A series identity

By G. N. WATSON, University of Birmingham.

I have constructed two simple proofs of the result established by J. C. P. Miller, *Mathematical Notes*, No. 29 (1935), pp. vi-viii.

Miller's result may be stated in the following form: Let

$$A_0 = 1, \quad A_n = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \cdot \cdot \frac{2n-3}{2n}\right)^2, \quad (n > 0)$$

and write

$$S_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{2x+2n}, \quad S_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{2x-2n+1}$$

 $S_1 = S_2,$

Then

whenever x is a positive integer.

Taking x to be unrestricted, combine like terms of S_1 and S_2 ; we get

$$\begin{split} S_1 - S_2 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n \left(1 - 4n\right)}{\left(2x + 2n\right) \left(2x - 2n + 1\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2x \left(2x + 1\right)} \cdot {}_5F_4 \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}, & \frac{3}{4}, & -\frac{1}{2}, & x, & -x - \frac{1}{2} \\ & -\frac{1}{4}, & 1, & x + 1, & \frac{1}{2} - x \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$

Now, by a theorem due to Dougall,

$${}_{5}F_{4}\begin{pmatrix}a, 1+\frac{1}{2}a, c, d, e\\ \frac{1}{2}a, 1+a-c, 1+a-d, 1+a-e\end{pmatrix} \\ = \frac{\Gamma(1+a-c)\Gamma(1+a-d)\Gamma(1+a-e)\Gamma(1+a-c-d-e)}{\Gamma(1+a)\Gamma(1+a-d-e)\Gamma(1+a-e-c)\Gamma(1+a-c-d)}.$$

In Dougall's theorem write

$$a = c = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad d = x, \quad e = -x - \frac{1}{2},$$

and we find at once that

$$\begin{split} S_1 - S_2 &= \frac{1}{2x\,(2x\,+\,1)} \,\, \frac{\Gamma\left(1\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\,-\,x\right)\,\Gamma\left(1\,+\,x\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\,\Gamma\left(1\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\,+\,x\right)\,\Gamma\left(1\,-\,x\right)},\\ i.e. \qquad S_1 - S_2 &= - \,\, \frac{\Gamma\left(x\right)\Gamma\left(-\,x\,-\,\frac{1}{2}\right)}{8\,\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\,+\,x\right)\,\Gamma\left(1\,-\,x\right)}, \end{split}$$

whence Miller's result is obvious. A proof of Dougall's theorem is to be found in W. N. Bailey's *Generalised hypergeometric series* (Cambridge, 1935), pp. 27-28, with complete references to the relevant literature. The result given above for unrestricted x suggests my second proof, which is considerably simpler. From the asymptotic expansion of the Gamma-function it follows that the function

$$- \frac{\Gamma\left(x\right) \Gamma\left(-x-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{8 \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}+x\right) \Gamma\left(1-x\right)}$$

satisfies the conditions (Cf. Modern Analysis, §7.4) which admit of its being expressed as a sum of partial fractions. Since the residues of the function at the poles x = -n, $x = n - \frac{1}{2}$ are respectively

$$\frac{1}{2}A_n, \quad -\frac{1}{2}A_n,$$

we obtain anew the result

$$-\frac{\Gamma\left(x\right)}{8\Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}+x\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(-x-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-x\right)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{A_{n}}{2x+2n}-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{A_{n}}{2x-2n+1}$$

for unrestricted x.

It is, of course, possible to write down any number of generalisations of this result, the simplest perhaps being the expression for

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) \Gamma(-x-a)}{\Gamma(1+a+x) \Gamma(1-x)}$$

as a sum of partial fractions, where a is a suitably restricted constant.

On the configuration known as a double-six of lines

By H. W. RICHMOND, King's College, Cambridge.

In geometry of three dimensions it is well known that, when two quadrics Q_1 , Q_2 are given, if one set of four points exists having the properties that each point lies on Q_1 , and each two points are conjugate with respect to Q_2 , an infinity of such sets of points can be found. The quadrics Q_1 , Q_2 stand in a special relation to one another¹, expressed by the vanishing of the coefficient of λ in the discriminant of $Q_2 + \lambda Q_1$, an invariant of Q_1 , Q_2 . Two quadrics Q_1 , Q_2 are thus related if the equation of Q_1 contains no squares

¹ See Salmon, Analytic Geometry of Three Dimensions, Rogers' revised edition, Vol. 1, p. 204; or Sommerville, Analytical Geometry of Three Dimensions, p. 309.