

THE f -DECOMPOSITION OF ARTINIAN MODULES OVER HYPERFINITE GROUPS

by Z. Y. DUAN

(Received 29th May 1993)

A $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module A is said to have an f -decomposition if $A = A^f \oplus A^{\bar{f}}$ in which A^f is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A such that each irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor of A^f as an abelian group is finite and the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule $A^{\bar{f}}$ has no finite irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors. In this paper, we prove that: if G is a hyperfinite group then any artinian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module A has an f -decomposition, which gives a positive answer to the question raised by D.I. Zaitzev in 1986.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 20F19, 20C07

If G is a locally soluble hyperfinite group, then it was known that any minimal (or artinian), maximal (or noetherian), or minimax $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module A has an f -decomposition, that is, $A = A^f \oplus A^{\bar{f}}$ in which A^f is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A such that each irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factor of A^f as an abelian group is finite and the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule $A^{\bar{f}}$ of A has no finite irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors (see [1, 2, and 3]). In the above results, is the locally soluble condition necessary? This question was asked by Zaitzev in 1986 [3]; we give a positive answer for the artinian case. That is, we prove the following

Theorem. *If G is a hyperfinite group, then any artinian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module A has an f -decomposition.*

In order to prove the theorem, we need some lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let G be a group, x an element of G , A a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module with $pA = 0$ for some prime p , and B a nonzero subset of A . If also x is of order p , then $B(x-1) \neq B$.*

Proof. Suppose $B(x-1) = B$ then for $0 \neq a \in B$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= a_1(x-1) = a_2(x-1)^2 = \cdots = a_m(x-1)^p \\ &= a_m \left[\sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} (-1)^i x^{p-i} \right] = a_m(x^p - 1) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

The following lemma, though easy, is the key to our removal of the solubility

hypothesis. We emphasise that S is assumed only to be a normal subset; that is, $S^g = S$ for all $g \in G$.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a group, S a normal subset of G and A a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module. Then $C_A(S)$ and $[A, S] = \sum_{x \in S} A(x-1)$ are $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodules of A .*

The proofs of Lemma 2 and the well-known Lemma 3 are left to the reader.

Lemma 3. *Let G be a group, x an element of G , and H a subgroup of G contained in the centralizer $C_G(x)$ of x in G . If A is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, then for any $\mathbb{Z}H$ -submodule B of A we have $B(x-1)$ and $C_B(x)$ are $\mathbb{Z}H$ -submodules of A and $B/C_B(x) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}H} B(x-1)$.*

Lemma 4. *Let G be a hyperfinite group and A an artinian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module such that $pA = 0$ for some prime p . If the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors of A as abelian groups are all finite, then A is finite.*

Proof. Suppose A is infinite, then by the artinian condition we may assume each proper $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A is finite. We may also assume that G acts faithfully on A . If G were finite then A would be artinian as a \mathbb{Z} -module and so would be finite, therefore we may assume that G is infinite.

Let B be a proper $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A and let $H = C_G(B)$, then H is a normal subgroup of finite index in G . So H contains a nontrivial finite subgroup K which is normal in G (by G being hyperfinite). Let q be a prime factor of the order of K and let $S = \{x \in K; x \text{ is of order } q\}$. For $G_1 = C_G(S)$, since $G_1 \geq C_G(K)$ and $G/C_G(K)$ is finite so G_1 is a subgroup of finite index in G . Thus A is an infinite artinian $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -module. Therefore A contains a least $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule A_1 such that A_1 is infinite, $B < A_1$, and each proper $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule of A_1 as an abelian group is finite. Since $C_A(S)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A (Lemma 2) and $B \leq C_A(S) < A$ so $C_A(S)$ as an abelian group is finite. Thus $C_{A_1}(S) = A_1 \cap C_A(S)$ is a proper $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule of A_1 and $B \leq C_{A_1}(S)$. Hence there exists $x_0 \in S$ such that $B \leq C_{A_1}(x_0) < A_1$. Let $G_2 = C_G(x_0)$, then $G_2 \geq G_1$ and so G_2 is of finite index in G . Clearly there exists a least $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -submodule A_2 of A such that A_2 is an infinite artinian $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -module, each proper $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -submodule of A_2 as an abelian group is finite, and A_1 is contained in A_2 . For $x_0 \in G_2$, if $|x_0| = q \neq p$ then, by Fitting's lemma, $A_2 = [A_2, \langle x_0 \rangle] + C_{A_2}(\langle x_0 \rangle)$. Since $B \leq C_{A_1}(x_0) < A_1$ so $B \leq C_{A_2}(\langle x_0 \rangle) < A_2$ and then $[A_2, \langle x_0 \rangle]$ and $C_{A_2}(\langle x_0 \rangle)$ are nonzero proper $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -submodules of A_2 . Thus $[A_2, \langle x_0 \rangle]$ are finite and so A_2 is finite, a contradiction. Therefore $|x_0| = q = p$. Since $B \leq C_{A_1}(x_0) < A_1$ and $A_2(x_0 - 1) < A_2$ (Lemma 1), we have $B \leq C_{A_2}(x_0) < A_2$ and so both $C_{A_2}(x_0)$ and $A_2/C_{A_2}(x_0) \cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_2} (A_2(x_0 - 1))$ are finite. Hence A_2 is finite, a contradiction again. Thus the result holds.

Lemma 5. *If G is a hyperfinite group and A an artinian $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module all of whose irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -factors are finite, then A as an abelian group is Černikov and $G/C_G(A)$ is finite.*

Proof. This is similar to the proof of Theorem 3 in [2].

Proof of Theorem. Suppose A does not have an f -decomposition, then by the artinian condition we may assume that each proper $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule of A has one. Since G is locally finite and A is artinian, A is periodic and further A is a p -group for some prime p . Let M be the sum of all proper $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodules of A ; then M has an f -decomposition and so $M \neq A$. Thus M is the unique maximal submodule of A . We may assume that G acts faithfully on A and consider the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -image A/M . (1) If A/M is finite then we may assume $M = M^f$ by investigating A/M^f ; similarly, (2) if A/M is infinite we assume $M = M^f$. Also, it is clear that G is infinite.

Case 1. A/M is finite and $M = M^f$.

In this case, let $H = C_G(A/M)$, then G/H is finite and so H contains a nontrivial finite subgroup K which is normal in G . Let q be a prime factor of the order of K for some prime q and let $S = \{x \in K; x \text{ is of order } q\}$. For $G_1 = C_G(S)$, we have $G_1 \supseteq C_G(K)$ and so G_1 is of finite index in G . Therefore A is an artinian $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -module and then A contains a least $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule A_1 such that A_1 is not contained in M . Then $A_1/A_1 \cap M (\cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} (A_1 + M)/M)$ is a finite irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -module. For $x \in S$, since $S \leq K \leq H = C_G(A/M)$ we have $A_1/C_{A_1}(x) (\cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} A_1(x-1) \leq M)$ has no nonzero finite $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -factors and then $C_{A_1}(x)$ is not contained in M . Thus $C_{A_1}(x) = A_1$ for any $x \in S$ and then $C_{A_1}(S) = A_1$, which shows that $A_1 \leq C_A(S)$. So the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule $C_A(S)$ of A is not contained in M and then $C_A(S) = A$, which is contrary to G being faithful on A . Case 1 is proved.

Case 2. A/M is infinite and $M = M^f$.

In this case, M as an abelian group is Černikov and $G/C_G(M)$ is finite, by Lemma 5. Consider A as a $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -module, where $G_1 = C_G(M)$. Then A is artinian and so contains a least $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -submodule A_1 which is not contained in M . As G_1 contains a nontrivial finite subgroup F which is normal in G so we must have $A_1 \neq C_{A_1}(F)$, since otherwise $A_1 \leq C_A(F)$ would imply that $C_A(F) = A$, contrary to the faithfulness of G . Since $C_{A_1}(F) \supseteq M_1 (= A_1 \cap M)$ and $A_1/M_1 (\cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_1} (A_1 + M)/M)$ is irreducible, $C_{A_1}(F) = M_1$. Let $G_2 = C_{G_1}(F)$, then G_1/G_2 is finite and then A_1 is an artinian $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -module. Let A_2 be a least $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -submodule of A_1 not contained in M . By $C_{A_1}(F) = M_1$ we have $C_{A_2}(F) = M_2 (= A_2 \cap C_{A_1}(F) = A_2 \cap M_1 = A_2 \cap A_1 \cap M = A_2 \cap M)$, it implies that there exists $x_0 \in F$ such that $A_2 \neq C_{A_2}(x_0) (\geq M_2)$. Therefore $A_2(x_0 - 1) (\cong_{\mathbb{Z}G_2} A_2/C_{A_2}(x_0))$ is an infinite irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G_2$ -submodule of A_2 and then of A . Thus A contains an infinite irreducible $\mathbb{Z}G$ -submodule generated by $A_2(x_0 - 1)$ and then A has an f -decomposition, a contradiction. Case 2 is proved.

By the above proof, the theorem is true.

Acknowledgement. I would like to give my thanks to Dr M. J. Tomkinson and, for financial support, to the Chinese State Education Committee and the Science Committee of Chongqing.

REFERENCES

1. Z. Y. DUAN, *Noetherian modules over hyperfinite groups*, (Ph.D thesis, University of Glasgow, 1991).
2. Z. Y. DUAN and M. J. TOMKINSON, The decomposition of minmax modules over hyperfinite groups, *Arch. Math.* **61** (1993), 340–343.
3. D. I. ZAITZEV, Splitting of extensions of abelian groups, *Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR, Inst. Mat. Kiev* (1986), 22–31.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SOUTHWEST TEACHERS UNIVERSITY
CHONGQING, 630715
P.R. CHINA