ON POWERS OF HALF-TWISTS IN M(0, 2n)

GREGOR MASBAUM

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu (UMR 7586 du CNRS) Case 247, 4 pl. Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 5, France e-mail: gregor.masbaum@imj-prg.fr URL: webusers.imj-prg.fr/gregor.masbaum

(Received 14 October 2016; accepted 16 June 2017; first published online 30 October 2017)

Abstract. We use elementary skein theory to prove a version of a result of Stylianakis (Stylianakis, The normal closure of a power of a half-twist has infinite index in the mapping class group of a punctured sphere, arXiv:1511.02912) who showed that under mild restrictions on *m* and *n*, the normal closure of the *m*th power of a half-twist has infinite index in the mapping class group of a sphere with 2*n* punctures.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 20F38, 57M99, 57R56.

1. Introduction. Let M(0, 2n) be the mapping class group of the 2-sphere S^2 fixing (setwise) a set of 2n points $p_1, \ldots, p_{2n} \in S^2$. It is well-known [**2**] that M(0, 2n) is a quotient of the braid group B_{2n} on 2n strands, where the braid generator σ_i ($i = 1, \ldots, 2n - 1$) maps to the mapping class $h_i \in M(0, 2n)$ which is a *half-twist* permuting p_i and p_{i+1} and fixing all other points p_i . Stylianakis recently showed the following:

THEOREM 1.1 (Stylianakis [10]). For $2n \ge 6$ and $m \ge 5$, the normal closure of h_i^m has infinite index in M(0, 2n).

(Note that the normal closure does not depend on i, as the h_i are all conjugate.)

For 2n = 6, this result was known and is due to Humphries [5], as it is equivalent (by the Birman-Hilden Theorem) to Humphries' result [5, Theorem 4] that the normal closure of the *m*th power of a non-separating Dehn twist has infinite order in the genus 2 mapping class group for $m \ge 5$. Humphries' method was to employ the Jones representation [4] of the genus 2 mapping class group together with an explicit computation. Stylianakis' generalization proceeds by using certain Jones representations of M(0, 2n), but his proof involves some non-trivial representation theory.

In this paper, we give an elementary skein-theoretic proof of the following:

THEOREM 1.2. For $2n \ge 4$ and $m \ge 6$, the normal closure of h_i^m has infinite index in M(0, 2n).

The key point in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is a simple 2×2 matrix calculation that I essentially did in [8]. Note that Theorem 1.2 implies Stylianakis' result for $m \ge 6$. Theorem 1.2 does not hold when m = 5 and 2n = 4, as $M(0, 4)/(h_i^5 = 1)$ is a finite group (the alternating group A_5). I believe that the remaining case ($m = 5, 2n \ge 6$) of Stylianakis' theorem can also be proved using the skein-theoretic method exposed below, but it would require a calculation with 5×5 matrices which I have not done (see Remark 3.5).

GREGOR MASBAUM

2. Strategy of the proof. The proof will be based on the representation of the braid group B_{2n} on the Kauffman bracket [6] skein module of the 3-ball relative to 2n marked points on the boundary. We will show that for an appropriate choice of Kauffman's skein variable A, this representation induces a projective-linear representation

$$\rho: M(0, 2n) \to \mathrm{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})$$

(where d depends on n) so that

(i) $\rho(h_i^m) = 1$, and

(ii) the image $\rho(M(0, 2n))$ is an infinite group.

Clearly, this will imply that the normal closure of h_i^m has infinite index in M(0, 2n).

REMARK 2.1. Stylianakis used the same strategy applied to a certain Jones representation of M(0, 2n). Actually, up to normalization and change of variables, the representation ρ is equivalent to the Jones representation for the rectangular Young diagram with two rows of length n. (We shall not make use of this fact in this paper.) For the purpose at hand, I find the skein-theoretic approach much easier.

REMARK 2.2. Funar [3] showed that the normal closure of the *m*th power of a Dehn twist has infinite index in the mapping class group of a genus g surface (with some restrictions on *m* and g) using the above strategy applied to TQFT-representations of mapping class groups. Our representation ρ can also be viewed as a TQFT representation of M(0, 2n). But for us, TQFT is not actually needed. We shall only need Birman's presentation [2, Theorem 4.5] of M(0, 2n) as a quotient of B_{2n} and elementary skein theory.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We start with the representation of the braid group B_{2n} on the Kauffman bracket skein module of the 3-ball relative to 2n marked points on the boundary. Let us recall how this representation, which we denote by ρ , is defined. The skein module is a free $\mathbb{Z}[A, A^{-1}]$ -module of dimension

$$d = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$$

(the Catalan number).¹ Its elements are represented by $\mathbb{Z}[A, A^{-1}]$ -linear combinations of (0, 2n)-tangle diagrams, that is, tangle diagrams in a rectangle relative to 2n marked points at the top of the rectangle. The diagrams are considered modulo the Kauffman skein relations (which will be stated shortly). The skein module has a standard basis given by tangle diagrams without crossings and without closed circles. For example, if the number of points is 2n = 4, the dimension is d = 2 and the basis is given by the two diagrams

$$D_1 = \bigcup \bigcup D_2 = \bigcup$$

Below we specialize A to a non-zero complex number, so that the skein module with this basis (ordered in some arbitrary fashion) is identified with \mathbb{C}^d .

The *i*th braid generator σ_i acts on a diagram *D* by gluing the usual braid diagram of σ_i^{-1} on top of *D* (that is, the braid diagram which has a crossing \times at the *i*th and

¹A proof of this formula can be found in [7, p. 661].

(i + 1)-st strand and all other strands are vertical). (We use inverses here so as to get a left action of B_{2n} on the skein module.) The Kauffman bracket skein relation

$$\sum = A \sum + A^{-1} \rangle \langle$$

implies that

$$\rho(\sigma_i) = A \rho(E_i) + A^{-1} \operatorname{Id}$$
,

where E_i has \asymp at the appropriate place and all other strands are vertical. The second Kauffman skein relation, which fixes the value of an unknot diagram to $-A^2 - A^{-2}$, implies that

$$\rho(E_i)^2 = (-A^2 - A^{-2})\,\rho(E_i)$$

A simple recursion now establishes that

$$\rho(\sigma_i^m) = P_m(A)\,\rho(E_i) + A^{-m}\,\mathrm{Id},$$

where $P_m(A) = A^{2-m}(1 - A^4 + A^8 - \ldots + (-1)^{m-1}A^{4m-4})$. Thus, we have the following:

PROPOSITION 3.1. If $A \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $P_m(A) = 0$, then $\rho(\sigma_i^m) = A^{-m}$ Id is the identity element in $\text{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})$.

From now on, we assume that A is a zero of the polynomial $P_m(A)$. Note that all zeros of $P_m(A)$ are roots of unity. We shall make a precise choice of A later.

PROPOSITION 3.2. For any $A \in \mathbb{C}^*$, the homomorphism $\rho : B_{2n} \to \operatorname{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ factors through M(0, 2n).

Proof. This is well-known but here is a proof. The group M(0, 2n) is the quotient of B_{2n} by the relations $R_1 = R_2 = 1$, where

$$R_1 = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{2n-1} \sigma_{2n-1} \sigma_{2n-2} \cdots \sigma_1$$

$$R_2 = (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_{2n-1})^{2n}$$

(see [2, Theorem 4.5]). Using the isotopy invariance of the Kauffman bracket, it is easy to check that

$$\rho(R_1) = (-A^3)^2 \operatorname{Id},$$

$$\rho(R_2) = (-A^3)^{2n} \operatorname{Id}.$$

(see [9, Section 1.3]). This proves the proposition.

REMARK 3.3. For appropriate roots of unity A, the induced projective-linear representation of M(0, 2n) is a TQFT representation, as follows from the skein-theoretic construction of Witten-Reshetikhin-Turaev TQFT in [1].

By abuse of notation, we denote the induced homomorphism $M(0, 2n) \rightarrow PGL_d(\mathbb{C})$, which sends h_i to $\rho(\sigma_i)$, again by ρ . Thus, we have realized condition (i)

GREGOR MASBAUM

of the strategy outlined in §2. To realize condition (ii), it suffices to find an element $\phi \in B_{2n}$ so that $\rho(\phi)$ has infinite order in $\text{PGL}_d(\mathbb{C})$. We now show that $\phi = \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^{-2}$ works.

Recall the diagrams D_i (i = 1, 2) depicted above. By taking disjoint union of D_i with some fixed (0, 2n - 4)-tangle diagram \tilde{D} (so that the first four points are the boundary of D_i , and the remaining 2n - 4 points are the boundary of \tilde{D}), we get two diagrams D'_1 and D'_2 which form part of a basis of our skein module. The twodimensional subspace spanned by D'_1 and D'_2 is preserved by both $\rho(\sigma_1)$ and $\rho(\sigma_2)$. On this subspace, $\rho(\sigma_1)$ and $\rho(\sigma_2)$ act by the following matrices:

$$\rho(\sigma_1) = \begin{bmatrix} -A^3 & A \\ 0 & A^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \rho(\sigma_2) = \begin{bmatrix} A^{-1} & 0 \\ A & -A^3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(This follows immediately from the Kauffman relations.) A straightforward calculation now gives that the matrix of $\rho(\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^{-2})$ acting on this two-dimensional subspace is

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 2 - A^4 - A^{-4} + A^8 & -A^{-2} + A^{-6} \\ A^{-2} - A^{-6} & A^{-8} \end{bmatrix}$$

Clearly, if *M* has infinite order in PGL₂(\mathbb{C}), then $\rho(\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^{-2})$ has infinite order in PGL_d(\mathbb{C}).

LEMMA 3.4. *M* has infinite order in PGL₂(\mathbb{C}) provided the order *r* of the root of unity $q = A^4$ satisfies $r \ge 3$ and $r \notin \{4, 6, 10\}$.

Proof. For $r \ge 5$ and $r \notin \{6, 10\}$, this is shown in [8], as one can check that the matrix M is conjugate to the one computed in [8]. We can also apply the argument of [8] directly to our matrix, as follows. Note that M has determinant 1 and trace

$$t = 2 - q - q^{-1} + q^2 + q^{-2}$$

where $q = A^4$. If *M* has finite order in PGL₂(\mathbb{C}), then its eigenvalues λ and λ^{-1} must satisfy $\lambda^N = \lambda^{-N}$ for some *N*, so λ is a root of unity. But this is impossible, as we can find a primitive *r*th root $q \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|t| = |\lambda + \lambda^{-1}| > 2$ (see [8]). Thus, *M* has infinite order in PGL₂(\mathbb{C}).

In the remaining case r = 3, it suffices to observe that in this case we have t = 2, so *M* is conjugate to

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & c \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $c \neq 0$ (since M is not the identity matrix).

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now completed as follows. For $m \ge 6$, we choose A to be a primitive Nth root of unity, as follows:

- For m = 6, we take N = 12.
- For m = 10, we take N = 20.
- For odd $m \ge 7$, we take N = 8m.
- For even $m \ge 8$, $m \ne 10$, we take N = 4m.

Then, $P_m(A) = 0$, so Proposition 3.1 applies. Also, $q = A^4$ has order $r \ge 3$, $r \notin \{4, 6, 10\}$, so Lemma 3.4 applies. Thus, ρ satisfies condition (i) because of

Proposition 3.1, and ρ satisfies condition (ii) because the matrix $\rho(\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^{-2})$ has infinite order in PGL_d(\mathbb{C}). This completes the proof.

REMARK 3.5. I expect that the remaining case $(m = 5, 2n \ge 6)$ of Stylianakis' theorem (see Theorem 1.1) can also be proved using the skein-theoretic representation ρ evaluated at a root of unity A so that $P_5(A) = 0$. It suffices to find $\phi \in B_6$ so that the 5×5 matrix $\rho(\phi)$ has infinite order in PGL₅(\mathbb{C}). This will imply the result for M(0, 2n)with $2n \ge 6$ for the same reason as above. Stylianakis describes such an element ϕ and shows that it has infinite order in the Jones representation he uses. Actually ϕ is closely related to the element originally used by Humphries [5]. Note that *modulo* identifying our skein-theoretic representation of M(0, 6) with the Jones representation used by Humphries, the fact that $\rho(\phi)$ has infinite order is already shown by Humphries. There seems to be no advantage in redoing the relevant 5×5 matrix computation directly from the skein-theoretic approach, and I have not attempted to do so.

REMARK 3.6. The proof of Proposition 3.2 shows that one can rescale ρ to get a representation $\hat{\rho}$ of B_{2n} which descends to M(0, 2n) as a *linear* representation: put

$$\hat{\rho}(\sigma_i) = \theta^{-1} \rho(\sigma_i),$$

where $\theta^{4n-2} = (-A^3)^2 = A^6$; then $\hat{\rho}(R_1) = \hat{\rho}(R_2) = \text{Id}$. Note that

$$\hat{\rho}(\sigma_i^m) = (\theta A)^{-m} \operatorname{Id} A$$

One may wonder whether θ can be chosen so that $(\theta A)^{-m} = 1$. In general, the answer is no. For example, if *m* is odd, then $P_m(A) = 0$ implies $A^{4m} = -1$, and one computes (using $\theta^{4n-2} = A^6$) that

$$((\theta A)^{-m})^{4n-2} = A^{-4m(n+1)} = (-1)^{n+1}.$$

Thus, $(\theta A)^{-m} \neq 1$ if m is odd and n is even.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This research was supported in part by the center of excellence grant "Center for Quantum Geometry of Moduli Spaces (QGM)" DNRF95 from the Danish National Research Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. C. Blanchet, N. Habegger, G. Masbaum and P. Vogel, Topological quantum field theories derived from the Kauffman bracket, *Topology* **34**(4) (1995), 883–927.

2. J. Birman, *Braids, links, and mapping class groups. Ann. of Math. Studies*, vol. 82 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1975).

3. L. Funar, On the TQFT representations of the mapping class groups, *Pacific J. Math.* **188** (1999), 251–274.

4. V. F. R. Jones, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, *Ann. Math.* **126**(2) (1987), 335–388.

5. S. P. Humphries, Normal closures of powers of Dehn twists in mapping class groups, *Glasgow Math. J.* **34**(3) (1992), 314–317.

6. L. H. Kauffman, State models and the Jones polynomial, *Topology* **26**(3) (1987), 395–407.

7. W. B. R. Lickorish, Three-manifolds and the Temperley–Lieb algebra, *Math. Ann.* 290 (1991) 657–670.

8. G. Masbaum, An element of infinite order in TQFT-representations of mapping class groups, *Contemp. Math.* **233** (1999) 137–139.

9. R. Santharoubane, Limites homologiques de représentations quantiques et applications à la conjecture AMU, Doctoral Thesis (Universite Paris Diderot, Paris, 2015), available at https://sites.google.com/site/ramanujansantharoubane/

10. C. Stylianakis, The normal closure of a power of a half-twist has infinite index in the mapping class group of a punctured sphere. arXiv:1511.02912