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The authors gave an example showing an error in [2, Lemma 3.3], and below offer at least a partial correction for that error under the unimodularity assumption. This makes all of the remaining results in [2] valid.

Consider the three-dimensional solvable non-unimodular Lie algebra $\mathfrak{S}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{S}=\mathbb{R}^{2} \rtimes_{\sigma} \mathbb{R}, \quad \text { where } \sigma(t)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
t & 0 \\
0 & t
\end{array}\right]
$$

This Lie algebra has a faithful matrix representation as follows:

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
s & 0 & 0 & a \\
0 & s & 0 & b \\
0 & 0 & 0 & s \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

We can choose an ordered (linear) basis for $\mathfrak{S}$ :

$$
\mathbf{b}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{b}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{b}_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$
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They satisfy $\left[\mathbf{b}_{1}, \mathbf{b}_{2}\right]=\mathbf{0},\left[\mathbf{b}_{3}, \mathbf{b}_{1}\right]=\mathbf{b}_{1}$ and $\left[\mathbf{b}_{3}, \mathbf{b}_{2}\right]=\mathbf{b}_{2}$. The connected and simply connected solvable Lie group $S$ associated with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{S}$ is

$$
S=\left\{\left.\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
e^{t} & 0 & 0 & x \\
0 & e^{t} & 0 & y \\
0 & 0 & 1 & t \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right] \right\rvert\, x, y, t \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

Let $g=((x, y), t)$ denote an element of $S$. Because $\operatorname{Ad}(g): \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ is given by $\operatorname{Ad}(g)(A)=g A g^{-1}$ for $A \in \mathfrak{S}$, a simple computation shows that the adjoint of $g$ is given by

$$
\operatorname{Ad}(g)=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
e^{t} & 0 & -x \\
0 & e^{t} & -y \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Let $\varphi$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism on $\mathfrak{S}$. Since $[\mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{S}]$ is generated by $\mathbf{e}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi\left(\mathbf{b}_{1}\right)=m_{11} \mathbf{b}_{1}+m_{21} \mathbf{b}_{2} \\
& \varphi\left(\mathbf{b}_{2}\right)=m_{12} \mathbf{b}_{1}+m_{22} \mathbf{b}_{2} \\
& \varphi\left(\mathbf{b}_{3}\right)=p \mathbf{b}_{1}+q \mathbf{b}_{2}+m \mathbf{b}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $m_{i j}, p, q, m \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\varphi$ preserves the bracket operations $\left[\mathbf{b}_{3}, \mathbf{b}_{1}\right]=$ $\mathbf{b}_{1}$ and $\left[\mathbf{b}_{3}, \mathbf{b}_{2}\right]=\mathbf{b}_{2}$, it follows easily that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m_{11}(m-1)=0, & m_{12}(m-1)=0 \\
m_{21}(m-1)=0, & m_{22}(m-1)=0
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, with respect to the basis $\left\{\mathbf{b}_{1}, \mathbf{b}_{2}, \mathbf{b}_{3}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{S}, \varphi$ is one of the following:

Type (I) $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}m_{11} & m_{12} & p \\ m_{21} & m_{22} & q \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]$
Type (II) $\left[\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & 0 & m\end{array}\right] \quad$ with $m \neq 1$.
Now we can easily check that

$$
\operatorname{det}(\varphi-I)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { when } \varphi \text { is of type (I) } \\ m-1 & \text { when } \varphi \text { is of type (II) }\end{cases}
$$

$$
\operatorname{det}(\varphi-\operatorname{Ad}(g))= \begin{cases}0 & \text { when } \varphi \text { is of type (I) } \\ e^{2 t}(m-1) & \text { when } \varphi \text { is of type (II) }\end{cases}
$$

This example shows that [2, Lemma 3.3] is not true in general. We remark also that $S$ is not unimodular, and hence, as can be expected, $\operatorname{det}(\operatorname{Ad}(g))=$ $e^{2 t} \neq 1$ for all $t \neq 0$. We prove, however, that the lemma is true under the unimodularity assumption of the connected Lie group. That is, the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $S$ be a connected and simply connected solvable Lie group, and let $D: S \rightarrow S$ be a Lie group homomorphism. If $S$ is unimodular, then for any $x \in S$,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I-D_{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I-\operatorname{Ad}(x) D_{*}\right)
$$

Remark 2. It is known that if a Lie group admits a lattice (discrete cocompact subgroup), then it is unimodular. Consequently, the remaining results of [2] are valid.

Lemma 3. Let $S$ be a connected and simply connected solvable Lie group, and let $D: S \rightarrow S$ be a Lie group homomorphism. Then, for any $x \in S$, $I-D_{*}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $I-\operatorname{Ad}(x) D_{*}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Because $I-\operatorname{Ad}\left(x^{-1}\right) \operatorname{Ad}(x) D_{*}=I-D_{*}$, it suffices to show the only if.

Let $G=[S, S]$; then $G$ is nilpotent, and $S / G \cong \mathbb{R}^{k}$ for some $k$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:


This induces the following commutative diagram:


For $x \in S$, we denote by $\tau_{x}$ the inner automorphism on $S$ whose differential is $\operatorname{Ad}(x)$. This induces an automorphism on $G$, and we still
denote it by $\tau_{x}$ and its differential is $\operatorname{Ad}^{\prime}(x)$. Then we can express $I-D_{*}$ and $I-\operatorname{Ad}(x) D_{*}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
I-D_{*} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I-\bar{D}_{*} & 0 \\
* & I-D_{*}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right] \\
I-\operatorname{Ad}(x) D_{*} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I-\bar{D}_{*} & 0 \\
* & I-\operatorname{Ad}^{\prime}(x) D_{*}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with respect to some linear basis for $\mathfrak{S}$.
Assume that $I-\bar{D}_{*}$ is an isomorphism. We claim that $I-D_{*}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism if and only if $I-\operatorname{Ad}^{\prime}(x) D_{*}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism.

Since $I-\bar{D}$ is an isomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^{k}, \operatorname{fix}(\bar{D})=\operatorname{ker}(I-\bar{D})$ is a trivial group. For any $x \in S$, we consider the exact sequence of the Reidemeister sets

$$
\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{x} D^{\prime}\right] \xrightarrow{\hat{i}^{x}} \mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{x} D\right] \xrightarrow{\hat{p}^{x}} \mathcal{R}[\bar{D}] \longrightarrow 1 ;
$$

$\hat{p}^{x}$ is surjective, and $\left(\hat{p}^{x}\right)^{-1}([\overline{1}])=\operatorname{im}\left(\hat{i}^{x}\right)$. If $\hat{i}^{x}\left(\left[g_{1}\right]\right)=\hat{i}^{x}\left(\left[g_{2}\right]\right)$ for some $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$, then by definition there is $y \in S$ such that $g_{2}=y g_{1}\left(\tau_{x} D(y)\right)^{-1}$. The image in $S / G$ is then $\bar{g}_{2}=\bar{y} \bar{g}_{1} \bar{D}(\bar{y})^{-1}$, which yields that $\bar{y} \in \operatorname{fix}(\bar{D})=$ $\{\overline{1}\}$, and so $y \in G$. This shows that $\hat{i}^{x}$ is injective for all $x \in S$. Because there is a bijection between the Reidemeister sets $\mathcal{R}[D]$ and $\mathcal{R}\left[\tau_{x} D\right]$ given by $[g] \mapsto\left[g x^{-1}\right]$, it follows that $R\left(D^{\prime}\right)=R\left(\tau_{x} D^{\prime}\right)$. On the other hand, by $[1$, Lemma 3.4], since $I-\bar{D}_{*}$ is an isomorphism, $R(\bar{D})<\infty$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
I-\operatorname{Ad}^{\prime}(x) D_{*}^{\prime} \text { is an isomorphism } & \Longleftrightarrow R\left(\tau_{x} D^{\prime}\right)<\infty \\
I-D_{*}^{\prime} \text { is an isomorphism } & \Longleftrightarrow R\left(D^{\prime}\right)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves our claim.
Now assume that $I-D_{*}$ is an isomorphism. Then it follows that $I-\bar{D}_{*}$ and $I-D_{*}^{\prime}$ are isomorphisms. By the above claim, $I-\operatorname{Ad}^{\prime}(x) D_{*}^{\prime}$, and hence $I-\operatorname{Ad}(x) D_{*}$ are isomorphisms.

Proof of Theorem 1. If $S$ is Abelian, then $\operatorname{Ad}(x)$ is the identity and hence there is nothing to prove. We may assume that $S$ is non-Abelian. Further, by Lemma 3, we may assume that $I-D_{*}$ is an isomorphism. Hence, $I-\bar{D}_{*}$ and $I-\operatorname{Ad}(x) D_{*}$ are isomorphisms for all $x \in S$.

Denote $G=[S, S]$ and $\Lambda_{0}=S / G$. Then $G$ is nilpotent, and $\Lambda_{0} \cong \mathbb{R}^{k_{0}}$ for some $k_{0}>0$. Consider the lower central series of $G$ :

$$
G=\delta_{1}(G) \supset \delta_{2}(G) \supset \cdots \supset \delta_{c}(G) \supset \delta_{c+1}(G)=1
$$

where $\delta_{i+1}(G)=\left[G, \delta_{i}(G)\right]$. Let $\Lambda_{i}=\delta_{i}(G) / \delta_{i+1}(G)$. Then $\Lambda_{i} \cong \mathbb{R}^{k_{i}}$ for some $k_{i}>0$. For each $x \in S$, the conjugation $\tau_{x}$ by $x$ induces an automorphism on $G$. Since each $\delta_{i}(G)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $G, \tau_{x} \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ restricts to an automorphism on $\delta_{i}(G)$, and hence on $\Lambda_{i}$. Now, if $x \in G$, then we have observed that the induced action on $\Lambda_{i}$ is trivial. Consequently, there is a well-defined action of $\Lambda_{0}=S / G$ on $\Lambda_{i}$. Hence, there is a well-defined action of $\Lambda_{0}$ on $\Lambda_{i}$. This action can be viewed as a homomorphism $\mu_{i}: \Lambda_{0} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\Lambda_{i}\right)$. Note that $\mu_{0}$ is trivial. Moreover, for any $x \in S$ denoting its image under $S \rightarrow \Lambda_{0}$ by $\bar{x}$, the differential of conjugation $\tau_{x}$ by $x$ can be expressed as a matrix of the form

$$
\operatorname{Ad}(x)\left(=\tau_{x *}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & \mu_{1}(\bar{x}) & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* & * & \cdots & \mu_{c}(\bar{x})
\end{array}\right]
$$

by choosing a suitable basis of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{S}$ of $S$.
The homomorphism $D: S \rightarrow S$ induces homomorphisms $D_{i}: \delta_{i}(G) \rightarrow$ $\delta_{i}(G)$ and hence homomorphisms $\bar{D}_{i}: \Lambda_{i} \rightarrow \Lambda_{i}$, so that the following diagram is commutative:


Hence, the differential of $D$ can be expressed as a matrix of the form

$$
D_{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\bar{D}_{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
* & \bar{D}_{1} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
* & * & \cdots & \bar{D}_{c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

with respect to the same basis for $\mathfrak{S}$ chosen as above.
Furthermore, the above commutative diagram produces the following identities:

$$
\bar{D}_{i} \circ \mu_{i}(\bar{x})=\mu_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{0}(\bar{x})\right) \circ \bar{D}_{i}, \quad \forall \bar{x} \in \Lambda_{0}, \quad \forall i=0,1, \ldots, c .
$$

Let $x \in S$ with $\bar{x} \in \Lambda_{0}=\mathbb{R}^{k_{0}}$. Since $I-\bar{D}_{0}: \mathbb{R}^{k_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k_{0}}$ is invertible, we can choose $\bar{y} \in \Lambda_{0}$ so that $\left(I-\bar{D}_{0}\right)(\bar{y})=\bar{x}$. Now, using the above identities,
we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(I-\mu_{i}(\bar{x}) \bar{D}_{i}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{i}(\bar{y}) \mu_{i}(\bar{y})^{-1}-\mu_{i}(\bar{x}) \mu_{i}\left(\bar{D}_{0}(\bar{y})\right) \bar{D}_{i} \mu_{i}(\bar{y})^{-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{i}(\bar{y}) \mu_{i}(\bar{y})^{-1}-\mu_{i}\left(\bar{x}+\bar{D}_{0}(\bar{y})\right) \bar{D}_{i} \mu_{i}(\bar{y})^{-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{i}(\bar{y}) \mu_{i}(\bar{y})^{-1}-\mu_{i}(\bar{y}) \bar{D}_{i} \mu_{i}(\bar{y})^{-1}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{i}(\bar{y})\right) \operatorname{det}\left(I-\bar{D}_{i}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\mu_{i}(\bar{y})\right)^{-1} \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(I-\bar{D}_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}\left(I-\operatorname{Ad}(x) D_{*}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(I-\bar{D}_{0}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{c} \operatorname{det}\left(I-\mu_{i}(\bar{x}) \bar{D}_{i}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(I-\bar{D}_{0}\right) \prod_{i=1}^{c} \operatorname{det}\left(I-\bar{D}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I-D_{*}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of our theorem.
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