# NOTE ON A MATRIX THEOREM OF A. BRAUER AND ITS EXTENSION 

L. S. GODDARD

1. Introduction. In one of his papers on limits for the characteristic roots of a Matrix, Brauer (1) has stated a theorem, which connects the roots of a given square matrix $A$, with those of a matrix $A^{*}$ derived from $A$ by a certain process. The proof of this theorem involves a continuity argument and in a recent paper on the construction of stochastic matrices Hazel Perfect (5) has given a proof which avoids considerations of continuity. However, her proof, involving several multiple derivatives (not with respect to the elements of the matrix), is unnecessarily heavy, and in the present note I give a proof which is simple, short and avoids both continuity and differentiation.

Two extensions of Brauer's theorem are then considered. In each matrix $A^{*}$ is of the form $A^{*}=A+X K^{\prime}$ where $X$ is an $n \times r$ matrix ( $n$ being the order of $A$ ) whose columns are latent vectors of $A$, and $K$ is an arbitrary $n \times r$ matrix. These extensions arise according as the columns of $X$ are associated with the same latent root of $A$, or different roots.
2. Brauer's theorem. In what follows, symbols in bold type represent column vectors. A row vector is represented by an attached prime, which is also used to denote the transpose of a matrix. The unit matrix is denoted by $I$. Brauer's result may be stated as follows:

Theorem 1. Let the square matrix $A$ of order $n$ have latent roots $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}$ with multiplicities $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{s}$; let $x$ be a latent column vector of $A$ associated with the root $\lambda_{1}$, and let $k$ be an arbitrary column vector. Then the matrix $A^{*}=A+$ $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}$ has latent roots $\lambda_{1}+\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}$ with multiplicities $1, m_{1}-1, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{s}$.

Proof. We have

$$
|\lambda I-A|=\prod_{i=1}^{s}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{i}\right)^{m_{i}}
$$

and also $A \mathbf{x}=\lambda_{1} \mathbf{x}$. Now, since $\mathbf{x k}^{\prime}$ is of rank 1 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda I-A^{*}\right| & =\left|\lambda I-A-\mathbf{x} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}\right| \\
& =|\lambda I-A|-\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i}\left|\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{i-1}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{a}_{i+1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{n}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in the last determinant, we have written $\mathbf{a}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_{n}$ for the columns of $\lambda I-A$.
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If we write $A_{i j}(\lambda)$ for the co-factor of the $(i, j)$ th element of $\lambda I-A$, we have

$$
\left|\lambda I-A^{*}\right|=|\lambda I-A|-\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{j} A_{j i}(\lambda)
$$

Now $A_{j i}(\lambda)$ is the $(i, j)$ th element of $\operatorname{adj}(\lambda I-A)$. Also, from the well-known identity

$$
\operatorname{adj}(\lambda I-A) \cdot(\lambda I-A)=|\lambda I-A| I
$$

it follows at once that

$$
\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right) \operatorname{adj}(\lambda I-A) \cdot \mathbf{x}=|\lambda I-A| \mathbf{x}
$$

This gives

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j i}(\lambda) x_{j}=x_{i} F(\lambda) \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)
$$

where $F(\lambda)=|\lambda I-A| /\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\lambda I-A^{*}\right| & =|\lambda I-A|-\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}\right) F(\lambda) \\
& =\left\{\lambda-\lambda_{1}-\left(\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}\right)\right\}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{1}\right)^{m_{2}-1}\left(\lambda-\lambda_{2}\right)^{m_{2}} \ldots\left(\lambda-\lambda_{s}\right)^{m_{\mathbf{t}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and this proves the theorem.
3. Extensions. The effect of Brauer's modification of the matrix $A$ is to bring about a "splitting'" of the spectrum of latent roots, the new root $\lambda_{1}+\mathbf{k}^{\prime} \mathbf{x}$ differing from $\lambda_{1}$ by an infinitesimal provided the elements of $\mathbf{k}$ are small quantities of the first order. It is a natural question to ask how far this splitting process may be carried, and consideration of this question leads to an extension of Brauer's theorem.

We begin with the well-known
Definition. Two square matrices $A$ and $B$, of the same order $n$, are said to possess property $P$ (the Frobenius property) if the characteristic roots of $A$ and $B$, say $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ and $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{n}$ may be so ordered that the characteristic roots of any polynomial $f(A, B)$ are $f\left(\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, n)$.

It is known $(2 ; 4)$ that a necessary and sufficient condition that $A$ and $B$ possess property $P$ is that the matrix $A B-B A$ belong to the radical of the algebra generated over the base field by $A$ and $B$. We shall prove

Theorem 2. Let $A$ be a square matrix of order $n$ and let $B=X K^{\prime}$ where (i) $X$ is an $n \times r$ matrix whose column vectors are characteristic vectors (independent or otherwise) of $A$ associated with the same latent root $\lambda$, (ii) $K$ is an arbitrary $n \times r$ matrix. Then $A$ and $B$ have property $P$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $f(A, B)(A B-B A)$ is nilpotent for all polynomials $f(A, B)$. Write $N=A B-B A$. Since the column vectors of $X$ are all characteristic vectors of $A$ associated with the root $\lambda$, we have

$$
A X=\lambda X
$$

Thus $A B=(A X) K^{\prime}=\lambda B, N=A B-B A=B(\lambda I-A)$. It follows that $N^{2}=0$.

Next, $N B=0$ and, for the polynomial $f(A, B)$, we have

$$
f(A, B) B=f(\lambda I, B) B, \quad f(A, B) N=f(\lambda I, B) N
$$

Thus $[f(A, B) N]^{2}=[f(\lambda I, B) N]^{2}=0$.
We now use Theorem 2 to obtain an extension of Brauer's theorem. Let $X$ have rank $s(s \leqslant r)$. Then $B$ has at most $s$ non-zero characteristic roots. Since $A$ and $B$ have property $P$ and $A B=\lambda B$ the non-zero roots of $B$ all associate with the root $\lambda$ of $A$. Now suppose the roots of $B$ are $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{\sigma}$ ( $\sigma \leqslant s, \mu_{i} \neq 0$ ) and a zero root of multiplicity $n-\sigma$; and let the roots of $A$ be $\lambda, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}$ of multiplicities $\tau, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}$ respectively. Since the $\mu_{i}$ all associate with $\lambda$ we have $\sigma \leqslant \tau$. Theorem 2 now leads to

Theorem 3. If $A$ and $B$ are the matrices occurring in Theorem 2 and $f(A, B)$ is any polynomial in $A$ and $B$, then $f\left(\lambda, \mu_{i}\right)(i=1, \ldots, \sigma)$ are roots of $f(A, B)$ and the remaining roots are $f(\lambda, 0), f\left(\lambda_{1}, 0\right), \ldots, f\left(\lambda_{t}, 0\right)$ with multiplicities $\tau-\sigma, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}$ respectively.

On putting $f(A, B)=A+B$ and noting that the non-zero roots of $X K^{\prime}$ and $K^{\prime} X$ are the same we obtain

Theorem 4. If $A^{*}=A+X K^{\prime}$, where $X$ and $K$ are as defined in Theorem 2, and if the non-zero roots of $K^{\prime} X$ are $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{\sigma}(\sigma \leqslant s \leqslant r)$ then the roots of $A^{*}$ are $\mu_{i}+\lambda(i=1, \ldots, \sigma)$ and $\lambda, \lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}$ with multiplicities $\tau-\sigma$, $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}$ respectively.

Another extension of Brauer's theorem is obtained by taking the columns of $X$ to be latent vectors of $A$ associated with distinct latent roots $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ of $A$. By a proper choice of basis, that is, by a suitable similarity transformation, $A$ and $X$ may be simultaneously reduced to the forms

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda & A_{1} \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right), X=\binom{I_{r}}{0}
$$

where $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}\right)$ and $I_{r}$ is the unit matrix of order $r$.
If $K=\left(K_{1}, K_{2}\right)$ where $K_{1}$ is of order $r$, we have $K^{\prime} X=K_{1}$ and

$$
A+X K^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\Lambda+K_{1} & A_{1}+K_{2} \\
0 & A_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From this there follows:
Theorem 5. If $A^{*}=A+X K^{\prime}$, where the column vectors of the $n \times r$ matrix $X$ are latent vectors of $A$ associated with distinct latent roots $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}$ of $A$, then the numbers $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{\tau}$ are latent roots of $A^{*}$, where $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{r}$ are the roots of $\Lambda+K^{\prime} X$; also, every root of $A^{*}$ other then $\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{r}$ is a root of $A$, with the same multiplicity.
4. Matrices having the same characteristic equation. In Theorem 5 let $K$ be such that

$$
K^{\prime} X=P T P^{-1}
$$

where $P$ is a permutation matrix and $T$ is a matrix whose elements $t_{i j}$ satisfy $t_{i j}=0$ for $i \leqslant j$ or $t_{i j}=0$ for $i \geqslant j$, that is, $T$ is lower or upper nilpotent triangular. Then

$$
\Lambda+K^{\prime} X=P\left(P^{-1} \Lambda P+T\right) P^{-1}
$$

Now $P^{-1} \Lambda P$ is a diagonal matrix and the diagonal of $T$ contains only zeros. Thus $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda+K^{\prime} X$ have the same characteristic equation, and hence, by Theorem 5, $A$ and $A+X K^{\prime}$ have this property also.

It is perhaps worth pointing out that this result follows from the following theorem proved recently (3).

Theorem. Let $A$ and $B$ be matrices of orders $n$ and $r$, such that there exists an $n \times r$ matrix $X$, of rank $r$, for which $A X=X B$. If $K$ is any $n \times r$ matrix, then the pair of matrices $A, X K^{\prime}$ has property $P$ if and only if the pair $B, K^{\prime} X$ has this property.

In the case at hand we have $A X=X \Lambda$, that is $B=\Lambda$. Now if $K^{\prime} X=$ $P T P^{-1}$ then $\Lambda$ and $K^{\prime} X$ have property $P$, since $P^{-1} \Lambda P$ and $T$ have this property. Hence by this theorem $A$ and $X K^{\prime}$ have this property. Since $X K^{\prime}$ is nilpotent it follows that $A$ and $A+X K^{\prime}$ have the same characteristic equation.

In conclusion, I wish to thank the referee for several suggestions leading to improved proofs of the various theorems.
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