.7) yield (1.1).
$\square$
ThEOREM 2. The space $m(\phi)$ has the weak Banach-Saks property.
Proof. Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers for which $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_{n} \leqslant 1 / 2$. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ be a weakly null sequence in $B(m(\phi))$. Set $x_{0}=0$ and $z_{1}=x_{1}$. Then there exists $s_{1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i \in \tau_{1}} z_{1}(i) e_{i}\right\|_{m(\phi)}<\varepsilon_{1}
$$

where $\tau_{1}$ consist of the elements of $\sigma$ which exceed $s_{1}$.
Since $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\omega} 0$ implies $x \rightarrow 0$ coordinatewise, there is an $n_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{s_{1}} x_{n}(i) e_{i}\right\|_{m(\phi)}<\varepsilon_{1}
$$

when $n \geqslant n_{2}$. Set $z_{2}=x_{n_{2}}$. Then there exists a $s_{2}>s_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i \in \tau_{2}} z_{2}(i) e_{i}\right\|_{m(\phi)}<\varepsilon_{2}
$$

where $\tau_{2}$ consist of all elements of $\sigma$ which exceed $s_{2}$. Again using the fact $x_{n} \rightarrow 0$ coordinatewise, there exists an $n_{3}>n_{2}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{s_{2}} x_{n}(i) e_{i}\right\|_{m(\phi)}<\varepsilon_{2}
$$

when $n \geqslant n_{3}$.
Continuing this process, we can find two increasing sequences $\left\{s_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{s_{j}} x_{n}(i) e_{i}\right\|_{m(\phi)}<\varepsilon_{j}, \text { for each } n \geqslant n_{j+1}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sum_{i \in \tau_{j}} z_{i}(i) e_{i}\right\|_{m(\phi)}<\varepsilon_{j}
$$

where $z_{i}=x_{n_{j}}$ and $\tau_{j}$ consist of elements of $\sigma$ which exceed $s_{j}$.
Since $\varepsilon_{j-1}+\varepsilon_{j}<1$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\phi_{s}} \sum_{n \in \sigma}\left|z_{j}(n)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon_{j-1}+\varepsilon_{j}<1
$$

for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}\right\|_{m(\phi)}=\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s_{j-1}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}+\sum_{i=s_{j-1}+1}^{s_{j}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}+\sum_{i \in \tau_{j}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}\right)\right\|_{m(\phi)} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{s_{j-1}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}\right)\right\|_{m(\phi)}+\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=s_{j-1}+1}^{s_{j}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}\right)\right\|_{m(\phi)} \\
&+\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i \in \tau_{j}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}\right)\right\|_{m(\phi)} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=s_{j-1}+1}^{s_{j}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}\right)\right\|_{m(\phi)}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=s_{j-1}+1}^{s_{j}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}\right)\right\|_{m(\phi)} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\|\sum_{i \in \tau_{j}} z_{j}(i) e_{i}\right\|_{m(\phi)}<\sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}\right\|_{m(\phi)} \leqslant 3 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}\right\|_{m(\phi)} \leqslant \frac{3}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{j} \rightarrow 0 \quad(n \rightarrow \infty)
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Theorem 3. For the Banach space $X=m(\phi)$,

$$
C(X)=2
$$

Proof: Let us consider a sequence $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{s}, 0,0, \ldots\right)$ such that $\left|u_{1}\right|+\left|u_{2}\right|$ $+\cdots+\left|u_{s}\right|=\phi_{s}$. Then $\Delta \phi_{s}=\phi_{s}-\phi_{s-1}=\left|u_{s}\right|$. Therefore $u \in m(\phi)$, since $\Delta \phi \in m(\phi)$, (see [7, Lemma 6]). Further $\|u\|_{m(\phi)}=1$. Define

$$
x_{n}=(\underbrace{0,0, \ldots, 0}_{s n}, u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{s}, 0, \ldots)
$$

$(n \in \sigma)$. Then $x_{n} \xrightarrow{\omega} 0$ and

$$
\left\|x_{k}+x_{l}\right\|_{m(\phi)}=2\|u\|_{m(\phi)}=2
$$

that is, $\left\|x_{k}+x_{l}\right\|_{m(\phi)}=2(k \neq l)$ implies $A\left(\left\{x_{n}\right\}\right)=2$. Hence $C(m(\phi)) \geqslant 2$. Further, $\left\|x_{k}-x_{l}\right\|_{m(\phi)}=2$, and hence $D(m(\phi)) \leqslant 2$. Since $C(m(\phi)) \leqslant D(m(\phi)) \leqslant 2$, we conclude that $C(m(\phi))=2$.
Remark. In [3], it was shown that any Banach space $X$ with $C(X)<2$ has the weak Banach-Saks property. Our Theorems 2 and 3 show that the converse of this statement need not be true; that is, if a Banach space $X$ has the weak Banach-Saks property then $C(X)<2$ is not necessarily true.
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