Canad. Math. Bull. Vol. 20 (1), 1977

# TRIANGULARIZING SOLVABLE GROUPS OF UNIPOTENT MATRICES OVER A SKEW FIELD

#### by WALTER S. SIZER

ABSTRACT. In this note we show that a solvable group of unipotent matrices over a skew field can be simultaneously triangularized.

It is well known (c.f. [1], p. 100) that a semigroup of unipotent matrices over a commutative field can be simultaneously triangularized. The corresponding question for a semigroup of unipotent matrices over a skew field is still unanswered. In this note we prove that the result holds for solvable groups of unipotent matrices over a skew field, and it follows that a group of unipotent matrices over a skew field can be triangularized if and only if it is solvable.

Before proving the main theorem we need a lemma about commuting unipotent matrices. A more general result is given in Theorem 2.1 of [2], but the proof is easier in the particular case given here:

LEMMA. A set of commuting unipotent matrices over a skew field D can be simultaneously triangularized.

**Proof.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of commuting unipotent  $n \times n$  matrices. Denote by V the right D-space of n-dimensional column vectors. Then  $\Sigma$  acts on V by left multiplication in the natural way. We use induction on n to show that the lemma holds in case  $\Sigma$  leaves a non-trivial subspace of V invariant. If n = 1, the lemma is clearly true, so assume n > 1 and the result is true for sets of matrices of degree j whenever n > j. Suppose further that W is a non-trivial invariant subspace of dimension i. Let P be an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix whose first i columns form a basis of W. Then for  $M \in \Sigma$ ,  $P^{-1}MP$  has the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_M & B_M \\ 0 & C_M \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $A_M$  is an  $i \times i$  matrix. Then  $\Sigma' = \{A_M \mid M \in \Sigma\}$  and  $\Sigma'' = \{C_M \mid M \in \Sigma\}$  are sets of commuting unipotent matrices of degree less than *n*, so by our induction hypothesis there are invertible matrices *R*, *Q* of the appropriate degrees such that  $R^{-1}A_MR$  and  $Q^{-1}C_MQ$  are upper triangular for all  $M \in \Sigma$ . Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{R}^{-1} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{Q}^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{P}^{-1} \boldsymbol{M} \boldsymbol{P} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{R} & \boldsymbol{0} \\ \boldsymbol{0} & \boldsymbol{Q} \end{bmatrix}$$

Received by the editors September 14, 1976.

is triangular for all M in  $\Sigma$ , and the lemma is proved. Thus we assume that  $\Sigma$  leaves no non-trivial subspaces of V invariant.

We now show that the absence of non-trivial invariant subspaces implies that  $\Sigma = \{I\}$  and n = 1. The result will follow. Let  $M \in \Sigma$ ; M is unipotent, so M = I + N, where N is nilpotent. Since N is nilpotent, there is a non-zero vector  $v \in V$  such that Nv = 0. Thus Mv = v. Let  $W = \{v \in V \mid Mv = v\}$ . W is easily seen to be a non-zero subspace of V. If  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $w \in W$ , then since  $\Sigma$  is commutative we have MAw = AMw = Aw. Thus  $Aw \in W$ , so W is a  $\Sigma$ -invariant subspace. Our assumption on non-trivial invariant subspaces implies W = V, and so by the way W was defined, M = I. But M was chosen to be any element of  $\Sigma$ , and we see that  $\Sigma = \{I\}$ ; the assumption on non-trivial subspaces then shows us that n = 1.

We can now prove the main

THEOREM. Let D be a skew field, and let  $\Gamma$  be a solvable group of unipotent  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in D. Then there exists an invertible matrix P with entries in D such that  $P^{-1}MP$  is triangular for all M in  $\Gamma$ .

**Proof.** Again, let V denote the right D-space consisting of column vectors. As in the lemma, an induction argument allows us to assume that  $\Gamma$  leaves no non-trivial subspaces of V invariant.

We shall now show that if  $\Gamma$  is a solvable group of unipotent matrices leaving no non-trivial subspace of V invariant then  $\Gamma$  is trivial and n = 1.  $\Gamma$  is trivial if  $\Gamma$ is solvable of length 0; if on the other hand  $\Gamma$  is solvable of length m > 0 then  $\Gamma^{m-1}$  is a non-trivial abelian normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . So to show  $\Gamma$  is trivial we need only show that it has no non-trivial abelian normal subgroups.

Let  $\Delta$  be any abelian normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . By the lemma  $\Delta$  can be upper triangularized; this fact and the fact that the matrices in  $\Delta$  are unipotent imply that there is a non-zero vector  $u \in V$  such that Mu = u for all M in  $\Delta$ . Let  $W = \{v \in V \mid Mv = v \text{ for all } M \in \Delta\}$ . Then W is a non-zero subspace of V. We want to show that  $\Gamma$  maps W into itself, so let  $B \in \Gamma$ ,  $w \in W$ . By the definition of W, we must show that for any M in  $\Delta$ , MBw = Bw. But for  $M \in \Delta$ , we have, since  $\Delta$  is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , MB = BM' for some M' in  $\Delta$ . Then MBw = BM'w = Bw, by the definition of W and the fact that  $M' \in \Delta$ . Thus W is a non-trivial  $\Gamma$ -invariant subspace of V, so by assumption W = V. Then by definition of W, we see that  $\Delta = \{I\}$ , so  $\Delta$  is trivial. But  $\Delta$  was any abelian normal subgroup of the solvable group  $\Gamma$ , so  $\Gamma = \{I\}$ ; then by our assumption on invariant subspaces n = 1 and we are done.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. This result appears in the author's University of London Ph.D. thesis ([2]). The author would like to thank his advisor, Professor P. M. Cohn, for his encouragement.

## 1977]

### UNIPOTENT MATRICES

#### References

1. Irving Kaplansky, Fields and Rings, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1972.

2. Walter S. Sizer, Similarity of Sets of Matrices over a Skew Field, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London, London, 1975.

Dept of Math.

UNIVERSITY OF MASS. Amherst, Mass. 01003, U.S.A.

•