

ASCA OBSERVATIONS OF CATAclySMIC VARIABLES

MANABU ISHIDA¹, RYUICHI FUJIMOTO¹,
KEIICHI MATSUZAKI²

1. *Institute of Space and Astronautical Science*
3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Sagamihara, Kanagawa 229, Japan
2. *Department of Physics, University of Tokyo*
7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113, Japan

1. Introduction

ASCA has observed ~20 cataclysmic variables since launch. In this review, we present the current status of ASCA observations of CVs and scientific results. In Sect. 2, we introduce the scientific instruments of ASCA, and show the list of CVs observed so far. Data analysis and results on magnetic and non-magnetic CVs are described in Sect. 3 and 4, respectively. In Sect. 5, we make a brief summary.

2. ASCA observations of CVs

ASCA was launched on 1993 February 20 on a M3S-II rocket from Kagoshima Space Center (Tanaka, Inoue & Holt 1994). ASCA is the fourth Japanese X-ray astronomy satellite. It is equipped with four equivalent X-ray telescopes, which have large effective area up to 10 keV. On the focal plane, two kinds of detector systems, each of which consists of two equivalent detectors, are placed. One is the SIS (Solid-state Imaging Spectrometer) which utilizes a X-ray CCD camera. The other is the GIS (Gas Imaging Spectrometer) which adopts the Gas Scintillation Proportional Counter. Although the effective area of ASCA is significantly smaller than that of Ginga, sensitivity for faint sources is improved by about 100 times because of its imaging capability. The SIS has higher energy resolution and larger effective area in the low energy band. The GIS, on the other hand, has a wider field of view, larger effective area in the high energy band, and higher time resolution. The two detector systems are thus complementary to each other. In Table 1, we show the list of CVs so far observed by ASCA. Up to 1995 June 30, a total of 28 CVs had been observed.

TABLE 1. List of targets observed by ASCA to 1995 June

Type	Target	P.I.	Phase	Type	Target	P.I.	Phase
DQ	FO Aqr	Mukai	PV	NM	HT Cas	Mukai	AO-2
NM	SS Cyg	Nousek	PV	NM	V426 Oph	Watson	AO-2
DQ	EX Hya	Ishida	PV	NM	EC1931-5915	Schlegel	AO-2
AM	EF Eri	Osborne	PV	DQ	TX Col	Wheatley	AO-2
AM	AM Her	Gotthelf	PV	AM	BL Hyi	Fujimoto	AO-2
AM	VV Pup	Ishida	AO-1	AM	QQ Vul	Mukai	AO-2
NM	VW Hyi	Mauche	AO-1	AM	RX2107-05	Schlegel	AO-2
NM	TT Ari	Mauche	AO-1	NM	U Gem	Szkody	AO-2
AM	V834 Cen	Mukai	AO-1	DQ	RE0751+14	Fujimoto	AO-2
AM	BY Cam	Mauche	AO-1	DQ	GK Per	Mauche	AO-3
DQ	V1223 Sgr	Osborne	AO-1	AM	AM Her	Ishida	AO-3
DQ	AO Psc	Hellier	AO-2	NM	Z Cam	Mauche	AO-3
NM	RU Peg	Watson	AO-2	DQ	TV Col	Mauche	AO-3
NM	GP Com	Marsh	AO-2	NM	EI UMa	Watson	AO-3

3. Magnetic cataclysmic variables

ASCA has observed 17 MCVs (9 AM Her types and 8 DQ Her types) so far. In Fig. 1, we show the phase-average photon-counting SIS spectra of six sources. Owing to the high energy resolution of the SIS, $K\alpha$ emission lines from Mg, Si, S, Ar and Fe in hydrogenic and He-like ionization states are resolved. In the AM Her spectrum, the soft blackbody component is detected below 0.6 keV.

3.1. THE CONTINUUM EMISSION

The hard X-ray continuum emission of MCVs has been known to be very complex. Based on EXOSAT observations, Norton & Watson (1989) show that the low energy cutoff in the spectra of MCVs cannot be represented by an absorber with a single column density, and they have introduced the partial covering absorber model, which is composed of an absorber with two different hydrogen column densities covering the emission region. This model works well also for the MCV spectra obtained by Ginga in general (Ishida 1991).

However, it became gradually clear that the partial-covering model is sometimes too simplified a parameterization for the low energy cutoff of the MCV spectra. In Fig. 2a is shown the SIS spectrum of PQ Gem (=RE0751+14) together with a spectral model. The model consists of a single temperature (20 keV) thermal bremsstrahlung (Ishida 1991) covered




Figure 1. Phase-average spectra of six MCVs taken by the SIS. Detector responses are not deconvolved.

with a series of cold absorbing materials whose hydrogen column densities are $\sim 5 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, $\sim 3 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and $< 5 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The covering fractions are 62%, 17% and 21%, respectively. The fit is, however, still not acceptable, with $\chi^2_{\nu} = 1.40$. This situation is quite similar to FO Aqr (Mukai,




Figure 2. The SIS spectra of (a) PQ Gem and (b) EX Hya. The hydrogen column densities are indicated in (a), and the temperatures of thin thermal plasma are indicated in (b) for each spectral component.

Ishida & Osborne 1994), and possibly to AM Her (Matsuzaki et al. 1996). Moreover, it becomes clear that the reflection of the hard X-ray emission by the white dwarf surface probably makes a significant contribution to the observed spectrum of AM Her based on the Ginga observation (Beardmore et al. 1995).

In Fig. 2b, we show the spectrum of EX Hya, together with a model. Since the spectrum undergoes very small amount of absorption, ($N_{\text{H}} < 7 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$), EX Hya is the best target to investigate the temperature distribution of the post-shock hot plasma. The spectra obtained by the Einstein SSS (Singh & Swank 1993) and Ginga (Ishida et al. 1994) are both explained well by two optically thin thermal plasma emission components with temperatures of $\sim 1 \text{ keV}$ and $\sim 10 \text{ keV}$. However, this model does not work for the ASCA SIS spectrum. In Fig. 2b, we have introduced a series of the optically thin thermal plasma emission components with the temperatures of $\sim 0.8 \text{ keV}$, $\sim 4.0 \text{ keV}$ and 20 keV , but the fit is not acceptable ($\chi^2_{\nu} = 3.04$). This result indicates that we observe a continuous distribution of temperature caused by radiative cooling in the post-shock region.

The hard X-ray continuum of MCV is thus composed of multiple emission components with the photoelectric absorption represented by a continuous distribution of hydrogen column densities and a reflection component. It is therefore very difficult to make a quantitative discussion of the continuum emission. One possible way to resolve this difficulty is to construct an emission model from the post-shock plasma by taking into account the profiles of the temperature and the density (Aizu 1973). This will hopefully enable us to discuss the temperature distribution and the absorption/reflection separately.

3.2. DETERMINATION OF THE MASS OF THE WHITE DWARF

Since the accretion flow becomes highly supersonic close to the white dwarf surface, the temperature just below the shock front is calculated according to the strong shock condition. With the aid of the mass-radius relation, the shock temperature, T_S , becomes

$$kT_S = \frac{3}{8} \frac{GM}{R} \mu m_H = 22 \left(\frac{M}{0.6 M_\odot} \right)^{4/3} \text{ keV}, \tag{1}$$

where μ is the mean molecular weight (0.62 for material with solar abundance) and m_H is the mass of a hydrogen atom. So if T_S is obtained, we can determine the mass of the white dwarf. We have developed a method to do this with $K\alpha$ emission lines from heavy elements in the post-shock plasma (Ishida & Fujimoto 1995; Fujimoto & Ishida 1995).

The observed line emissions are a superposition of the emission from the entire post-shock plasma. Since the temperature and the density profiles of the post-shock region are solved (e.g. Aizu 1973), the intensity of each emission line is given solely by the boundary conditions. The intensity of the $K\alpha$ line from the element with atomic number Z and the ionization state z is given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{Z,z} &= \frac{1}{4\pi D^2} \int_0^H A_Z \varepsilon_{Z,z} [T(x)] n(x)^2 S dx \\ &= \frac{A_Z S}{4\pi D^2} \int_{T_B}^{T_S} \varepsilon_{Z,z}(T) n(x(T))^2 \frac{dx}{dT} dT \quad \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}; \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

here T_B is the temperature at the base of the accretion column, S is the cross section of the accretion column, D the distance, H the height of the shock front measured from the white dwarf surface, A_Z is the abundance of the element with atomic number Z relative to the solar value, $\varepsilon_{Z,z}$ is the line emissivity in the case of solar abundance and n is the electron number density. Since $\varepsilon_{Z,z}(T)$ is tabulated in Mewe, Gronenschild & van den Oord (1985), and the temperature and the density profiles are given by Aizu (1973), the expected intensity is calculated once T_S , n_S , T_B , D , A_Z and S are given. Observationally, both hydrogenic and He-like $K\alpha$ lines from the same element are usually detected. Therefore, by taking their intensity ratio, we can eliminate n_S , D , A_Z and S from our scheme:

$$R_Z(T_S, T_B) = \frac{I_{Z,Z-1}}{I_{Z,Z-2}}. \tag{3}$$

Now that R_Z depends just on the temperatures at the shock front and at the base of the accretion column, we can constrain them if we can measure

TABLE 2. T_s and mass of the white dwarf.

Star	T_s (keV)	Mass (M_\odot)	Elements Used
EX Hya	15 ± 2	0.47 ± 0.04	Si, S, Ar, Fe
AO Psc	12 ± 4	0.4 ± 0.1	Ar, Fe

TABLE 3. Detected emission lines

	Mg	Si	S	Ar	Fe
EX Hya	*	*	*	*	*
V1223 Sgr	*	*	*	*	*
AM Her	–	*	*	–	*
BL Hya	–	*	*	–	*
AO Psc	–	–	–	*	*
FO Aqr	–	–	–	–	*
EF Eri	–	–	–	–	*
PQ Gem	–	–	–	–	–

* ... detection (>90%)

the line intensity ratio of at least two elements. This method is currently successful for EX Hya and AO Psc, for which the results are summarized in Table 2.

Note that if the magnetic field of the white dwarf is as strong as 10 MG, cyclotron emission makes a significant contribution to the cooling of the post-shock plasma (Wu, Chanmugam & Shaviv 1994). To take this effect correctly into account, we have to know the absolute value of the density at the shock, for which we have to await ASTRO-E. Our method is thus applicable now only for intermediate polars. We are going to apply our method to other intermediate polars. Note also that the $K\alpha$ emission lines are not detected from all the MCVs. The reason for this is discussed in the next section.

3.3. PHOTOIONIZATION EFFECT

As we have shown in Fig. 1, $K\alpha$ emission lines from heavy elements are detected from several sources. In Table 3, we show a list of detected emission lines. From this table, it is understood that the emission lines from light elements are more difficult to be detected. One possible explanation is that the plasma is still too hot for completely ionized light elements to start

to recombine with electrons, even at the base of the accretion column. Another possibility is to assume abundance anomalies; heavier elements are more abundant than lighter elements in general, which is rather ad hoc.

Both these ideas are, however, faced with difficulty in explaining the spectrum of PQ Gem (Fig. 1e). In the PQ Gem spectrum, only $K\alpha$ emission line is detected at 6.4 keV with an equivalent width (EW) of ~ 100 eV, which originates from the pre-shock cold accretion column and the surface of the white dwarf via fluorescence. The temperature of the post-shock plasma in PQ Gem is measured to be 20 keV by the Ginga observation (Ishida 1991). At this temperature, the $K\alpha$ emission line from hydrogenic iron should be detected with an EW of 200 eV. So the plasma is cool enough to radiate the emission line, but the line is absent due to other reasons. The abundance anomaly is also rejected, because the EW of the fluorescent iron $K\alpha$ line indicates that the abundance of the accreting matter is close to the solar value (Beardmore et al. 1995).

The last possibility is the photoionization effect in the post-shock hot plasma. In the low density plasma ($n_e < 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$), the ionization equilibrium of iron is determined by a balance between electron collisional ionization and radiative recombination, and photoionization can be neglected. The condition for this is that

$$S_{Z,z} n_e \gg \int_{E_0}^{\infty} f(E) \sigma_{Z,z}(E) dE, \quad (4)$$

where $S_{Z,z}$ is the electron-collisional ionization rate coefficient (in $\text{cm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$; Mewe & Gronenschild 1981 and references therein), E_0 is the edge energy, f is the photon flux, and $\sigma_{Z,z}(E)$ is the photoionization cross section (Band et al. 1990). It is understood from equation (4) that the photoionization becomes dominant for high density, because $f \propto n^2$.

In Fig. 3, we show a distribution map of the collisional ionization-dominant and photoionization-dominant regions on the density versus temperature plane for hydrogenic and He-like iron. Here we consider a cylinder with height and diameter of 1% of the white dwarf radius. Evaluation is made at the center of this cylinder filled with uniform plasma with the density and the temperature indicated in the abscissa and the ordinate, respectively. The track of the density and the temperature indicated by the Aizu model in the case of $kT_S = 20$ keV and $n_S = 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ is shown. For hydrogenic and He-like iron the plasma, first in the collision-dominant region, gradually moves into the photoionization-dominant region as it descends the accretion column.

If photoionization becomes important, the ionization distribution shifts to higher ionization degree than what is expected from the collisional ionization only, and the He-like and hydrogenic elements will eventually be




Figure 3. The collisional ionization-dominant and the photoionization-dominant region in the density and the temperature plane. Note that the result is dependent on the geometry (see text).




Figure 4. The SIS spectra of SS Cyg and Z Cam

completely ionized, leading to the absence of the emission lines. Note that this effect is more significant for light elements, because, although both $S_{Z,z}$ and $\sigma_{Z,z}$ are both proportional to Z^{-2} , the photon flux is always greater at lower energies. Also, $K\alpha$ lines of lighter elements are emitted from lower portions of the accretion column, where the density is higher. Depression of emission lines from light elements can be attributed to the photoionization effect in the post-shock plasma.

4. Non-magnetic cataclysmic variables

4.1. SS CYG

In Fig. 4, we show the SIS spectrum of SS Cyg. At the time of the ASCA observation (1993 May 27), SS Cyg was nearly at the end of the peak of a so-called anomalous outburst (Nousek et al. 1994). It is well known from EXOSAT observations (Watson, King & Heise 1985) that the hard X-ray flux drops when the source enters into outburst. In the ASCA observation,

the flux is measured to be $2.7 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the 2...10 keV range, which is smaller than that measured by Ginga ($8.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in quiescence) by a factor of 3 (Yoshida, Inoue & Osaki 1992).

Yoshida et al. (1992) have introduced a two temperature (~ 7 keV and ~ 45 keV) plasma emission model based on Ginga observations. Because of the high energy resolution of the SIS, the spectrum can no longer be fit with this model. In Fig. 4, we have introduced a three temperature (~ 0.8 keV, ~ 3 keV and ~ 20 keV) plasma model, but there still remain systematic residuals below 2 keV, which correspond to the $K\alpha$ emission lines from Ne, Mg and Si. The situation is quite similar to EX Hya (Sect. 3.1). It is remarkable that the EW of the iron $K\alpha$ emission line is 735 ± 90 eV, which is twice as large as that in quiescence (355 ± 70 eV). This means the average temperature of the plasma in outburst is significantly lower than that in quiescence, because the EW of the iron $K\alpha$ emission line is a monotonically decreasing function of the plasma temperature above 1 keV. Assuming solar abundance, the average plasma temperature is 10 keV and 18 keV during the ASCA and the Ginga observation, respectively. The hard X-ray flux is proportional to $\sqrt{T}n_e^2V$, where V is the volume of the optically thin boundary layer. Considering drops of the temperature and the flux by a factor of 2 and 3, respectively, during the outburst, the emission measure n_e^2V decreases by a factor of 2. However, the density is considered to be higher than in the quiescence. This means that the volume of the boundary layer should significantly shrink when the source enters into outburst.

4.2. Z CAM

Z Cam was observed in 1995 March 8...12, also nearly at the end of the peak of the outburst. The SIS spectrum (Fig. 4 right) is complex like that of SS Cyg, and we have introduced a two temperature (~ 0.8 keV and ~ 7 keV) plasma emission model. The fit is, however, not acceptable ($\chi^2_\nu = 1.34$). The observed flux is $6.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in the 2...10 keV energy band.

5. Summary

With the emission line information obtained by ASCA, we have discussed the mass of the white dwarf and the possibility of the photoionization effect for MCVs.

ASCA observations have revealed that the hard X-rays from the boundary layer in dwarf novae are the emission from a multi-temperature plasma. The ASCA observations can potentially improve the understanding of the structure of the boundary layer.

References

1. Aizu, K., 1973, *Prog. Theoret. Phys.*, **49**, 1184
2. Band, I.M., Trzhaskovskaya, M.B., Verner, D.A., Yakovlev, D.G., 1990, *A&A*, **237**, 267
3. Beardmore, A.P., Done, C., Osborne, J.P., Ishida, M., 1995, *MNRAS*, **272**, 749
4. Fujimoto, R., Ishida, M., 1995, in "Magnetic Cataclysmic Variables", eds D. Buckley, B. Warner, *ASP Conf. Ser.* **85**, p136
5. Ishida, M., 1991, Ph.D. thesis, University of Tokyo/ ISAS RN 505
6. Ishida, M., Makishima, K., Mukai, K., Masai, K., 1994, *MNRAS*, **266**, 367
7. Ishida, M., Fujimoto, R., 1995, in "Magnetic Cataclysmic Variables", eds D. Buckley, B. Warner, *ASP Conf. Ser.* **85**, p132
8. Matsuzaki, K., Ishida, M., Fujimoto, R., Kitamura, H., 1996, in "Proceedings of The 11th Colloquium on UV and X-ray Spectroscopy of Astrophysical and Laboratory Plasma", Universal Academy Press, Tokyo, in press
9. Mewe, R., Gronenschild, E.H.B.M., 1981, *A&A Suppl.*, **45**, 11
10. Mewe, R., Gronenschild, E.H.B.M., van den Oord, G.H.J., 1985, *A&A Suppl.*, **62**, 197
11. Mukai, K., Ishida, M., Osborne, J.P., 1994, *PASJ*, **46**, L87
12. Norton, A.J., Watson, M.G., 1989, *MNRAS*, **237**, 853
13. Nousek, J.A., Baluta, C.J., Corbet, R.H.D., et al., 1994, *ApJ*, **436**, L19
14. Singh, J., Swank, J., 1993, *MNRAS*, **262**, 1000
15. Tanaka, Y., Inoue, H., Holt, S.S., 1994, *PASJ*, **46**, L37
16. Watson, M.G., King, A.R., Heise, J., 1985, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **40**, 127
17. Wu, K.W., Chanmugam, G., Shaviv, G., 1994, *ApJ*, **426**, 664
18. Yoshida, K., Inoue, H., Osaki, Y., 1992, *PASJ*, **44**, 537