

T.L. Wilson, C. Henkel, C.M. Walmsley, T. Pauls  
 Max-Planck-Institut für Radioastronomie  
 Auf dem Hugel 69, 5300 Bonn 1, Federal Republic of Germany

This report is a summary of the determination of the ratio of the column density of  $\text{H}_2^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$  (hereafter  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ ) or  $\text{H}_2^{12}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$  (hereafter  $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$ ) to that of  $\text{H}_2^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$  (hereafter  $\text{H}_2^{13}\text{CO}$ ). With one exception, all of the published ratios have been determined from measurements of the  $1_{10}\text{-}1_{11}$  lines. The exception is the Orion Molecular Cloud (see discussion of Kutner *et al.* 1976). The most complete surveys of  $\text{H}_2^{13}\text{CO}$  are those of Wilson *et al.* (1976) and Gardner and Whiteoak (1979). The ratios obtained from  $1_{10}\text{-}1_{11}$  data are lower limits because of the effect of photon trapping in  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$  (see the discussion by Henkel *et al.* 1979b). From measurements of the  $1_{10}\text{-}1_{11}$  and  $2_{11}\text{-}2_{12}$  lines and model calculations, Henkel *et al.* estimate that the corrections for 10 clouds outside the Galactic center region is  $1.6\pm 0.7$ . This correction gives an average ( $\text{H}_2\text{CO}/\text{H}_2^{13}\text{CO}$ ) ratio of  $64\pm 17$ . The models of Henkel *et al.* also predict the optical depth of the  $3_{12}\text{-}3_{13}$  line and the brightness temperature of the  $2_{12}\text{-}1_{11}$  line. Preliminary measurements of Wilson *et al.* (1979) show that the  $3_{12}\text{-}3_{13}$  results are consistent with the predictions; but more sensitive measurements are required to test the model fully. Unpublished  $2_{12}\text{-}1_{11}$  emission-line spectra are weaker than predicted by the model, but this might be caused by beam dilution, since the observations were made so as to cover a 1.5' uniformly weighted aperture. The lineshapes of the  $1_{10}\text{-}1_{11}$  and  $2_{11}\text{-}2_{12}$  absorption lines agree poorly with the  $2_{12}\text{-}1_{11}$  emission lines, indicating either that self-absorption in the millimeter lines of  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$  is important, or that there are  $\text{H}_2\text{CO}$  clouds behind the continuum sources. Because of their position relative to the continuum sources these clouds would contribute to the millimeter emission lines but not to the centimeter absorption lines.

In a few sources such as the molecular clouds toward the high brightness HII regions W33, W51, Sgr B<sub>2</sub> and Sgr A it is possible to determine the ratio of  $\text{H}_2\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$  to  $\text{H}_2^{13}\text{CO}$ . Because both of these rare isotopes are optically thin, no trapping corrections are required. The average ratios obtained by Tucker *et al.* (1979) and Henkel *et al.* (1979a) are about twice the ratio of the column density of  $^{12}\text{C}^{18}\text{O}$  to  $^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$  measured by Wannier *et al.* (1976) for 14 molecular clouds. The difference in these double ratios is an indication that  $^{13}\text{CO}$  is enhanced by a fractionation

process (see discussion by Watson and R.W. Wilson *et al.*, in this volume). The Sgr B<sub>2</sub> molecular cloud was not analyzed by Henkel *et al.* (1979b) because of the large optical depth in the 1<sub>10</sub>-1<sub>11</sub> line. However, measurements of the 3<sub>12</sub>-3<sub>13</sub> line, and model calculations indicate that the correction for photon trapping is about a factor of 2. From this factor and measurements of the 1<sub>10</sub>-1<sub>11</sub> line, the (H<sub>2</sub>CO/H<sub>2</sub><sup>13</sup>CO) ratio is about 25. Combining this result with the (H<sub>2</sub><sup>12</sup>C<sup>18</sup>O/H<sub>2</sub><sup>13</sup>C<sup>16</sup>O) average ratio from Tucker *et al.* (1979) and Henkel *et al.* (1979a), we find (<sup>16</sup>O/<sup>18</sup>O) = 160, which is significantly below the terrestrial value of 489 (Heath 1976).

A partial map of the Orion Molecular Cloud in the 3<sub>12</sub>-3<sub>13</sub> line with a ~30" angular resolution shows that structure on the order of 20" exists in the source. This measurement is consistent with the model of Evans *et al.* (1979) which predicts an H<sub>2</sub> density of ~10<sup>6</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>. From the analysis of the Sgr B<sub>2</sub> cloud, the density is ~10<sup>4.2</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>; and for the 10 clouds studied by Henkel *et al.* (1979b) the density is ~10<sup>5</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup>. These densities are 10-100 times those obtained from measurements of CO by Plambeck and Williams (1979) but agree roughly with the CS results of Linke and Goldsmith (1979). It is possible that different molecules are located mainly in different regions of the clouds, where different H<sub>2</sub> densities are present.

#### REFERENCES

- Evans, N.J., Plambeck, R.L., Davis, J.H.: 1979, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 227, L25
- Gardner, F.F., Whiteoak, J.B.: 1979, *Monthly Notices Roy. Astron. Soc.* 188, 331
- Heath, R.L.: 1976 in "Handbook of Chemistry and Physics", 57th Edition, ed. R.C. Weast, CRC Press, Cleveland, p. B-270
- Henkel, C., Wilson, T.L., Downes, D.: 1979a, *Astron. Astrophys.* 73, L13
- Henkel, C., Walmsley, C.M., Wilson, T.L.: 1979b, *Astron. Astrophys.*, in press
- Kutner, M.L., Evans, N.J., Tucker, K.D.: 1976, *Astrophys. J.* 209, 452
- Linke, R.A., Goldsmith, P.: 1979, this volume
- Plambeck, R.L., Williams, D.R.W.: 1979, *Astrophys. J.* 227, L43
- Tucker, K.D., Kutner, M.L., Massano, W.: 1979, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* 227, L143
- Wannier, P.G., Penzias, A.A., Linke, R.A., Wilson, R.W.: 1976, *Astrophys. J.* 204, 26
- Wilson, T.L., Bieging, J., Downes, D., Gardner, F.F.: 1976, *Astron. Astrophys.* 51, 303
- Wilson, T.L., Walmsley, C.M., Henkel, C., Pauls, T., Mattes, H.: 1979, *Astron. Astrophys.*, in press

## DISCUSSION FOLLOWING WILSON

*Field:* The density you derive for  $H_2$  depends on the rotational-excitation rate-coefficients. The cross-sections given by Sheldon Green are for He-HCHO collisions and may be rather different for  $H_2$ -HCHO collisions. Even if  $H_2$  is in the  $J=0$  state, the anisotropy of the potential may be significantly different from that of He. A further point is that very recent calculations by Gerratt and Wilson in Bristol (U.K.) show that cross-sections for rotational excitation are sharply resonant with kinetic energy of He in collisions with HCHO. With a knowledge of the temperatures at which these resonances occur we may be able in the future to characterize cloud temperatures by anomalously high populations of excited rotational states.

*Wilson:* There are still problems in that we are always making line-of-sight averages in astronomy and in that the density of  $H_2$  is a free parameter. Our density estimates depend on the collisional cross-sections, so estimates of the effect of substituting  $H_2$  for He as a collision partner would be valuable.

*Guélin:* There is evidence that a cold, low density ( $10^2$ - $10^3\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) foreground cloud lies in the line of sight to the Sgr B2 source at  $V \sim 62$  km/s (e.g. the  $HCO^+$  data of Guélin and Thaddeus, 1979). How will the presence of this low density cloud affect your results?

*Wilson:* The line shapes of the  $l_{10}$ - $l_{11}$  lines of  $H_2^{12}C^{18}O$  and  $H_2^{12}C^{16}O$  agree, yet  $H_2^{12}C^{18}O$  is optically thin, while  $H_2^{12}C^{16}O$  has a measured optical depth of 1.3-1.8. Hence we do not believe that self-absorption affects our  $l_{10}$ - $l_{11}$  line. It may be that the  $H_2CO$  is in some way related to the low density foreground cloud that you observe. However, the absorption is associated with the region in front of the compact continuum sources, a fact which may explain our higher density ( $n(H_2) \sim 10^{4.2}\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) and kinetic temperature ( $T_K \sim 60\text{K}$ ). Similar parameters for  $n(H_2)$  and  $T_K$  were obtained by Winnewisser, Walmsley and Churchwell (1978) from  $NH_3$  absorption toward Sgr B2.

*Greenberg:* I know that density alone is not the best way to distinguish the clouds you considered, but did you find any significant difference in the carbon isotopic ratio as a function of density? It appeared to me that Sgr B2, which has the lowest density, also had the lowest  $^{13}C/^{12}C$  ratio.

*Wilson:* Unfortunately, the Sgr B2 cloud is the only one in our sample in which the density and the ( $^{12}C/^{13}C$ ) ratio are low. The ( $^{12}C/^{13}C$ ) ratios of the other 10 sources are scattered, whereas  $n(H_2)$  is, in all cases, close to  $10^5\text{cm}^{-3}$ .

*de Jong:* Should your results be interpreted to mean that  $H_2CO$  can only form in high density regions or do they mean only that high densities are required to excite the  $H_2CO$  molecules sufficiently?

*Wilson:* Because we make use of measurements of the  $l_{10}$ - $l_{11}$  line, which is the lowest K-doublet in the  $K_a=1$  ladder, the excitation should not be dependent on high densities. The  $H_2CO$  is located mainly in regions where  $n(H_2) \sim 10^5\text{cm}^{-3}$ , though you should note that we assume that clouds are spheres or slabs of uniform density and uniform temperature.

*Evans:* I have to disagree with your conclusion that H<sub>2</sub>CO exists only in dense regions. We find that the H<sub>2</sub>CO abundance actually decreases in dense regions.

*Wilson:* "Dense" is a vague term. Our results show that the H<sub>2</sub>CO occurs where  $n(\text{H}_2) \sim 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$ . Plambeck and Williams (1979) derive  $n(\text{H}_2) \sim 10^2 - 10^3 \text{cm}^{-3}$  from a similar study of CO. The H<sub>2</sub>CO is located in a denser region than that. We know that regions where  $n(\text{H}_2) \sim 10^6 \text{cm}^{-3}$  (e.g. Orion) exist, but we obtain (except for Orion) no such high density. So in this sense we agree. Finally our results apply to molecular clouds near HII regions, and you are comparing them to results obtained for dark dust clouds.

*Evans:* Your result in OMC 1 agrees with our model, which requires the presence of small dense clumps, and results in a H<sub>2</sub><sup>1,2</sup>CO/H<sub>2</sub><sup>1,3</sup>CO ratio higher than 100.

*Wilson:* Our map of Orion in the 3<sub>12</sub>-3<sub>13</sub> line of H<sub>2</sub>CO should give us a direct check of your angular size predictions.