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#### Abstract

In this note we show that a solvable group of unipotent matrices over a skew field can be simultaneously triangularized.


It is well known (c.f. [1], p. 100) that a semigroup of unipotent matrices over a commutative field can be simultaneously triangularized. The corresponding question for a semigroup of unipotent matrices over a skew field is still unanswered. In this note we prove that the result holds for solvable groups of unipotent matrices over a skew field, and it follows that a group of unipotent matrices over a skew field can be triangularized if and only if it is solvable.

Before proving the main theorem we need a lemma about commuting unipotent matrices. A more general result is given in Theorem 2.1 of [2], but the proof is easier in the particular case given here:

Lemma. A set of commuting unipotent matrices over a skew field $D$ can be simultaneously triangularized.

Proof. Let $\Sigma$ be a set of commuting unipotent $n \times n$ matrices. Denote by $V$ the right $D$-space of $n$-dimensional column vectors. Then $\Sigma$ acts on $V$ by left multiplication in the natural way. We use induction on $n$ to show that the lemma holds in case $\Sigma$ leaves a non-trivial subspace of $V$ invariant. If $n=1$, the lemma is clearly true, so assume $n>1$ and the result is true for sets of matrices of degree $j$ whenever $n>j$. Suppose further that $W$ is a non-trivial invariant subspace of dimension $i$. Let $P$ be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix whose first $i$ columns form a basis of $W$. Then for $M \in \Sigma, P^{-1} M P$ has the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{\mathrm{M}} & B_{\mathrm{M}} \\
0 & C_{\mathrm{M}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $A_{M}$ is an $i \times i$ matrix. Then $\Sigma^{\prime}=\left\{A_{M} \mid M \in \Sigma\right\}$ and $\Sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left\{C_{M} \mid M \in \Sigma\right\}$ are sets of commuting unipotent matrices of degree less than $n$, so by our induction hypothesis there are invertible matrices $R, Q$ of the appropriate degrees such that $R^{-1} A_{M} R$ and $Q^{-1} C_{M} Q$ are upper triangular for all $M \in \Sigma$. Then

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
R^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & Q^{-1}
\end{array}\right] P^{-1} M P\left[\begin{array}{cc}
R & 0 \\
0 & Q
\end{array}\right]
$$
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is triangular for all $M$ in $\Sigma$, and the lemma is proved. Thus we assume that $\Sigma$ leaves no non-trivial subspaces of $V$ invariant.

We now show that the absence of non-trivial invariant subspaces implies that $\Sigma=\{I\}$ and $n=1$. The result will follow. Let $M \in \Sigma ; M$ is unipotent, so $M=I+N$, where $N$ is nilpotent. Since $N$ is nilpotent, there is a non-zero vector $v \in V$ such that $N v=0$. Thus $M v=v$. Let $W=\{v \in V \mid M v=v\}$. $W$ is easily seen to be a non-zero subspace of $V$. If $A \in \Sigma$ and $w \in W$, then since $\Sigma$ is commutative we have $M A w=A M w=A w$. Thus $A w \in W$, so $W$ is a $\Sigma$ invariant subspace. Our assumption on non-trivial invariant subspaces implies $W=V$, and so by the way $W$ was defined, $M=I$. But $M$ was chosen to be any element of $\Sigma$, and we see that $\Sigma=\{I\}$; the assumption on non-trivial subspaces then shows us that $n=1$.

We can now prove the main
Theorem. Let $D$ be a skew field, and let $\Gamma$ be a solvable group of unipotent $n \times n$ matrices with entries in $D$. Then there exists an invertible matrix $P$ with entries in $D$ such that $P^{-1} M P$ is triangular for all $M$ in $\Gamma$.

Proof. Again, let $V$ denote the right $D$-space consisting of column vectors. As in the lemma, an induction argument allows us to assume that $\Gamma$ leaves no non-trivial subspaces of $V$ invariant.

We shall now show that if $\Gamma$ is a solvable group of unipotent matrices leaving no non-trivial subspace of $V$ invariant then $\Gamma$ is trivial and $n=1$. $\Gamma$ is trivial if $\Gamma$ is solvable of length 0 ; if on the other hand $\Gamma$ is solvable of length $m>0$ then $\Gamma^{m-1}$ is a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup of $\Gamma$. So to show $\Gamma$ is trivial we need only show that it has no non-trivial abelian normal subgroups.

Let $\Delta$ be any abelian normal subgroup of $\Gamma$. By the lemma $\Delta$ can be upper triangularized; this fact and the fact that the matrices in $\Delta$ are unipotent imply that there is a non-zero vector $u \in V$ such that $M u=u$ for all $M$ in $\Delta$. Let $W=\{v \in V \mid M v=v$ for all $M \in \Delta\}$. Then $W$ is a non-zero subspace of $V$. We want to show that $\Gamma$ maps $W$ into itself, so let $B \in \Gamma, w \in W$. By the definition of $W$, we must show that for any $M$ in $\Delta, M B w=B w$. But for $M \in \Delta$, we have, since $\Delta$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma, M B=B M^{\prime}$ for some $M^{\prime}$ in $\Delta$. Then $M B w=B M^{\prime} w=B w$, by the definition of $W$ and the fact that $M^{\prime} \in \Delta$. Thus $W$ is a non-trivial $\Gamma$-invariant subspace of $V$, so by assumption $W=V$. Then by definition of $W$, we see that $\Delta=\{I\}$, so $\Delta$ is trivial. But $\Delta$ was any abelian normal subgroup of the solvable group $\Gamma$, so $\Gamma=\{I\}$; then by our assumption on invariant subspaces $n=1$ and we are done.
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