Interspecific crosses and crossing-over in Neurospora

BY K. D. NEWCOMBE AND S. F. H. THRELKELD

Department of Biology, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

(Received 27 October 1971)

SUMMARY

Through a series of backcrosses the centromere region of linkage group I of Neurospora crassa was transferred to the N. sitophila genome, and through another series of backcrosses the centromere region of linkage group I of N. sitophila was transferred to N. crassa. Strains thus synthesized showed, in further crosses, that the N. sitophila centromere region acts as a dominant enhancer of cross-over frequencies across linkage group I of the two species.

1. INTRODUCTION

Of the various methods of tackling the question of the mechanism of recombination in eukaryotes, one of the more attractive is through the study of genetic variation of recombination frequencies. Some measure of the success of this approach has been demonstrated with fungi. Thus, for example, genetic variation of recombination frequencies has been related to specific genes acting as dominant suppressors, or recessive enhancers of crossing-over, with the effect often restricted to short regions of the genome (e.g. Catcheside & Austin, 1969).

This paper reports on a further inherited variation in recombination frequencies in *Neurospora*, in which an increase in recombination appears as a dominant effect. The work reported is developed from an earlier report by Fincham (1951), which showed that cross-over frequencies on linkage group I of *N. sitophila* were much higher than those over the similar region in *N. crassa*.

It will be shown that the centromere region, or a part of that region, from linkage group I of N. sitophila has the effect of increasing recombination on linkage group I of N. crassa, when inserted into that linkage group, and that when replaced in N. sitophila by the similar region from N. crassa, recombination on linkage group I in N. sitophila is decreased. It will be further shown that the increase in recombination frequency appears as a dominant effect.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Methods not described below have been described elsewhere (Threlkeld, 1962). Two sets of strains were constructed from progeny of initial crosses of N. crassa \times N. sitophila. One set was developed from repeated backcrosses of N. crassa to N. sitophila, and the other set from repeated backcrosses of N. sitophila to N.crassa. In both sets of backcrosses it was possible to follow the source of the centromere region of linkage group I. The region from N. crassa was marked with

Isolate	Markers	Ancestry and backcrosses	Presumed centromere	genetic background (> 95%)
E 509		$N.\ crassa imes N.\ sitophila$	N. crassa	$N.\ crassa^*$
E 423	rg cr ylo a	N. crassa	N. crassa	N. crassa
M 15	rg cr a	$N.\ crassa imes N.\ sitophila^5$	$N.\ crassa$	N. sitophila
M 194	ylo A	N. crassa $\times N$. sitophila ⁶	N. sitophila	N. sitophila
169-7	rg cr A	N. crassa $\times N$. sitophila ⁶	N. crassa	N. sitophila
157-8	rg a	N. crassa $\times N$. sitophila ⁶	$N.\ crassa$	N. sitophila
202-1	ad-5 hist-2 cr a	N. crassa	N. crassa	N. crassa
P 367	hist-2 cr a	N. crassa	$N.\ crassa$	$N.\ crassa$
P 109	ad-5	$N.\ crassa$	N. crassa	$N.\ crassa$
K 4	$ad-5\ cr\ a$	N. sitophila imes N. crassa7	N. sitophila	$N.\ crassa$
B 6	A	$N. sitophila imes N. crassa^{6}$	N. sitophila	N. crassa
B 68	ad-5 hist-2 cr a	$N. sito phila imes N. crassa^{6}$	N. crassa	$N.\ crassa$
B 40	ad-5 hist-2 cr a	$N. sitophila \times N. crassa^{6}$	$N.\ crassa$	$N.\ crassa$
B 38	A	N. sitophila \times N. crassa ⁶	N. sitophila	$N.\ crassa$
30 JA-5	A	$N. sitophila \times N. crassa^{5}$	N. sitophila	N. crassa
K 110	\boldsymbol{A}	$N.\ sitophila imes N.\ crassa^7$	N. sitophila	N. crassa
\mathbf{B} 56	cr A	$N. sitophila \times N. crassa^{6}$	N. sitophila	N. crassa
\mathbf{B} 27	ad-5 a	$N. \ sitophila imes N. \ crassa^6$	N. sitophila	$N.\ crassa$
B 44	ad-5 hist-2 cr a	$N.\ sitophila imes N.\ crassa^{6}$	N. crassa	$N.\ crassa$
20 JR-16	\boldsymbol{A}	$N.\ sitophila imes N.\ crassa^5$	N. sitophila	$N.\ crassa$

Table 1. Details of synthesized strains

Drogumod

* Based on differences of fertility in N. crassa and N. sitophila crosses.

hist-2 (C 94) in backcrosses of N. sitophila to N. crassa, and with rg-1 (B 53) in backcrosses of N. crassa to N. sitophila, while in both sets the N. sitophila centromere region was unmarked. Our data indicate that both rq-1 and hist-2 are very close to the centromere of linkage group I in N. crassa; we have found no seconddivision segregation for rq-1 in approximately 300 asci, and the frequency of seconddivision segregation for hist-2 has been found to be less than 1%. For the purpose of transferring the centromere region from N. sitophila to N. crassa, following the initial cross of N. crassa hist- $2 \times N$. sitophila (wild type), hist- 2^+ progeny were always backcrossed to hist-2 (N. crassa) strains. To transfer the centromere region from N. crassa to N. sitophila an initial cross between wild types of the two species was made in the hope of enhancing the productivity of the early crosses of the backcross programme. The backcross programme was then initiated with a cross of rg-1 (N. crassa) strain to an isolate (E 509) of the original cross between the wild types; subsequent crosses were made between rg-1 progeny and the N. sitophila wild-type strain. In order to further minimize the probability that the marker concerned had become separated from its parental chromosome by a cross-over, where possible, progeny were selected from asci in which the centromere marker was seen to segregate at first division.

The wild-type Neurospora strains used to initiate the programmes were: N. sitophila A (Cambridge strain), N. sitophila A (HSSf32APC), N. crassa (Lindegram 25a), and N. crassa A (75-OR 23-1A), and the marked strains, all of which were in

N. crassa backgrounds were ad-5, hist-2, cr, a (Y152M40, Y152M14, B123); hist-2A (C94); rg cr (B 53, B 123); and ylo-1A (Y30539y). These strains have been described in more detail by Barratt & Ogata (1970); all the markers are located on linkage group I, except ylo which is on linkage group VI. Details of the synthesized strains are listed in Table 1. The last column in the table refers to the presumed genetic background of these strains. Strain E 509 obtained from a cross of N. sitophila $\times N$. crassa is thought to be largely N. crassa on the basis of good fertility of E 509 $\times N$. crassa crosses, and poor fertility of E 509 $\times N$. sitophila crosses. Except for the pure N. crassa strains E 423, 202-1, P 367 and P 109, the presumed genetic background (95%) for the remainder of the strains is based on the number of backcrosses to the species identified as the background, with selection in each generation for the relevant centromere marker (see the column headed 'Presumed centromere').

The synthesized strains permitted the following types of crosses: with a predominantly N. sitophila background, it was possible to make crosses with the linkage group I centromere regions homozygous for N. crassa, i.e. CC (N. sitophila) crosses, and crosses with the linkage group I centromere regions heterozygous for the two species, i.e. CS (N. sitophila). Unfortunately the data from the former of these two types of crosses is sparse because of the poor fertility of $rg \times rg$ crosses. With a predominantly N. crassa background, crosses of the following types were studied: CC (N. crassa), CS (N. crassa), and SS (N. crassa); these are respectively, homozygous for N. crassa centromere regions (CC), centromere regions heterozygous for the two species (CS), and centromere regions homozygous for N. sitophila (SS), all in N. crassa genetic backgrounds.

3. RESULTS

Crosses showing comparisons of centromere arrangements in the N. sitophila background are summarized in Table 2. Recombination frequencies of the two CS (N. sitophila) crosses are not significantly different from each other, and they are clearly much greater than the frequencies for the CC (N. crassa) cross. The CC cross with the N. sitophila [CC (N. sitophila)] background has the N. crassa-like recombination frequency, and is not significantly different from the CC (N. crassa) cross which is essentially a N. crassa cross. The levels of significance for homogeneity (χ^2) are: for (i) CC (N. crassa) and CC (N. sitophila) P > 0.3, and for (ii) CC (N. sitophila and CS (N. sitophila) P < 0.01. This indicates that the presence of the homozygous (CC) combination of N. crassa centromere regions for linkage group I in N. sitophila has the effect of reducing crossing-over in the region to the level of that found in N. crassa. The presence of one centromere of an N. sitophila source nullifies this effect and restores the cross-over frequency to that expected in N. sitophila strains (e.g. Fincham, 1951). It is possible that this enhancement of cross-over frequencies may be extended to other linkage groups, as suggested by the increased frequency on linkage group VI, between ylo and the centromere; however, this increased frequency is not statistically significant.

Table 2. Relationships between cross-over frequencies and centromereregions in N. sitophila and N. crassa

	Crossing-			
	I	II	III	No. of
Cross	mt r_{g}	1	<i>cr ylo</i> ⊥ −0−−⊥	spores or asci
$E 423 \times E 509 CC (N. crassa)$	7.9	6.6	2.6	38 asci
$M15 \times N.$ sitophila CS (N. sitophila)	28.9	39.5	_	19 asci
$M15 \times M194 CS$ (N. sitophila)	29.5	29.5	$9 \cdot 2$	49 asci
169-7 × 157-8 CC (N. sitophila)	21	·6		74 spores

Table	3.	Relationship	between	cross-over	frequencies	and	centromeres
			in Neu	rospora ci	assa		

		Crossing-over by region (%)				
	~	I	II	III		
	mt		ad-5 .	hist-2	cr	No. of
Cross -		•		0		spores
$987 \times 202-1$ CC (N. crassa)		11.9 ± 1.5	$2 \cdot 3 \pm 0 \cdot 7$	3.8 ± 0.9)	469
$P367 \times P109 CC (N. crassa)$			3.2 ± 0.8	4.0 ± 0.9)	471
$987 \times B44 CC (N. crassa)$			$2 \cdot 0 \pm 0 \cdot 7$	7.7 ± 0.5	5	351
$987 \times K4 CS (N. crassa)$:	38.7 ± 2.3		444
$\mathbf{B}68 \times \mathbf{B}6 \operatorname{CS}(N. crassa)$		_	5.2 ± 1.0) <u>33·1 ±</u> 1·5	i	535
$B40 \times B38 CS$ (N. crassa)		_	8.3 ± 1.4	32.0 ± 2.3	;	411
$30 \text{JA}-5 \times 202 - 1 \text{ CS} (N. crassa)$			9.9 ± 1.5	32.0 ± 2.3	\$	383
$20 \text{JR-16} \times 202 \text{-1 CS}$ (N. crassa)			9.6 ± 0.5	5	Ł	333
$K4 \times K110$ SS (N. crassa)			:	37.0 ± 2.5		357
$B56 \times B27$ SS (N. crassa)		$32{\cdot}1\pm 2{\cdot}5$:	$37 \cdot 1 \pm 2 \cdot 6$		34 0

Data from the crosses with the *N. crassa* background are more extensive; they are summarized in Table 3. Recombination frequencies are low for the CC crosses and significantly higher for the CS and SS crosses (P < 0.001). No significant differences are present between the CS and SS crosses, and, where it is possible to make comparisons, the crosses do not differ significantly from the CS crosses in Table 2, i.e. those with the *N. sitophila* background.

The two CC crosses in Table 3 do not differ significantly from each other, and neither are they significantly different from the CC crosses described in Table 2.

In both sets of crosses the frequencies of double cross-overs were insufficient to provide evidence of any strong patterns of chromosome or chromatid interference.

4. DISCUSSION

It should be noted that isolates B68, B40 and B44 used in crosses (B68 × B6), (B40 × B38) and (987 × B44) respectively, although presumed to possess the N. crassa centromere region as well as the N. crassa background, were all derived from an initial cross involving N. sitophila. The fact that they behave as predicted reflects the success of the backcross programmes. In no case were strains isolated that did not behave as predicted; thus the data presented clearly demonstrate that the N. sitophila centromere region of linkage group I is largely responsible for the relatively high crossing-over within linkage group I of that species, and that this region is capable of enhancing crossing-over to the same degree in N. crassa.

In considering some mechanism that would explain the effects described, thought must be given to (i) chromosomal aberrations, (ii) a recombination gene, or gene complex, and (iii) chromosome pairing. Chromosomal aberrations are unlikely to afford the basis of an explanation on two counts. The number of spores isolated that failed to germinate and the number of hyaline spores were low; chromosomal aberrations such as inversions should give lowered recombination frequencies in the heterozygous crosses (CS), with higher frequencies appearing in both the homozygous crosses.

Further work seeking the existence of a specific locus associated with the phenomena is under way, both from the standpoint of mapping and of examining the possible effect of a gene product in crosses with heterokaryons. An interesting speculation is the possible existence of a protein similar to that described by Alberts & Frey (1970). The possibility of chromosome pairing, perhaps through the activity of centric heterochromatin, is also open to investigation.

This study was made possible through a grant from the National Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

- ALBERTS, B. M. & FREY, L. (1970). T 4 bacteriophage gene 32: a structural protein in the replication and recombination of DNA. *Nature* 227, 1313-1318.
- BARRATT, R. W. & OGATA, W. N. (1970). Neurospora stock list. Fifth revision. Neurospora Newsletter 16, 23-123.
- CATCHESIDE, D. G. & AUSTIN, B. (1969). The control of allelic recombination at histidine loci in Neurospora crassa. American Journal of Botany 56, 685-690.
- FINCHAM, J. R. S. (1951). A comparative study of the mating type chromosome of two species of Neurospora. *Journal of Genetics* 50, 221-229.
- THRELKELD, S. F. H. (1962). Some asci with non-identical sister spores from a cross in Neurospora crassa. Genetics 47, 1187-1198.