
EDITORS' CORNER

We would like to announce some changes to the structure and content of *PS: Political Science and Politics*. We are excited about these changes and hope you will be as well. Most of the changes, as you will see, are attempts to expand the scope of articles appearing in *PS*, making the journal more timely, readable, and responsive to the needs and interests of our profession, while maintaining our commitment to high quality and peer review.

First, we are repositioning the “Spotlight” section of *PS*. Two recent reports from the association, the “Report of the Ad Hoc Committee on Public Engagement,” and the Publications Committee “Report on The Future of *PS*,” point to the journal as an important outlet to foster public engagement in our profession. Doing so, however, requires that we be nimble, produce materials under a shorter publication timeline, and consider alternative kinds of articles. We have thought long and hard about how we can do this while maintaining the quality of submissions and adhering to peer review. We think the “Spotlight” can serve this purpose for *PS* and for the profession.

We welcome contributions from teams of scholars for consideration for a spotlight on important issues of public and political concern and to which political science can provide important insights. Submissions should consist of a set of 5-10 thematic contributions, between 750-1250 words in length. We do not necessarily expect these pieces to be original pieces of research, although original research findings are welcome. Articles should be written in an accessible style with a minimum of jargon.

Think of these as a longer, academically rigorous, peer-reviewed versions of essays that might appear in *The Monkey Cage*, *Mischiefs of Faction*, or as a submitted editorial to a newspaper. Our most

recent spotlight, “The Politics and Policy of Ebola” is an example of what we have in mind. A few of these submissions first appeared in various blogs, in fact, but were substantially rewritten prior to their publication in *PS*.

Second, we have created two new subsections of *PS*, tentatively titled “From the Sections” and “Reflections.”

“From the Sections” is intended to feature content that has previously appeared in section newsletters, but that section newsletter editors, in consultation with *PS*, deem of sufficient importance and appeal to be considered for dissemination to the entire profession. We will follow up this introduction with a separate email to the heads of sections and section newsletter editors, but in general our hope is that section newsletter editors will proactively nominate content for consideration. Articles will be peer-reviewed, but because they have previously appeared, we will choose to “single blind” review the articles if they have not previously undergone a peer review process.

Our first two articles “From the Sections” will appear in the January issue. They include comments from Peregrine Schwartz-Shea and Dvora Yanow on “Encountering your IRB: What Political Scientists Need to Know” and “Lessons from a Decade of Replication at the *Quarterly Journal of Political Science*” by Nicholas Eubank.

“Reflections” provides space for articles that are commentaries on political science, political science education, mentoring, graduate training, methodology, and experiences as part of our profession. We have received many requests to provide space for this kind of content, and there is some history of *PS* serving as an outlet for articles of this type. We agree that *PS* is uniquely positioned to feature this content, and this is why we created “Reflections.”

We welcome submissions, or inquiries about potential submissions, for consideration for “Reflections.” Submissions should be between 750-1250 words, although longer submissions can be considered. Articles should speak to issues of broad interest to the political science profession but should be written in an accessible style with a minimum of jargon. Even more than “From the Sections,” we are under no illusion that these articles can be double blind reviewed, but we will send these manuscripts out for single blinded review prior to publication.

Finally, we will be reorganizing the sections and subsections of *PS* starting with the January 2016 issue. *PS* has evolved organically over the past decade under Rob Hauck's editorship, with a notable increase in the frequency and importance of the “Symposium” format as part of our content. In response, and to accommodate the new sections mentioned above, we will return to the traditional three part organizational scheme for *PS*: “Features,” “The Teacher,” and “The Profession.” Symposia and Spotlights will appear within these sections, depending on the appropriate placement. Our expectation is that “From the Sections” and “Reflections” will usually be part of “The Profession.”

Like every academic journal, *PS* faces a rapidly evolving publication environment. We want to position *PS* to respond to the changing professional needs and interests of our readership and the association. We welcome any feedback or suggestions about these changes or any other ideas that you have about *PS: Political Science and Politics*.

—Phillip Ardoin
Chair and Professor,
Appalachian State University

—Paul Gronke
Daniel B. German Professor,
Appalachian State University
And Professor, Reed College

Congressional Fellowship Program

Apply Now for the 2016-17 Fellowship Year

CFP Advisory Committee

Michael Barone
Doug Bereuter
Richard Cohen
Charles E. Cook, Jr.
Joan Claybrook*
Robert Dole
Sen. Richard Durbin
Ronald D. Elving*
Vic Fazio
Michael Franc
David Gergen
Robert G. Gilpin, Jr.*
Lee H. Hamilton
Albert Hunt
Gary Hymel
Charles O. Jones
Gerald Kovach
Richard Lugar
Robert Merry
Norman Ornstein*
Rep. David Price
Cokie Roberts
Catherine E. Rudder*
Barbara Sinclair*
James A. Thurber*

* Former APSA Congressional Fellow

Since 1953, the APSA Congressional Fellowship Program has brought more than 2200 scholars and professionals to Washington, DC, to gain a hands-on understanding of the legislative process. More than sixty years later, the program remains devoted to its original objective of expanding knowledge and awareness of Congress.

Fellows begin their fellowship year with a comprehensive four-week orientation with congressional experts and policy leaders. Fellows then serve full-time assignments as legislative aides in the House of Representatives or Senate.

The fellowship year also features:

- winter and spring seminar series on Congress;
- visit to the district or state of a Member of Congress;
- optional programs in Annapolis, Maryland, and Ottawa, Canada; and
- ongoing guidance and mentoring from program staff and alumni.

QUALIFICATIONS: Applications are welcome from political scientists who have completed a PhD in the last 15 years or will have defended a dissertation in political science by November of the fellowship year. Candidates must be US citizens or permanent residents.

The program is open to scholars in all fields of study within political science who can show a scholarly interest in Congress and the legislative process.

FELLOWSHIP YEAR: Orientation begins in November 2016. Office assignments run until August 15, 2017.

STIPEND: \$50,000 for the 9.5-month fellowship period, plus travel stipend.

SELECTION: Preference is for those without extensive Capitol Hill experience.

APPLICATION PROCEDURE: Applications are due December 1, 2015. Applications must be submitted online and include:

- CV;
- 500-word personal statement;
- names and contact information for three references; and
- writing sample.

Learn more at www.apsanet.org/cfp.